

COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY  
MINUTES

A regular meeting of the Suffolk County Council on Environmental Quality was held in the Rose Y. Caracappa Legislative Auditorium of the William H. Rogers Legislature Building, Veteran Memorial Highway, Smithtown, New York on July 16, 2003.

PRESENT:

Theresa Elkowitz- Chairperson  
Larry Swanson - Vice-Chairman  
Legislator Ginny Fields  
Michael Kaufman  
Nancy Manfredonia  
Adrienne Esposito  
Lance Mallamo  
Tom Cramer

ALSO IN ATTENDANCE:

Joy Squires  
Richard Martin  
Jim Bagg  
Chris McVoy  
Steve Jones

MINUTES TAKEN AND TRANSCRIBED BY:

Donna Catalano - Court Stenographer

(\*THE MEETING WAS CALLED TO ORDER AT 9:33 A.M.\*)

CHAIRPERSON ELKOWITZ:

We're going call the meeting to order and ask that the Council review or make a recommendation regarding the minutes of the May 21st meeting, which we got shortly before the last meeting.

MR. SWANSON:

I make a motion we adopt the minutes.

CHAIRPERSON ELKOWITZ:

I have a motion, do I have a second?

MR. KAUFMAN:

Second.

CHAIRPERSON ELKOWITZ:

I have a second, all those in favor? Opposed? Abstentions? Carried.

Regarding -- regarding the minutes, Larry and I were just having a conversation about how we get the minutes. And some of us, including me, get them at my house as opposed to my office and so does Larry. Jim, do you think there's a possibility that you could get all of the minutes from the stenographer and then just send them out with the packet? Because even I'm misplacing my minutes.

MR. BAGG:

We'd be glad to do that provided the minutes show up in a timely fashion, two weeks ahead of the meeting.

CHAIRPERSON ELKOWITZ:

Even if they don't, Jim, then they could go on to the next meeting. But I think it would be helpful if they came with the packet if that's possible. Is that something you guys could organize?

MR. BAGG:

And actually put them on the agenda for consideration? Fine.

CHAIRPERSON ELKOWITZ:

Exactly. Okay. Thanks. I really appreciate it. Correspondence, I don't have any correspondence regarding any issue with the exception of something that relates to the Pine Barrens matter that we talked about last time. So next I'm going to go to recommended Type II Actions for the Legislative resolutions laid on the table June 24th, 2003. Jim, is there anything you want to call to the Council's attention?

MR. BAGG:

Yes. In the packet, they are three resolutions I want to take note of. First resolution is 1570 to establish land development policy for mixed use smart growth in Suffolk County. This is identified as an unlisted action. It does require the preparation of an EAF. A couple of things in here. They want to encourage affordable housing and Smart Growth principles in downtown districts, but it appears that they want to double the Health Department's sewage requirements, which I don't know if that's doable or not, but it also involves parcels ten acres or more, which makes it probably a Type I action. But in any

event, it needs an environmental assessment form to be submitted to CEQ.

Also, there are two resolution which run in tandem, and they are Resolution 1593 of 2003 and 1594 of 2003. These deals with implementing the Pay-as-you-go Quarter Percent Tax Protection Plan for Water Quality Protection and Restoration Program for Phase Two Stormwater Remediation Program. One's for the South Shore tributaries in the Village of Babylon, and the other one is also for the South Shore tributaries in Babylon, but they're different tributaries; Carmans -- {Connequat} River, Carlls River. Basically, that's identified as an unlisted action. And if they're going to remediation projects to stop the direct discharge of water, they will have to have an environmental review and assessment form submitted as well.

CHAIRPERSON ELKOWITZ:

Are they at the stage where they actually have plans for each of these things?

MR. BAGG:

I believe that's the case, yes.

CHAIRPERSON ELKOWITZ:

Anybody from the Council have any questions for Jim? If not, I'll entertain a motion t6o accept staff recommendations.

MR. SWANSON:

Motion to accept.

CHAIRPERSON ELKOWITZ:

I have a motion, do I have a second?

MR. KAUFMAN:

Second.

CHAIRPERSON ELKOWITZ:

Second by Mr. Kaufman. All those in favor? Opposed? Abstentions?  
CARRIED.

Okay. We have a tabled project, proposed development of SCWA well field at Dwarf Pine Plains County Park, Town of Southampton. Mr. Jones was kind enough to go to the Central Pine Barrens Joint Planning and Policy Commission meeting of June 18th, 2003. And we have a resolution, which I'll read into the record, and I'll give the stenographer a copy. "Whereas ECL Subsection 570107 sets fort certain operations and uses that do not constitute development for the purpose of ECL Article 57. And whereas ECL Subsection 57010713 double "I" specifically sets forth that quote, work by any utility not involving substantial engineering redesign for the purposes of inspection, maintenance or renewal on established utility rights of way or the like and any work pertaining to water supply for the residents of Suffolk County, end quote, does not constitute development. Now, therefore, be it resolved that the Commission does not have jurisdiction over, quote, work by any utility not involving substantial engineering redesign for the purpose of inspection, maintenance or renewal on established utility rights of way or the

like and any work pertaining to the water supply for the residents of Suffolk County, end quote. Be it further resolved that this determination is specific to water supply for the residents of Suffolk County and constitutes no precedent with respect to other facilities."

And it was it was duly adopted by the Commission. So the question regarding whether or not it is non development has been determined. Does anybody have any questions for Mr. Jones regarding the actual application? Mike Kaufman has a question.

MR. KAUFMAN:

Okay. Hi, Steve, how are you doing? I'm curious. We really didn't have a chance to discuss this at this last meeting. Are there any other alternative areas that this particular well field could go into in the area?

MR. JONES:

I'm sure that there are. We chose this particular area because we need to -- we need to find -- find the balance between the best spot in terms of the watershed, the upgradient watershed, and where out are customers are. There are placed where we could go out in the middle of nowhere, but we would spend a small fortune piping in that location to where our customers are. We do have a well field up to the north, {Rose's Grove}, and we have a few well fields to the south. And we felt that this was the best spot to go.

MR. KAUFMAN:

Okay. I understand it in terms of supply piping, pumping stations and things like that, in the immediate area though, is there any other location that you could hit at? Basically what I'm concerned about this is apparently from the ecological survey is Pine Barrens of one type or another. Fortunately, it doesn't seem to be Dwarf Pine Barrens. And I'm just naturally concerned, it is a parkland, it is Pine Barrens land, and, you know, if I had my druthers, I would not to not see it at all. You know, if there was, say, an already cleared area or something like that --

MR. JONES:

We're talking about Laurel Valley, right?

CHAIRPERSON ELKOWITZ:

No. We're talking about --

MR. JONES:

County Route 31?

CHAIRPERSON ELKOWITZ:

Yes.

MR. KAUFMAN:

Westhampton.

MR. JONES:

Okay. We're over -- the reason that we're over there in that particular location is because we -- like I -- well, in that particular instance, I should say east and west, we have a well field, Old Country Road to the west, and we have Meeting House Road to the

east. This particular area we chose specifically because it -- because it would be a replacement area for Meeting House Road. Meeting House Road is an area lying to the east where we have a well field near the Quogue Wildlife Refuge. That is imperiled by spills that took place on the south end of Gabreski Airport and are going under the tracks. We do have monitoring wells to the north of our well field there. We do have an elevated water storage tank at Meeting House Road as well. But we are specifically interested in this area because it's close by the Meeting House Road facility, and it will be a good replacement supply for everybody who's out of that pump station. And it's at the far -- we want to be at the far south end of this particular -- by the tracks there, because of the fact that then we could take advantage of the largest extent of upgradient watershed area. Also, we picked that area because it is -- it was cleared -- the bulk of it was cleared prior to the fires, prior to other activity -- yeah, the fires -- the fires and also the key Dwarf Pine Plains areas lie to the north. I brought along some maps, which were done by the Nature Conservancy in 1996, which show the two different types of Dwarf Pine areas; the one with the predominant Dwarf Pine vegetation, and the other one with the lesser amount, which is where we are.

MR. KAUFMAN:

Yeah, I think we all had noted that this was outside of the primary Dwarf Plain areas, which is a good thing.

MR. JONES:

And I would respectfully note that this -- while this is in the jurisdiction of the Parks Department, it is not parkland that was acquired specifically for drinking water protection and drinking water purposes. And we do have the deed restriction in our favor to go into these quarter cent properties.

MR. KAUFMAN:

I'm sorry. You said it was acquired with drinking water money?

MR. JONES:

Yes.

MR. KAUFMAN:

Okay. That was going to be my next question was what income stream had purchased the parkland in the area.

MR. JONES:

When we go into parkland, we have to go to the State Legislature in and all that.

CHAIRPERSON ELKOWITZ:

Jim, do you have something you wanted to add?

MR. BAGG:

No. I just wanted to point out that the original Quarter Percent Program had the proviso that the sites could be used for drinking water and well purposed in addition to recreational facilities. That's right in the deeds for these properties.

MR. KAUFMAN:

Yeah. That was also brought out in the previous application a couple of years ago when Mike LoGrande showed up and very definitely pointed out that that was the way the legislation was written, that was one of the justifications for the Pine Barrens Act to go through. And some of the County legislation was to preserve that drinking water availability for the County residents.

CHAIRPERSON ELKOWITZ:

Anybody else have any questions? Jim, I have a question for you because I don't have my regs in front of me. On the Type I, it's parkland or publically owned open space, right? So this would be a Type I because they're clearing more than a quarter acre, right?

MR. BAGG:

Well, in terms of parkland or publically owned open space, the regs say it's 25%, and the law says ten acres. So it would be 2.5 acres.

CHAIRPERSON ELKOWITZ:

Right. Now, are you controlling 2.7 acres. Are you ultimately potentially going to clear 2.7 acres, potentially?

MR. JONES:

Potentially, no. What you see on the site plan is the 1.1 acre that we want to clear. Then you also see on the site plan some possible additional facilities, which would amount to approximately an additional acre. So we would not propose to clear the whole thing entirely.

CHAIRPERSON ELKOWITZ:

Okay. So you would be less than 2.5 acres no matter what?

MR. JONES:

Yes.

CHAIRPERSON ELKOWITZ:

Fine. I would suggest that it's an unlisted action. I'll entertain a motion.

MR. KAUFMAN:

I'll make a motion that this is an unlisted action. I don't see any major environmental impact there.

CHAIRPERSON ELKOWITZ:

I have a motion.

MR. CRAMER:

Second.

CHAIRPERSON ELKOWITZ:

I have a second by Mr. Cramer. All those in favor? Opposed? Abstentions. I'm going to abstain. CARRIED.

So we'll go to item number three, which is proposed development of the SCWA well field at Laurel Valley County Park, Town of Southampton.

MR. JONES:

This is a -- do you want me to --

CHAIRPERSON ELKOWITZ:

Just a brief overview.

MR. JONES:

This is a property that's on the east side of Deer Field Road, and as I said before incorrectly with the other, this has -- we have a well field to the north and to the south. This is up closer actually to the Noyack area. We've been working for approximately two years on this site and what's the best location. As recently as July 3rd, there was a meeting held with Bill Sickles from the Parks Department and people who live in the area. And the major issue from my discussion with Judy Gordon on the subject, the major issue had to do with the entrance road being directly opposite, I think it's called Dogwood Road. The residents of Dogwood Road obviously don't want the entrance opposite them. You will see in the caption of this that the reason that we want to put it there is for safety -- we're proposing that it be there for safety and sight distance purposes. So I told Commissioner Gordon that if everyone who is a traffic expert feels that the entrance can be moved to the south, we will put the entrance wherever anybody wants to put it. We just don't -- we want to make sure that we're not going into setting up a bad and unsafe situation.

The entrance being moved to the south does not impact the -- we would not retain the area in the north so it would not impact the clearing acreage that we compute as part of the EAF. The well locations and the treatment building would remain where they are. The major issue had to do with the existing Paumanok Path and the existing trail that runs around facility, and there was a lot of discussion with I guess one or two trail groups in the area. We have endeavored, as you can see in the site plan, to really constrain the clearing just to absolutely what we need so that people can walk through the woods there and not be looking at our pump station and our well fields, at least to the extent that we possibly can. There's very little trail relocation there, but we can certainly work with the trails group and anybody else if we do need to relocate any of the trails. There were some hikers and the trail group was interested in the notion that as part of our entrance road, we could provide off-street parking. Because apparently there is a trail head there, there is a sign there, but nobody has a place to park right now except kind of off on the side. So we would provide -- we could provide parking for four cars, and we could have crushed stone there or whatever everyone thinks is appropriate for a stabilized surface. But anyway, that's -- we did a test well to the south a number of years back, and the water quality was good and the development is very light around that area. We would expect good quality water, so we would like to go right ahead and establish a well field here.

CHAIRPERSON ELKOWITZ:

The limit -- the limited clearing, Steve, that you -- I assume is this green that you have outlined on the aerial photo.

MR. JONES:

Yes. I -- it's kind of got three pieces to it, two wells and a

treatment building. Yes, that's correct. And then the entrance comes kind of out of the north and dips down to the south. And if people want the entrance down near well number one, proposed well number one, that's okay with us. We just turn the thing around a little bit and go past that well on the way to the pump station. So that's not a problem. We did want to keep it out close to the road so we could stay away from the existing trail that runs up in the back that you can see on the photo.

CHAIRPERSON ELKOWITZ:

And your site plan is actually showing the proposed parking, so it's actually part of this.

MR. JONES:

Yes.

CHAIRPERSON ELKOWITZ:

Good. Larry.

MR. SWANSON:

When you mentioned Dogwood Road, did you mean Deerwood?

MR. JONES:

I'm sorry, yes. Deerwood Path, I guess it's called on here.

CHAIRPERSON ELKOWITZ:

Nancy.

MS. MANFREDONIA:

Steve, I'm just curious, I know that the Southampton Trails Group has been talking about this for a long time, it is your impression that they are satisfied now with the final proposal?

MR. JONES:

Yes, it is. The -- this other issue with the entrance and the neighbors was the area where the Parks Department had some concerns, and they did have a meeting. We're certainly willing to accommodate that request if everybody feels it's not creating unsafe situations.

MS. MANFREDONIA:

Thanks so much.

CHAIRPERSON ELKOWITZ:

Mike.

MR. KAUFMAN:

I personally am in favor of putting the parking lot and making available for both the hikers and for the County Water Authority. I think it's an excellent dual use.

MR. JONES:

We don't need the parking at all for our use.

MR. KAUFMAN:

Okay. One other question. Are some of those -- is this an area of pesticides and fertilizer contamination? I know the study was



recently done showing that there was -- the upper glacial layers had been heavily contaminated with nitrates and things like that. Is this well in that area? And also it this well going to be dug deeper than that?

MR. JONES:

No. We would expect to find good water shallow. This area's -- this well field right in the moraine area. The areas of contamination lie in the flatlands to the south where the farmland is.

CHAIRPERSON ELKOWITZ:

Any other questions?

MS. ESPOSITO:

Just a quick question. You mentioned in the beginning of your presentation, did you say this is a well field to replace an existing one that you're closing, or an additional one to meet increased demand?

MR. JONES:

This well field at Laurel Valley is one that we are putting in to meet additional demand. The other one on CR 31 is the one where eventually we would like to move the Meeting House Road over to this.

MS. ESPOSITO:

Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ELKOWITZ:

Any other questions. I'll entertain a motion.

MR. CRAMER:

Motion, unlisted neg dec.

CHAIRPERSON ELKOWITZ:

I have a motion by Mr. Cramer, do I have a second?

MR. KAUFMAN:

Second.

CHAIRPERSON ELKOWITZ:

I have a second by Mr. Kaufman. All those in favor? Opposed? Abstentions? CARRIED. Thank you, Steve.

MR. JONES:

Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ELKOWITZ:

Okay. I'll go back to number three, which is the proposed CR 83, North Ocean Avenue over I-495, bridge widening and rehabilitation, CP #5849, Town of Brookhaven.

MR. MCVOY:

Christopher McVoy, Suffolk County Department of Public Works. The design consultant engineer for this was {Lero Catner Incorporated}, so representing them is Ken {Holstrom}, and he's going to do the presentation of this project.

MR. {HOLSTROM}:

Thank you and good morning. I'll be very brief. The project is for the widening of County Road 84 Bridge over the Long Island Expressway.

County Road 83 is North Ocean Avenue, LIE Exit 63. The actual limits of project will be from the South Service Road to roughly 400 feet north of the North Service Road. And the existing bridge is a four span bridge about 232 feet long obviously located in between the two service road intersections. The existing out to out width of bridge is 76 feet. On its original construction, is provided for two lanes northbound, two lanes southbound and a raised median. Over the years, because of increased demand, the raised median has been removed, a third northbound lane has been added, turning lanes have been lengthened. And as a result, the width of the lanes have been narrowed somewhat.

The project will provide for the widening of the bridge to 106 feet, which will allow us to increase the width of the lanes. And there will still only be two southbound through lanes and three northbound through lanes. The widening will allow for shoulders. There's a sidewalk on both sides of the bridge, which will also be reconstructed. And the one thing will be at the approach to the North Service Road, the northbound will have a dual left turn lane as opposed to the single left turn lane that presently exists. The roadway handles a considerable amount of traffic, which will continue to increase. And traffic analysis has been done for both project intersections; the North Service Road and the South Service Road, the level of service, the indication of the operation and functioning of the intersections graded from "A" to "F" just like in school, "F" being a failure. Presently, it operates in "B" and "C" with a "D" during the p.m. peak period at the South Service Road intersection. In the future if nothing were to get done, the North Service Road would degrade to a level of serve of "F". As a result of the proposed improvement, which will provide for a second left turn lane, the future with that built will be a "C", and that's in the a.m. of the North Service Road. The graphic up there basically indicates the extent project. On your left is the South Service Road, north is to the right as you are looking at it, and we have the North Service Road. There's not much more that I can say about the project at this point.

MR. KAUFMAN:

One thing that's curious to me, I've been looking at the turning lanes and things like that, and I'm looking at the South Service Road as is it heads eastbound but before it gets to County Road 83, there's three left turn lanes in there?

MR. {HOLSTROM}:

That's correct.

MR. KAUFMAN:

Is that the -- basically the traffic pattern that occurs that requires that?

MR. {HOLSTROM}:

That South Service Road has just recently been reconstructed by the State as part of a state project extending from Exit 63 to Exit 67.

As a result of that project, there was a widening of the South Service Road approaching CR 83, and yes, those lanes are as a result of that improvement.

MR. KAUFMAN:

Will those three turning lanes be striped? Because basically -- if you have two turning lanes, basically cars will not broad side each other. If you have three, it can cause a little bit of confusion.

MR. {HOLSTROM}:

Yes, they will be striped.

MR. KAUFMAN:

They will be striped to show the -- from the eastbound to the northbound area.

CHAIRPERSON ELKOWITZ:

Any other questions? Lance.

MR. MALLAMO:

Am I correct that the columns to accommodate this have already been installed in the center median of the Expressway?

MR. {HOLSTROM}:

That's correct. As a part of the project where they did the HOV lanes on the Expressway, they widened the center pier, because otherwise that work would have required, again, a, you know, interruption to traffic patterns on the Expressway. So while they were already in the process of doing that work, it was added.

CHAIRPERSON ELKOWITZ:

They being the State?

MR. {HOLSTROM}:

Yes, it was done as a state project. This bridge is a state bridge, County maintained.

MS. MANFREDONIA:

You have -- you said you will be reconstructing the sidewalks over the bridge. Is there any way for pedestrians to actually get to that bridge? You know what I mean, is there any thought given to actually get the bicyclists or a pedestrian safely across the two service roads and over the bridge?

MR. {HOLSTROM}:

That would be included as part of the this work, yes. To the extent that we can -- you know, there are -- there will be pedestrian phases included in the signalization of that intersection.

MS. MANFREDONIA:

Push buttons.

MR. {HOLSTROM}:

Right.

MS. MANFREDONIA:

Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ELKOWITZ:

Any other questions? I'll entertain a motion.

MR. CRAMER:

Motion, unlisted neg.

MR. KAUFMAN:

Second.

CHAIRPERSON ELKOWITZ:

I have a motion, I have a second by Mr. Kaufman. All this in favor? Opposed? Abstentions. CARRIED.

Any other business? If not, historic services.

MR. MARTIN:

We met at Normandy Manor with the Historic Trust Committee at the Vanderbilt Museum and toured and reviewed that site for dedication to the Historic Trust, and that was approved. And also the other side of Little Neck Road, which traditionally is known as the Vanderbilt Museum, and this was all dedicated as William K. Vanderbilt's Eagle Nest Estate. Normandy Manor was originally part of that estate. So there's just one dedication that will be coming forward to you once we get the resolution drawn up.

We had a successful Theodore Roosevelt Day at Meadow Croft, which was sponsored by the Bayport Heritage Association, which was also their 20 year anniversary. And I just want to thank them for all their efforts. They have done a great job at that site over the past 20 years. And also they plan now to have a special event there every summer to open up the property to the public. On August 16th, the Sagtikos Manor Historic Society is sponsoring an encampment of the Third New York Regiment at Sagtikos Manor. That's a Saturday, and the event will be from nine to four o'clock. And there will be an admission charge, we're still working on that now. But if you haven't seen Sagtikos, this might be a good time to come to the site. That's all I have.

CHAIRPERSON ELKOWITZ:

Anything else? Nancy.

MS. MANFREDONIA:

Rich, can you just let us know the status of the work at Blydenburgh Wells and the Miller house and the mill.

MR. MARTIN:

They -- I will start with the mill. The architect has submitted his plans for the timber framework that needs to be done. I will be meeting with DPW, Steve Astuto, on site with the architect. This will have to out to bid. We do have 72,000 in the budget for that work. So that will probably just cover the timber framework that need to be done at the site. At the Miller house, the county contractor to put the heat in lost the county contract. So I have to bring the new

person in to give me a new plan to install the heat in the second floor -- well, actually for the whole building, which was going to facilitate putting an apartment on the second floor. And that's Thermotech that we worked with at Sagtikos Manor. So we'll be meeting with them to come up with a new plan. For the main house, we will be meeting over there on Friday with Richard Wolf from the Friends for Long Island Heritage to go over the improvements that are needed in the bathroom and the apartment on the second floor, which will facilitate taking a look at the storage room on the first floor underneath to complete that work and also any other work items that we need to do on the main house.

MR. KAUFMAN:

Rich, the timber framing, what kind of timber framing are we talking about, the heavy post, you know six by sixes and things like that?

MR. MARTIN:

And larger, yes. There's a lot of -- on the north wall, there are quite a number of rotted out framing members that need to be replaced.

And also, the framing that would be needed to install the mill works on the first floor. And the north -- the west wall, there's a lot of work that needs to be done on that wall.

MR. KAUFMAN:

One of things that occurs to me is I remember Mike Franken told us a long time ago that the County had a -- some sort of a saw mill or something and could manufacture logs of that diameter that are needed.

MR. MARTIN:

We do have a saw mill that's at our Southaven Cathedral Pines location. That's set up there and operable at that location.

MR. KAUFMAN:

Basically what I'm thinking of is the cost the wood could be obviously reduced in any bid contract situation if we are able to use the County's facility there.

MR. MARTIN:

Yes. And I did bring the consulting architect out to that site so he could see the facility that we have.

CHAIRPERSON ELKOWITZ:

Any other questions? I have a question, which has nothing do with Rich. It actually has to do with Jim's schedule. I'm away the week of August 16th, which is the week of the third Wednesday. Traditionally, we have had difficulty having meetings in August, so I wanted to talk about what everybody's schedule is right now, so if we have to change the meeting, we know where people are going to be. Does anybody have August 16th? So Nancy has a probably with that week, I have a problem with that week, Tom has a problem with that week.

MR. MALLAMO:

I have a problem with the week before.

MR. KAUFMAN:

I'll be in the country.

CHAIRPERSON ELKOWITZ:

You have a problem with the week before. So we have a problem with the third week, and it appears we have a problem with the second week.

How about the week of the 4th?

MS. ESPOSITO:

That's the week I have a problem.

CHAIRPERSON ELKOWITZ:

The week of August 4th. So it appears we have problems with the 20th and the 13th, which are two big vacation weeks. I'm away that week, Nancy's away that week, and now I hear Tom's away that week. And we hear that we have at least two people that are away the week of the 11th.

MS. ESPOSITO:

I got that wrong.

CHAIRPERSON ELKOWITZ:

So so far now we're dealing with the 6th or the 13th.

MS. ESPOSITO:

I'm gone the 6th.

LEG. FIELDS:

I'm not available that week.

CHAIRPERSON ELKOWITZ:

Now. We're up to the 6th. When does the Legislature meet?

LEG. FIELDS:

The 5th.

CHAIRPERSON ELKOWITZ:

That's the next meeting between now and then.

LEG. FIELDS:

The first meeting since the summer break.

MS. ESPOSITO:

Doesn't work for me.

CHAIRPERSON ELKOWITZ:

Well, if it works for everybody here except you, we have a quorum. So the 6th? Okay. The 6th it is. I mean if there's nothing on the agenda, I'm sure Jim will be really happy to cancel the next meeting. But the next meeting I assume will be August 6th at 9:30 at a location to be determined, because I don't think anybody can tell us right now if this room is available, right? Okay.

LEG. FIELDS:

Probably is.

CHAIRPERSON ELKOWITZ:

On that, I'll entertain a motion to adjourn. See everybody on the 6th.

(\*THE MEETING WAS ADJOURNED at 10:05 A.M.\*)

{ } DENOTES BEING SPELLED PHONETICALLY