Draft Generic Environmental Impact Statement

Prepared for Suffolk County for the:

Declaration as Surplus and Subsequent Sale of 250 Acres of County Owned Land in Yaphank for Mixed Use Development Purposes

Yaphank, Town of Brookhaven
Suffolk County, New York

Volume 1 of 6

March, 2011

Cameron Engineering
& Associates, LLP

DRAFT GENERIC ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT
for the
Declaration As Surplus and Subsequent Sale of 250 Acres of County Owned Land in Yaphank for Mixed Use Development Purposes

250 acres on both sides of Yaphank Avenue between
the Long Island Expressway to the north and Horseblock Road to the South
Yaphank, Town of Brookhaven, Suffolk County, New York

LEAD AGENCY:	**COUNTY OF SUFFOLK**
CONTACT:	Michael Mulé, Senior Planner Suffolk County Planning Department 100 Veterans Memorial Highway, 4th Floor Hauppauge, NY 11788 Tel (631) 853-5203
APPLICANT:	**COUNTY OF SUFFOLK**
CONTACT:	Michael Mulé, Senior Planner Suffolk County Planning Department 100 Veterans Memorial Highway, 4th Floor Hauppauge, NY 11788 Tel (631) 853-5203
PREPARED BY:	**CAMERON ENGINEERING & ASSOCIATES, LLP**
CONTACTS:	Janice Jijina, P.E., AICP/Alan J. King, Jr., P.E. 100 Sunnyside Boulevard, Suite 100 Woodbury, New York 11797 516-827-4900 Project Coordination/Engineering/Environmental/Traffic
	LALLY ACOUSTICAL CONSULTING Emily Lally 272 Water Street #5R New York, NY 10038 212-614-3280 Noise
	AMY S. GREENE ENVIRONMENTAL CONSULTANTS, INC. Tom Brodde 4 Walter E. Foran Boulevard, Suite 209 Flemington, NJ 08822 908-788-9676 ext. 10 Ecology

HISTORICAL PERSPECTIVES
Cece Saunders
P. O. Box 3037
Westport, CT 06880
203-226-7654
Archaeological Resources

ERM
Jim Perazzo
40 Marcus Drive, Suite 200
Melville, NY 11747
(631) 756-8900
Environmental Site Assessment

PEARL M. KAMER, Ph.D.
Consulting Economist
Syosset, New York
516-433-3629
Economics

CDM
Mary Anne Taylor
100 Crossways Park
Woodbury, NY 11797
516-496-8400
Groundwater Modeling

DATE OF ACCEPTANCE OF DGEIS BY LEAD AGENCY: _____

COMMENTS ON THIS DGEIS ARE TO BE SUBMITTED TO THE LEAD AGENCY BY: _____

TABLE OF CONTENTS

VOLUME 1 of 6

1. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY 1-1

1.1. PROJECT DESCRIPTION 1-1
1.1.1. Project Background, Purpose and Public Benefits 1-1
1.1.2. Layout and Design 1-4
1.2. POTENTIAL IMPACTS AND PROPOSED MITIGATION 1-11
1.2.1. Geology, Soils, and Topography 1-11
1.2.2. Surface and Subsurface Environmental Conditions 1-14
1.2.3. Groundwater 1-15
1.2.4. Ecological Resources 1-18
1.2.5. Land Use, Zoning and Public Policy 1-23
1.2.6. Transportation – Traffic and Parking 1-27
1.2.7. Visual Quality 1-29
1.2.8. Noise 1-31
1.2.9. Air Quality 1-32
1.2.10. Cultural Resources and Suffolk County Farm 1-33
1.2.11. Community Services 1-35
1.2.12. Utilities 1-37
1.2.13. Demographics and Economic Impacts 1-39
1.2.14. Construction Impacts 1-41
1.2.15. Cumulative Impacts 1-41
1.3. PROJECT ALTERNATIVES 1-42
1.3.1. No Action/Municipal Use Alternative 1-42
1.3.2. As-Of-Right Alternative 1-42
1.3.3. No Further Development Alternative 1-42
1.3.4. Comparison of Impacts 1-42
1.4. PERMITS AND APPROVALS REQUIRED 1-43
1.5. CRITERIA FOR SUBSEQUENT SEQRA REVIEW 1-44
1.6. CONCLUSIONS 1-44

2. PROJECT DESCRIPTION 2-1

2.1. INTRODUCTION 2-1
2.2. LOCATION 2-4
2.3. LEADERSHIP IN ENERGY AND ENVIRONMENTAL DESIGN (LEED) 2-5
2.4. PURPOSE, NEED AND BENEFITS 2-6
2.5. DESIGN AND LAYOUT 2-7
2.5.1. Area A 2-7
2.5.2. Area B 2-10
2.5.3. Area C 2-15
2.5.4. Area D 2-16
2.5.5. Area E - Relocation of County Facilities 2-17
2.5.6. Area F – Wastewater Treatment Plant Expansion 2-18
2.5.7. Parking 2-18
2.5.8. Access Improvements 2-20
2.5.9. Circulation 2-21
2.6. APPROVAL PROCESS 2-22
2.6.1. Suffolk County 2-22
2.6.2. Town of Brookhaven 2-22
2.6.3. Water Authority 2-22

2.6.4. New York State *2-22*
2.7. CONSTRUCTION SCHEDULE 2-22

3. GEOLOGY, SOILS AND TOPOGRAPHY 3-1

3.1. GEOLOGY 3-1
3.1.1. Existing Conditions *3-1*
3.1.2. Potential Impacts of Proposed Project *3-3*
3.1.3. Proposed Mitigation *3-3*
3.2. SOILS 3-3
3.2.1. Existing Conditions *3-3*
3.2.2. Potential Impacts of Proposed Project *3-5*
3.2.3. Proposed Mitigation *3-9*
3.3. TOPOGRAPHY 3-11
3.3.1. Existing Conditions *3-11*
3.3.2. Potential Impacts of Proposed Project *3-13*
3.3.3. Proposed Mitigation *3-14*

4. SURFACE AND SUBSURFACE ENVIRONMENTAL CONDITIONS 4-1

4.1. AREA A ENVIRONMENTAL CONDITIONS 4-3
4.2. AREA B ENVIRONMENTAL CONDITIONS 4-5
4.3. AREA C ENVIRONMENTAL CONDITIONS 4-7
4.4. AREA D ENVIRONMENTAL CONDITIONS 4-9
4.5. AREA E ENVIRONMENTAL CONDITIONS 4-10
4.6. AREA F ENVIRONMENTAL CONDITIONS 4-11
4.7. NEARBY AIR EMISSION SOURCES 4-12
4.8. PROPOSED MITIGATION 4-13

5. GROUNDWATER 5-1

5.1. EXISTING CONDITIONS 5-1
5.2. POTENTIAL IMPACTS OF PROPOSED PROJECT 5-2
5.2.1. Groundwater Withdrawals. *5-2*
5.2.2. Wastewater Management. *5-3*
5.2.3. Stormwater Recharge *5-5*
5.3. SUFFOLK COUNTY PESTICIDE AND FERTILIZER REGULATIONS 5-9
5.4. SUFFOLK COUNTY GROUNDWATER MODELING 5-11
5.4.1. Methodology *5-11*
5.4.2. Existing Land Use – Comparison of Model-Simulated and Observed Nitrate Concentrations *5-13*
5.4.3. No Build Analysis *5-17*
5.4.4. Proposed Development Scenario *5-17*
5.4.5. Estimated Nitrogen Concentrations Resulting from Proposed Development Scenario *5-18*
5.5. PROPOSED MITIGATION 5-20

6. STORMWATER COLLECTION TREATMENT AND RECHARGE 6-1

6.1. EXISTING CONDITIONS 6-1
6.2. POTENTIAL IMPACTS OF PROPOSED PROJECT 6-1
6.3. MITIGATION 6-2

7. ECOLOGICAL RESOURCES 7-1

7.1. EXISTING CONDITIONS 7-1
7.1.1. Land Cover *7-1*
7.1.2. Water Resources *7-5*

7.1.3. Vegetation ... 7-7
7.1.4. Wildlife ... 7-10
7.2. POTENTIAL IMPACTS OF PROPOSED PROJECT ... 7-11
7.2.1. Land Cover ... 7-11
7.2.2. Water Resources ... 7-13
7.2.3. Vegetation ... 7-14
7.2.4. Wildlife ... 7-15
7.3. MITIGATION MEASURES ... 7-16
7.3.1. Water Resources ... 7-16
7.3.2. Vegetation ... 7-17
7.3.3. Wildlife ... 7-17

8. LAND USE 8-1

8.1. EXISTING CONDITIONS ... 8-1
8.2. EXISTING LAND USE PLANS ... 8-3
8.2.1. Town Master Plans ... 8-3
8.2.2. Nassau-Suffolk Comprehensive Development Plan ... 8-6
8.2.3. Hamlet and Corridor Plans ... 8-8
8.2.4. Smart Growth Policies ... 8-10
8.2.5. Carmans River Environmental Assessment ... 8-14
8.2.6. Draft Carmans River Watershed Protection Plan ... 8-15
8.3. POTENTIAL IMPACTS OF PROPOSED PROJECT ... 8-19
8.3.1. Area A ... 8-19
8.3.2. Area B ... 8-21
8.3.3. Area C ... 8-21
8.3.4. Area D ... 8-22
8.3.5. Area E ... 8-22
8.3.6. Area F ... 8-22
8.4. PROPOSED MITIGATION ... 8-23

9. ZONING 9-1

9.1. EXISTING CONDITIONS ... 9-1
9.1.1. A Residence 1 District Permitted Uses ... 9-1
9.1.2. A Residence 1 District Dimensional Regulations ... 9-2
9.1.3. L Industrial 1 District (Light Industry) Permitted Uses ... 9-2
9.1.4. L Industrial 1 District (Light Industry) Dimensional Regulations ... 9-4
9.1.5. Surrounding Zoning ... 9-5
9.2. POTENTIAL IMPACTS OF PROPOSED PROJECT ... 9-6
9.2.1. Planned Development District Purposes ... 9-6
9.2.2. Planned Development District Goals ... 9-8
9.2.3. Planned Development District Approval Process ... 9-11
9.2.4. Planned Development District Standards and Requirements ... 9-17
9.3. PROPOSED MITIGATION ... 9-20

10. PUBLIC POLICY 10-1

10.1. EXISTING CONDITIONS ... 10-1
10.2. IMPACTS OF PROPOSED PROJECT ON OPEN SPACE PROGRAMS AND POLICIES ... 10-7
10.3. PROPOSED MITIGATION ... 10-10

11. TRANSPORTATION 11-1

11.1. TRAFFIC - EXISTING CONDITIONS ... 11-1
11.1.1. Existing Levels of Service ... 11-2
11.1.2. 2025 No Build Scenario ... 11-3

11.1.3. 2025 Mitigated No Build Scenario ... 11-5
11.2. TRAFFIC - POTENTIAL IMPACTS OF PROPOSED PROJECT ... 11-6
11.2.1. Site Access ... 11-6
11.2.2. Grades and Sight Distance ... 11-7
11.2.3. Site Trip Generation ... 11-8
11.2.4. Directional Distribution and Traffic Assignment ... 11-9
11.2.5. Traffic Signals at Existing Unsignalized Intersections ... 11-10
11.2.6. 2025 Build Scenario Capacity Analysis ... 11-10
11.2.7. Future Off-Peak Conditions ... 11-13
11.2.8. Public Transportation ... 11-14

12. VISUAL QUALITY 12-1

12.1. EXISTING CONDITIONS ... 12-1
12.2. POTENTIAL IMPACTS OF PROPOSED PROJECT ... 12-1
12.3. PROPOSED MITIGATION ... 12-2

13. NOISE 13-1

13.1. APPLICABLE STANDARDS AND GUIDELINES ... 13-1
13.2. EXISTING CONDITIONS ... 13-3
13.3. POTENTIAL IMPACTS OF PROPOSED PROJECT ... 13-5
13.3.1. Area A ... 13-5
13.3.2. Area B ... 13-6
13.3.3. Area C ... 13-7
13.3.4. Area D ... 13-8
13.3.5. Area E ... 13-9
13.3.6. Area F ... 13-10
13.4. PROPOSED MITIGATION ... 13-10
13.4.1. Area A ... 13-10
13.4.2. Area B ... 13-11
13.4.3. Area C ... 13-12
13.4.4. Area D ... 13-12
13.4.5. Area E ... 13-12
13.4.6. Area F ... 13-13

14. AIR QUALITY 14-1

14.1. EXISTING CONDITIONS ... 14-1
14.1.1. Climatic Conditions ... 14-1
14.1.2. Air Quality Attainment Status ... 14-2
14.1.3. Air Quality Screening ... 14-4
14.2. POTENTIAL IMPACTS OF PROPOSED PROJECT ... 14-4
14.3. PROPOSED MITIGATION ... 14-8

15. SUFFOLK COUNTY FARM AND EDUCATION CENTER 15-1

15.1. EXISTING CONDITIONS ... 15-1
15.2. POTENTIAL IMPACTS OF PROPOSED PROJECT ... 15-2
15.3. PROPOSED MITIGATION ... 15-3

16. CULTURAL RESOURCES 16-1

16.1. EXISTING CONDITIONS ... 16-1
16.2. EXISTING CONDITIONS – ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESOURCES ... 16-3
16.3. EXISTING CONDITIONS – SITE HISTORY ... 16-5
16.3.1. Area A ... 16-6

16.3.2. Area B *16-9*
16.3.3. Area C *16-9*
16.3.4. Area D *16-9*
16.3.5. Areas E and F *16-10*
16.4. HISTORIC RESOURCES 16-10
16.4.1. Area A - Resources within the Area *16-10*
16.4.2. Area A - Resources adjacent to the Area *16-12*
16.4.3. Area B - Resources within the Area *16-13*
16.4.4. Area B - Resources adjacent to the Area *16-13*
16.4.5. Areas C through F – Resources within the Area *16-14*
16.4.6. Areas C through F - Resources adjacent to the Area *16-14*
16.5. PRECONTACT SENSITIVITY AND DISTURBANCE RECORD 16-14
16.5.1. Area A *16-15*
16.5.2. Area B *16-15*
16.5.3. Areas C through F *16-15*
16.6. HISTORICAL PERIOD SENSITIVITY AND DISTURBANCE RECORD 16-16
16.6.1. Area A *16-16*
16.6.2. Area B *16-17*
16.6.3. Areas C through F *16-17*
16.7. HISTORIC RESOURCES 16-17
16.7.1. Area A *16-17*
16.7.2. Area B *16-18*
16.7.3. Areas C through F *16-18*
16.8. ANTICIPATED PROJECT IMPACTS – PRECONTACT ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESOURCES 16-18
16.8.1. Area A *16-18*
16.8.2. Areas B through F *16-19*
16.9. HISTORICAL PERIOD ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESOURCES 16-19
16.9.1. Area A *16-19*
16.9.2. Areas B through F *16-19*
16.10. HISTORIC RESOURCES 16-19
16.10.1. Area A *16-19*
16.10.2. Area B *16-20*
16.10.3. Areas C through F *16-20*
16.11. OVERALL CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS 16-20
16.11.1. Area A *16-20*
16.11.2. Area B *16-22*
16.11.3. Areas C through F *16-22*

17. COMMUNITY SERVICES **17-1**

17.1. EMERGENCY SERVICES 17-1
17.1.1. Existing Conditions *17-1*
17.1.2. Potential Impacts of Proposed Project *17-2*
17.1.3. Proposed Mitigation *17-3*
17.2. SCHOOL DISTRICTS 17-4
17.2.1. Existing Conditions *17-4*
17.2.2. Potential Impacts of Proposed Project *17-5*
17.2.3. Proposed Mitigation *17-7*
17.3. RECREATIONAL FACILITIES 17-7
17.3.1. Existing Conditions *17-7*
17.3.2. Potential Impacts of Proposed Project *17-7*
17.3.3. Proposed Mitigation *17-9*

18. UTILITIES **18-1**

18.1. EXISTING CONDITIONS 18-1
18.1.1. Water 18-1
18.1.2. Wastewater 18-1
18.1.3. Solid Waste 18-2
18.1.4. Energy 18-4
18.2. POTENTIAL IMPACTS OF PROPOSED PROJECT 18-4
18.2.1. Water 18-4
18.2.2. Wastewater 18-4
18.2.3. Solid Waste 18-5
18.2.4. Energy 18-6
18.3. PROPOSED MITIGATION 18-8
18.3.1. Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design (LEED) 18-8
18.3.2. Water 18-11
18.3.3. Wastewater 18-12
18.3.4. Solid Waste 18-13
18.3.5. Electricity and Natural Gas 18-13

19. DEMOGRAPHICS AND ECONOMIC IMPACTS 19-1

19.1. EXISTING AND PROJECTED SOCIOECONOMIC CONDITIONS 19-1
19.1.1. Population 19-1
19.1.2. Population in Yaphank 19-2
19.1.3. Population by Age 19-3
19.1.4. Household Size 19-5
19.1.5. Income 19-5
19.1.6. Housing 19-6
19.1.7. Housing in Yaphank 19-8
19.1.8. Employment 19-9
19.1.9. Income and Employment in Yaphank 19-11
19.1.10. Projected Employment by Industry. 19-12
19.2. ECONOMIC INFORMATION ON YAPHANK 19-15
19.3. POTENTIAL IMPACT OF PROPOSED PROJECT ON HOUSING VALUES 19-20
19.4. ECONOMIC ASPECTS OF MIXED USE COMMUNITIES 19-23
19.4.1. Mixed-use Development 19-23
19.4.2. Sports Stadiums and Economic Development 19-26
19.4.3. Impacts of Proposed Project on Economics and Induced Development 19-29
19.5. ECONOMIC IMPACT ANALYSIS 19-30
19.5.1. Construction Phase 19-30
19.5.2. Permanent Phase of Operation – Permanent Jobs 19-34
19.5.3. Permanent Phase of Operation – Household Spending 19-38
19.5.4. Real Property Tax Revenues 19-42
19.5.5. Sales Tax Revenues 19-45
19.5.6. School District Analysis 19-47
19.5.7. Suffolk County Police Department Analysis 19-49
19.5.8. Fire and Ambulance Districts Analysis 19-50

20. CONSTRUCTION IMPACTS 20-1

20.1. POTENTIAL IMPACTS OF PROPOSED PROJECT 20-1
20.1.1. Construction Schedule 20-1
20.1.2. Construction Hours 20-1
20.1.3. Soil Erosion and Dust 20-1
20.1.4. Noise 20-1
20.1.5. Traffic Disruption 20-2
20.2. PROPOSED MITIGATION 20-2

20.2.1. Soil Erosion and Dust *20-2*
20.2.2. Noise *20-3*
20.2.3. Traffic Disruption *20-4*

21. CUMULATIVE IMPACTS **21-1**

21.1. LONGWOOD SCHOOL DISTRICT 21-1
21.2. CARMANS RIVER WATERSHED 21-2
21.3. OTHER AREAS 21-4
21.3.1. Geology, Soils and Topography *21-4*
21.3.2. Water Resources *21-4*
21.3.3. Ecology *21-5*
21.3.4. Land Use, Zoning and Public Policy *21-6*
21.3.5. Transportation, Air Quality, and Noise *21-6*
21.3.6. Visual Quality *21-6*
21.3.7. Cultural Resources *21-7*
21.3.8. Community Services and Utilities *21-7*
21.3.9. Economic Impacts *21-8*
21.3.10. Construction Impacts *21-8*

22. UNAVOIDABLE ADVERSE IMPACTS **22-1**

22.1. SHORT-TERM IMPACTS FROM CONSTRUCTION 22-1
22.2. LONG-TERM IMPACTS FROM OPERATION 22-1

23. IRREVERSIBLE AND IRRETRIEVABLE COMMITMENT OF RESOURCES **23-1**

23.1. LAND 23-1
23.2. CONSTRUCTION MATERIALS 23-1
23.3. ENERGY 23-1
23.4. MANPOWER 23-1

24. GROWTH INDUCING ASPECTS OF THE PROPOSED ACTION **24-1**

24.1. DEVELOPMENT PHASE 24-1
24.2. DISCRETIONARY SPENDING BY NEW RESIDENTS 24-1
24.3. SPENDING BY NEW WORKERS 24-1
24.4. TAX REVENUES 24-2

25. ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED **25-1**

25.1. NO ACTION/MUNICIPAL BUILD-OUT 25-1
25.2. AS-OF-RIGHT BUILD-OUT 25-1
25.3. NO DEVELOPMENT 25-1
25.4. COMPARISON OF IMPACTS 25-1
25.4.1. Geology, Soils and Topography *25-1*
25.4.2. Groundwater *25-1*
25.4.3. Stormwater Collection, Treatment and Recharge *25-3*
25.4.4. Ecological Resources *25-3*
25.4.5. Land Use and Zoning *25-3*
25.4.6. Transportation *25-4*
25.4.7. Visual Quality *25-5*
25.4.8. Noise *25-5*
25.4.9. Air Quality *25-8*
25.4.10. Cultural Resources *25-8*
25.4.11. Community Services and Utilities *25-9*
25.4.12. Economic Impacts *25-14*

25.4.13. Construction Impacts *25-15*
25.4.14. Summary of Impacts and Conclusions *25-15*

26. CRITERIA FOR SUBSEQUENT REVIEW **26-1**

26.1. PERFORMANCE STANDARDS AND THRESHOLDS AND CONDITIONS THAT WOULD TRIGGER ADDITIONAL ANALYSIS 26-2
26.2. PRELIMINARY SCOPE FOR SUPPLEMENTAL EISS 26-3

27. BIBLIOGRAPHY **27-1**

27.1. GEOLOGY, SOILS AND TOPOGRAPHY 27-1
27.2. WATER RESOURCES 27-1
27.3. HOME VALUES 27-2
27.4. ECONOMIC ANALYSIS OF MIXED USE PROJECTS 27-3
27.5. LAND USE 27-3
27.6. ZONING 27-4
27.7. SOLID WASTE 27-4
27.8. AIR QUALITY 27-5
27.9. TRANSPORTATION 27-5
27.10. ECOLOGY 27-5
27.11. CULTURAL RESOURCES 27-7

LIST OF FIGURES
(provided within or at end of Chapter)

Figure 1-1: Aerial Map
Figure 1-2: Master Plan
Figure 1-3: Carmans River Watershed
Figure 2-1: Tax Lots
Figure 2-2: Area A Conceptual Master Plan
Figure 2-3: Area B and Area C Conceptual Master Plan
Figure 2-4: Area D Conceptual Master Plan
Figure 3-1: Generalized Geologic Cross Section
Figure 3-2: Soil Map
Figure 3-3: Topographic relief and elevations (in feet) for the Areas and vicinity
Figure 3-4: Slopes of the Areas and vicinity.
Figure 3-5: Slopes of Area C with an overlay of proposed ball fields.
Figure 4-1: Area A Site Vicinity and Surrounding Map
Figure 4-2: Area B Site Vicinity and Surrounding Map
Figure 4-3: Area C Site Vicinity and Surrounding Map
Figure 4-4: Area D Site Vicinity and Surrounding Map
Figure 5-1: Hydrogeologic Zones
Figure 5-2: Depth to Groundwater
Figure 5-3: Water Supply Wells
Figure 5-4: Finite Element Grid
Figure 5-5: Water Table Elevation and Direction of Shallow Groundwater Flow
Figure 5-6: Existing Land Use
Figure 5-7: Comparison of Existing and Model Simulated Nitrate Concentrations in the Upper Glacial Aquifer (0 to 50 feet below the water table)
Figure 5-8: Comparison of Existing and Model Simulated Nitrate Concentrations in the Upper Glacial Aquifer (50 to 100 feet below the water table)
Figure 5-9: Proposed Land Use

Figure 5-10: Model Simulated Nitrate Concentrations in the Shallow Upper Glacial Aquifer - Proposed Development Scenario
Figure 5-11: Areas Used to Calculate and Compare Average Nitrate Concentrations from Proposed Development Scenarios
Figure 6-1: Area A Topography and Drainage Swale Location
Figure 7-1: Existing Land Cover for Area A
Figure 7-2: Existing Land Cover for Area B
Figure 7-3: Existing Land Cover for Area C
Figure 7-4: Existing Land Cover for Area D
Figure 7-5: Existing Land Cover for Areas E and F
Figure 7-6: NYSDEC Wetlands Map
Figure 7-7: NWI Wetlands Map
Figure 7-8: FEMA Floodplain Map
Figure 7-9: Pine Barrens Core and Compatible Growth Area
Figure 7-10: Proposed Land Cover for Area A
Figure 7-11: Proposed Land Cover for Area B
Figure 7-12: Proposed Land Cover for Area C
Figure 7-13: Proposed Land Cover for Area D
Figure 8-1: Land Uses within a one-mile radius of Areas A through F
Figure 8-2: Area A and vicinity: proposed development footprint and surrounding existing uses
Figure 9-1: Zoning Map
Figure 10-1: Protected Lands Within the Carmans River Watershed
Figure 11-1: Key Intersections
Figure 13-1: Noise Monitoring Locations
Figure 13-2: Location 1 – Measured Sound Levels, October 19 – 20, 2010
Figure 13-3: Location 2 – Measured Sound Levels, October 19 – 20, 2010
Figure 13-4: Location 3 – Measured Sound Levels, October 19 – 20, 2010
Figure 13-5: Location 4 – Measured Sound Levels, October 20 – 21, 2010
Figure 13-6: Location 5 – Measured Sound Levels, October 19 – 20, 2010
Figure 16-1: Project site and photographs 31-39 on Yaphank County Center Proposed Use Plan (Suffolk County Planning 2009 and Historical Perspectives 2010).
Figure 16-2: Area A and photographs 1-30 on *Topographic Map of the Yaphank County Center (North of Railroad)* (Suffolk County Department of Buildings and Grounds 1971 updated to 2007).
Figure 16-3: Project site (approximate) on *Map of the Town of Brookhaven from a Survey Made in 1797* (Hulse 1797). No scale.
Figure 16-4: Project site on *Map of Suffolk County, L.I., New York* (Chace 1858).
Figure 16-5: Area A on *Brookhaven, Atlas of Long Island* (Beers 1873).
Figure 16-6: Area A on *Yaphank, Town of Brookhaven, Atlas of Long Island* (Beers 1873).
Figure 16-7: Project site on *South Part of Brookhaven* (Beers 1888). Note that railroad alignment is mapped incorrectly.
Figure 16-8: Area A on *Yaphank, Town of Brookhaven* (Beers 1888).
Figure 16-9: Project site on *Moriches, New York* 15 Minute Quadrangle (U.S.G.S. 1903).
Figure 16-10: Project site on *Atlas of a Part of Suffolk County, Long Island, New York* (Hyde 1915). Note that area north of railroad tracks has no detail.
Figure 16-11: Area A on *Atlas of a Part of Suffolk County, Long Island, New York* (Hyde 1917).
Figure 16-12: Area A on aerial photograph (U.S.D.A. 1938).
Figure 16-13: Project site showing surrounding area and location of previously documented historic districts (Historical Perspectives 2010).
Figure 16-14: Area A showing areas of precontact and historical period archaeological sensitivity on *Topographic Map of the Yaphank County Center (North of Railroad)* (Suffolk County Department of Buildings and Grounds 1971 updated to 2007 and Historical Perspectives 2010).

Figure 16-15: Historic Images of Former Children's Home
Figure 17-1: Emergency Service Providers
Figure 17-2: School Districts
Figure 21-1: Projects within Longwood School District
Figure 21-2: Projects within Carmans River Watershed and/or Within One-Mile Radius of Project Site
Figure 25-1: As-of-Right Development Scenario
Figure 25-2: Municipal Land Use Development Scenario
Figure 25-3: Model Simulated Nitrate Concentrations in the Shallow Upper Glacial - No Further Development Scenario
Figure 25-4: Model Simulated Nitrate Concentrations in the Shallow Upper Glacial - As-of-Right Development Scenario
Figure 25-5: Model Simulated Nitrate Concentrations in the Shallow Upper Glacial Aquifer – Municipal Land Use Development Scenario

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1-1: Permits and Approvals 1-43
Table 2-1: Tax Lots 2-5
Table 2-2: Area A Existing Buildings 2-8
Table 2-3: Town of Brookhaven Parking Requirements 2-18
Table 2-4: Parking Requirements 2-20
Table 3-1: Summary of Soil Types by Area 3-3
Table 3-2: Elevation Ranges 3-11
Table 3-3: Summary of "percent of slope" for the study areas, individually and collectively 3-12
Table 5-1: Sanitary Effluent Flow Rates and Nitrate/Nitrogen Concentrations For Non-Residential Land Uses used in Model Simulations 5-16
Table 5-2: Comparison of Average Nitrate Concentrations in Shallow Upper Glacial Groundwater 5-19
Table 6-1: Runoff Volumes and Drainage Reserve Areas for an 8-inch precipitation event 6-2
Table 6-2: Seed Mix 6-4
Table 7-1: Existing Land Cover Summary by Type and Acreage for all Areas. 7-2
Table 7-2: Summary of Land Cover Classes for Areas A through F 7-3
Table 7-3: Plant Species Observed from Areas A through F 7-9
Table 7-4: Summary of Proposed versus Existing Land Cover Classes for Areas A through F 7-11
Table 8-1: Summary of Land Use Classes for the Areas and one-mile vicinity. 8-3
Table 8-2: Conformance with Smart Growth Principles 8-11
Table 8-3: Draft Carmans River Watershed Protection Plan Recommendations 8-16
Table 9-1: Existing and Proposed Zoning 9-1
Table 9-2: Uses in A Residence 1 District 9-1
Table 9-3: Table of Dimensional Regulations for A Residence 1 One Family District 9-2
Table 9-4: Uses in L Industrial 1 District (Light Industry) 9-3
Table 9-5: Table of Dimensional Regulations for L Industrial 1 District (Light Industry) 9-4
Table 9-6: Zoning Within One Mile of the Site 9-6
Table 9-7: Conformance with PDD Goals 9-8
Table 11-1: Peak Hour Other Project Trips 11-5
Table 11-2: Site Trip Generation 11-9
Table 11-3: Proposed Action Generated Trips 11-10
Table 11-4: 2025 Levels of Service 11-12
Table 13-1: Town of Brookhaven Maximum Permissible A-Weighted Sound Pressure Levels by Receiving Property Category, in dBA 13-1
Table 13-2: Noise Monitoring Locations 13-3
Table 13-3: Results of Short Term Noise Measurements 13-5

Table 13-4: Location 1 near Area A Traffic Noise Level Increase between Existing and No Build 2025 ... 13-6
Table 13-5: Location 1 near Area A Traffic Noise Level Increase between No Build 2025 and Build 2025 ... 13-6
Table 13-6: Location 5 near Access to Area B Traffic Noise Level Increase between Existing and No Build 2025 ... 13-7
Table 13-7: Location 5 near Access to Area B Traffic Noise Level Increase between No Build 2025 and Build 2025 ... 13-7
Table 13-8: Location 5 near Access to Area C Traffic Noise Level Increase between Existing and No Build 2025 ... 13-8
Table 13-9: Location 5 near Access to Area C Traffic Noise Level Increase between No Build 2025 and Build 2025 ... 13-8
Table 13-10: Location 4 near Area D Traffic Noise Level Increase between Existing and No Build 2025 ... 13-9
Table 13-11: Location 4 near Area D Traffic Noise Level Increase between No Build 2025 and Build 2025 ... 13-9
Table 14-1: Temperature and Precipitation Summary Data ... 14-1
Table 14-2: Air Quality Screening Volume Thresholds ... 14-8
Table 16-1: Archaeological Sites and Surveys within a One Mile Radius ... 16-4
Table 16-2: Cultural Resources Recommendations ... 16-23
Table 17-1: Longwood School District Enrollment ... 17-4
Table 18-1: Average Daily Flow at Yaphank Treatment Plant ... 18-1
Table 18-2: Proposed Wastewater Design Flow ... 18-5
Table 18-3: Proposed Solid Waste ... 18-6
Table 18-4: Projected Electrical Requirements ... 18-8
Table 19-1: Population ... 19-1
Table 19-2: Population by Age in Year 2000 ... 19-3
Table 19-3: Household and Family Size in Year 2000 ... 19-5
Table 19-4: Secondary Economic Impact of Spending During the Development Phase ... 19-31
Table 19-5: Industry Impact of $750,048,164 in Spending During the Development Phase ... 19-32
Table 19-6: Construction Cost, by Component and Area (Excluding Offsite Infrastructure) ... 19-32
Table 19-7: Projected Construction Jobs, by Area ... 19-33
Table 19-8: Secondary Impact of Spending during the Development Phase by Area ... 19-34
Table 19-9: Projected Permanent FTE Jobs, by Type of Use ... 19-35
Table 19-10: Estimated Employment and Payrolls for Major Occupational Groups ... 19-37
Table 19-11: The Secondary Employment Impact of Direct Jobs ... 19-37
Table 19-12: The Secondary Earnings Impact of Direct Payrolls ... 19-38
Table 19-13: Projected Total Population at Full Occupancy Based on Rutgers Coefficients ... 19-39
Table 19-14: Rutgers Population Coefficients, by Age Category ... 19-39
Table 19-15:Estimated Population at Full Occupancy, by Age Category ... 19-39
Table 19-16: Estimated Gross Income of the Resident Population ... 19-40
Table 19-17: Estimated Discretionary Purchasing Power of the Resident Population ... 19-41
Table 19-18: Economic Impact of Annual Discretionary Spending by Potential Residents ... 19-42
Table 19-19: Property Taxes for Area A and B ... 19-43
Table 19-20: Allocation of Projected Annual Tax Revenues to Taxing Districts in Areas A and B ... 19-44
Table 19-21: Property Taxes for Area D ... 19-44
Table 19-22: Allocation of Projected Annual Tax Revenues to Taxing Districts in Area D ... 19-45
Table 19-23: Summary of Projected Sales Taxes ... 19-47
Table 19-24: Projected Public School Children ... 19-48
Table 19-25: Computations of Per Pupil Cost Factoring in State Aid ... 19-48
Table 19-26: Costs and Benefits to the Longwood CSD ... 19-49
Table 19-27: Projected Population and Jobs at Full Development, Areas A, B and D ... 19-50
Table 21-1: Applications in Longwood School District ... 21-2

Table 21-2: Projects within the Carmans River Watershed 21-3
Table 21-3: Projects within One-Mile Radius 21-4
Table 22-1: Short Term Unavoidable Adverse Impacts 22-1
Table 22-2: Long-Term Unavoidable Adverse Impacts 22-2
Table 25-1: Comparison of Average Nitrate Concentrations in Shallow Upper Glacial Groundwater 25-3
Table 25-2: Comparison of Hourly Generated Trips 25-5
Table 25-3: Traffic Noise Level Increase between Existing and No Build Alternative in 2025 (Leq, dBA) 25-6
Table 25-4: Traffic Noise Level Increase between Municipal Use Alternative and No Build in 2025 (Leq, dBA) 25-6
Table 25-5: Traffic Noise Level Increase between As of Right Alternative and No Build in 2025 (Leq, dBA) 25-7
Table 25-6: Projected Taxes 25-9
Table 25-7: Public School Children Grade Distribution 25-10
Table 25-8: Net Taxes for Longwood School District for Alternatives 25-10
Table 25-9: Comparison of Wastewater Generation 25-11
Table 25-10: Comparison of Water Supply Requirements 25-12
Table 25-11: Comparison of Projected Natural Gas Requirements 25-13
Table 25-12: Comparison of Projected Electrical Requirements 25-13
Table 25-13: Comparison of Solid Waste Generation 25-14
Table 25-14: Comparison of Proposed Project and Alternatives 25-16
Table 26-1: Project Components 26-2
Table 26-2: Thresholds for Further SEQRA Analysis 26-3

VOLUME 2 of 6

APPENDICES

Appendix A – SEQRA Documents
Appendix B – Correspondence
Appendix C – Environmental Site Assessments (Area A)

VOLUME 3 of 6

Appendix C – Environmental Site Assessments (Area B and Area C)

VOLUME 4 of 6

Appendix C – Environmental Site Assessments (Area D)
Appendix D – Groundwater Modeling Report
Appendix E – Ecology Report

VOLUME 5 of 6

Appendix F – Traffic Report

VOLUME 6 of 6

Appendix G – Noise Report
Appendix H – Air Quality Screening Report
Appendix I – Cultural Resources Reports
Appendix J – Economic Report

K:\C1800-1849\CE1808B\March 2011 DGEIS\Yaphank DGEIS March 2011.docx