## COUNTY OF SUFFOLK



# STEVE LEVY SUFFOLK COUNTY EXECUTIVE

# DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

R. Lawrence Swanson CHAIRPERSON

James Bagg
CHIEF ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYST

## NOTICE OF PUBLIC MEETING

Notice is hereby given that the Council of Environmental Quality will convene a regular public meeting at 9:30 a.m. on Wednesday, October 21<sup>st</sup>, 2009 in the Arthur Kunz Library, H. Lee Dennison Building, Fourth Floor, Veterans Memorial Highway, Hauppauge, NY 11788.\_Pursuant to the Citizens Public Participation Act, all citizens are invited to submit testimony, either orally or in writing at the meeting. Written comments can also be submitted prior to the meeting to the attention of:

James Bagg, Chief Environmental Analyst Council on Environmental Quality Suffolk County Planning Department P.O. Box 6100 Hauppauge, NY 11788

**Council of Environmental Quality R. Lawrence Swanson, Chairperson** 

## COUNTY OF SUFFOLK



# STEVE LEVY SUFFOLK COUNTY EXECUTIVE

# DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

R. Lawrence Swanson

James Bagg
CHIEF ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYST

### **AGENDA**

#### **MEETING NOTIFICATION**

Wednesday, October 21<sup>st</sup>, 2009 9:30 a.m.

Arthur Kunz Library

H. Lee Dennison Bldg. - 4<sup>th</sup> Floor

Veterans Memorial Highway, Hauppauge

| Ca | П | ťΩ | $\mathbf{\Omega}$ | rd | ρì | ٠. |
|----|---|----|-------------------|----|----|----|
|    |   |    |                   |    |    |    |

Minutes - check the web at <a href="http://www.co.suffolk.ny.us/departments/planning/minutes.aspx#ceq">http://www.co.suffolk.ny.us/departments/planning/minutes.aspx#ceq</a> August 19<sup>th</sup> Minutes are available on-line for review

**Correspondence:** 

**Public Portion:** 

#### **Historic Trust Docket:**

### **Director's Report:**

Updates on Housing Program for Historic Trust Sites Updates on Historic Trust Custodial Agreements

### **Project Review:**

#### **Recommended TYPE II Actions:**

- A. Ratification of Recommendations for Legislative Resolutions Laid on the Table for September 17<sup>th</sup>, 2009 and October 13<sup>th</sup>, 2009.
- B. Vector Control 2010 Annual Work Plan

#### **Project Review:**

#### **Recommended Unlisted Actions:**

- A. Proposed Smith Point County Park Master Plan Update. Town of Brookhaven.
- B. Proposed Multi-use Recreational Facility at Cherry Avenue County Park. Town of Islip.
- C. Proposed CR13, 5<sup>th</sup> Avenue & CR13A, Clinton Avenue Roadway and Intersection Improvements, Town of Islip, CP 5538.
- D. Proposed Acquisition for Open Space Preservation Purposes Known as the Peconic River County Park Addition Naftal Associates, L.P. Property in the Town of Brookhaven.

#### **Project Review:**

#### **Recommended TYPE I Actions:**

A. Proposed IR 1861-2009 Authorizing the Granting of Permanent Easements to the Suffolk County Water Authority for Production, Distribution and Transmission of Drinking Water Supply and Ancillary Facilities On Certain Parcels Acquired by the County of Suffolk Under the Old Drinking Water Protection Program. Towns of Brookhaven, East Hampton, Islip, Riverhead and Southampton.

### **Suffolk County Parks:**

**Updates on County Parks** 

#### **Other Business:**

#### **CAC Concerns:**

\*\*\*CAC MEMBERS: The above information has been forwarded to your local Legislators, Supervisors and DEC personnel. Please check with them prior to the meeting to see if they have any comments or concerns regarding these projects that they would like brought to the CEQ's attention.

\*\*\*MEMBERS – <u>PLEASE NOTIFY THIS OFFICE AS SOON AS POSSIBLE IF YOU WILL BE UNABLE TO ATTEND.</u>

\*\*\*<u>ALSO FOLLOWING THE MEETING PLEASE LEAVE BEHIND ALL MATERIALS OF PROJECTS THAT YOU DO NOT WANT OR NEED AS WE CAN RECYCLE THESE MATERIALS LATER ON.</u>

| 1  |   |                                 |
|----|---|---------------------------------|
| 2  | DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING                      |                                 |
| 3  | COUNCIL on ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY            |                                 |
| 4  |   |                                 |
| 5  | A regular meeting of the Council on         |                                 |
| 6  | Environmental Equality was held in the      |                                 |
| 7  | auditorium of Williams H. Rogers Suffolk    |                                 |
| 8  | County Legislature Building, 725 Veterans   |                                 |
| 9  | Memorial Highway, Smithtown, New York on    |                                 |
| 10 | November 18, 2009 at 10:30 A.M.             | on                              |
| 11 |   | S.C. PLANTING DEC. 11 AM II: 06 |
| 12 | Appearances:                                | EC -                            |
| 13 | Michael Kaufman, Vice Chairman, CEQ         |                                 |
| 14 | Gloria G. Russo, CEQ                        |                                 |
| 15 | Thomas Gulbransen, CEQ                      | 90                              |
| 16 | Daniel Pichney, CEQ                         |                                 |
| 17 | Eva Growney, CEQ                            |                                 |
| 18 | Richard Machtay, CEQ                        |                                 |
| 19 | Mary Ann Spencer, CEQ                       |                                 |
| 20 | Christine Desalvo, CEQ Staff                |                                 |
| 21 | James Bagg, Chief Environmental Analyst     |                                 |
| 22 | Joy Squires, CAC Representative             |                                 |
| 23 | Richard Martin, Historic Society            |                                 |
| 24 | Lauretta Fisher, Department of Public Works |                                 |
| 25 | Nick Gibbons, SC Parks Department           |                                 |

```
CEO 11/18/09
 1
 2
     [The meeting was called to order at 10:36 A.M.]
 3
               MR. KAUFMAN: Okay. Hello,
 4
          everybody. My name is Mike Kaufman.
 5
          I am Vice Chairman of the QEC. Our
 6
          Chairperson, Larry Swanson, is not
 7
          with us today, so I will been
 8
          starting the meeting. I'm calling
 9
          it to order.
               Let's see. We all have a
10
11
          revised agenda in our packets, and
12
          I'll guess we'll start off, to make
13
          Jim happy, properly and in order,
14
          we'll deal with the minutes. Has
15
          anyone checked the minutes from last
16
          month?
               MR. MACHTAY: There were no
17
18
         minutes.
19
               MR. KAUFMAN: Okay. The month
20
         before?
21
               MR. MACHTAY: Before that, there
22
         were no minutes put up yet, either.
23
               MR. KAUFMAN: Okay. I guess --
24
          have you been monitoring the website
```

with any frequency? I just looked

| 1  | CEQ 11/18/09                         |
|----|--------------------------------------|
| 2  | at it yesterday. September, October  |
| 3  | minutes were not put up yet, so we   |
| 4  | can't vote on them, so we'll skip    |
| 5  | that item on the agenda. Jim, is     |
| 6  | there any correspondence out there   |
| 7  | that we should be made aware of?     |
| 8  | MR. BAGG: No, not at this point      |
| 9  | in time.                             |
| 10 | MR. KAUFMAN: That's easy. The        |
| 11 | public portion will delay for a      |
| 12 | little while, if that's okay with    |
| 13 | you. Let's go to Project Reviews     |
| 14 | and Recommended Type 2 actions. We   |
| 15 | have the Legislative packet for      |
| 16 | resos laid on the table yesterday.   |
| 17 | Just as a personal aside, Reso       |
| 18 | 1959, Naming the new 4th precinct    |
| 19 | building after Cyril J. Donnelly;    |
| 20 | Mr. Donnelly was the former police   |
| 21 | chief of the Town of Smithtown prior |
| 22 | to the amalgamation of the town      |
| 23 | police forces into the County force  |
| 24 | in 1960. He's a historic figure      |
| 25 | from around the 1930's up through    |

| 1  | CEQ 11/18/09                                |
|----|---|
| 2  | the 1970's in the Town of Smithtown,        |
| 3  | and I think it's a very, very               |
| 4  | appropriate thing to do, and I hope         |
| 5  | the bill goes through.                      |
| 6  | I see 1972 is in there,                     |
| 7  | Approving the vector control plan of        |
| 8  | DPW, which was presented to us a            |
| 9  | month or so ago. SEQRA's complete           |
| 10 | on that one. Do any members have            |
| 11 | any comments on any resos?                  |
| 12 | [No response]                               |
| 13 | Not hearing any, I will call for            |
| 14 | a motion to accept, staff                   |
| 15 | recommendations?                            |
| 16 | MR. MACHTAY: [Raises hand]                  |
| 17 | MR. KAUFMAN: Motion by Richard              |
| 18 | Machtay. Do I have a second?                |
| 19 | MS. GROWNEY: [Raises hand]                  |
| 20 | MR. KAUFMAN: A second by Eva.               |
| 21 | All in favor? Vote is unanimous.            |
| 22 | Okay. Oh, my favorite subject.              |
| 23 | The next one is Proposed establishment of a |
| 24 | dog run at Robinson Duck Farm County        |
| 25 | Park, Yaphank, of all towns, Town of        |

| 1  | CEQ 11/18/09                        |
|----|-------------------------------------|
| 2  | Brookhaven. Is there anyone here to |
| 3  | present on that?                    |
| 4  | MR. GIBBONS: Yes.                   |
| 5  | MR. KAUFMAN: By the way, sir,       |
| 6  | could you identify yourself for the |
| 7  | record?                             |
| 8  | MR. GIBBONS: Good morning.          |
| 9  | Nick Gibbons, Suffolk County Parks  |
| 10 | Department. The proposal is for a   |
| 11 | the establishment of a five-acre    |
| 12 | dog run at Robinson Duck Farm, if   |
| 13 | you're familiar of where that is;   |
| 14 | I'll orient you a little bit.       |
| 15 | As part of the attachments to       |
| 16 | the correspondence is an aerial     |
| 17 | photograph. I think that's probably |
| 18 | the easiest way to describe it. The |
| 19 | dog run proposed dog run's area     |
| 20 | is indicated on the aerial. It's on |
| 21 | the south side of Montauk Highway.  |
| 22 | Just to the north of Montauk, you   |
| 23 | can see Sunrise Highway. They       |
| 24 | almost meet in that spot. It's east |
| 25 | of the Wertheim Refuge, which       |

| 1  | CEQ 11/18/09                         |
|----|--------------------------------------|
| 2  | surrounds the property on both the   |
| 3  | west and the south, although to the  |
| 4  | south, it's bi-sectored by the LIRR. |
| 5  | Then to the east, far east, by the   |
| 6  | Carmans River, the habitat of this   |
| 7  | area is an old field, former         |
| 8  | agricultural land, that has since    |
| 9  | grown over. The County Parks         |
| 10 | Department maintains it in an old    |
| 11 | field state by mowing once every one |
| 12 | to two years. The community type is  |
| 13 | a mix of both invasive species and   |
| 14 | native grasses. A native grass       |
| 15 | restoration project was completed    |
| 16 | about six or seven years ago to the  |
| 17 | south of this site.                  |
| 18 | The area that's proposed for the     |
| 19 | dog run is predominantly mugwart, an |
| 20 | invasive Asian species. There's a    |
| 21 | proposed parking area of             |
| 22 | approximately 15 to 20 vehicles.     |
| 23 | It's located in the central part of  |
| 24 | the property along to the north      |
| 25 | there, and we proposed to maintain   |

| 1  | CEQ 11/18/09                         |
|----|--------------------------------------|
| 2  | an existing roughly 20 to 30 foot    |
| 3  | buffer along Montauk highway.        |
| 4  | MR. KAUFMAN: Do any of members       |
| 5  | have any questions? Eva.             |
| 6  | MS. GROWNEY: In the past, what       |
| 7  | has been the most recent activities  |
| 8  | on the property aside from the       |
| 9  | mowing? Is there anything else       |
| 10 | that's gone on there?                |
| 11 | MR. GIBBONS: There's several         |
| 12 | structures to the east. You'll see   |
| 13 | those on the aerial. There's three   |
| 14 | county residences and a couple       |
| 15 | additional commercial buildings,     |
| 16 | routine maintenance. We're actually  |
| 17 | forward with demolition of one of    |
| 18 | the commercial structures. It's      |
| 19 | just simply unsafe at this point but |
| 20 | aside from the mowing, that's the    |
| 21 | extent of management activities that |
| 22 | Parks has undertaken.                |
| 23 | MS. GROWNEY: There's no other        |
| 24 | activities from the local residents  |
| 25 | happening on the property?           |

| 1  | CEQ 11/18/09                         |
|----|--------------------------------------|
| 2  | MR. GIBBONS: We do have an           |
| 3  | issue there with unauthorized access |
| 4  | for bow-hunting purposes, but it's   |
| 5  | not a sanctioned use by the County   |
| 6  | Parks Department through public      |
| 7  | access to the site.                  |
| 8  | MS. GROWNEY: Might that somehow      |
| 9  | be a conflict? Will something have   |
| 10 | to be done about the bow activity?   |
| 11 | MR. GIBBONS: It wouldn't be the      |
| 12 | field area, anyway. There's some     |
| 13 | wooded area that surrounds the       |
| 14 | structures. It provides additional   |
| 15 | protection to the property and       |
| 16 | enforcement, so, no, I don't see any |
| 17 | conflict there.                      |
| 18 | MR. KAUFMAN: Nick, one quick         |
| 19 | comment: You said it's mugwart up    |
| 20 | there, which is an invasive species? |
| 21 | MR. GIBBONS: Yes.                    |
| 22 | MR. KAUFMAN: Okay. And that          |
| 23 | covers the entire area of the dog    |
| 24 | run itself?                          |
| 25 | MR. GIBBONS: The mugwart is          |

| 1  | CEQ 11/18/09                         |
|----|--------------------------------------|
| 2  | probably about 70 percent of this    |
| 3  | site.                                |
| 4  | MR. KAUFMAN: So, essentially,        |
| 5  | you're using the dogs to control the |
| 6  | mugwart for all intensive purposes?  |
| 7  | Can you train dogs to eat this       |
| 8  | stuff?                               |
| 9  | MR. GIBBONS: [Inaudible              |
| 10 | response]                            |
| 11 | MR. KAUFMAN: Ignoring the rest       |
| 12 | of it, I have one comment on it.     |
| 13 | I'm not sure this is a Type 2 action |
| 14 | under the designation that you gave. |
| 15 | The snow fencing and the previous    |
| 16 | parking area probably are minor      |
| 17 | temporary usages, but we're          |
| 18 | establishing a five-acre dog run.    |
| 19 | Those uses are never temporary.      |
| 20 | Once they're in there, they are      |
| 21 | there forever, and that's really the |
| 22 | use that's being placed here.        |
| 23 | I think this is an unlisted          |
| 24 | action more likely, or possibly a    |
| 25 | Type 2. It's going to get a negdec   |

| 1  | CEQ 11/18/09                         |
|----|--------------------------------------|
| 2  | most likely if it's unlisted. There  |
| 3  | may be another section under Type 2  |
| 4  | but I don't have the regulations if  |
| 5  | front of me. It's over 4,000 square  |
| 6  | feet; that much, I know. Does        |
| 7  | anyone have the SEQRA regs in front  |
| 8  | of them?                             |
| 9  | MR. GIBBONS: I'll just point         |
| 10 | out, for your consideration, are two |
| 11 | points. Number one, we've done       |
| 12 | these in a couple of sites at this   |
| 13 | point. You guys have seen one or     |
| 14 | two in the past. The difference      |
| 15 | here is, number one, this makes use  |
| 16 | of entirely of an existing cleared   |
| 17 | area, which the others didn't.       |
| 18 | The other is the fencing in this     |
| 19 | case is truly temporary in the sense |
| 20 | that it's if not replaced every      |
| 21 | three to four years, say, it         |
| 22 | deteriorates over time and it will   |
| 23 | have to be replaced, whereas we've   |
| 24 | expended capital funding for chain   |
| 25 | link fences for more permanent       |

| 1  | CEQ 11/18/09                         |
|----|--------------------------------------|
| 2  | facilities in other places.          |
| 3  | MR. KAUFMAN: Yeah, but that's        |
| 4  | really focusing upon the improvement |
| 5  | as opposed to the primary use, which |
| 6  | is what I'm focusing on and what     |
| 7  | SEQRA would focus on. Again, I'll    |
| 8  | defer to anyone who remembers the    |
| 9  | Type 2 regulations. I really think   |
| 10 | this is an unlisted, though, and,    |
| 11 | again, that's not going to make a    |
| 12 | difference. We have enough           |
| 13 | documentation to make a call on it   |
| 14 | today.                               |
| 15 | MR. GIBBONS: Before you I'm          |
| 16 | sorry before you I just want         |
| 17 | to discuss the other attachment,     |
| 18 | which were photos taken within the   |
| 19 | past week of the site, so that gives |
| 20 | you an idea of the habitat involved. |
| 21 | This is this mote area is all        |
| 22 | within the existing proposed dog run |
| 23 | area. There were some flags held     |
| 24 | hung up to delineate that, but they  |
| 25 | don't show up in the photo. But      |

. 13

CEQ 11/18/09

| 2  | this is just south of Montauk        |
|----|--------------------------------------|
| 3  | highway.                             |
| 4  | MR. KAUFMAN: Dan, you had            |
| 5  | raised your hand. Do you have a      |
| 6  | question?                            |
| 7  | MR. PICHNEY: Since today was         |
| 8  | supposed to be invasive plant day,   |
| 9  | for the remainder of the site, are   |
| 10 | there any efforts to control         |
| 11 | mugwart?                             |
| 12 | MR. GIBBONS: We did undertake a      |
| 13 | grassland or warm season grassland,  |
| 14 | native grassland restoration at this |
| 15 | site about six or eight years ago.   |
| 16 | It unfortunately was not maintained. |
| 17 | There was good initial response. It  |
| 18 | was seeded through a grant through   |
| 19 | the USDA that the Parks Department   |
| 20 | received. We did this on a number    |
| 21 | of sites at the time. This site has  |
| 22 | also been burned in the past to      |
| 23 | maintain grass on habitat, but       |
| 24 | unfortunately, the mugwart we're     |
| 25 | looking for an effective means that  |

| 1  | CEQ 11/18/09                         |
|----|--------------------------------------|
| 2  | doesn't involve herbicide at this    |
| 3  | point. I'm not sure there are any.   |
| 4  | The entire                           |
| 5  | MR. PICHNEY: I don't yeah.           |
| 6  | MR. GIBBONS: The entire site, I      |
| 7  | should mention, is the subject of a  |
| 8  | feasibility study for restoration.   |
| 9  | It's being headed up by the Planning |
| 10 | Department. However, the primary     |
| 11 | focus in terms of restoration is the |
| 12 | Carmans River wetland quarter, and a |
| 13 | secondary goal is native grass and   |
| 14 | restoration within the field area as |
| 15 | well as.                             |
| 16 | MR. PICHNEY: Thank you.              |
| 17 | MR. KAUFMAN: Jim, you have a         |
| 18 | comment?                             |
| 19 | MR. BAGG: Yeah, I have a             |
| 20 | question. Nick, does this project    |
| 21 | go before the Legislature, or is     |
| 22 | this strictly determined by the      |
| 23 | Commissioner at this point in time?  |
| 24 | MR. GIBBONS: In this case, this      |
| 25 | is a project that won't go beyond    |

| 1  | CEQ 11/18/09                         |
|----|--------------------------------------|
| 2  | the department in the sense that it  |
| 3  | does not require a capital           |
| 4  | appropriation. Some of our others,   |
| 5  | which I brought you in the past, did |
| 6  | require through our capital          |
| 7  | program, we have a project of        |
| 8  | establishment of dog runs in county  |
| 9  | parks. However, because of the       |
| 10 | inexpensive nature of the material   |
| 11 | being proposed at this site, it      |
| 12 | doesn't require capital              |
| 13 | appropriation.                       |
| 14 | MR. KAUFMAN: Given the fact          |
| 15 | that it's a dog run, and again, the  |
| 16 | usages are not necessarily           |
| 17 | temporary, I think the review is     |
| 18 | proper and that's what we're doing   |
| 19 | here right now. I think it's an      |
| 20 | unlisted action, and if there's no   |
| 21 | other comments, I'd entertain a      |
| 22 | motion.                              |
| 23 | MS. SPENCER: [Raised hand].          |
| 24 | MR. KAUFMAN: I have a motion by      |
| 25 | Mary Ann.                            |

| 1  | CEQ 11/18/09                        |
|----|-------------------------------------|
| 2  | MS. SPENCER: No, I have a           |
| 3  | comment.                            |
| 4  | MR. KAUFMAN: Oh, you have a         |
| 5  | comment.                            |
| 6  | MS. SPENCER: I have a comment.      |
| 7  | Nick, is there a possibility of     |
| 8  | moving the dog run to the west so   |
| 9  | that it's in the west corner? It    |
| 10 | would not be completely cleared but |
| 11 | it would be further away from the   |
| 12 | residences. Also, that's a busy     |
| 13 | road. I mean, access on and off     |
| 14 | that road into the parking lot, no  |
| 15 | matter where you put it, may be     |
| 16 | problematic.                        |
| 17 | MR. GIBBONS: Are you talking        |
| 18 | about the residences on the north   |
| 19 | side of Montauk highway?            |
| 20 | MS. SPENCER: No, I'm talking        |
| 21 | about the residences on the east    |
| 22 | side the                            |
| 23 | MR. GIBBONS: Oh, the Bush Farm      |
| 24 | there?                              |
| 25 | MS. SPENCER: to the Bush            |

| 1  | CEQ 11/18/09                         |
|----|--------------------------------------|
| 2  | Farm and the County property.        |
| 3  | MR. GIBBONS: The reason why          |
| 4  | it's centrally located, there's a    |
| 5  | couple reasons how we wound up here. |
| 6  | At one point in time, we were        |
| 7  | proposing it to the extreme south of |
| 8  | the field, but for a number of       |
| 9  | reasons, not the least of which,     |
| 10 | ecologically, it, makes more sense.  |
| 11 | It's less of a fragmenting feature   |
| 12 | of the old field grassland if it's   |
| 13 | up by Montauk Highway where the most |
| 14 | impact from traffic noise, what have |
| 15 | you the reason it's centrally        |
| 16 | located, equidistant roughly between |
| 17 | the Wertheim boundary and the Bush   |
| 18 | Farm, which is to the east there, is |
| 19 | to maintain, to the best of our      |
| 20 | ability, a buffer between us and the |
| 21 | Wertheim Refuge.                     |
| 22 | That request came from Wertheim      |
| 23 | itself, from the U.S. Fish and       |
| 24 | Wildlife Service. So in this way,    |
| 25 | we're ensuring and I should also     |

| 1  | CEQ 11/18/09                         |
|----|--------------------------------------|
| 2  | explain that we will not mow that    |
| 3  | area as frequently. We'll continue   |
| 4  | the mow it to keep it in a grassland |
| 5  | state, but we'll leave everything    |
| 6  | else un-mowed that's outside of the  |
| 7  | dog run area to continue to keep     |
| 8  | that buffer established between us   |
| 9  | and the private holdings to the east |
| 10 | there and also to maintain an        |
| 11 | ecological vegetative buffer between |
| 12 | us and the Wertheim Refuge to the    |
| 13 | west.                                |
| 14 | As for the access off of Montauk     |
| 15 | Highway, you've been there, so       |
| 16 | you'll know the existing access is   |
| 17 | an extremely dangerous situation,    |
| 18 | and at one point, we contemplated    |
| 19 | using that existing access for the   |
| 20 | general public. It's just simply     |
| 21 | not appropriate. We've been working  |
| 22 | with DPW to take a look at what's    |
| 23 | most important off of Montauk        |
| 24 | Highway, but the proposed access is  |
| 25 | certainly an improvement to the      |

| 1  | CEQ 11/18/09                         |
|----|--------------------------------------|
| 2  | existing conditions, and it          |
| 3  | significantly increases site lines,  |
| 4  | both east and west on Montauk.       |
| 5  | And finally, the reason we are       |
| 6  | this is a balance of a lot of        |
| 7  | different conditions, but in this    |
| 8  | case, we are roughly opposite the    |
| 9  | last residence to the north on       |
| 10 | Montauk. We're looking not to        |
| 11 | impact the residences to the north   |
| 12 | by establishing an entrance right in |
| 13 | front of any one of those, so        |
| 14 | actually this could be revised a     |
| 15 | little bit if where it says          |
| 16 | Montauk Highway there, the proposed  |
| 17 | entrance is actually south of the    |
| 18 | word Montauk, roughly. It's a        |
| 19 | little bit to the east of where it's |
| 20 | shown on this but still within the   |
| 21 | existing dog run area, as proposed.  |
| 22 | MS. SPENCER: Nick, what was the      |
| 23 | Wertheim National Refuge, what was   |
| 24 | that rational for a buffer?          |
| 25 | MR. GIBBONS: The reason this is      |

| 1   | CEQ 11/18/09                         |
|-----|--------------------------------------|
| 2   | snow fence and not chain link and    |
| 3   | more formal than some of our other   |
| 4 . | sites are there's several            |
| 5   | reasons. We don't have existing      |
| 6   | utilities on the site. Some of our   |
| 7   | other dog runs, our formal dog runs, |
| 8   | have water run to them. We don't     |
| 9   | have any such infrastructure         |
| 10  | proposed here.                       |
| 11  | But more importantly, the fact       |
| 12  | that we don't have chain link, this  |
| 13  | is the concept here is a natural     |
| 14  | borders dog run. This is             |
| 15  | legislation that was subsequent to   |
| 16  | the previous resolution that         |
| 17  | directed parks to establish five dog |
| 18  | runs. There was a follow-up          |
| 19  | resolution to that said we would     |
| 20  | take a harder look at establishing   |
| 21  | natural border dog runs. I'm still   |
| 22  | not clear on what that is. However,  |
| 23  | at least for now, we feel as if a    |
| 24  | snow fence is roughly is a           |
| 25  | natural border, for lack of a better |

| 1  | CEQ 11/18/09                         |
|----|--------------------------------------|
| 2  | term, in that it's somewhat          |
| 3  | temporary in nature. The site could  |
| 4  | be moved around, subject, again, to  |
| 5  | the CEQ's review.                    |
| 6  | I understand Mike's point in         |
| 7  | terms of once it's established, it's |
| 8  | kind of hard to and that's true      |
| 9  | of any of our recreational           |
| 10 | opportunities that we establish in   |
| 11 | Parks. But the idea is to get the    |
| 12 | public used to the idea that that is |
| 13 | the designated area and,             |
| 14 | theoretically, we could take the     |
| 15 | snow fence down and the vegetation   |
| 16 | that's grown up around the perimeter |
| 17 | of this established dog run area     |
| 18 | would serve as enough of a natural   |
| 19 | border for the public's use.         |
| 20 | What I don't understand clearly      |
| 21 | is how that benefits the public's    |
| 22 | use of the site, how that changes    |
| 23 | what the natural border park offers  |
| 24 | that the conventional border park    |
| 25 | does not.                            |

| 1  | CEQ 11/18/09                         |
|----|--------------------------------------|
| 2  | MR. KAUFMAN: A better view for       |
| 3  | the dog's, Nick. You remember that   |
| 4  | argument.                            |
| 5  | MR. GIBBONS: Wertheim's concern      |
| 6  | is that the dogs don't know where    |
| 7  | the end of the dog run is, and that  |
| 8  | they will eventually venture out     |
| 9  | onto their property.                 |
| 10 | MS. SPENCER: Which would argue       |
| 11 | that there would also be some kind   |
| 12 | of a barrier, right?                 |
| 13 | MR. GIBBONS: Yes.                    |
| 14 | MS. SPENCER: And going back the      |
| 15 | Mike's point about the view for the  |
| 16 | dogs, which we've had                |
| 17 | MR. KAUFMAN: Believe it or not,      |
| 18 | that's a really serious subject.     |
| 19 | MS. SPENCER: Yes, well, this is      |
| 20 | a totally cleared area. If you were  |
| 21 | to move this to the west towards the |
| 22 | Wertheim, leave the parking where it |
| 23 | is. Move your square to the west so  |
| 24 | that you include that forested area. |
| 25 | There's a little variety for the     |

| 1  | CEQ 11/18/09                         |
|----|--------------------------------------|
| 2  | dogs, it's not just a cleared field. |
| 3  | MR. GIBBONS: We could take that      |
| 4  | under consideration. I'm not sure    |
| 5  | it changes the SEQRA designation     |
| 6  | necessarily, but we'll definitely    |
| 7  | take it under advisement. Your       |
| 8  | primary concern, just to be clear,   |
| 9  | is a buffer between us and the farm; |
| 10 | is that correct?                     |
| 11 | MS. SPENCER: Yes, that's my          |
| 12 | primary concern. And given that the  |
| 13 | Wertheim is a permanent that's       |
| 14 | not going to become housing?         |
| 15 | MR. GIBBONS: No.                     |
| 16 | MS. SPENCER: So moving it            |
| 17 | closer to Wertheim does buffer the   |
| 18 | properties on the east a little      |
| 19 | better.                              |
| 20 | MR. GIBBONS: It gives us             |
| 21 | yes.                                 |
| 22 | MS. SPENCER: And gives the dogs      |
| 23 | some trees to run in.                |
| 24 | MR. GIBBONS: It gets closer          |
| 25 | into being truly opposite the        |

| 1  | CEQ 11/18/09                         |
|----|--------------------------------------|
| 2  | residents on Montauk.                |
| 3  | MS. SPENCER: But you're              |
| 4  | providing a buffer and the highway.  |
| 5  | MR. GIBBONS: Yes.                    |
| 6  | MS. SPENCER: And you could           |
| 7  | leave the parking where it is. I     |
| 8  | mean, your access wouldn't change.   |
| 9  | So the parking, instead of being in  |
| 10 | the middle of the dog run, would be  |
| 11 | on the edge of the dog run.          |
| 12 | MR. GIBBONS: Right. I didn't         |
| 13 | want to get into this much detail,   |
| 14 | but as long as it's come up, the     |
| 15 | reason I have the parking centrally  |
| 16 | located is, the thought is from an   |
| 17 | operational standpoint and based on  |
| 18 | our experience at these other        |
| 19 | locations, we would if you could     |
| 20 | approximate a line through the       |
| 21 | middle of this dog run area and      |
| 22 | thereby dividing it in half so we    |
| 23 | have an A side and a B side, four to |
| 24 | six months out of the year, only one |
| 25 | side would be open providing the     |

| 1  | CEQ 11/18/09                         |
|----|--------------------------------------|
| 2  | other area an opportunity to         |
| 3  | reestablish some vegetation, as has  |
| 4  | been our experience at West Hills    |
| 5  | and Blydenburgh. In a very short     |
| 6  | amount of time, the dogs entirely    |
| 7  | denuded the site of any vegetation.  |
| 8  | MR. KAUFMAN: That's why I made       |
| 9  | that comment about the mugwart       |
| 10 | possibly being controlled by the     |
| 11 | dogs.                                |
| 12 | MR. GIBBONS: Well, that's true,      |
| 13 | but everything will be controlled.   |
| 14 | They are not very selective in terms |
| 15 | of where they step.                  |
| 16 | So the only issue in terms of        |
| 17 | planning this thing is then that the |
| 18 | parking should be really centrally   |
| 19 | located in that if we had it to the  |
| 20 | extreme west of this site then the   |
| 21 | public would have to park in one     |
| 22 | area, avoid using that half that's   |
| 23 | fallow, for lack of a better term,   |
| 24 | temporarily while they get to the    |
| 25 | other side, if that makes sense. I   |

| 1  | CEQ 11/18/09                         |
|----|--------------------------------------|
| 2  | was going to show that on there, but |
| 3  | I thought it would be just too       |
| 4  | confusing I didn't know that         |
| 5  | but as long as you asked, I want to  |
| 6  | explain it. That's operationally     |
| 7  | where we think how we're going to    |
| 8  | run this site.                       |
| 9  | MR. KAUFMAN: Tom, you had a          |
| 10 | question?                            |
| 11 | MR. GULBRANSEN: Good morning.        |
| 12 | MR. GIBBONS: Good morning.           |
| 13 | MR. GULBRANSEN: In the fore          |
| 14 | program that the DEC has underway    |
| 15 | with municipalities is now being     |
| 16 | upgraded and driven in part by the   |
| 17 | pathogen issues that a lot of the    |
| 18 | abatements are facing. I'm not       |
| 19 | familiar with the dog run            |
| 20 | operations. How do you think this    |
| 21 | is going to effect the pathogens     |
| 22 | that are heading out into the        |
| 23 | waterways? Do they have              |
| 24 | pooper-scooper receptacles and is it |
| 25 | no net gain; do we know?             |

| 1  | CEQ 11/18/09                         |
|----|--------------------------------------|
| 2  | MR. GIBBONS: This site has no        |
| 3  | impact on water quality given its    |
| 4  | proximity to the nearest body of     |
| 5  | water. We do offer amenities such    |
| 6  | as those at our formal dog run       |
| 7  | sites. That will not be the case     |
| 8  | here. This will be a carry in/out    |
| 9  | facility.                            |
| 10 | Our experience has been if you       |
| 11 | provide receptacles at an area like  |
| 12 | this where there's no staff to speak |
| 13 | of, they become a dumping ground for |
| 14 | everything that people want to get   |
| 15 | out of their garages but are hard to |
| 16 | get rid of, and that just becomes an |
| 17 | operational headache for us.         |
| 18 | We did recently establish a dog      |
| 19 | run down at Roe Avenue in East       |
| 20 | Patchogue similar to this; again,    |
| 21 | snow fencing, a natural borders      |
| 22 | concept. It's truly a natural        |
| 23 | border in the sense that it fronts   |
| 24 | Great South Bay. In that case,       |
| 25 | there's really no place to go other  |

| 1  | CEQ 11/18/09                         |
|----|--------------------------------------|
| 2  | than up to the shoreline there.      |
| 3  | That's on a former dredge spoil      |
| 4  | site. Again, it's in East            |
| 5  | Patchogue. That's a site where we    |
| 6  | don't offer, for the same reason,    |
| 7  | receptacles.                         |
| 8  | We have that in a lot of our         |
| 9  | passive parks where there is no      |
| 10 | facilities to speak of, and every    |
| 11 | time we add a receptacle to one of   |
| 12 | these seats, we're dividing the      |
| 13 | existing staffs time by yet another  |
| 14 | factor and it's not as if we're      |
| 15 | adding additional staff to the       |
| 16 | department to run this; we're not.   |
| 17 | So that being said, there could be   |
| 18 | some unanticipated results here and  |
| 19 | then we'll have to adjust            |
| 20 | accordingly.                         |
| 21 | MR. GULBRANSEN: That was what I      |
| 22 | wanted to close with. The pathogen   |
| 23 | loads that were looked at by EPA and |
| 24 | DEC are calling for productions on   |
| 25 | the level of 95 percent reduction,   |

| 1  | CEQ 11/18/09                        |
|----|-------------------------------------|
| 2  | and a lot of it seems to be driven  |
| 3  | by pet loads unless a better source |
| 4  | can be determined in the future.    |
| 5  | So, just so you know, if a          |
| 6  | municipality in the area comes      |
| 7  | knocking on the door, we might      |
| 8  | actually go back and help them      |
| 9  | mitigate against something if they  |
| 10 | find that's going to help decrease  |
| 11 | their load, maybe.                  |
| 12 | MR. GIBBONS: More often than        |
| 13 | not, that's road runoff. That's how |
| 14 | pet waste contributes to those      |
| 15 | issues, and in this case where you  |
| 16 | have 70-acre basically intact       |
| 17 | property with no pervious surfaces  |
| 18 | or impervious, rather, there is     |
| 19 | plenty of filtration on the site.   |
| 20 | MR. KAUFMAN: Eva, you had a         |
| 21 | question?                           |
| 22 | MS. GROWNEY: Yes, just for a        |
| 23 | little more clarification because   |
| 24 | that was along the lines of one of  |
| 25 | the questions I had. The other      |

| 1  | CEQ 11/18/09                         |
|----|--------------------------------------|
| 2  | so does that mean they'll be         |
| 3  | providing some sort of plastic bags  |
| 4  | for people to there's no             |
| 5  | provisions whatsoever.               |
| 6  | MR. GIBBONS: No, our experience      |
| 7  | is that unless you provide those a   |
| 8  | receptacle, all those serve to do is |
| 9  | to wind up in the elsewhere in       |
| 10 | the park; that is, they're used and  |
| 11 | then they're tossed onsite,          |
| 12 | unfortunately.                       |
| 13 | MS. GROWNEY: All right. The          |
| 14 | other part of my question is to get  |
| 15 | to to address the buffer issue a     |
| 16 | little bit. What if is this square,  |
| 17 | the red part of the square, was      |
| 18 | pushed down the southern aspect of   |
| 19 | the green parking square so that     |
| 20 | you're providing a little more road  |
| 21 | buffer? Maybe that would help        |
| 22 | address somewhat the issues that     |
| 23 | might come up with the housing being |
| 24 | across the street.                   |
| 25 | MR. GIBBONS: Oh, less frontage       |

| 1  | CEQ 11/18/09                         |
|----|--------------------------------------|
| 2  | along Montauk; deeper rather than    |
| 3  | wider?                               |
| 4  | MS. GROWNEY: More frontage           |
| 5  | MR. GIBBONS: Less frontage on        |
| 6  | Montauk, I meant                     |
| 7  | MS. GROWNEY: Pushing the square      |
| 8  | keeping it perpendicular             |
| 9  | keeping the red square perpendicular |
| 10 | to the green square, pushing the red |
| 11 | square to the south so that the      |
| 12 | parking is outside of the red square |
| 13 | instead of inside the red square.    |
| 14 | MR. GIBBONS: Oh, I see. Yes,         |
| 15 | that certainly could be done. We     |
| 16 | could take a look at that, sure.     |
| 17 | MS. GROWNEY: Okay. Thanks.           |
| 18 | MR. KAUFMAN: Any other               |
| 19 | questions? Dan.                      |
| 20 | MR. PICHNEY: I just have             |
| 21 | another ancillary question again,    |
| 22 | not germane to that specifically.    |
| 23 | In terms of policy, is there any     |
| 24 | reason why the department is         |
| 25 | maintaining that that field is a     |

maintaining that that field is a

| 1  | CEQ 11/18/09                         |
|----|--------------------------------------|
| 2  | field, an ecological region, rather  |
| 3  | than letting it revert back to       |
| 4  | forestland?                          |
| 5  | MR. GIBBONS: Yes, there is.          |
| 6  | This is one of the rare habitats, as |
| 7  | much of this property is largely     |
| 8  | invasive species and impacted by     |
| 9  | those species, it still offers       |
| 10 | structurally grassland habitat       |
| 11 | that's utilized, if not to a lesser  |
| 12 | extent than a native grassland would |
| 13 | be, in my and this is a program      |
| 14 | that's run out of our unit where we  |
| 15 | have six or eight sites such as this |
| 16 | that we continue to maintain, as I   |
| 17 | said, maybe once one to three        |
| 18 | years, say, in this state just       |
| 19 | because this is the, really, rarest  |
| 20 | of community types and it speaks to  |
| 21 | the agricultural past of the County, |
| 22 | and it's sites such as this that     |
| 23 | we've lost to natural succession.    |
| 24 | So we recognize that this is an      |
| 25 | artifact of past use, but that does  |

| 1  | CEQ 11/18/09                         |
|----|--------------------------------------|
| 2  | not necessarily mean it's any less   |
| 3  | important. So this is on a           |
| 4  | rotation, and I have several sites   |
| 5  | we treat in a similar way.           |
| 6  | MR. KAUFMAN: Dan, we do this         |
| 7  | with I think United Artists is on    |
| 8  | that list also, of trying to         |
| 9  | preserve certain types of ecological |
| 10 | niches that have disappeared.        |
| 11 | MR. GIBBONS: That's right.           |
| 12 | MR. KAUFMAN: Yeah, United            |
| 13 | Artists property.                    |
| 14 | MR. GIBBONS: That's in               |
| 15 | Eastport, County Road 51 by the      |
| 16 | Stargazer.                           |
| 17 | MR. KAUFMAN: And there are           |
| 18 | additional sites. Field habitat      |
| 19 | like this is relatively rare. We     |
| 20 | don't have that much in the system.  |
| 21 | We usually buy well, the County      |
| 22 | usually buys pine barrens lands or   |
| 23 | water quality lands, things like     |
| 24 | that. It's unusual to have this      |
| 25 | kind of a situation.                 |

CEQ 11/18/09

| 2  | MS. SPENCER: Another question.       |
|----|--------------------------------------|
| 3  | So you say that in this particular   |
| 4  | instance, there will no bags, no     |
| 5  | garbage can, no maintenance, it      |
| 6  | could be an issue for groundwater    |
| 7  | runoff. Are these dog runs will      |
| 8  | this be a permanent dog run, A, and, |
| 9  | B, is the thinking within the County |
| 10 | that these that there could be,      |
| 11 | like, friends groups established who |
| 12 | would be responsible for keeping     |
| 13 | them policed and clean, and that if  |
| 14 | a friends group were to take that    |
| 15 | responsibility and then they were    |
| 16 | negligent, the dog run would         |
| 17 | disappear?                           |
| 18 | MR. GIBBONS: Yes. That's the         |
| 19 | case over at Roe Avenue in East      |
| 20 | Patchogue where that's truly deemed  |
| 21 | the pilot program, and it's but I    |
| 22 | can't explain to you what the        |
| 23 | difference is between that site and  |
| 24 | this except to say that if this      |
| 25 | proves to be problematic in ways we  |

| 1  | CEQ 11/18/09                         |
|----|--------------------------------------|
| 2  | don't anticipate, then Parks will    |
| 3  | reevaluate and there's nothing to    |
| 4  | stop us from removing us from the    |
| 5  | site, which speaks to the argument I |
| 6  | was making in the submittal is that  |
| 7  | what makes this temporary as opposed |
| 8  | to Blydenburgh, which is, I don't    |
| 9  | know, \$60,000 or \$80,000 worth of  |
| 10 | improvements, that's a lot less      |
| 11 | temporary. It would be a major       |
| 12 | impact to the department to have to  |
| 13 | remove said improvements as opposed  |
| 14 | to here where we're just rolling up  |
| 15 | the snow fence and walking away.     |
| 16 | The problems I would anticipate      |
| 17 | here, besides, you know, really not  |
| 18 | following the rules, and, yes, there |
| 19 | are friends groups and there are     |
| 20 | there is a code of conduct that      |
| 21 | these groups profess and explain to  |
| 22 | new members, but that's not to say   |
| 23 | everybody that uses this will be     |

part of these groups. I doubt that

is the case.

24

CEQ 11/18/09

| 2  | But the other thing that could      |  |
|----|-------------------------------------|--|
| 3  | go on here is this parking lot. If  |  |
| 4  | this is open all the time, which we |  |
| 5  | anticipate it will be, at least     |  |
| 6  | initially, and the hours will be    |  |
| 7  | dawn to dusk as they typically are  |  |
| 8  | in other passive sites, this could  |  |
| 9  | be an area where we wake up in the  |  |
| 10 | morning to find there's a boat left |  |
| 11 | in the parking lot or some other    |  |
| 12 | appliance or other debris. If that  |  |
| 13 | becomes an issue, then Parks will   |  |
| 14 | have to reevaluate that because,    |  |
| 15 | again, every time we install a      |  |
| 16 | receptacle, every time we throw a   |  |
| 17 | gate up with a lock on it, somebody |  |
| 18 | has got to be there to open and     |  |
| 19 | close that gate.                    |  |
| 20 | We experience those growing         |  |
| 21 | pains in a lot of different places  |  |
| 22 | where we try to establish passive   |  |
| 23 | uses and don't have the staff to    |  |
| 24 | maintain or supervise those sites.  |  |
| 25 | MR. KAUFMAN: I'll make a final      |  |
|    |                                     |  |

| 1  | CEQ 11/18/09                         |
|----|--------------------------------------|
| 2  | comment then I will ask for a motion |
| 3  | on this. I don't know if anybody     |
| 4  | saw this in Newsday, but there were  |
| 5  | two people sitting on a bench with   |
| 6  | Coindre Hall framed in the           |
| 7  | background and also with two dogs    |
| 8  | over there, and I don't know if      |
| 9  | has a dog run been established over  |
| 10 | there?                               |
| 11 | MR. GIBBONS: You saw a photo         |
| 12 | with dogs at Coindre Hall?           |
| 13 | MR. KAUFMAN: Yeah, one dog was       |
| 14 | kissing the other dog. That's the    |
| 15 | caption. I was shocked.              |
| 16 | (LAUGHTER)                           |
| 17 | MR. GIBBONS: That is shocking.       |
| 18 | Coindre Hall is not an authorized    |
| 19 | dog run site.                        |
| 20 | MR. KAUFMAN: You don't have to       |
| 21 | go any further. Don't worry about    |
| 22 | it. I just that it was apropos.      |
| 23 | MR. GIBBONS: The dog run is          |
| 24 | something that's come to this        |
| 25 | council in the past, the approved    |

| 1  | CEQ 11/18/09                         |
|----|--------------------------------------|
| 2  | dog run area. It has not been        |
| 3  | constructed.                         |
| 4  | MR. KAUFMAN: Please, someone,        |
| 5  | make a motion.                       |
| 6  | MS. GROWNEY: I make a motion         |
| 7  | that we pass this application in its |
| 8  | form.                                |
| 9  | MR. MACHTAY: You have to             |
| 10 | classify it and then make a          |
| 11 | recommendation.                      |
| 12 | MS. GROWNEY: As an unlisted          |
| 13 | action.                              |
| 14 | MR. KAUFMAN: Do I hear a             |
| 15 | second?                              |
| 16 | MR. PICHNEY: Second.                 |
| 17 | MR. KAUFMAN: I have a second         |
| 18 | from Mr. Pichney. Calling the vote.  |
| 19 | All in favor? Abstentions? Okay.     |
| 20 | Motion passes.                       |
| 21 | The next action is a proposed        |
| 22 | acquisition for open space           |
| 23 | preservation purposed known as the   |
| 24 | North Fork Knolls, LLC, formerly     |
| 25 | Hubbard Duck Farm, Town of           |

| 1    | CEQ 11/18/09                         |
|------|--------------------------------------|
| 2    | Riverhead. Lauretta, could you       |
| 3    | identify yourself for the record?    |
| 4    | MS. FISHER: Yes, good morning.       |
| 5    | Lauretta Fisher, Principal           |
| 6    | Environmental Analyst with the       |
| 7    | Department of Planning.              |
| 8    | Before you today, we have one        |
| 9    | acquisition, the Hubbard Duck Farm,  |
| 10   | former Hubbard Duck Farm. It's a     |
| 11   | 77.5-acre parcel that's actually     |
| 12   | sandwiched in between two other      |
| 13   | major county parklands properties:   |
| 14   | The Indian Island County Park to the |
| 15   | east and the Indian Island County    |
| 16   | Golf Course to the southwest. The    |
| 17   | Long Island Rail Road is the         |
| . 18 | northern boundary of the property.   |
| 19   | It is situated along Saw Mill        |
| 20   | Creek, a tributary that flows into   |
| 21   | Peconic River in its near            |
| 22   | Riverhead near its beginnings, and   |
| 23   | it has freshwater wetlands           |
| 24   | associated with it along the creek.  |
| 25   | There is mostly wooded with, now, a  |

CEQ 11/18/09

| 2  | grassland where a former farm field  |
|----|--------------------------------------|
| 3  | existed just south of the railroad   |
| 4  | tracks.                              |
| 5  | MR. KAUFMAN: You're not going        |
| 6  | to put a dog run in there, are you?  |
| 7  | MS. FISHER: I hope not. It is        |
| 8  | a it was used as a duck farm.        |
| 9  | There were significant structures, a |
| 10 | number of structures on the          |
| 11 | property. It's taken over a year     |
| 12 | for the property to be cleared and   |
| 13 | approved. A Phase I was completed    |
| 14 | and all the requirements that were   |
| 15 | identified in the Phase I have been  |
| 16 | addressed and either removed or      |
| 17 | completed.                           |
| 18 | The only remaining structure on      |
| 19 | the property is a small concrete     |
| 20 | slab in the northwest corner of the  |
| 21 | property. It's a flat slab that      |
| 22 | exists, and it was determined that   |
| 23 | instead of actually it exists        |
| 24 | within a wooded area now, and it was |
| 25 | felt that removing it would create   |

| 1  | CEQ 11/18/09                         |
|----|--------------------------------------|
| 2  | more of a disturbance and loss of    |
| 3  | vegetation than actually, you know,  |
| 4  | having it there. So it was           |
| 5  | determined that at this point it     |
| 6  | would be left there and that is the  |
| 7  | only structure on the property at    |
| 8  | this time. So the property has been  |
| 9  | cleared, and we are looking to       |
| 10 | acquire this as a 50/50 match with   |
| 11 | the Town of Riverhead and add to our |
| 12 | significant holdings in this area,   |
| 13 | so this is a large piece for us and  |
| 14 | a significant piece that we feel in  |
| 15 | this watershed.                      |
| 16 | MR. KAUFMAN: Lauretta, I have        |
| 17 | two quick questions and then I'll    |
| 18 | open it the other members. I have a  |
| 19 | memory that we were dealing with a   |
| 20 | property nearby just recently, an    |
| 21 | acquisition, and we were looking on  |
| 22 | the shoreline or something.          |
| 23 | MS. FISHER: Yes. If you look         |
| 24 | at the map before you, there's small |
| 25 | properties outlined in purple and    |

| 1  | CEQ 11/18/09                         |
|----|--------------------------------------|
| 2  | then small properties outlined in    |
| 3  | green to the south of that. It       |
| 4  | doesn't show, but it's in Peconic    |
| 5  | River. That was the Riverside        |
| 6  | acquisition. The Town of Riverhead   |
| 7  | bought the purple and the County     |
| 8  | bought the green parcels that are    |
| 9  | situated, actually, along the        |
| 10 | Peconic River shoreline to the south |
| 11 | of there, so that was a recent       |
| 12 | acquisition, I believe, last year or |
| 13 | a year and a half ago.               |
| 14 | MR. KAUFMAN: The other question      |
| 15 | I have is you were talking about     |
| 16 | remediation of the property. Was     |
| 17 | there ever a manure problem in the   |
| 18 | area, or has that been cleaned up or |
| 19 | sealed or whatever?                  |
| 20 | MS. FISHER: With regard to the       |
| 21 | duck waste?                          |
| 22 | MR. KAUFMAN: Yes.                    |
| 23 | MS. FISHER: It wasn't                |
| 24 | identified as a problem on this      |
| 25 | site.                                |

CEQ 11/18/09

| 2  | MR. BAGG: If I might respond to      |
|----|--------------------------------------|
| 3  | that. Basically, you can see that    |
| 4  | orange area on the map. That was     |
| 5  | where the sewage disposal facility   |
| 6  | for the duck farm was and also the   |
| 7  | sewer disposal for the field, so     |
| 8  | they're not on this particular site. |
| 9  | MR. KAUFMAN: Any questions by        |
| 10 | members? Tom.                        |
| 11 | MR. GULBRANSEN: Good morning,        |
| 12 | Lauretta.                            |
| 13 | MS. FISHER: Good morning.            |
| 14 | MR. GULBRANSEN: The resolution       |
| 15 | calls for cooperation with the Town  |
| 16 | regarding the management of the      |
| 17 | acquisition or the management of the |
| 18 | property. Is there any detail about  |
| 19 | what the management and ongoing cost |
| 20 | might be?                            |
| 21 | MS. FISHER: At this point, it        |
| 22 | will be left in its natural state as |
| 23 | a passive recreational area. The     |
| 24 | details of any kind of use at this   |
| 25 | point has not been prepared yet, but |

| 1  | CEQ 11/18/09                       |
|----|------------------------------------|
| 2  | it will be a passive recreational  |
| 3  | use, very minimal use with trails  |
| 4  | possibly, but we're not foreseeing |
| 5  | anything beyond that.              |
| 6  | If the Town, with the County's     |
| 7  | approval, County Park Department's |
| 8  | approval any management agreement  |
| 9  | would be reviewed and approved by  |
| 10 | our Parks Department, and that, if |
| 11 | at that point, if there is any     |
| 12 | formal formality to its use, it    |
| 13 | would come back here for a review. |
| 14 | MR. KAUFMAN: Any other             |
| 15 | questions by members? If not, I'll |
| 16 | entertain a motion.                |
| 17 | MR. MACHTAY: Motion                |
| 18 | MR. BAGG: If I might point out,    |
| 19 | we revised the suggested           |
| 20 | classification since this lies     |
| 21 | adjacent to two existing park      |
| 22 | facilities. The threshold of 100   |
| 23 | acres with Type 1 action drops to  |
| 24 | 25. Therefore, this becomes a Type |
| 25 | 1 action.                          |

| 1  | CEQ 11/18/09                        |
|----|-------------------------------------|
| 2  | MR. KAUFMAN: Okay. Well, I          |
| 3  | heard Mr. Machtay make a motion a   |
| 4  | second ago that it was a Type 1     |
| 5  | negdec. Do I have a second on that  |
| 6  | one?                                |
| 7  | MR. GULBRANSEN: Second.             |
| 8  | MR. KAUFMAN: Second by Tom.         |
| 9  | Calling the vote. All in favor?     |
| 10 | Opposed? Any abstentions? Motion    |
| 11 | passes.                             |
| 12 | Going on to the next item on the    |
| 13 | agenda, Historic Trust Docket. I    |
| 14 | guess that's up to you where's      |
| 15 | Rich? There he is. I guess we're    |
| 16 | looking at updates on housing       |
| 17 | programs and custodial agreements   |
| 18 | and anything else we need to know   |
| 19 | about.                              |
| 20 | MR. MARTIN: Good morning. The       |
| 21 | Suffolk County Parks' housing       |
| 22 | program, the committee that reviews |
| 23 | the rents of these houses, has      |
| 24 | finished with all the current       |
| 25 | available buildings and that review |

| 1  | CEQ 11/18/09                         |
|----|--------------------------------------|
| 2  | was put into a resolution going      |
| 3  | forward to the Suffolk County        |
| 4  | Legislature for approval. We did     |
| 5  | adjust some of the rents, and once   |
| 6  | that is approved by the Legislature, |
| 7  | there will be a countywide Suffolk   |
| 8  | County employee memo by the Parks    |
| 9  | Department announcing what vacancies |
| 10 | and houses are available.            |
| 11 | We do have four vacancies at         |
| 12 | this time, two at West Hills County  |
| 13 | Park, one at Timber Point and the    |
| 14 | apartment within the main house at   |
| 15 | Coindre Hall. So we're hoping, of    |
| 16 | course, early next year to get       |
| 17 | interest in those properties and to  |
| 18 | fill those vacancies.                |
| 19 | We will have a Suffolk County        |
| 20 | park policeman moving into the Isaac |
| 21 | Mills House in Saint James come      |
| 22 | January 1st. We're still doing some  |
| 23 | restoration work on that building    |
| 24 | before he can move in. We're         |
| 25 | putting a new roof on it right now,  |

| 1  | CEQ 11/18/09                         |
|----|--------------------------------------|
| 2  | So things are moving forward. The    |
| 3  | community, like I said before, has   |
| 4  | been very helpful in adjusting the   |
| 5  | rents so we can occupy them.         |
| 6  | MR. KAUFMAN: Anything else?          |
| 7  | MR. MARTIN: With our contracts       |
| 8  | we are in the final stages of        |
| 9  | negotiations with the Town of        |
| 10 | Huntington. We're looking within     |
| 11 | the next two weeks to have a         |
| 12 | contract signed, which would provide |
| 13 | them space on the first floor of the |
| 14 | Coindre Hall Boathouse for their     |
| 15 | kayak program and also a large       |
| 16 | classroom on the second floor of the |
| 17 | main house for our recreational      |
| 18 | programs and educational programs,   |
| 19 | and after that contract's been       |
| 20 | signed, the agreement is that the    |
| 21 | Town would provide the County with   |
| 22 | \$600,000 towards the restoration of |
| 23 | the Coindre Hall Boathouse, which    |
| 24 | we're looking to start early next    |
| 25 | year.                                |

| 1  | CEQ 11/18/09                         |
|----|--------------------------------------|
| 2  | MR. KAUFMAN: Quick question:         |
| 3  | What is the condition of the         |
| 4  | Boathouse right now? Obviously, it   |
| 5  | needs work with 600,000 coming in,   |
| 6  | but is it safe to use right now?     |
| 7  | MR. MARTIN: Half of the              |
| 8  | building has been deemed safe where  |
| 9  | they store the large sculls from the |
| 10 | rowing club. The other half of the   |
| 11 | building has been closed up the by   |
| 12 | the County architect. So after this  |
| 13 | project, that's the space we're      |
| 14 | looking to open up for the Town to   |
| 15 | use. So there's a lot of structural  |
| 16 | problems especially with the tower   |
| 17 | in the center of that building that  |
| 18 | have to be taken care of before the  |
| 19 | public can access that half of the   |
| 20 | building.                            |
| 21 | MR. KAUFMAN: Okay. Thank you.        |
| 22 | Any comments by members? Tom.        |
| 23 | MR. GULBRANSEN: A while ago, we      |
| 24 | talked about getting sufficient      |
| 25 | candidates to occupy these           |

CEQ 11/18/09

| 2  | residences. At that time, there was  |
|----|--------------------------------------|
| 3  | mention about perhaps reaching out   |
| 4  | to a broader community. I remember   |
| 5  | the firefighter/EMS community as     |
| 6  | another candidate group. Did that    |
| 7  | get considered or is there           |
| 8  | sufficient population from just      |
| 9  | County employees to get what you     |
| 10 | need?                                |
| 11 | MR. MARTIN: I'll say that and        |
| 12 | other ideas haven't been considered  |
| 13 | yet. We are waiting has taken        |
| 14 | time to get the committee to review  |
| 15 | all the housing to adjust the rents. |
| 16 | I'm hoping that would allow us to    |
| 17 | rent them, and so that resolution,   |
| 18 | like I said, is just going to the    |
| 19 | Legislature now and then a new       |
| 20 | countywide memo will go around with  |
| 21 | those adjusted rents, and we're      |
| 22 | hoping that will allow us to fill    |
| 23 | them. If it doesn't, then, yes,      |
| 24 | those kinds of suggestions this      |
| 25 | program now does allow us to go      |

| 1  | CEQ 11/18/09                          |
|----|---------------------------------------|
| 2  | outside of the department and the     |
| 3  | County employ to find tenants.        |
| 4  | MR. KAUFMAN: Joy, you had a           |
| 5  | question?                             |
| 6  | MS. SQUIRES: It's really just a       |
| 7  | comment. In order for this            |
| 8  | construction to go on, someplace has  |
| 9  | to be found to take the sculls while  |
| 10 | work is being done, and sculls are    |
| 11 | very large, and they need to be near  |
| 12 | the water. It's an interesting        |
| 13 | problem that you don't necessarily    |
| 14 | think about when you do a             |
| 15 | restoration.                          |
| 16 | MR. MACHTAY: That's true, and         |
| 17 | Suffolk County Parks Department is    |
| 18 | meeting with the Town of Huntington   |
| 19 | and Sagamore rowing and the high      |
| 20 | school groups that also use the       |
| 21 | boathouse to try to find within the   |
| 22 | town, on a waterway, a suitable spot  |
| 23 | where they can store the sculls and . |
| 24 | continue their program. We figure     |
| 25 | this will be at least a year where    |

| 1  | CEQ 11/18/09                         |
|----|--------------------------------------|
| 2  | they have to be offsite, possibly    |
| 3  | two. But we're working we just       |
| 4  | had a meeting with the Town. We're   |
| 5  | really working with the Sagamore     |
| 6  | rowing department to find alternate  |
| 7  | spots. They might have to split up,  |
| 8  | actually, and put the storage on a   |
| 9  | few locations. We're very engaged    |
| 10 | with the group to find them          |
| 11 | alternate spots.                     |
| 12 | MR. KAUFMAN: Just as an aside,       |
| 13 | Rich, the Stony Brook crew stores    |
| 14 | its boat at Long Beach in            |
| 15 | Nissequogue out in the open. They    |
| 16 | have tarps over them, and they have  |
| 17 | racks out there but they are out in  |
| 18 | the open. They have one small        |
| 19 | building where they keep some of the |
| 20 | oars and some of the ancillary       |
| 21 | equipment, but it is possible to     |
| 22 | store them outside.                  |
| 23 | MR. MACHTAY: Right, and it's         |
| 24 | just security issues, and we are     |
| 25 | working with the Town to see where   |

| 1  | CEQ 11/18/09                         |
|----|--------------------------------------|
| 2  | the safest spots would be for that   |
| 3  | possibility also.                    |
| 4  | MR. KAUFMAN: Any other               |
| 5  | questions by members?                |
| 6  | [No response]                        |
| 7  | Okay. We'll move on then. Is         |
| 8  | there anybody here to update us on   |
| 9  | County Parks?                        |
| 10 | [No response]                        |
| 11 | Nobody is here. We'll go on to       |
| 12 | other business. Oh Nick Gibbons      |
| 13 | to present us with updates on County |
| 14 | Parks.                               |
| 15 | MR. GIBBONS: I'm just waiting        |
| 16 | for there were two specific          |
| 17 | sites, and one of the two, I'm just  |
| 18 | waiting for something to come        |
| 19 | through, and I'll have that          |
| 20 | momentarily. Larry had asked me      |
| 21 | specifically two questions, and then |
| 22 | I'll kind of open it up if there's   |
| 23 | any additional inquiries from the    |
| 24 | council.                             |
| 25 | One had to do with our               |

| 1  | CEQ 11/18/09                         |
|----|--------------------------------------|
| 2  | concessionaire, Rusty Lever, Deep    |
| 3  | Hollow Ranch at Theodore Roosevelt   |
| 4  | County Park, Montauk. As many of     |
| 5  | you know, he's been a concessionary  |
| 6  | with us for many years. The final    |
| 7  | term of his existing contract        |
| 8  | expires December 31 of 2012 at which |
| 9  | point the proposal and our people    |
| 10 | have to go out to public bid. He     |
| 11 | has no longer any additional option  |
| 12 | years on his contract.               |
| 13 | In a lot of different ways, his      |
| 14 | contract is unique compared to       |
| 15 | others, and in once instance, if     |
| 16 | this is the case, is the fact that   |
| 17 | each year by the end of October, he  |
| 18 | can decide whether or not he wants   |
| 19 | to continue the contract. That's     |
| 20 | different from a lot of other        |
| 21 | agreements we have. Each year        |
| 22 | brings another an additional         |
| 23 | layer of reporting that's required   |
| 24 | and additional County requirements   |
| 25 | that are opposed on all of our       |

| 1  | CEQ 11/18/09                         |
|----|--------------------------------------|
| 2  | concessionaires including Deep       |
| 3  | Hollow Ranch, so it's always at his  |
| 4  | option that he can walk from the     |
| 5  | contract on any given year. That     |
| 6  | being said, the longest this can     |
| 7  | continue is two more years before    |
| 8  | its has to go out to RFP, and that   |
| 9  | was a question Larry had specific to |
| 10 | Theodore Roosevelt.                  |
| 11 | The other site that Larry had        |
| 12 | asked about was I believe he         |
| 13 | referred to Black Duck Lodge         |
| 14 | specifically, but collectively, we   |
| 15 | call the entire park Hubbard County  |
| 16 | Park. It includes both the Smithers  |
| 17 | property, which you guys have been   |
| 18 | more familiar with over the past     |
| 19 | year, and Black Duck Lodge as well.  |
| 20 | They are all included within the     |
| 21 | collective assemblage of properties  |
| 22 | that collectively are Hubbard County |
| 23 | Park. We recently received a grant   |
| 24 | through Assemblyman Englebright's    |
| 25 | office, and I wanted to break down   |

| 1  | CEQ 11/18/09                         |
|----|--------------------------------------|
| 2  | for you how that grant was broken up |
| 3  | in terms of the budget that's been   |
| 4  | allocated for each of the aspects of |
| 5  | this project.                        |
| 6  | The restoration of the existing      |
| 7  | what we call the clubhouse building, |
| 8  | the main building within the         |
| 9  | Smithers assemblage of buildings,    |
| 10 | \$375,000 is earmarked for the       |
| 11 | renovation and restoration for that  |
| 12 | building. That probably is not       |
| 13 | going to cover everything that's     |
| 14 | required of the building, but it     |
| 15 | will certainly stabilize won't go    |
| 16 | to more than stabilizing the         |
| 17 | building and restoring a significant |
| 18 | portion, primarily the exterior of   |
| 19 | the building, and then I'll defer to |
| 20 | Rich after I get through this list   |
| 21 | to provide additional updates on     |
| 22 | that, if you're interested. Another  |
| 23 | \$5,000 will go towards the          |
| 24 | development of a sign plan for the   |
| 25 | entire site and actual fabrication   |

| 1  | CEQ 11/18/09                         |
|----|--------------------------------------|
| 2  | of those signs, the materials as     |
| 3  | well. Whether or not we could        |
| 4  | fabricate them inhouse or not, we    |
| 5  | certainly have the ability to do so, |
| 6  | but an overall sign plan that        |
| 7  | interprets the complexity of these   |
| 8  | properties is certainly in order.    |
| 9  | An additional \$40,000 will be       |
| 10 | going towards trail improvements at  |
| 11 | the site. Those of you that are      |
| 12 | familiar with the site, it has       |
| 13 | extensive wetlands, some far more    |
| 14 | sensitive than others, and being     |
| 15 | able to get responsible access for   |
| 16 | the general public to these sites    |
| 17 | can often require boardwalks,        |
| 18 | platforms, what have you, and        |
| 19 | construction wetlands such as that   |
| 20 | has are expensive propositions, so   |
| 21 | this \$40,000 is earmarked towards   |
| 22 | satisfying that goal.                |
| 23 | And finally \$130,000 is set         |
| 24 | aside for the development of a       |
| 25 | master plan that will take a more    |

| 1   | CEQ 11/18/09                         |
|-----|--------------------------------------|
| 2   | comprehensive look at the entire     |
| 3   | property and come up with a          |
| 4   | develop a phase set of goals that    |
| 5   | the Parks Department will then at    |
| 6   | some point in time it's envision     |
| 7   | is to establish a capital project of |
| 8   | implementation of that master plan,  |
| 9   | similar to what we have done at      |
| LO  | other sites. That master plan will   |
| L1  | certainly come back to the council   |
| L2  | for review and will go on to the     |
| L3  | full Legislature for approval.       |
| L 4 | So that those grant monies           |
| 15  | are currently with New York State,   |
| 16  | and Parks is working closely with    |
| 17  | New York State to begin that         |
| 18  | project.                             |
| .9  | MR. KAUFMAN: Are those funds         |
| 20  | actually allocated; in other words,  |
| 21  | they are turned over, so they're not |
| 22  |                                      |
| 23  | MR. GIBBONS: Yes, they are           |
| 2.4 | already committed, and we have no    |
| 2.5 | reason to believe that they are      |

| 1  | CEQ 11/18/09                         |
|----|--------------------------------------|
| 2  | jeopardized by any of the current    |
| 3  | events in Albany or here in the      |
| 4  | County.                              |
| 5  | MR. KAUFMAN: The second              |
| 6  | question I'll get to you in a        |
| 7  | second, Eva. The second question I   |
| 8  | have is this is a unique site, as we |
| 9  | all know, and it has a number of     |
| 10 | very, very sensitive environmental   |
| 11 | features. Any master plan that you   |
| 12 | develop and that eventually comes to |
| 13 | us or is developed in cooperation    |
| 14 | with us has to take that into        |
| 15 | account, I think you know; I'm just  |
| 16 | sort of putting it on the record and |
| 17 | reemphasizing it.                    |
| 18 | This park has to be protected.       |
| 19 | This is one of the jewels of the     |
| 20 | system. It's one of, if you will,    |
| 21 | one of the 10 most important sites   |
| 22 | on the entire inventory that the     |
| 23 | County has, so just obviously, be    |
| 24 | very, very careful. I know that's    |
| 25 | your intent. You and I have          |

| 1  | CEQ 11/18/09                         |
|----|--------------------------------------|
| 2  | discussed this over the past year,   |
| 3  | so we have to be very, very careful. |
| 4  | MR. GIBBONS: This is certainly       |
| 5  | not a traditional master plan in the |
| 6  | sense of a Smith Point master plan,  |
| 7  | which I brought to you guys a month  |
| 8  | or two ago                           |
| 9  | MR. KAUFMAN: This is not a           |
| 10 | heavy usage plan.                    |
| 11 | MR. GIBBONS: No, and there's         |
| 12 | already protections in place that    |
| 13 | ensure that Hubbard is going to look |
| 14 | the same way it does now many years  |
| 15 | into the future. It's within the     |
| 16 | core preservation of the area of the |
| 17 | central pine barrens. It's           |
| 18 | regulated by a number of different   |
| 19 | DEC regulatory programs, Freshwater  |
| 20 | Wetlands Act as well. Those two      |
| 21 | programs alone encompass a large     |
| 22 | portion of the property and impact   |
| 23 | what activities can occur.           |
| 24 | And finally, the way in which        |
| 25 | the County acquired these properties |

| 1  | CEQ 11/18/09                         |
|----|--------------------------------------|
| 2  | also binds us to, if not outright    |
| 3  | dedicated to the nature preserve, to |
| 4  | treat them as if they were.          |
| 5  | MR. KAUFMAN: Eva, you had a          |
| 6  | question.                            |
| 7  | MS. GROWNEY: I have, I guess,        |
| 8  | some question and some comments.     |
| 9  | Obviously, Nick, you know I'm        |
| 10 | compelled to say something about the |
| 11 | study that was done by the American  |
| 12 | Institute of Architects. The         |
| 13 | national grant that I had received   |
| 14 | to work through the Peconic chapter  |
| 15 | for two years on the park and our    |
| 16 | study, which we concur with you,     |
| 17 | should be kept the park should be    |
| 18 | kept in its natural state as much as |
| 19 | possible with minimum impact.        |
| 20 | The comment has to do with           |
| 21 | availing. I hope you guys will       |
| 22 | avail yourselves of the study we did |
| 23 | do. There is a publication. I'm      |
| 24 | not sure what stage it's at. I know  |
| 25 | it's electronically published. I'm   |

| 1  | CEQ 11/18/09                         |
|----|--------------------------------------|
| 2  | not sure it's been printed, which we |
| 3  | certainly, we'd like to make sure    |
| 4  | you have. The office may already     |
| 5  | have a copy electronically.          |
| 6  | MR. GIBBONS: Yes, I believe so.      |
| 7  | MS. GROWNEY: Okay. Great. The        |
| 8  | other thing is I'm also compelled to |
| 9  | say, because of the study, I very    |
| 10 | strongly stress and hope that you    |
| 11 | guys will pursue the implementation  |
| 12 | of energy systems that are not going |
| 13 | to effect the environment because,   |
| 14 | as you know now, the heating system  |
| 15 | is antiquated beyond belief and I    |
| 16 | hope you guys will work with         |
| 17 | potential {Ceretherm} or other       |
| 18 | aspects, wind and/or solar, and in a |
| 19 | way that's sensitive to the property |
| 20 | because I know, historically,        |
| 21 | there's a lot of things that need to |
| 22 | be kept, maintained the way it is,   |
| 23 | so I hope they will look creatively  |
| 24 | at that.                             |
| 25 | And I'm certainly I will             |

| 1  | CEQ 11/18/09                         |
|----|--------------------------------------|
| 2  | avail myself if you want in any      |
| 3  | capacity I can. But I think that     |
| 4  | that's a really important factor to  |
| 5  | maintaining the sensitivity of the   |
| 6  | environment as it is now.            |
| 7  | MR. GIBBONS: The department has      |
| 8  | no objections to exploring those     |
| 9  | provided they're in accordance with  |
| 10 | the historical and environmental     |
| 11 | regulations already afore to this    |
| 12 | site. Absolutely. And the council    |
| 13 | both the council and the Historic    |
| 14 | Trust Review Committee will also     |
| 15 | have ample opportunity to review the |
| 16 | document and provide input prior to  |
| 17 | it's completion, certainly.          |
| 18 | MS. GROWNEY: Thank you for           |
| 19 | that.                                |
| 20 | MR. KAUFMAN: Any other               |
| 21 | questions? If not, thank you very    |
| 22 | much, Nick.                          |
| 23 | MR. GIBBONS: Thank you.              |
| 24 | MR. KAUFMAN: Okay. I guess now       |
| 25 | we'll move on to the highlight of    |

| 1  | CEQ 11/18/09                                 |
|----|--|
| 2  | the meeting, the presentation on the         |
| 3  | Wertheim Wetlands Project by                 |
| 4  | Dominick Ninivaggi, Superintendant           |
| 5  | of the Division Of Vector Control of         |
| 6  | Suffolk County DPW. Just identify            |
| 7  | yourselves for the record.                   |
| 8  | [Whereupon, a discussion was held off the    |
| 9  | record where panel members agreed that       |
| 10 | presentations would be held off the record.] |
| 11 | MR. MACHTAY: If you can just                 |
| 12 | add that the PowerPoint presentation         |
| 13 | is being given by Mr. Ninivaggi on           |
| 14 | the Wertheim Estate, and we can just         |
| 15 | attach the pictures to the back of           |
| 16 | the minutes, that would be fine.             |
| 17 | [Continuation of meeting held off the        |
| 18 | record]                                      |
| 19 | MR. KAUFMAN: Now, I'm going to               |
| 20 | exercise dictatorial powers as vice          |
| 21 | chairman. Unless there's anything            |
| 22 | else anybody wants to talk about,            |
| 23 | we're adjourned.                             |
| 24 | [Meeting adjourned at 1:03 P.M.]             |
| 25 | {} Indicates phonetic spelling               |

| 1  |  |
|----|--|
| 2  | CERTIFICATION                              |
| 3  | I, GABRIELLE SKOLOM, a Notary Public       |
| 4  | for and within the State of New York, do   |
| 5  | hereby certify:                            |
| 6  | That the within transcript was             |
| 7  | prepared by me and is a true and accurate  |
| 8  | record of this hearing, to the best of my  |
| 9  | ability.                                   |
| 10 | I further certify that I am not            |
| 11 | related to any of the parties to this      |
| 12 | action by blood or marriage, and that I am |
| 13 | in no way interested in the outcome of     |
| 14 | this matter.                               |
| 15 | IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto        |
| 16 | set my hand this 18th day of November,     |
| 17 | 2009.                                      |
| 18 |  |
| 19 | Ambrielle Stedora                          |
| 20 | GABRIELLE SKOLOM                           |
| 21 |  |
| 22 | * * *                                      |
| 23 |  |
| 24 |  |