COUNTY OF SUFFOLK



STEVE LEVY SUFFOLK COUNTY EXECUTIVE

DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

R. Lawrence Swanson

James Bagg
CHIEF ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYST

NOTICE OF PUBLIC MEETING

Notice is hereby given that the Council of Environmental Quality will convene a regular public meeting at 9:30 a.m. on Wednesday, June 16th, 2010 in the *Isaac Mills House*, located at the intersection of North Country Road (25A) and Mills Pond Road in Saint James, New York. Pursuant to the Citizens Public Participation Act, all citizens are invited to submit testimony, either orally or in writing at the meeting. Agendas can be found at www.suffolkcountyny.gov/departments/planning Written comments can also be submitted prior to the meeting to the attention of:

James Bagg, Chief Environmental Analyst Council on Environmental Quality Suffolk County Planning Department P.O. Box 6100 Hauppauge, NY 11788

Council of Environmental Quality R. Lawrence Swanson, Chairperson

COUNTY OF SUFFOLK



STEVE LEVY SUFFOLK COUNTY EXECUTIVE

DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

R. Lawrence Swanson

James Bagg
CHIEF ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYST

AGENDA

MEETING NOTIFICATION

Wednesday, June 16th, 9:30 a.m.
Isaac Mills House
North Country Road (25A) and
Mills Pond Road, St. James

Call to Order:

Minutes - check the web at http://www.co.suffolk.ny.us/departments/planning/minutes.aspx#ceq

Correspondence:

Public Portion:

Historic Trust Docket:

Director's Report: Updates on Housing Program for Historic Trust Sites

Updates on Historic Trust Custodial Agreements

Status on Historic Trust letter regarding Helen Keller house

Project Review:

Recommended TYPE II Actions:

A. Ratification of Recommendations for Legislative Resolutions Laid on the Table June 8, 2010.

Project Review:

Recommended Unlisted Actions:

- A. Proposed Sale of the John J. Foley Skilled Nursing Facility, in the Town of Brookhaven. (Tabled from the May 19th, 2010 CEQ meeting).
- B. Proposed Sewer District #14 Parkland, Construction of additional Recharge Bed. CP 8118. Town of Islip.
- C. Proposed Acquisition for Open Space Preservation Purposes Known as Beaverdam Creek County Wetlands Addition, in the Town of Brookhaven.

Project Review:

Recommended TYPE I Actions:

A. Proposed Review of Cedar Point County Park Master Plan, in the Town of East Hampton. (Tabled from the May 19th, 2010 CEQ meeting).

Suffolk County Parks:

Updates on County Parks

Other Business:

CAC Concerns:

***CAC MEMBERS: The above information has been forwarded to your local Legislators, Supervisors and DEC personnel. Please check with them prior to the meeting to see if they have any comments or concerns regarding these projects that they would like brought to the CEQ's attention.

***MEMBERS – <u>PLEASE NOTIFY THIS OFFICE AS SOON AS POSSIBLE IF YOU</u> WILL BE UNABLE TO ATTEND.

***<u>ALSO FOLLOWING THE MEETING PLEASE LEAVE BEHIND ALL MATERIALS</u>
OF PROJECTS THAT YOU DO NOT WANT OR NEED AS WE CAN RECYCLE THESE
MATERIALS LATER ON.

Ψį.

```
APPEARANCES:
 2
 3
     CEQ MEMBERS
 4
     Hon. Vivian Viloria-Fisher, member
     Eva Growney, member
 6
     Thomas Gulbransen, member
 7
     Richard Machtay, member
 8
     Daniel Pichney, member
 9
     Gloria Russo, member
10
     Mary Ann Spencer, member
11
12
13
14
     CEQ STAFF
15
     James Bagg
16
     Christine DeSalvo
17
18
     CAC REPS
19
20
     Steve Brown
21
22
     Joy Squires
23
24
25
```

```
HISTORIC SOCIETY
 1
 2
     Richard Martin
 3
 5
     ALSO PRESENT
 6
     Christopher Kent Chief Deputy County Executive
     Nick Gibbons, Suffolk County Parks
 9
     Thomas Isles, Suffolk County Planning
10
     Michael Mulé, Suffolk County Planning
11
     Janice McGovern, Engineer, DPW
12
     Dominick Ninivaggi, DPW, Superintendent
13
     Linda Spahr, Suffolk County Attorneys Office
14
     Lauretta Fisher, Acquisition for Open Space/Beaverdam
15
     Linda Ogno, Employee of John J. Foley Skilled Nursing
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	
2	(Time Noted: 10:10 a.m.)
3	MR. CHAIRMAN: I would like to call
4	our June meeting to order.
5	Anybody have comments on the
6	minutes?
7	(WHEREUPON, there was no response
8	from the Council.)
9	LEGISLATOR VILORIA-FISHER: I can't
10	make a motion yet. We're not all here
11	yet.
12	MR. CHAIRMAN: We are reviewing the
13	minutes.
14	MR. MARTIN: Just want to let you
15	know about a practical issue here.
16	If anybody needs a facility, the
17	bathroom in the apartment which is the
18	door outside you have to go out the
19	front door to that door. It is open for
20	our use. We can't use the bathroom in
21	this part of the building.
22	MR. CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
23	We're going over the minutes, but
24	before we talk about the minutes, I
25	would just like to have on the record

1	that, Richard, we appreciate all the
2	effort that you went to, to set up this
3	meeting here. I think this is a
4	valuable experience for the CEQ to see
5	some of the properties that the County
6	owns and operates since Historic Trust
7	is part of our duties and
8	responsibilities. Thank you.
9	MR. MARTIN: Thanks for coming.
10	MR. CHAIRMAN: I also want to thank
11	Jim Bagg, Mike Mule, and Christine for
12	going out of their way to set up, and to
13	our Stenographer for having to deal with
14	these historic conditions. Thank you.
15	LEGISLATOR VILORIA-FISHER: Can I
16	just say one thing about that?
17	MR. CHAIRMAN: Yes.
18	LEGISLATOR VILORIA-FISHER: I do
19	want to thank Richard because the County
20	tries to stay away from buying
21	properties that have buildings with
22	them, but I thought this one was very
23	special when I looked at it. We have to
24	think in terms of trying to maintain the
25	hotel/motel tax for the restoration and

1	protection of these old treasures that
2	we have.
3	Right now, the hotel/motel tax
4	money is being diverted to the
5	operations of historic services and that
6	wasn't the intent. So we have to look
7	at that, as we move forward, through the
8	years that aren't as challenging
9	financially as the one that we're in.
10	We can go back to that model because it
11	would be a shame to lose these buildings
12	for lack of funds when, in fact, we have
13	earmarked this one precisely for this,
14	although, we're going to try and keep
15	away from buying more properties like
16	this building. But thank you, Richard,
17	because this is such a good example of
18	why we need that money. It's expensive
19	to keep these maintained.
20	MR. CHAIRMAN: Any comments on the
21	past minutes?
22	(WHEREUPON, there was no response
23	from the Council.)
24	MR. CHAIRMAN: If not, we can move
25	forward.

1	Jim, are there any changes?	
2	MR. BAGG: Are you going to approve	
3	the minutes?	
4	MR. CHAIRMAN: Nobody received	
5	them. That's my sense. That's the	
6	problem with having 500 pages worth of	
7	steno notes.	
8	Mike, do we have any correspondence	
9	you want to call to our attention?	
10	MR. MULE: Aside from the letters	
11	to the Council aside from the letters	
12	to the Council from Mary Ann Spencer	
13	regarding the Helen Keller House, I	
14	don't believe there are any other	
15	correspondences.	
16	MR. CHAIRMAN: We did get a letter	
17	from Legislator Kennedy. It will come	
18	up as part of our discussion on the	
19	nursing home.	
20	Speaking of the letter from Mary	
21	Ann, I would like to have the full	
22	committee consider either accepting or	
23	rejecting her thoughts that were	
24	expressed in the memo and then formally	
25	sending it onto the Legislature with our	

1	recommendations, whether we endorse it
2	or not endorse the historic
3	recommendation.
4	So, has anybody read that letter?
5	MR. KAUFMAN: Yes. I read the
6	letter. I agree wholeheartedly with
7	what Mary Ann is talking about. She
8	really has, in this letter, and also
9	discussions that I have had with her and
10	what she had presented to the CEQ. She
11	really has hit the nail on the head with
12	all of this. This does not meet this
13	criteria for listing in the State or
14	National Register or becoming part of
15	our system due to the severe loss of
16	integrity as she pointed out. I would
17	love to save everything that we put in
18	our history, but this doesn't meet the
19	criteria.
20	Again, temporary short term
21	residence is not enough not enough of
22	an attachment to the County to really
23	change my opinion on any of this. Just
24	because someone merely walked through
25	the area and was here for a little

1	while, just does not bring it to that
2	level where, I think, it should be
3	preserved the way it has been proposed
4	given what Legislature Fisher has just
5	stated. It's just tough to make that
6	lead, and I think that her letter should
7	be accepted by the CEQ and the Historic
8	Trust and sent onto the Legislature.
9	MR. CHAIRMAN: Just for the
10	Stenographer, we are talking about the
11	house where Helen Keller spent a Summer
12	some years ago.
13	MR. MARTIN: Larry, sorry, just to
14	clarify on our historic list, it is
15	called the Roger's House. That's the
16	name of the family that owned the
17	property. The locals called it the
18	Helen Keller House but, historically, it
19	was the Roger's House.
20	LEGISLATOR VILORIA-FISHER: Is it also called the
21	Beach House?
22	MR. MARTIN: Yes. The New York
23	State review for the National Register
24	identified it as the Beach House. Our
25	historic list has it registered as the

1	Roger's House.
2	MR. CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
3	Yes?
4	MS. GROWNEY: My understanding is
5	that she was she was only there for a
6	couple of weeks.
7	MR. MARTIN: We don't have exact
8	documentation. She did rent the house
9	from the Roger's Family, and it could be
10	anywhere from two weeks to two months.
11	There is no clear documentation. Once
12	Ann Sullivan, her teacher, got sick and
13	had a heart attack, they went back to
14	their home that they owned in Forest
15	Hills and that's where she passed away
16	in October.
17	MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay.
18	Do we have a motion to accept Mary
19	Ann's letter serving as the Chair of the
20	Historic Trust Committee?
21	LEGISLATOR VILORIA-FISHER: I'll move.
22	MS. GROWNEY: I'll second.
23	MR. CHAIRMAN: We have Vivian
24	Viloria-Fisher who made the motion. We
25	have a second by Eva Growney.

1	All in favor of forwarding this
2	with endorsements positive
3	endorsements?
4	THE COUNCIL: Aye.
5	MR. CHAIRMAN: Opposed?
6	(WHEREUPON, there was no response.)
7	(WHEREUPON, the Council voted.)
8	MR. CHAIRMAN: We will do that.
9	Thank you.
10	On another matter and as you can
11	recall from last months meeting, I think
12	it was, we talked a little bit about the
13	fact that the CEQ now has new
14	responsibilities with regard to bike
15	paths in the County. I have contacted
16	Rich Machtay and Gloria about the
17	possibility of working with me and Bill
18	Hillman of the Highway Department to
19	sort of get a sense of where they want
20	to go with bicycle paths and what how
21	we, as a committee, can best help them
22	with their mission.
23	So, Mike, if you don't mind, see if
24	you can set up a meeting with the three
25	of us following next months meeting with

1	Mr. Hillman. (Phonetic.)	
2	MR. MULE: Sure.	
3	MR. CHAIRMAN: Historic Trust	
4	Director's Report.	
5	MR. MARTIN: With our Housing	
6	Program, we now have six vacancies	
7	within that program. The all employee	
8	memo which we had hoped was going to be	
9	already sent out has been put off and	
10	we're trying to get that sent out in	
11	July. The reason for that is because	
12	when we have this many vacancies, we	
13	pick one day where we each house a staff	
14	or a Park's Department employee and	
15	people go around usually on the	
16	weekends, like Saturday or Sunday, and	
17	they can go around in one day,	
18	literally, and see all those buildings	
19	that are available to them. So that's	
20	what we're planning. We're hoping to do	
21	that in July.	
22	We still have not rented the house	
23	in West Hills. That's by the Park's	
24	office. The other house, the Oakley	
25	House, will become vacant come July 1.	

1	Those are two of our larger	
2	post-colonial homes that we would like	
3	to get rented. I am hoping we will be	
4	successful this time around.	
5	Otherwise, with our contracts, we	
6	have six groups that are working on the	
7	contracts right now in our contract's	
8	division and the Park's Department. We	
9	have signed the Huntington contract that	
10	relates to the comparable boathouse and	
11	the use of the classroom space in the	
12	main house at the top of the hill. So,	
13	that one has been completely executed.	
14	We're still working with the Town of	
15	Huntington on the contract for the use	
16	of the gym with the Park's Department.	
17	Otherwise, I would just like to	
18	follow up a little more on the comments	
19	on the Helen Keller issue. I would like	
20	to thank Mary Ann and the Historic Trust	
21	Committee for sending the letter on.	
22	It's been very helpful to have the	
23	support. There has been a lot of	
24	questions coming into the office about	
25	the structure. I just had a phone call	

1	yesterday from the National Trust
2	Historic Preservation Magazine, again,
3	asking questions about it. I think
4	being related to Helen Keller, there is
5	obviously a lot of interest out there
6	a lot of questions, but unfortunately,
7	there has also been a lot of
8	misinformation by calling it the Helen
9	Keller House. We have been trying to
10	explain to people that it's really not
11	her house. It's not even her Summer
12	home which some people think it was.
13	For the time period that she stayed
14	there, and also the extreme water damage
15	that's occurred to the structure, we
16	really only have the front facade that's
17	still standing. So, this would be a
18	total reconstruction if anything was to
19	happen with that site which is really
20	not what we're looking to do. Of
21	course, it's never had any historic
22	listings by any agency including the
23	Town of Southold. So it really was a
24	structure that was never listed.
25	Also, the letter was helpful in

1	just getting the word out to the Town of	
2	Southold. It was forwarded to the	
3	Suffolk Times. Quotes were included in	
4	their article and, I think, that helped	
5	explain to the local people that there	
6	is a real background to this site and	
7	not just the story that they have been	
8	getting on the street.	
9	So, I think, people are starting to	
10	understand why we've made the decision	
11	that we have. With what I handed out to	
12	you today, all from New York State	
13	National Register Review on their	
14	statement of significance, again, does	
15	not qualify it to the National Register	
16	or the state register and the reason's	
17	why the conditions of the building and	
18	also the fact that Helen Keller stayed	
19	there such a short time.	
20	Also, I included for your	
21	information that we did research	
22	actually at the home of Helen Keller.	
23	She actually was and her family was	
24	involved with five residences. Her	
25	birthplace is open to the public. It	

1	was purchased by the foundation in 1954,	
2	and restored and is open to the public	
3	on a regular basis and her other homes	
4	are well documented, as to where she	
5	lived and she did have an ownership	
6	interest with two of them with Ann	
7	Sullivan. Later in life when Anne	
8	passed away, she actually hired a	
9	private architect and did a design for	
10	her in Connecticut. The first one burnt	
11	down. She rebuilt the house, again,	
12	working with an architect. Those	
13	architectural plans are actually in the	
14	Helen Keller Foundation in New York City	
15	that she worked for, for a number of	
16	years and they have all the plans and/or	
17	archives and they think that's a very	
18	important house and that's the one that	
19	should be studied because she designed	
20	it for herself.	
21	There was a lot of historic	
22	interest in that structure because it	
23	was the last house she lived in and	
24	passed away in, in 1968.	
25	MS. GROWNEY: Where was she born?	

1	MR. MARTIN: Escambia, Alabama.
2	That's where Ann Sullivan came when she
3	was a child so that's well interpreted
4	that the house was historic in a early
5	time period and I think very popular.
6	All these questions that people have
7	been asking even on the Facebook page
8	that's been established by the family
9	that's supporting the keeping of the
10	house, but people are starting to do
11	their own research online and realizing
12	that this is truly where the
13	interpretation should be taking place.
14	MR. CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
15	Ratification of Recommendations for
16	Legislative Resolution Laid on the
17	Table.
18	Mike, do you want to call our
19	attention to anything in particular?
20	MR. MULE: It is pretty straight
21	forward. The majority of items are Type
22	Two Actions with SEQRA underway or
23	incomplete.
24	I do want to call your attention to
25	IR-1670 bearing Yaphank property AMH for

1	county leads and authorized property	
2	sale in a public auction. Please note	
3	that this involves about a 95 acre	
4	parcel in Yaphank County Center area.	
5	This resolution does not tie into any	
6	developments. It just a surplus in sale	
7	resolution and the legislature is	
8	intending to introduce the bill	
9	indicated to us that it will be	
10	submitted in the EAF at the next CEQ	
11	meeting in regard to the resolution.	
12	MR. CHAIRMAN: Legislature	
13	Viloria-Fisher, do you have anything?	
14	LEGISLATOR VILORIA-FISHER: This was	
15	discussed at the meeting where it was	
16	laid on the table. As you know, this is	
17	a piece of property tied in the southern	
18	part of what we have been referring to	
19	as Legacy Village. Although we passed	
20	the funding for the environmental impact	
21	to be done on Legacy Village and the	
22	whole property, Legislator Kennedy's	
23	piece is on private property which is	
24	the least contentious. This parcel has	
25	an as-of-right ability to be developed.	

1	So, that's basically the background and
2	we're trying to get revenue from the
3	property. So what John Kennedy did was
4	put this into a separate resolution so
5	that we could move forward with that
6	part of the project, so it's for the CEQ
7	to discuss how it impacts what we've
8	already decided regarding Legacy
9	Village.
10	Mike was at that meeting and you
11	said that passing that would nullify
12	part of what we have approved for the
13	EIS
14	MR. KAUFMAN: There's
15	LEGISLATOR VILORIA-FISHER:
16	(Continuing) because I asked Michael
17	about the SEQRA piece on this. Is there
18	a problem with passing John Kennedy's
19	legislation if we already looked at the
20	Legacy Village as a whole including the
21	industrial piece of property or can it
22	be broken out as a separate piece? So
23	that's something for the Council to
24	discuss.
25	MR. KAUFMAN: Right now, this is

1	not seen in the EAF. We don't have the	
2	building in front of us. It's going	
3	into the CEQ's cycle eventually. It's	
4	hard to say exactly where it is going	
5	to, but Vivian had asked me for an	
6	opinion, and I did write her something.	
7	This is sort of a competing bill in	
8	certain ways and it will cause	
9	segmentation issues. There is also	
10	something called nullification which I	
11	don't think anyone has really looked at.	
12	It could be sort of seen as a	
13	nullification of a previous decision of	
14	the Legislature to the extent that the	
15	bill might be oriented towards cutting	
16	out one of the four parcels of Legacy	
17	Village. Remember, Legacy was a four	
18	parcel A, B, C, and D and maybe making	
19	it stand alone in the surplus bill. It	
20	might destroy the legacy RFP. It might	
21	store the sale contract by cutting part	
22	of the plan out. It might be seen as	
23	segmentation because you suddenly have a	
24	plan out there, and you're only going to	
25	be looking at part of it so that's	

1	segmentation in a classic sense. It
2	might render the RFP and propose a
3	contract void on their face. It
4	competes, basically, with the prior
5	decisions of the Legislature to go
6	forward with an EIS on the entire parcel
7	plan.
8	While the Legislature has not
9	authorized any sale yet of the four
10	parcel plan on Legacy Village that had
11	been looked at in December, the intent
12	of the legislature is to study the four
13	parcel plan the EIS and consider a
14	sale of all four parcels as a single
15	unit.
16	The Kennedy Bill, if adopted, right
17	now, is technically is viable because
18	nothing has yet been done on Legacy. So
19	it would signal a different intent and
20	because it has a different intent, you
21	might have basically the Legislature
22	going into a classic nullification.
23	That actually the laws here bring you
24	back to 1832, and the South Carolina
25	nullification act is something I never

1	thought I would look at again.	
2	MR. CHAIRMAN: That was readily	
3	available the other day.	
4	MR. KAUFMAN: Actually, Larry has	
5	some strange interest. Actually, it is	
6	dealt with tariffs and the slave trade	
7	among other things.	
8	So it really takes you back a long	
9	way, but basically you can have some	
10	nullification problems and until the	
11	Legislature makes up its mind as to what	
12	they want do to, there are issues out	
13	there. They can't basically go with	
14	both.	
15	The segmentation issue, again, is	
16	basically if the Legislature is going to	
17	be looking at the four parcel EIS. If	
18	you look at a single parcel and you know	
19	the plan is still out there, you're not	
20	considering the entire situation and	
21	that's exactly why we did a positive	
22	declaration back in December. You can't	
23	just look at a single situation in	
24	isolation when you know there's	
25	something out there.	

1	So it plays out in very, very funny
2	way and, again, its not been it's
3	just being brought up right now at this
4	point in time. There's been no full
5	view of it by the Legislature. It was
6	only laid on the table last Tuesday, so
7	it's just coming into our cycle and a
8	lot of this is, frankly, policy issues
9	nullification issues and policy
10	issues for the Legislature.
11	MR. CHAIRMAN: Legislature Gloria
12	Fisher?
1	LEGISLATOR VILORIA-FISHER: Lastly, not only to
14	the Council but also to the County
15	Executive staff.
16	When we voted at our last meeting,
17	to fund the environmental study in a
18	manner of speaking, that was the
19	ratification of the intent to look at
20	the whole project. So then I assumed
21	that, that's when the issue of
22	nullification would come in because
23	voting on that voting positively on
24	that was a ratification of intent.
25	MR. KAUFMAN: Maybe executory

1	when the Legislature voted
2	LEGISLATOR VILORIA-FISHER: I am not a lawyer. I
3	don't use words that big that.
4	MR. KAUFMAN: That's the technical
5	term. You actually committed the
6	Legislature actually committed to the
7	policy
8	LEGISLATOR VILORIA-FISHER: Right, to execute
9	MR. KAUFMAN: (Continuing) to
10	execute and go forward. So in that
11	sense, if the Kennedy Bill eventually
12	comes up, it probably would be nullified
13	by the decision making of the
14	Legislature last Tuesday.
15	MR. KENT: I can tell you
16	STENOGRAPHER: Sir, your name?
17	MR. KENT: Christopher Kent.
18	I can advise you that the contract
19	has already been entered into. There
20	was an RFP on the selection of the
21	environmental consultants. That
22	contract has already been awarded and
23	has been entered into and has been
24	authorized the monies that you
25	approved have already been authorized to

1	be expended and they have already
2	commenced the study. So, I don't know
3	it is executory in nature by the
4	Legislature but the contract with the
5	environmental consultant and the
6	commencement of the EIS is no longer
7	executory. It has now been commenced.
8	LEGISLATOR VILORIA-FISHER: So then
9	there would be a nullification issue if
10	we were to pass this. I mean we can't
11	pass Kennedy's Bill then because then it
12	is breaking something out of
13	MR. KENT: I would request that you
14	do not pass Kennedy's Bill because we've
15	already done a thorough study on the
16	impact of the overall project and we're
17	studying all of the potential impacts
18	that might be all the potential
19	impacts that might occur if development
20	goes forward pursuant to the RFP that
21	was issued in 2007. That's the scope of
22	the study in a nutshell.
23	The scope is a much longer
24	document, but we're looking at all of
25	the potential impacts under the RFP as

1	issued by the County back in 2007.
2	LEGISLATURE VILORIA-FISHER: I have
3	to say that I thought the one positive
4	thing about the Kennedy Bill is that
5	this is the easiest piece of the of
6	all of the pieces that run through
7	Legacy Village so you know, it would
8	have been, perhaps, a very beneficial
9	element to do that study.
10	MR. KENT: It will studied. That's
11	part of the overall study.
12	LEGISLATOR VILORIA-FISHER: But can
13	it move forward without directing
14	MR. KENT: That would be based upon
15	the findings and determination that the
16	Legislature enacts or adopts at the time
17	at the end of the study, so at the
18	end of the study there will be a draft
19	or GEIS and that will be submitted for
20	review. There will be comments. There
21	will be a final there will be
22	findings and determinations that will be
23	made by the Legislature by resolution at
24	the end of the study.
25	LEGISLATOR VILORIA-FISHER: My

1	point, Chris, is that we do have to wait
2	until the end of the study to the entire
3	project. One benefit that I saw in
4	Kennedy's Bill was that the study, I'm
5	assuming, around the Carmine's River
6	Watershed would be much more involved
7	and the traffic study that we can do
8	with the affordable housing and all of
9	those issues which are much more complex
10	than this piece of property.
11	MR. KENT: We do think the study
12	will become the basis for any decision
13	making in the future.
14	LEGISLATOR VILORIA-FISHER: Well,
15	sure.
16	MR. KENT: And I'm using a legal
17	technical term called "bulletproof."
18	Any decisions that the Legislature makes
19	will help.
20	LEGISLATOR VILORIA-FISHER: But we
21	can't expedite it by breaking anything
22	out because now the study has to be one
23	piece.
24	MR. CHAIRMAN: Linda?
25	MS. SPAHR: Linda Spahr.

1	It would end up being segmentation	
2	because although it would seem that one	
3	of the alternatives is the EIS as a	
4	whole project could be considered if	
5	what if you only did 95 acres? That	
6	would probably satisfy any requirements	
7	reviewing it only.	
8	MR. KENT: The best way to insulate	
9	the Legislature to insulate future	
10	actions from successful litigation. I	
11	mean all open litigation can be	
12	considered for anything, but to insulate	
13	your actions from success or litigation,	
14	I think the completion of the study will	
15	give you the foundation to base your	
16	hard look at the determination.	
17	MR. KAUFMAN: Phrasing it a	
18	different way would be taking a hard	
19	look as part of the main EIS with an	
20	alternative sale of the 95 acres, again,	
21	as an alternative and maybe even	
22	mitigation. However, you want to	
23	classify it. It sort of a backwards way	
24	to go but it does if you take a hard	
25	look, it sort of, in my opinion, allows	

1	for possible future sale if that's the	
2	way the Legislature wants to go as a	
3	policy decision. Basically, the EIS	
4	would cover the entire four parcels. It	
5	would also, as an alternative, whereas	
6	mitigation covered a sale of a single	
7	parcel parcels C and D and the 95	
8	acres, and if a hard look is taken and	
9	it's taken in that context, I think	
10	that, again, it's sort of backwards from	
11	the way you would do it I think if	
12	that were possible to do in finding	
13	statements could incorporate those	
14	findings and then that would become a	
15	policy decision for the Legislature. I	
16	am not saying	
17	LEGISLATOR VILORIA-FISHER: That	
18	was in the scope of the document; wasn't	
19	it? That we would like at those	
20	alternatives.	
21	MR. CHAIRMAN: I think that	
22	MR. BAGG: The scope has not been	
23	completely at this point.	
24	LEGISLATOR VILORIA-FISHER: That's	
25	the draft, sorry.	

1	MR. KAUFMAN: Jim, something could
2	be added into the stuff then if we
3	wanted to? That was a question that had
4	come up.
5	MR. BAGG: Again, the only
6	encompassing project that includes C and
7	D and it's ultimate build-out for
8	industrial, once you adopt your finding
9	statement you're proceeding with a
10	lesser project within the scope is
11	perfect doable and doesn't need any
12	further SEQRA.
13	MR. KAUFMAN: One of the questions
14	that I had for you was, in the initial
15	scope, we had looked at the sale of all
16	four as a single unit. We had not, as I
17	remember and I may be wrong on this, we
18	had not looked at the sale of C and D as
19	a separate unit. I asked you whether
20	that would be a defect in there. Now,
21	if the scope is still open, I think that
22	removes that question. It may not have
23	been initially posted, but if the scope
24	is still open and we control the
25	MR. BAGG: The scope is open. It's

1	a question and an issue that should be
2	dealt with.
3	MR. KAUFMAN: Yes. We need to
4	eventually Michael has to deal with
5	that and place it into the scope.
6	MR. BAGG: If you could broaden the
7	scope to consider those issues parceling
8	the scale with one parcel.
9	MR. KAUFMANN: So you don't need a
10	separate
11	MR. BAGG: Squeeze separate parcels
12	in the future that could be an
13	indication that
14	MR. KAUFMAN: Yes, that would
15	MR. MULE: The scope does right now
16	though, as one of the alternatives, look
17	at development under existing scope and
18	currently this area is zoned as
19	industrial. So the maximum impact will
20	be looked at the during the EIS process
21	of the parcel. So we will be covered by
22	the scale that we can work under.
23	MR. CHAIRMAN: Richard?
24	MR. MACHTAY: I would just like to
25	know what the County Attorney's opinion

1	is on segmentation and if that's my
2	head is spinning today so I am just
3	trying to consolidate my thoughts and
4	also the Planning Department and if
5	we're going to discuss this at the next
6	meeting, I think this is imperative.
7	MR. ISLES: We will be prepared to
8	discuss it.
9	MR. CHAIRMAN: Vivian Fisher, can
10	you share what you think the sense is of
11	the Legislature is? It's like herding
12	cats?
13	LEGISLATOR VILORIA-FISHER: You
14	know, it's very puzzling right now how
15	this is going to play out. I think we
16	need to hear those opinions, as well.
17	This was just laid on the table so there
18	hasn't been a discussion. There's a
19	sense of wanting to get some part of
20	this done to bring back revenue, but on
21	the other hand, we just ratified the
22	expenditure of money because we're in a
23	contract but we can't do that. In other
24	words, we have taken a step forward that
25	we can't go back on. I can't see that

1	we can pass Kennedy's Bill at this point
2	because we jumped in with both feet on
3	the other projects it seems to me.
4	That's how it seem to me. We need to
5	hear all the lawyers duke it out.
6	MR. MACHTAY: I don't think that
7	the CEQ should second guess either the
8	Planning Department or the County
9	Attorney and that's what it seems like
10	has happened before and I don't think
11	MR. CHAIRMAN: I am not clear on
12	what you're saying.
13	MR. MACHTAY: I don't think we
14	should start second guessing and say
15	"Oh, this is segmenting or this is not
16	segmenting or we should go ahead with
17	the whole thing or not go ahead with the
18	whole thing." Until we're hear what all
19	their opinions are and have it all laid
20	out on the table for us and the public.
21	LEGISLATOR VILORIA-FISHER: I will
22	ask the Legislative Counsel to weigh in
23	on this. He was the one who drafted the
24	bill for the legislative county.
25	MR. KAUFMAN: Rich, I fully agree

1	with what you're saying. This is a
2	very, very interesting situation. I was
3	asking had the Legislature shown up
4	there for other reasons and I was asked
5	by one of the Legislatures to tell him
6	what I thought in the middle of the
7	meeting. So that's what I did and what
8	I sent to her. It was an "off the
9	cover" opinion. I had not heavily
10	researched and looked at the issue and
11	things like that. I do agree with you a
12	hundred percent. We have to be very,
13	very firm on the grounds on all of this.
14	A lot of this is policy from the
15	Legislature and beyond us.
16	LEGISLATOR VILORIA-FISHER: There's
17	a lot chomping at the bit for lawsuits
18	here too. I mean, I have gotten calls
19	about that so
20	MR. CHAIRMAN: You want to keep the
21	CEQ out of that.
22	So we're still discussing the
23	ratification that was laid on the table.
24	Anything else?
25	MR. MULE: That was the only one I

		33
1	wanted to bring up.	
2	MR. KAUFMANN: I will make a motion	
3	on this eventually.	
4	The only interesting one that I	
5	have seen aside from what has been 1670	
6	or 1653 confirming the appointment of	
7	the commissioner and I just wanted to	
8	point that out for interest at this	
9	point in time.	
10	MR. CHAIRMAN: Commissioner of	
11	what?	
12	MR. KAUFMAN: Commissioner of Parks	
13	taking over from Legislature	
14	MR. CHAIRMAN: Thank you.	
15	MR. KAUFMAN: There was one other	
16	in there. It was the Victory Garden	
17	proposal which I didn't	
18	LEGISLATOR VILORIA-FISHER: That's	
19	just extending the time. That's all it	
20	is. It's extending the time of the	
21	task-force.	
22	MR. BAGG: It's administrative.	
23	MR. CHAIRMAN: Do we have a motion	
24	on accepting staff recommendations?	
25	MR. KAUFMAN: I will make the	

		30
1	motion, but I would abstain on 1653.	
2	MR. MACHTAY: I will second the	
3	motion.	
4	MR. CHAIRMAN: We have a motion and	
5	a second.	
6	All those in favor?	
7	THE COUNCIL: Aye.	
8	MR. CHAIRMAN: Opposed?	
9	(WHEREUPON, there was no response.)	
10	MR. CHAIRMAN: For the record,	
11	Mr. Kaufmann approves with the exception	
12	of 1653 from which he has abstained.	
13	(WHEREUPON, the Council voted.)	
14	MR. CHAIRMAN: The next item is	
15	Recommended Unlisted Action.	
16	First one is the proposed sale of	
17	the John J. Foley Skilled Nursing Home.	
18	This is the continuation of the topic	
19	from last month, and I pointed out that	
20	we received a letter from Mr. Kennedy in	
21	which we had asked him to prepare	
22	concerning his issues with moving	
23	forward with this proposed sale.	
24	So, I hope you all had the time to	
25	read it. It was sent out, Jim, or was	

1	it in the packet?
2	MR. BAGG: It was in the packet and
3	it was also sent out to other
4	individuals, as well. There is an
5	attachment from the Response Unit of the
6	County Executive Office also in with
7	that material and in that response, are
8	Legislator Kennedy's points.
9	MR. CHAIRMAN: So before we open
10	the discussion, a couple of points of
11	clarification.
12	Talking with Jim, and just to
13	remind you that underneath those,
14	apparently, we would be required to look
15	at social issues, economic issues, and
16	so forth and that's not a requirement
17	under SEQRA. Also, the definition of
18	the environment that we're working with
19	is as follows:
20	Environment means the physical
21	conditions that will be effected by a
22	proposed action including land, air,
23	water, minerals, flora bona, noise,
24	resources, agricultural, archeology
25	historical study significance and

		30
1	existing patterns of population,	
2	concentration, distribution of growth,	
3	and existing community or neighborhood	
4	character and human health.	
5	So, with that, we will open the	
6	discussion again for the nursing home.	
7	Mr. Kauffman, you had some comments	
8	you wanted to make?	
9	MR. KAUFMAN: Yes.	
10	Legislator Kennedy's letter raises	
11	a number of issues and some of them are	
12	policy issues that are outside of our	
13	jurisdiction. Some of them are	
14	interpretations of SEQRA. Some of them	
15	are social issues, et cetera. A lot of	
16	it is the challenge to the document.	
17	I have learned over the years that	
18	we should not be a slave to the actual	
19	document itself. The document of the	
20	Suffolk County EAF form has no standing	
21	in law as a document exclusive to	
22	Suffolk County, and it has no standing	
23	in law as a type of required document	
24	but is something that is put together by	
25	the County to enable people to look at	

1	what is going on.
2	EAF's are required by law. How you
3	design one for your individual county, I
4	believe, is not put forth in the law.
5	Obviously, there has to be certain
6	requirements that are met. The Suffolk
7	County EAF does met those requirements.
8	So, what I am basically trying to
9	say is challenges to the document that
10	the document does not cover and what
11	needs to be covered and given the
12	information that we have, I don't think
13	really meets the criteria for causing
14	problems.
15	The record that we create in
16	examining the document is the critical
17	aspect. In other words, the hard look
18	that we take at the information that is
19	presented to us. So, if we have an EAF
20	before us and if it has a glaring error
21	in it, that's one thing. If it's an
22	issue of interpretation, that's
23	something else. If you look at the
24	documents and we discuss it at the board
25_	that's what we're here for our

		40
1	discussions are the hard look. The	
2	responses of the County to this are the	
3	hard look and that is what they call	
4	penumbra what we're supposed to exam.	
5	That's the record that goes before the	
6	Legislature. That's the record that is	
7	part of a court challenge. So the	
8	document itself is sort of a taking off	
9	point. Again, it should be filled out	
10	properly, but the information that we're	
11.	getting is what we need to really	
12	examine.	
13	So, if there are errors in the	
14	document itself, which I am not hundred	
15	percent sure there are, I don't think	
16	that should preclude us from looking at	
17	everything giving a hard look and	
18	examining the documents that are	
19	presented to us and making a	
20	recommendation along the way or the	
21	others. So that is sort of the frame of	
22	this discussion that I wanted to throw	
23	out there.	
24	Again, that is sort of prompted by	
25	what Legislature Kennedy had been	

1	talking about a month ago and the letter
2	also that he has submitted to us. We,
3	obviously, have to consider the EAF but
4	there are some matters that may be left
5	open to a little bit of interpretation
6	and also the amendment in terms of the
7	stuff that the County has given us dated
8	June 2, I believe, really fills in those
9	gaps. They have more than adequately
10	presented their case. It's up to us now
11	to discuss and see whether we accept it.
12	That's where, I think, we need to go.
13	MR. CHAIRMAN: Before we get into
14	debate, I would like to ask if there any
15	comments from the people in the audience
16	on this matter?
17	MS. OGNO: I would like to speak.
18	MR. CHAIRMAN: May I ask you to add
19	to what was presented before and not
20	just a rehash it.
21	MS. OGNO: My name Linda Ogno.
22	I work at John J. Foley. I think
23	that a lot has to be looked into more
24	than what Mr. Kaufmann said.
25	Also, what's going to happen to

1	everyone that works there? This is a
2	big impact on our County as a whole.
3	We're aging. We're an aging population
4	and John J. Foley is an important part
5	and should remain County because we take
6	in all sorts of people. I think that's
7	important for you to know. We're
8	not-for-profit and that makes a big
9	difference in caring for people.
10	MR. CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
11	Anybody else?
12	(WHEREUPON, there was no response.)
13	MR. CHAIRMAN: If not, we will move
14	forward with our discussion of this
15	issue.
16	Anybody have any comments?
17	THE WITNESS: Did the County
18	actually correct the EAF? Because when
19	we looked at it last month, there were
20	some
21	MR. BAGG: Well, I think that, that
22	was challengeable. I mean a lot of
23	"if's" and "maybe's" and people with
24	crystal balls, but I don't think it was
25	actually, just in the document, the

1	response says that you know, prior to
2	evaluate the situation, however, I
3	don't think the EAF was wrong based on
4	the project per se. I mean, also, if
5	the Council feels Part 2 is filled out
6	by the CEQ, you can make those changes
7	before they go to the Legislature.
8	MR. BROWN: I guess the answer to
9	that is the EAF.
10	MS. SPAHR: If I may, additional
11	information was provided to offset this
12	in response to the comments.
13	MR. BROWN: I got the comments. I
14	got the response but would you add the
15	accuracy to the EAF? Could you add
16	those comments into that?
17	MR. BAGG: What are the
18	inaccuracies?
19	MR. BROWN: Excuse me?
20	What are the inaccuracies?
21	MR. BROWN: Well, I mean some of it
22	was that it was not going to effect any
23	employees of the County. That's just
24	one piece of it.
25	MR. KENT: That's not a criteria,

		11
1	is it? Jobs?	
2	MR. BAGG: No. He just said,	
3	"Would this cause a relocation of 15 or	
4	more employees" and we don't know. You	
5	can't predict that. You have no idea.	
6	This is a sale of a facility as it is.	
7	That's a future decision of the person	
8	who purchases the facility. I mean, for	
9	all we know, the guy could add jobs. We	
10	have no idea what he is going to do.	
11	MR. BROWN: It's the sale of the	
12	facility with additional wooded land	
13	next to it; correct?	
14	MR. BAGG: Well, it's not	
15	additional wooded land. The original	
16	parcel of the facility was placed on	
17	where was it, Michael?	
18	MR. KAUFMANN: 27 acres.	
19	MR. BAGG: 27 acres of which	
20	they're going to sell 14. The original	
21	facility, before it was constructed, and	
22	the physical alteration of roughly about	
23	10 acres was Neg Declaration the	
24	entire facility, by the Legislature.	
25	MR. BROWN: Original?	

1	MR. BAGG: Yes.	
2	MR. KAUFMAN: If I might add, this	
3	is an issue that will be coming up as	
4	the land clearing there is an issue	
5	that comes up with that. As to your	
6	point on the contract issue, it's a sale	
7	right now of a public facility, plus	
8	associated employee. I am not implying	
9	that they're endangering service sale or	
10	anything like that, but for discussion	
11	purposes, let's just say it's sort of a	
12	transfer of those employees. Whether	
13	you like it or not, it's a sale as is.	
14	That's the way the County has placed it.	
15	That's the County policy right now.	
16	Whether that's changed with the	
17	Legislature, that's something else. The	
18	point is that there is nothing in the	
19	contract controlling how those employees	
20	would be dealt with in the future.	
21	That's sort of outside or purview. If	
22	there was something in the contract,	
23	during the sale, saying that, then you	
24	might have a point on that. Right now,	
25	what Jim is saying, we don't know where	

1	it is going. There is nothing at the
2	executive level saying that there were a
3	clause set or a restriction clause.
4	Maybe that's something that the
5	Legislature will act upon and add. I
6	don't know, but at this point in time,
7	we're dealing with a contract situation
8	coming out of the Executive that's
9	the way the bill is coming. We can't
10	add, if you will, conditions that they
11	don't have. We're assessing what
12	they're giving to us. Again, it's the
13	policymakers, which is the Legislature,
14	eventually, to decide whether or not it
15	is a good thing to have noting in there
16	covering their employees and their
17	future employment. Maybe the
18	Legislature might say, "For five years,
19	you can't fire anybody." That's the
20	Legislature's decision. It's not ours
21	and it's not something that's before us
22	at this point in time. That's the way
23	it was designed and it's not an issue
24	for us.
25	MR. BAGG: It's also not an

		4 /
1	environmental issue.	
2	MR. KAUFMANN: As Larry read out a	
3	minute ago, it's a physical alteration.	
4	That's why we're	
5	MR. BAGG: Layoffs are not a	
6	SEQRA(able) item. State read the	
7	layoffs 5,000 people County may	
8	layoff people and that's not required to	
9	be a SEQRA(able) item.	
10	MR. CHAIRMAN: Dan?	
11	MR. PICHNEY: You might have just	
12	answered my question.	
13	Is it just the fact that even if	
14	the new owner were to hire all the	
15	County employees, doesn't the fact that	
16	it's a change in the conditions of	
17	employment going from public sector to	
18	private sector in terms of salary	
19	benefits and all of that; does that make	
20	a difference?	
21	MR. KAUFMAN: That's a contract	
22	issue. That's a contract of policy	
23	issue. That's not an environmental	
24	issue.	
25	MR. CHAIRMAN: Viloria?	

1	LEGISLATOR VILORIA-FISHER: I have	
2	a question about the zoning issue going	
3	to a municipality.	
4	One of the responsibilities that I	
5	saw was that this institution used the	
6	is allowable for AA residential; is	
7	that correct? I just wanted to	
8	MR. BAGG: I believe so, yes.	
9	LEGISLATOR VILORIA-FISHER: I also	
10	have a problem with questions 20 which	
11	says, "Is there a public controversy	
12	related to the potential environmental	
13	impact?" This has been very	
14	controversial but you're saying that	
15	Part 2 can be amended by	
16	MR. BAGG: Basically, at the time	
17	that form was filled out, there were no	
18	environmental concerns that have been	
19	entered into the record. I mean those	
20	are public	
21	LEGISLATOR VILORIA-FISHER: But we	
22	can amend that as a Council?	
23	MR. BAGG: Yes. I mean, and they	
24	try to respond to that in the response	
25	based on what was entered at the meeting	

1	and whether or not someone you have
2	to evaluate as to whether or not the
3	concerns presented are, in fact,
4	significant environmental concerns.
5	LEGISLATOR VILORIA-FISHER: Are you
6	saying that the grandfather piece with
7	the institutional is something that is
8	as-of-right?
9	MR. BAGG: Yes.
10	LEGISLATOR VILORIA-FISHER: I just
11	wanted to double check that.
12	MR. BAGG: It's also a preexisting
13	use.
14	MR. CHAIRMAN: I think it's
15	important to recognize that it's very
16	common in environmental debates to throw
17	a dog in the picture that's not really
18	environmental and to have everybody
19	begin to render their hands about. In a
20	sense, that's what's happening here.
21	The issues that have been raised to
22	us are really not environmental issues.
23	There are other forums that those
24	debates can take place.
25	MR. BAGG: I mean, the way that the

1	project is proposed, it's a sale as is.
2	There is no project associated with
3	this. There is no physical alteration
4	associated with this. We're selling
5	this title to this property for someone
6	else to operate.
7	LEGISLATOR VILORIA-FISHER: Well,
8	the only physical change would be the
9	flagpole access to the property?
10	MR. BAGG: But that's part of
11	that's not a physical change because
12	nobody knows whether that will ever be
13	built. The County access right now is
14	perfectly adequate. Nobody is going to
15	go build a new access to that road
16	unless somewhere down the line, the Town
17	of Brookhaven decides that you need to
18	have your own separate access and at
19	that point in time, they will have to do
20	SEQRA before they can stipulate that,
21	that take place.
22	MR. CHAIRMAN: That is discussed in
23	one of the responses that we got from
24	the County Executive's Office.
25	Yes?

1	MR. KAUFMAN: I would like to bring
2	up a couple of environmental issues.
3	That is our job.
4	One, looking back at the 1992
5	resolution there is a cut-out, if you
6	will, of 27.8 acres identified to the
7	CEQ at that time. It is all County
8	owned property and this is sort of
9	carved out that this was going to be the
10	area that was going to be reserved for
11	the skilled nursing facility. There was
12	never, to my knowledge, any creation of
13	a separate lot at that time. I do
14	remember that. There was not a formal
15	carve out. This was more of a policy
16	carve out. It just said that in the 800
17	acres that we owned in the area, we're
18	going to take 27.8 and reserve that for
19	the nursing home. At that time,
20	approximately, it was 72 percent of the
21	site would be left in its natural state
22	as a buffer. Right now, the site that
23	is going to be actually sold and
24	surveyed out is 14.6 acres or something
25	like and at that meeting last month, we

1	went into that a little bit. One of the
2	recommendations that some of us are
3	probably going to make was that
4	expansion of a parking lot into the
5	natural buffer should really be frowned
6	upon or maybe phrased a little
7	differently that the buffer that is
8	within 14.6 acres should be left in its
9	natural state. In other words, freeze
10	the footprints where it is at right now.
11	I think that is except for the pole
12	portion where the road would be going in
13	which the Town of Brookhaven required,
14	but, I think, that's something that we
15	should at least consider and possibly
16	make a recommendation on that the
17	remainder of the site should be left in
18	its natural state as a buffer.
19	Right now, they have a pretty good
20	parking in the area and the building
21	itself is four stories five stories.
22	I don't remember which.
23	MR. KENT: Partly four, partly
24	five.
25	MR. KAUFMAN: Four and-a-half. I

1	would be hesitant to allow further	
2	clearing in the area. I think it's	
3	adequately serviced and since 1992 there	
4	has not been any complaints about that.	
5	The second part is the pole portion	
6	where the potential road might be	
7	driven. If the County were to cut out	
8	off access from the facilities to the	
9	north, I believe that's the Police	
10	Headquarters over there, then the Town	
11	of Brookhaven would require a road to be	
12	driven through the pole portion.	
13	Obviously, that has to be protected	
14	somewhat. It makes it nice coming in	
15	and I have seen a little pole section	
16	that always was a nice pretension. I	
17	would simply suggest that the minimum	
18	clearance be done for it, and that	
19	possibly be part of any resolution that	
20	the County would go forth with.	
21	Finally, there was a pesticide	
22	issue. There were some discussions last	
23	month about pesticides and the County	
24	has presented in its presentation to us	
25	documentation as to how pesticides are	

1	handled on thousand resignance
1	handled on there's and waivers, et
2	cetera. I am not sure how to handle
3	that. I think a contract provision or
4	something like that would be an
5	appropriate recommendation to make if
6	the Council wanted to say, "No
7	pesticides and no nuking of the areas,"
8	or with whatever's out there to keep the
9	bugs down and the pests that are out
10	there, but it is something that we
11	should consider.
12	Those are the three primary
13	environmental issues that I have seen
14	out there. So I just wanted to bring
15	everybody back up to speed so we can
16	focus on that.
17	MR. CHAIRMAN: Any other comments?
18	LEGISLATOR VILORIA-FISHER: Yes.
19	Actually, Mike went into the
20	comments that I had, had because my
21	concern really was on the environmental
22	issues which was the use of pesticides
23	and herbicides. I know those have been
24	mentioned, but that has gone before CAC
25	and reviewed on a case-by-case basis,

1	and we won't have that protection if
2	it's privately owned. So just to say
3	that we have exceptions, doesn't mean
4	that, that's allowable. It means that
5	we are on a case-by-case basis.
6	MR. KAUFMAN: And you lose your
7	exception.
8	LEGISLATOR VILORIA-FISHER: You
9	look puzzled by what I am saying?
10	We're not going to have control, in
11	other words, if we use the pesticides
12	and
13	MS. SPAHR: If there for medical
14	purposes, no.
15	LEGISLATOR VILORIA-FISHER: No, I
16	am talking about the lawn and the
17	grounds. That's the environmental
18	concern that I have because we, in the
19	County, are very restricted on County
20	owned property. Once it is privately
21	owned, that restriction will not be
22	there. To say that there's control by
23	our Health Department and not on private
24	owned property, does not rise to the
25	standards that we have on County

1	property and we have that commitment. I
2	think that is an environmental issue.
3	MR. BROWN: Currently, they're
4	operating under a waiver so
5	LEGISLATOR VILORIA-FISHER: Well,
6	there have been waivers granted, but
7	those are granted on a case-by-case
8	basis.
9	MR. BAGG: Yes, DPW has a waiver
10	for that. They actually have granted
11	waivers for use of pesticides.
12	LEGISLATOR VILORIA-FISHER: But
13	those waivers are still more restricted
14	than what we would have if it were just
15	a privately owned property.
16	MR. CHAIRMAN: Also, the drainage
17	for the property will go on the County
18	owned property. So, perhaps, a
19	provision of some sort of control of the
20	pesticides is in order.
21	MR. KAUFMAN: Deep covenant is the
22	way it's set up or something like that
23	or make it part of the contract of sale
24	and have it recorded to the deed.
25	That's the way to fine the homeowner to

1	certain environmental issues in the
2	future.
3	MR. KENT: We could place a
4	covenant or condition of the sale that
5	they require notes that requires
6	notification as an enjoining property if
7	they intend to apply pesticides or
8	herbicides to their property with the
9	reservation that we could contest or
10	object to their use of pesticides.
11	MR. CHAIRMAN: Shouldn't it be used
12	anywhere around the
13	MR. PICHNEY: Well, I think that's
14	called for under additional DEC law;
15	right?
16	MR. KENT: Right, but all I am
17	saying is that with the added provision,
18	we could object or somehow have a right
19	reserve a right. Right now, the
20	public notification or the adjoining
21	property notification requirement is
22	strictly for them to be notified so that
23	they could not elect to be present when
24	the application is made to the property
25	and that would somehow protect them from

1	being exposed to pesticides or	
2	herbicides on the property.	
3	* * *	
	LEGISLATOR VILORIA-FISHER: But	
4	you're going further in suggesting our	
5	right to object.	
6	MR. KENT: We could if you want to	
7	make that a condition.	
8	LEGISLATOR VILORIA-FISHER: Well,	
9	the policy statement Larry is right.	
10	There is another forum to talk about the	
11	policy of the sale, but within this	
12	scope, I would say that would be	
13	something I would want to see.	
14	MR. CHAIRMAN: Any other comments?	
15	(WHEREUPON, there was no response.)	
16	MR. CHAIRMAN: Do we have a motion?	
17	MR. KAUFMAN: Motion Unlisted	
18	Negative Declaration with the follow	
19	recommendations:	
20	One, that the existing buffers	
21	inside the carved out property be	
22	retained. Second, what Mr. Kent just	
23	talked about regarding pesticides and	
24	herbicide to be part of our	
25	recommendation.	

1	LEGISLATOR VILORIA-FISHER: Was
2	that the flagpole buffer to be retained?
3	MR. KAUFMAN: I haven't added that.
4	MR. CHAIRMAN: So, let me clarify
5	this. You want to put covenant on the
6	use of pesticides in
7	MR. KAUFMAN: Pesticides and
8	herbicides on the property.
9	MR. CHAIRMAN: Limit the use of?
10	MR. KAUFMAN: Yes.
11	MS. GROWNEY: And notification?
12	MR. BAGG: That is notification.
13	LEGISLATOR VILORIA-FISHER: The notification and
14	the right of refusal. What's the term
15	that you used?
16	MR. KENT: I said, a required
17	notification with the right to contest
18	outdoor use of pesticides.
19	MR. CHAIRMAN: Did the Stenographer
20	get that?
21	(WHEREUPON, there was read back.)
22	MR. CHAIRMAN: Michael?
23	MR. KAUFMAN: The third one is on
24	the pole section of the property going
25	onto Yaphank Avenue, that the minimal

1	clearance be undertaken sufficient for
2	two lanes of traffic and proper access
3	or ingress/egress be placed. If it's
4	opened up and if the rights of way that
5	previously had been committed to by the
6	County are removed, then minimal
7	clearance along the pole section be .
8	undertaken.
9	MR. CHAIRMAN: Just one question
10	about that?
11	Clearance for this probably has to
12	be a little bigger than what, perhaps,
13	we would like to get in order to get
14	emergency vehicles such as fire and
15	ambulance and so forth adequately?
16	MR. KAUFMAN: That's why I said
17	minimal. That's a word of art because
18	it depends upon the requirements that
19	the engineers come up with.
20	MR. CHAIRMAN: We have a motion on
21	the table. Do we have a second?
22	MS. GROWNEY: Second.
23	MR. CHAIRMAN: Any further
24	discussion?
25	(WHEREUPON, there was no response.)

1	THE COUNCIL: Aye.
2	MR. CHAIRMAN: Opposed?
3	LEGISLATOR VILORIA-FISHER:
4	Opposed.
5	MR. CHAIRMAN: You're opposing?
6	LEGISLATOR VILORIA-FISHER: Yes.
7	MR. CHAIRMAN: Abstentions?
8	(WHEREUPON, there was no response.)
9	MR. CHAIRMAN: Motion carries.
10	(WHEREUPON, the Council voted.)
11	MR. CHAIRMAN: Proposed Sewer
12	District Number 14 - Parkland
13	Construction of Additional Recharge Bed.
14	Town of Islip.
15	Tell us your name, position, and
16	speak loudly for our Stenographer.
17	MS. MC GOVERN: Janis McGovern,
18	Engineer with Suffolk County Department
19	of Public Works Sanitation Department.
20	I would like pass out some aerial
21	photos. (Handing.)
22	MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay.
23	MS. MC GOVERN: Basically, we would
24	like to add additional recharge beds at
25	our existing treatment plant, and the

1	reason is the other recharged beds that
2	we have, when originally built, the
3	groundwater was much lower and as the
4	groundwater table has risen, the plant
5	can't utilize these as sufficiently.
6	So, we would like to use the area which
7	is adjacent to the golf course to make
8	another recharge bed. The bottom
9	elevation will be much higher and won't
10	be impacted by groundwater. So, we will
11	have the option to build an utilized bed
12	and use the other beds, as well.
13	MR. KAUFMAN: So what you're
14	basically saying is you can't go too
15	deep over there and you have to spread
16	out laterally and go shallow to have any
17	kind of dispersal?
18	MS. MC GOVERN: Yes.
19	MR. KAUFMAN: There is no way I
20	am just curious of the soils
21	underneath there, is there any way of
22	method I heard of doing a wick which
23	basically you go to the middle of it,
24	you drop down 200 feet, and create a
25	wick.

1	MS. MC GOVERN: We tried that
2	but
3	MR. KAUFMAN: It's too high?
4	MS. MC GOVERN: Yes, the
5	groundwater table is coming up.
6	In addition the Health Department
7	requires that we have empty beds. We
8	can't meet that requirement without
9	really doing this because all the beds
10	have groundwater. Right now, if you
11	were to drive there, all the beds have
12	groundwater. Even the ones that were
13	discharged too.
14	LEGISLATOR VILORIA-FISHER: Where
15	did the water table come up too?
16	Because I know I know Newsday had an
17	article the other day on how high
18	MS. MC GOVERN: I don't have the
19	elevation of the seal level of the
20	groundwater table, but I know if you go
21	to the site all the existing recharge
22	beds have several feet of groundwater.
23	It fluctuates but
24	LEGISLATOR VILORIA-FISHER: There
25	is a pond.

1	MR. CHAIRMAN: What is the status
2	of the woods that you will clearing?
3	MS. MC GOVERN: It is a little over
4	an acre 4500 square feet and we're
5	going to propose putting a buffer of
6	arborvitaes and eastern red cedar which
7	we consulted with the DPW landscape
8	architect which was needed, and my
9	supervisor had checked with the Town of
10	Islip to see if they would have an issue
11	with it. We sent them our plan. They
12	verbally acknowledged they do not have a
13	plan as long as we installed a buffer
14	with the trees.
15	MR. CHAIRMAN: You mean they would
16	not have a problem?
17	MS. MC GOVERN: Right, no issue
18	with us constructing a recharge bed.
19	MR. CHAIRMAN: My question is, what
20	is the wooded area like? Are these
21	scrub trees mature?
22	MS. MC GOVERN: I was walking
23	through there the other day and they're
24	mostly scrubbed. There are some pine
25	trees and several oak, but I would say

1	mostly scrub brush. You can see from
2	this aerial I would say maybe the
3	trees that you can see I have a
4	different photo but the green are the
5	larger trees substantial trees and
6	the other ones are mostly scrubs.
7	MR. CHAIRMAN: What about a public
8	health issue with regard to people
9	playing on the golf course the fumes?
10	MS. MC GOVERN: There won't be any
11	fumes. There generally aren't with
12	recharged beds. There are not any
13	issues with fumes.
14	MR. CHAIRMAN: Eva?
15	MS. GROWNEY: The only question I
16	have are with the plantings. I don't
17	know if it's true around here in this
18	location but in the East End, the deer
19	are eating all the arborvitaes. So my
20	recommendation would be that the
21	cedar's they're not eating, but they
22	have been eating all kinds of things so
23	you may want to really try and put a
24	permanent buffer in then to use material
25	that's is not going to be

1	MR. BAGG: Will there be a fence?
2	MR. MC GOVERN: It was not thought
3	to be fenced at this point. It is
4	something that we can consider. We
5	consulted with the architect, and he
6	suggested that those he thought they
7	were hardy and would survive other than
8	any other types.
9	MS. GROWNEY: They do but the deer
10	are eating the arborvitaes left and
11	right.
12	MR. ISLES: That was Holbrook.
13	MS. MC GOVERN: I think that was
14	out in Holbrook. I don't think that was
15	
16	MS. GROWNEY: I don't know. I
17	don't know if you have a problem here.
18	MR. CHAIRMAN: Other questions?
19	MR. ISLES: My name is Tom Isles.
20	I identified an area map that has
21	two areas in yellow. One of which is, I
22	believe, is the subject parcel but there
23	is a second area; is that incidental?
24	MS. MC GOVERN: No, I highlighted
25	that only because on the EAF it has

1	one of the questions was a nearby body
2	of water, so I just wanted everyone to
3	be able to see where it was.
4	MR. ISLES: Thank you.
5	MR. PICHNEY: Is this the vacant
6	bed up here? (Indicating.)
7	MS. MC GOVERN: In this aerial
8	photo, it appears to be vacant. I was
9	just there not long ago and it was not
10	vacant. It had water in it.
11	MR. MULE: These are probably the
12	2007 aerials.
13	MR. CHAIRMAN: Dan, you were
14	pointing out this area? (Indicating.)
15	MR. PICHNEY: That's correct.
16	MR. CHAIRMAN: And you were asking
17	whether or not that will be used as an
18	alternative?
19	MR. PICHNEY: That's right.
20	My other question is that you
21	know, piggybacking on either side
22	perhaps maybe you should use all eastern
23	red cedar rather than the arborvitae.
24	If nothing else too, the arborvitaes
25	bottom branches tend to dry over time

		68
1	and their also tend to get winter burned	
1	and they also tend to get winter burned	
2	and the red cedar is a lot tougher	
3	especially if you get a cultivated	
4	varieties. I have one that is 25 feet	
5	tall and it's branched all the way down	
6	to the bottom.	
7	MR. KAUFMAN: Question on the	
8	Cedars; are they that easily plantable?	
9	I tried to transplant stuff on my	
10	property and	
11	MR. PICHNEY: Sure. That's the	
12	problem you tried to transplant things	
13	that are still seeded. When you buy	
14	them from a nursery, it's a whole	
15	different ball game. You know when you	
16	go along the parkway and some are skinny	
17	and some are fat, yet some are tall and	
18	some are short? The reason is	
19	MR. BAGG: Some are shaped like	
20	hourglasses because the deer eat them if	
21	they're ripe.	
22	MS. GROWNEY: They eat red cedar?	
23	MR. BAGG: If you go along the	
24	parkway that looks kind of like this	
25	like an hourglass because the deer are	

1	lazy and they only eat stuff at their	
2	height.	
3	LEGISLATOR VILORIA-FISHER: Sounds	
4	like the men in my house when they look	
5	in the refrigerator.	
6	(WHEREUPON, there was laughter.)	
7	MR. PICHNEY: My other question is,	
8	how big is this? How many acres?	
9	MS. MC GOVERN: One acre.	
10	MR. PICHNEY: That's hardly	
11	anything. Nevertheless, I was thinking	
12	in terms of there might be orchards	
13	or black turtle and something like that	
14	so what I am suggesting is that somebody	
15	who knows that kind of stuff maybe	
16	should give a look before you start	
17	bulldozing.	
18	MR. CHAIRMAN: Any other comments?	
19	(WHEREUPON, there was no response.)	
20	MR. CHAIRMAN: Do we have a motion?	
21	MR. KAUFMAN: Motion Unlisted	
22	Negative Declaration.	
23	LEGISLATOR VILORIA-FISHER: I will	
24	seconded it.	
25	MR. CHAIRMAN: We have a motion and	

		70
1	a second by Legislator Fisher.	
2	Any further discussions?	
3	(WHEREUPON, there was no response.)	
4	MR. CHAIRMAN: All in favor?	
5	THE COUNCIL: Aye.	
6	MR. CHAIRMAN: Opposed?	
7	LEGISLATOR VILORIA-FISHER:	
8	Opposed.	
9	MR. CHAIRMAN: Abstentions?	
10	(WHEREUPON, there was no response.)	
11	MR. CHAIRMAN: Motion carries.	
12	(WHEREUPON, the Council voted.)	
13	MR. CHAIRMAN: Proposed Acquisition	
14	for Open Space.	
15	Lauretta?	
16	MS. LAURETTA FISHER: Good morning.	
17	I have before you another parcel	
18	for proposed acquisition in Beaverdam	
19	Creek County in the wetland area.	
20	They total 57 acres. They're	
21	approximately 34 lots that make up this	
22	area of acquisition. We segregated them	
23	out into 7 groups by ownership. The	
24	first seven colored boxes on your map	
25	are the proposed acquisition. The red,	

1	light blue, dark blue, the yellow,
2	purple, brown, and orange colors. As
3	you can see, the County as acquired a
4	number of parcels in green identified on
5	the map. The County has, to date,
6	approximately 104 acres plus the 57
7	acres for a total of 161 acres of County
8	ownership in this area.
9	The Town of Brookhaven, as well as,
10	New York State also owns a number of
11	parcels in here and in total, at this
12	point, we will own 370 acres with public
13	ownership with an acquisition. So we
14	would like to get your approval to move
15	forward on the these acquisitions.
16	LEGISLATOR VILORIA-FISHER:
17	Lauretta, what's (inaudible) Wood
18	Gardens?
19	MS. LAURETTA FISHER: That's the
20	name of the development developers
21	ownership. It's an ownership.
22	LEGISLATOR VILORIA-FISHER: But
23	they're nothing else?
24	MS. LAURETTA FISHER: Just the
25	name.

1	MR. BROWN: Lauretta, most of these
2	properties are small lots that
3	MS. LAURETTA FISHER: Some of them.
4	MR. BROWN: Not all of them. I
5	think there is one nine acre lot, but I
6	think most of them are smaller would be
7	very inexpensive for the town to
8	purchase; right?
9	MS. LAURETTA FISHER: Well, there's
10	a mixture here. There are developable
11	lots in the long rectangular shape
12	parcels on the left side of the old map.
13	So there's a mixture here of developable
14	and non-developable. Also, in combined
15	ownership, if you have a number of small
16	lots, you can also create developable
17	lots. So that was also taken into
18	consideration.
19	MR. BROWN: We, at the Town of
20	Brookhaven, have purchased a lot of land
21	over there also to try and protect the
22	Beaverdam Creek. It's been a high
23	priority to the County and to the Town.
24	MR. CHAIRMAN: Lauretta, you
25	mentioned that they moved up the scale;

1	what do you mean? What's moved up in	
2	scale? Did you change the criteria?	
3	MS. LAURETTA FISHER: I don't	
4	remember saying that.	
5	MR. CHAIRMAN: I thought I heard	
6	you say that their priorities had moved	
7	up?	
8	MS. LAURETTA FISHER: No, I didn't	
9	say that.	
10	MR. CHAIRMAN: I am hearing things.	
11	MS. LAURETTA FISHER: Sorry about	
12	that.	
13	It has been an important area for	
14	acquisition for a number of years. In	
15	fact, these were on the original	
16	community green ways program list; more	
17	than getting those back. So we're	
18	continuing to require them now with the	
19	new Drinking Water Protection Program.	
20	So this area has been a target area for	
21	over a decade.	
22	MR. ISLES: We did have one	
23	particular owner who had a number of	
24	strategic holdings? Mr. DeRosa in	
25	that, had he not gotten that, then there	

1	are others that are following; correct?
2	MS. LAURETTA FISHER: Correct, and
3	we will be back with some others next
4	month.
5	MR. BROWN: When the County did a
6	mapping of the area in terms of how
7	developments in that area will effect
8	the creek.
9	MS. LAURETTA FISHER: We did a
10	study in the early 90's on this and as
11	an out crop of that study, we did
12	identify this watershed and this area,
13	yes.
14	MR. CHAIRMAN: Any other questions?
15	LEGISLATOR VILORIA-FISHER: Where
16	is South Country Road?
17	MS. LAURETTA FISHER: South Country
18	Road is that this is Sunrise up here,
19	and then Beaverdam Creek is actually
20	along this area right
21	here. (Indicating.)
22	MR. CHAIRMAN: South Country Road
23	is the same as Montauk Highway.
24	LEGISLATOR VILORIA-FISHER: You
25	know when you make the right when going

1	east on Montauk. It's not the same?
2	It's not the same. It breaks off and
3	then it meets up with it again further
4	east.
5	MS. LAURETTA FISHER: Yes. It
6	meets up here. (Indicating.)
7	MR. MARTIN: That's really Montauk?
8	MS. LAURETTA FISHER: No, this is
9	Sunrise. On the North fork is a larger
10	roadway.
11	MR. MACHTAY: Are you sure?
12	MS. LAURETTA FISHER: Yes, this is
13	Sunrise and this Montauk Highway.
14	MR. CHAIRMAN: Any further
15	questions?
16	(WHEREUPON, there was no response.)
17	MR. CHAIRMAN: Do we have a motion?
18	MR. BROWN: Unlisted Negative
19	Declaration.
20	MS. SPENCER: Second.
21	MR. CHAIRMAN: Any further
22	comments?
23	(WHEREUPON, there was no response.)
24	MR. CHAIRMAN: All in favor?
25	THE COUNCIL: Aye.

1	MR. CHAIRMAN: Opposed?
2	(WHEREUPON, there was no response.)
3	MR. CHAIRMAN: Motion carries.
4	(WHEREUPON, the Council voted.)
5	MS. LAURETTA FISHER: Thank you.
6	MR. CHAIRMAN: Recommended Type 1
7	Action, Proposed Review of Cedar Point
8	County Park Master Plan.
9	Nick?
10	MR. GIBBONS: My name is Nick
11	Gibbons with the Parks Department.
12	It actually worked out that we
13	tabled this last month because it gave
14	everybody a chance to take a look at the
15	plan. We had it for 6 to 8 weeks at
16	this point in time.
17	Just to start, I will call your
. 18	attention to the fact that on the cover
19	this thing has been kicking around
20	since 2007, and I have the permission of
21	two former Commissioners both Ron Foley
22	and John Patterson.
23	LEGISLATOR VILORIA-FISHER: Great.
24	You have nothing to lose.
25	MR. GIBBONS: I will bring you up

1	to the present. We don't do these very
2	often. We should but we don't and
3	hopefully this is a trend that will
4	continue over the several years between
5	planning documents.
6	The delay is it's a convolute
7	explanation. Suffice to say, there's a
8	lot in here that set the tone for the
9	next 20 years for the Park. We don't
10	jump into too many things speaking first
11	anyway. So we take the time both
12	internally, a change in administration,
13	delays and those things.
14	I am going to walk you through the
15	EAF and call your attention to some of
16	the major things within the Master Plan
17	and then basically open up to any
18	questions that you all may have on the
19	specifics within that.
20	Just as a point of introduction, we
21	had the park since 1967. It really
22	dates back to the infancy of the
23	Department. We do own a couple of
24	properties longer than that, but they
25	actually predate the Department's and

1	existing park commission laid back
2	during the time the board of
3	supervisors. So, Cedar Point is really
4	one of our original parks of which there
5	are five or six. It was a former
6	hunting camp. It is roughly 600 acres
7	in size. It is located in the northwest
8	woods which is east of Sag Harbor.
9	Roughly, northeast of East Hampton
10	Hamlet and the Village of East Hampton
11	and there has been quite a lot of
12	acquisition in these past four decades
13	but Cedar Point is a major first step in
14	a government agency owning a property of
15	such scale in that area.
16	Now, fortunately, most of what is
17	going to be developed in that area has
18	been developed and quit a bit of
19	ownership including additional county
20	ownership of that northwest harbor has
21	occurred and the Town of East Hampton
22	and New York State DEC also own a
23	significant acreages in this area.
24	The park offers seasonal
25	recreational opportunities. It is open

1	year round. A vast majority of the time	
2	is April through October and is open for	
3	camping both tent camping and trailer	
4	camping. There are group camping	
5	facilities within the club camping and	
6	within the park although located	
7	separately from the more recreational	
8	individually group and family camping	
9	areas. We offer youth camping for scout	
10	groups and others. There is a athletic	
11	area for recreational baseball and what	
12	have you. There are rowboat launches	
13	and kayak launch areas on LY Pond. LY	
14	brook then leads you from LY Pond out	
15	into northwest harbor. So there is a	
16	mile of paddling opportunity there	
17	before you get out to open water. There	
18	is, approximately, one mile long sand	
19	spit of which there is beach access on	
20	both sides both the northwest harbor	
21	side and Gardner's Bay side.	
22	The spit terminates at Cedar Island	
23	Lighthouse which was formally an island	
24	site and became part of the peninsula in	
25	the 1938 Hurricane. Prior to that,	

1	there was roughly 200 to 300 yards in
2	open water between the end of the spit
3	and the Cedar Island Lighthouse was
4	developed. So that's still filled in
5	and has remained for the past 70 years.
6	So, in season, we have all those
7	typical campground activities that most
8	people see at parks. Offseason, we
9	offer both big game hunting and water
10	fell hunting from the sand spit. That's
11	an activities that occurred on that
12	piece of land, certainly, since the time
13	that the County acquired it and many
14	years prior to that, as well.
15	Back to the Master Plan, there is a
16	variety of improvements that are
17	recommended. Obviously, this is all
18	phased in and some of those things will
19	come to pass some day and some will not.
20	I have identified the major points here
21	within the project description of this
22	and I'll just run through those now.
23	All poles I will approximate that will
24	be contained within three acres of the
25	existing property. Two of those would

1	be currently wooded, intact, and another
2	would be another acre and that third
3	acre would be within existing clearings
4	to the disturb area.
5	So the improvements are
6	reconfiguring existing campsites and
7	roadways. We have that layout was
8	done very informally by previous
9	superintendents. It has withstood the
10	test of time which is really testimony
11	to their ability. There since long gone
12	and retired from the Department, but the
13	layout was done in house. This plan
14	examines that layout and makes
15	recommendations as to what sites may be
16	eliminated or added internal roadway
17	and traffic flow considerations.
18	The sites tend to lead into each
19	other on the side and the buffers become
20	more and more reduced. So we would like
21	to do more about giving people a better
22	camping experience when using the
23	campground areas. So this plan seems to
24	achieve that.
25	We're creating primitive campsites,

1	and new active recreational facilities
2	are contemplated such as a basketball
3	court which already exists but there is
4	talks about reconfiguring that and
5	certainly it is in need of attention.
6	There are two volleyball courts. Also a
7	new kayak launch area. An overall site
8	plan not only within internal to the
9	park, but getting to the park is a
10	challenge, which is part of the charm,
11	and has served to protect it well in
12	previous years. If you have ever been
13	up in that area, East Hampton has a
14	somewhat informal sign plan, if you can
15	call that. So this speaks to this
16	provides some better ways and findings
17	for those of us who are not from the
18	immediate area.
19	The plan recommends the relocation
20	and replacement of the existing camp
21	store, improve renovating existing
22	bathrooms, replace and reconfigure
23	campground checking station, and
24	construction of a nature center is
25	contemplated. Construction of a new

1	bathroom and construction of three
2	compost facilities. Construction of
3	viewing platforms and reconstruction of
4	the existing rowboat cabin. Restoration
5	of the Cedar Island Lighthouse,
6	upgrading existing utilities with fewer
7	water sanitary and demolish of several
8	falling structures. Those are primarily
9	the original maintenance facilities and
10	consolidate.
11	We did two recent projects, I'd say
12	in the past six to eight years with the
13	park. We built a new restroom facility
14	which came here to this Board, and we
15	also constructed a maintenance facility
16	which most came here, as well. That
17	location bounced around quite a bit due
18	to opposition from the local public. We
19	finally settled on a location and that
20	facility was built, I would have to say,
21	six years ago. I can check the
22	specifics on that.
23	The other thing I will call your
24	attention to within the EAF is that I
25	wanted to point out that I identified

1	within the project description, it asked
2	for acreage of vegetation or covered to
3	be removed and I point 2.0 acres. In
4	parenthesis following that, it says 1.0
5	to be cleared. That somewhat suggests
6	that it is two acres total, it's not.
7	It's three acres as I tried to describe
8	earlier one of which was previously
9	cleared and two are currently wooded.
10	Acres to remain under development
11	would be 540 acres, so obviously, that's
12	a vast majority of the site and that's
13	roughly 600 acres. So, rough numbers
14	would be 60 to 68 acres to be developed
15	as a result of this Master Plan. All
16	but two of those are currently
17	developed.
18	MS. SPENCER: May I ask a question
19	here?
20	MR. GIBBONS: Sure.
21	MS. SPENCER: When you say develop,
22	would a campsite that has been
23	established, the County can be
24	developing it even though it may have
25	vegetation to shield one camp from

1	another?
2	MR. GIBBONS: Well, development in
3	my mind would be not reconfiguration
4	within the cleared areas. It would be
5	construction or square footage. I tried
6	to
7	MS. SPENCER: When you say 60 are
8	developed, are you counting all the
9	camps?
10	MR. GIBBONS: Yes. In terms of
11	existing conditions, we consider the
12	whole campground complex to be a
13	developed area.
14	MS. SPENCER: A developed area?
15	MR. GIBBONS: And later on I will
16	call your attention to one of the
17	foldouts here. It really shows the way
18	the campground was sited. It was very
19	well planned in a sense that it gives
20	great access to the real amenities of
21	the site which are the beach areas,
22	although, fragmented features are not
23	located within the geographic center of
24	the park. It is really isolated out in
25	that northwest corner of the park which

1	leaves a vast amount of acreage intact
2	and other areas of the park.
3	Again, in the project description,
4	when they get into different habit sites
5	that will remain presently after
6	completion are wooded. So it goes from
7	400, approximately 430 acres of
8	wooded areas and 428 two acres that are
9	going to be proposed for clearing.
10	Those would not be continuous. They
11	would be patches of square footage that
12	would be necessary for development of
13	these features, but the total is two
14	acres.
15	There's too much more interest
16	within the EAF itself. Within the
17	Master Plan, I will just most people
18	focus on these things anyway. Figure
19	One, shows what we were getting into,
20	Mary Ann, in terms of it shows the
21	network of roadways and where they are
22	in proximity to the infrastructure that
23	we have within the camp store, and
24	various bathrooms facilities. Even our
25	maintenance, to some extent, needs to be

1	relocated close too but not impacting
2	the public's use of the property. This
3	constant running back and forth for
4	various things needs to be addressed
5	over the course of the camp season and
6	it helps to have those nearby.
7	Again, this is a focus and a closer
8	look at that area. It lists all the
9	existing conditions and features, so if
10	you have any questions relative to
11	those.
12	This gets into adding what are
13	proposed road reconstruction and some
14	new road construction proposed here, as
15	well. All of this, obviously, has been
16	endorsed by a close consultation with
17	the Parks Department. So really the
18	Master Plan serves to organize and
19	present the Park's Department ideas in a
20	fashion that makes for a more holistic
21	approach. Normally we are very reactive
22	and we do address specific projects and
23	the Master Plan helps pull all of it
24	together.
25	MR. KAUFMAN: It's quite clearly

1	oriented toward reorganization of the
2	park and that's where it needs to go.
3	MR. GIBBONS: Yes. It's very I
4	think it will be up to you guys, but I
5	don't think most of what's being
6	proposed in here is significant in any
7	way in terms of reconstruction. It is
8	more to protect we what we have done
9	inhouse for 40 years which is to
10	preserve the character of the place
11	while giving the public real access to
12	it.
13	This goes to specific
14	recommendations in terms of the nature
15	center, the camp store, the recreational
16	facilities, and their relations to the
17	existing campgrounds. Within the plan,
18	it shows both the existing and the
19	proposed campground. I would like to
20	call your attention to the fact that
21	there are actually proposed for some
22	sites eliminated. Again, that gets back
23	to their sort of adopted and expanded
24	area over the years, and we need to
25	tighten that up a little bit. Also,

1	there is some pretty significant
2	drainage and/or topography within the
3	campground. The site needs to be a
4	little more sensitive to preserving
5	that. The slopes are pretty tough to
6	deal with and we don't expect the public
7	to have to navigate through topographic
8	areas. So we want to tighten that up a
9	little bit, and get that enclosed buffer
10	away from those slopes.
11	There is well over 100 pictures in
12	here that go to the documents with
13	conditions both natural features and
14	infrastructure. It goes back cultural
15	surveys. That's included here as an
16	appendix. With the exception of the
17	lighthouse itself, it is suspected there
18	was significant Native American activity
19	at the site. There was proximity to the
20	harbor and its freshwater sources within
21	the park at least in terms of phase one
22	and nothing to significant was found.
23	MR. CHAIRMAN: I found arrowheads
24	there.
25	MR. KAUFMAN: And you removed it

_		
1	from County property?	
2	MR. CHAIRMAN: Dam right. It	
3	looked to me like it had been	
4	transported in.	
5	(WHEREUPON, there was laughter.)	
6	MR. CHAIRMAN: Sorry.	
7	MR. GIBBONS: I think I covered all	
8	of the basic points.	
9	MR. CHAIRMAN: Nick, I had a couple	
10	of things I wanted to ask you.	
11	First of all, you mentioned that	
12	you were putting in composting toilets	
13	which I think are good, but one of the	
14	things that I reserve for those	
15	facilities and public places is that	
16	they would really need maintenance. I	
17	was wondering what you foresee with the	
18	County's budget for maintenance in the	
19	County parks? What you see for this	
20	particular park? How often are they	
21	cleaned for camping?	
22	MR. GIBBONS: We have some	
23	experience with these now at Indian	
24	Island County Park in Riverhead. We	
25	have two such composting toilets out at	

1	the point itself which is really the
2	signature feature of that park. Those
3	of you that are familiar with it, the
4	point is that it is some distance away
5	from any infrastructure that we have.
6	We do a variety of requests and we
7	decided that was a good location to try
8	these out. We have been very pleased
9	with the results. They don't, in this
10	case, require any additional or anymore
11	maintenance than our more formal
12	bathroom facilities within the park do.
13	I would expect even better results here
14	in a sense that where these are
15	contemplated are primitive areas and not
16	high used areas, and you certainly have
17	a lot of infrastructure for bathroom
18	facilities as you would expect for a 200
19	site, you would need such facilities.
20	So, it's not unreasonable to think that
21	it would add significantly to the
22	maintenance regimen that the guys out at
23	the park already face.
24	MR. CHAIRMAN: The other thing
25	MR. GIBBONS: I should say, we have

1	gone back and forth with the Health	
2	Department on the appropriate necessity	
3	of compost toilet and how to make It	
4	is something we want to do more of but,	
5	suffice to say, there is considerable	
6	coordination with the Health Department	
7	on the location.	
8	MR. CHAIRMAN: The other question I	
9	had was concerning the lighthouse. I	
10	think this is a public private	
11	partnership kind of thing for	
12	maintenance?	
13	MR. GIBBONS: Not yet. There is a	
14	group	
15	MR. CHAIRMAN: A group that raises	
16	money?	
17	MR. GIBBONS: Well, they are but	
18	it's the agreement is someone had	
19	infancy between the department's and the	
20	groups are just really beginning to	
21	muster some financial resources to begin	
22	the initial steps for the ultimate	
23	restoration of the lighthouse. What	
24	that means, that restoration, we don't	
25	know yet. It can simply be a	

		23
1	stabilization. It can go anywhere from	
2	that basically not losing the resource	
3	to, I suppose, a full scale restoration	
4	including interior finishes is also on	
5	the table.	
6	MR. CHAIRMAN: What	
7	MR. GIBBONS: That is probably	
8	unrealistic. I don't know if Rich wants	
9	to raise that.	
10		
	MR. CHAIRMAN: So in your write up,	
11	you specifically mentioned that the CEQ	
12	has certain responsibilities; are those	
13	just the same things that we would have	
14	through the Historic Trust or are there	
15	other things?	
16	MR. GIBBONS: That tries to pull	
17	together that they're outside of the	
18	Department and that their are additional	
19	bodies. In this case, the Historic	
20	Trust that also had additional oversight	
21	at this particular facility.	
22	MR. CHAIRMAN: It is very	
23	consistent.	
24	Eva?	
25	MS. GROWNEY: Would you just give	

1	us a little description in your own	
2	words on the reasoning behind the moving	
3	of the store and what's that all about?	
4	MR. GIBBONS: Yes. The camp store	
5	operator has been at Cedar Point Park	
6	for 25 years now. He really knows	
. 7	better and than anybody what works or	
8	doesn't about that facility. The	
9	location is great, in that, it provides	
10	an outstanding view of Cedar Point and	
11	the lighthouse beyond and Shelter Island	
12	beyond that. Its distance from the	
13	campground itself is an issue for him.	
14	Also, from the Park's Department	
15	perspective, we think that we can make	
16	better utilization of that by developing	
17	a nature center. Really the program	
18	space and less you know, then someone	
19	running up there for a half-an-hour to	
20	have a hot dog or hamburger verses going	
21	up there and learning about the	
22	environment and maybe stepping off	
23	guided hikes of the park could be from	
24	that area. The camp store, its	
25	proximity closer to the campground would	

1	help too you know, if somebody runs	
2	up bag for a bag ice or what have you,	
3	they can simply go across the road as	
4	opposed to having to get in a vehicle	
5	and go back up to the store and might	
6	get away from and diminish vehicular	
7	trips as much as possible. Sometimes	
8	they block their vehicles in and don't	
9	use them again until they leave the park	
10	after their visit, so that's the	
11	reasoning for that. Of all the things	
12	we're voting on here, I am personally	
13	most excited about of having a nature	
14	center.	
15	MS. GROWNEY: That's something I	
16	really applaud because that's an	
17	incredible view of the lighthouse aspect	
18	of it. Along the fact, I like the fact	
19	that it would be closer to the the	
20	actual store would be closer to the	
21	population, but I also would want to see	
22	a buffering for the lighting and all	
23	that sort of thing, so it does not	
24	impact the residency of people. I	
25	wondered if any consideration ever given	

1	to some sort of biking not motor
2	bikes but just bicycles the trails.
3	I don't think they really come with
4	bicycles right now but are there any
5	thoughts or discussion about that?
6	MR. GIBBON: No, there hasn't.
7	There certainly used significantly.
8	Every kid that comes out there, pretty
9	much has one.
10	LEGISLATOR VILORIA-FISHER: My kids
11	road all over the place there.
12	MR. GIBBONS: The hiking trails
13	themselves, I would not recommend I
14	would not endorse the idea of expanding
15	bicycles use into the interior parts of
16	the wooded areas. That would just
17	first of all, I am not a big fan of
18	co-locating both hiking and biking on
19	the same trail. The construction of a
20	new trail is something that we would
21	look far more closely at, and I would
22	not be too enthusiastic about that.
23	Certainly into people using bikes within
24	the park to get from A to B so they can
25	run up to the camp store or the

		91
1	backathall sameta an acrethine like	
1	basketball courts or something like	
2	that. But, really going into the	
3	interior part of the park with the	
4	trail, most of which wind up crossing or	
5	at least coming in a close proximity to	
6	the ecological areas. We have some	
7	concerns about that.	
8	MS. GROWNEY: Is there any	
9	discussion about making bikes that can	
10	be used on the property available?	
11	MR. GIBBONS: No. We never	
12	contemplated something like that. Where	
13	we would rent them out, you mean?	
14	MS. GROWNEY: Yes, maybe the store	
15	owner might be interested in some sort	
16	of	
17	MR. GIBBONS: It's possible, but	
18	knowing him personally, that kind of	
19	overhead in terms of purchase of the	
20	equipment and then the maintenance, it's	
21	probably not worth it. If he could	
22	think of a way to make a buck off it, it	
23	would have happened by now.	
24	MR. CHAIRMAN: Dan?	
25	MR. PICHNEY: Nick, what's makes	

1	the composted toilets as opposed to this
2	modern outhouses?
3	MR. GIBBONS: Say it again.
4	MR. PICHNEY: What makes the
5	toilets composted toilets; is there some
6	sort of an aerobic process or something?
7	MR. CHAIRMAN: Yes.
8	MR. PICHNEY: How does that work?
9	MR. CHAIRMAN: Well, I can't
10	describe for you mechanically.
11	MR. PICHNEY: Is there anything
12	that churns it or adds air to it?
13	MR. CHAIRMAN: Yes, they add air to
14	it and what have you but it's I don't
15	think there is anything mechanically in
16	it. It can be emptied, so you get what
17	they call the ash and remove the ash.
18	MR. PICHNEY: Do you put a special
19	bacterial culture in it or anything like
20	that?
21	MR. CHAIRMAN: I don't think so.
22	MR. GIBBONS: We have an electronic
23	fan that runs on a solar panel on the
24	ones at Indian Island. That was our
25	only issue in terms of maintenance was

1	in that area, it wasn't a tremendous	
2	amount of exposure, so we're actually	
3	able to run a wire up on one of the	
4	nearby trees and melt the panel in the	
5	trees. You can't even see it, but it's	
6	working out.	
7	MR. CHAIRMAN: Sort of an	
8	interesting thing. I went to Abby	
9	Rockefellers house in Massachusetts, and	
10	she had composted toilets in her house.	
11	I don't know if any of you know much	
12	about Abby Rockefeller but she was sort	
13	of the oddball of the Rockefeller's. I	
14	have to tell you, it was one of the most	
15	disgusting things I have ever seen.	
16	That was one of the reasons I asked the	
17	question. It was not maintained as it	
18	should have been maintained. It was	
19	really gross.	
20	Yes, Mike?	
21	MR. KAUFMAN: Nick, in Appendix C,	
22	on the Wildlife Assessment Form there is	
23	a section in here talking about historic	
24	run Alewife Pond Scoy Pond. and it talks about	
25	Alewife Pond is one of the four breeding	

1	places left on the Peconic. Then it
2	talks also about removal of barriers
3	such as the inadequate culvert on the Alewife
4	Brook Road would restore that from Alewife to
5	Scoy Pond. I don't see that being on any
6	of the priority lists or anything like
7	that. It would seem to me that if
8	you're talking about the culvert, you're
9	talking about the dirt roads basically.
10	This might be an easy one to do and it
11	might be supported by some of the local
12	municipalities to restore the runs over
13	there.
14	MR. GIBBONS: The subject road is
15	an East Hampton roadway and the upstream
16	section is property owned by Town of
17	East Hampton Scoy Pond itself and
18	neighboring estate property which is on
19	the west side Alewife Brook Road is the Town
20	of East Hampton jurisdiction. I do
21	believe that they have identified that
22	particular structure as in need of
23	replacement or reconfiguration in some
24	way particularly due to impeded the Alewife
25	run in that area.

1	MR. KAUFMAN: What is the name of	
2	the environmental director out there?	
3	MR. GIBBONS: Larry Penn.	
4	MR. KAUFMAN: It's funny because he	
5	is opening up and closing culverts all	
6	over the place in East Hampton right	
7	now. Has he talked at all about this	
8	one?	
9	MR. GIBBON: To me, personally, no.	
10	MR. KAUFMAN: This is the perfect	
11	opportunity for him to maybe coordinate	
12	with the County and it's not that hard	
13	to drop a culvert in looking at the	
14	location, et cetera. Maybe it will	
15	knock out the fragments in the area. If	
16	there's bugs in Scoy Pond, maybe knock	
17	them with improved flow. You also	
18	improve the flow into there and reverse	
19	the historical problem and that may help	
20	with the Alewife .	
21	MR. GIBBON: Any project would have	
22	to include the County, as well because	
23	the downstream side of that is within	
24	Cedar Point County park, although, very	
25	much as a boundary as the western	

1	boundary part of the park.
2	MR. KAUFMAN: All I know is Larry
3	has been directing money and directing
4	efforts towards trying to remediate some
5	of these historical problems and he has
6	been looking at culverts and things like
7	that. This might be a very, very
8	interesting project that might help the
9	County and might be funded by grants
10	and/or the Town of East Hampton. I
11	mean, again, you have four different
12	benefits coming in it. It duck tails
13	with the vector control plan and it duck
14	tails with habitat restoration and,
15	again, people have been building small
16	dams or replacing dams, for example, in
17	downtown Riverhead. This is a perfect
18	opportunity if Scoy Pond is large enough.
19	We can map it right and provide more
20	habitats areas. This is just a
21	suggestion.
22	MR. CHAIRMAN: Any other comments?
23	LEGISLATOR VILORIA-FISHER: Well,
24	don't tell your friends about this.
25	This is such a great secret.

1	MR. CHAIRMAN: Anything further?
2	LEGISLATOR VILORIA-FISHER: I am
3	going camping this Summer there.
4	MR. CHAIRMAN: It's a beautiful
5	park. You're to be congratulated for
6	developing a heck of a plan.
7	MR. BAGG: I have a question.
8	Does this plan get adopted by the
9	Suffolk County Legislature or is this an
10	internal document?
11	MR. GIBBONS: Yes, because the next
12	step after this will be to develop a
13	capital project for it.
14	LEGISLATOR VILORIA-FISHER: That's
15	the heavy part.
16	MR. GIBBON: Yes, but I would like
17	the Legislature to have it as an
18	introduction to that the plan and
19	adopt it formally with the understanding
20	that at some point in time, we will be
21	looking for a capital project to begin
22	the limitation of it and it's you
23	know at some time in the future.
24	MR. BAGG: So the CEQ
25	recommendation is going to Legislature?

1	It's not just an inhouse project to be
2	approved by the Commissioner?
3	LEGISLATOR VILORIA-FISHER: But we
4	don't have it before us yet?
5	MR. GIBBONS: No, no.
6	Unfortunately, we have a new
7	administration now so that
8	LEGISLATOR VILORIA-FISHER: Has he
9	seen this yet?
10	MR. GIBBONS: No, totally unaware
11	of it.
12	LEGISLATOR VILORIA-FISHER: Okay
13	because I asked him about this Master
14	Plan and I don't think he had that time
15	to see it yet because he was in the
16	field looking at the park.
17	MR. GIBBONS: I have not had the
18	opportunity to review it with him.
19	Obviously, our Deputy Commissioner has
20	been here through the administration and
21	she actually was pro-signatory on the
22	EAF for me. So she can speak to
23	Legislature at some point in time.
24	LEGISLATOR VILORIA-FISHER: Do you
25	think it will be laid on the table?

1	Well, we're not going to be able to lay
2	it on the table until August; right?
3	MR. GIBBONS: Right, August.
4	That's what I am thinking.
5	I didn't know if there were going
6	to be additional questions from the
7	Council. I certainly wanted to see
8	where they were going to go first.
9	MR. BAGG: So how do you want CEQ
10	to proceed? We will prepare the
11	recommendation to the Legislature, but
12	don't send it over or we give it to you
13	and then you send it over with part of
14	the package?
15	MR. GIBBON: Yes, as part of the
16	package.
17	MR. BAGG: Because I don't want to
18	bring it across the street where the
19	Legislature and the documents
20	LEGISLATOR VILORIA-FISHER: Right
21	and there is nothing before us.
22	MR. GIBBONS: And this did go to
23	Park's Trustees about a year ago.
24	LEGISLATOR VILORIA-FISHER: That's
25	where I first saw this. I knew I had

1	looked at it, but I didn't remember a
2	resolution. It was when I was Park's
3	Chair. I saw it when I was Park's
4	Chair.
5	MR. CHAIRMAN: Joy?
6	MS. SQUIRES: What of the master
7	plan's have been completed?
8	MR. GIBBONS: Smiths Point and
9	there have been a variety of versions of
10	that updated. Some deal specifically
11	with erosion. Others deal with
12	infrastructure. I think there is three
13	versions, if I remember correctly: The
14	Chandler Estate which is a relatively
15	small piece of property 40 acres by
16	Mount Sinai Harbor.
17	LEGISLATOR VILORIA-FISHER: The
18	burnt down house.
19	MR. GIBBONS: The house was removed
20	but that was a much smaller document. I
21	think I brought that here. The big
22	issue there was access.
23	LEGISLATOR VILORIA-FISHER: Right
24	by the church there.
25	MR. CHAIRMAN: That's burned down?

1	LEGISLATOR VILORIA-FISHER: The
2	house burned down a long time ago. It
3	burnt down two years after we bought it.
4	MR. GIBBONS: I wrote that down.
5	We have two others which they're a bit
6	different in vintage. They're not a
7	traditional Master Plan as we think of
8	them today. One is Blydenberg which is
9	really more of a policy document that
10	establishes different areas within the
11	Parks and different levels of
12	development or lack thereof that should
13	occur in those areas. The other is
14	South Haven and that one is kind of
15	similar to Blydenberg, as well.
16	Those are from the late 70's or
17	early 80's. There the best we have from
18	those parks right now, but both of those
19	parks could benefit from such documents
20	as this.
21	MR. PICHNEY: Rich, that landscape
22	plan for the Meadow Club, I don't know
23	if it was ever adopted?
24	MR. MACHTAY: It was good.
25	MR. MARTIN: It was good and never

1	got added.
2	MR. GIBBON: These aren't cheap.
3	There are capital projects in and of
4	themselves, so we don't get to deal with
5	that a lot. But a good place to start
6	would be theses bigger parks these
7	original parks such as Hubbard County
8	Park which will get a master plan
9	treatment of this scale with state
10	funding.
11	MS. SQUIRES: West Hills?
12	MR. GIBBONS: Does West Hills have
13	a plan?
14	MS. SQUIRES: Yes.
15	MR. GIBBONS: No, I said Hubbard.
16	West Hills does not. West Hills though
17	is more like the one justification I
18	would have for something like not
19	getting a master plan is that there is
20	no public campgrounds to speak of. It
21	is strictly for youth camping. We have
22	been doing things there for 35 years. I
23	can see something like that getting more
24	of the Chandler Estate treatment which
25	is a smaller scale, basically, half the

1	budget of something like that. Really
2	to organize a trail that works there and
3	make specific recommendations. West
4	Hill certainly has benefits from
5	something like that.
6	MR. CHAIRMAN: Gloria?
7	LEGISLATOR VILORIA-FISHER: Can I
8	ask general park questions?
9	MR. CHAIRMAN: Let's get this out
10	of the way.
11	We have a recommendation here that
12	is a Type One Action.
13	MS. GROWNEY: I will second.
14	MR. CHAIRMAN: I am not making a
15	motion.
16	MR. KAUFMAN: I will make the
17	motion as a Type One Negative
18	Declaration.
19	MS. GROWNEY: Second.
20	MR. CHAIRMAN: Any further
21	discussions?
22	MS. SPENCER: Can we also recommend
23	that the Legislature accept it?
24	LEGISLATOR VILORIA-FISHER: Well,
25	we do that when we make the motion.

1	MR. CHAIRMAN: Yes. It will go to
2	the Legislature, but as per Jim's
3	comment, it will not go until the Park's
4	Department is ready to submit it.
5	MR. BAGG: We will send a
6	recommendation to Parks to be submitted
7	along with the resolution for adoption
8	of the Master Plan.
9	MR. CHAIRMAN: All in favor?
10	THE COUNCIL: Aye.
11	MR. CHAIRMAN: Opposed?
12	(WHEREUPON, there was no response.)
13	(WHEREUPON, the Council voted.)
14	MR. CHAIRMAN: Gloria, you had some
15	Parks questions?
16	LEGISLATOR VILORIA-FISHER: I have
17	a couple of Park's questions.
18	How is Smith Point doing with the
19	erosion? Is some of the sand coming
20	back? What's going on with the beach
21	there?
22	MR. GIBBONS: Right
23	LEGISLATOR VILORIA-FISHER: And the
24	blocks are being protected, as I
25	understand it, with some snow fencing?

1	MR. GIBBONS: Right, but in the
2	scale of things that's pretty
3.	insignificant. We should have some
4	accretion over the late Spring, but it
5	will not, in my experience, come back to
6	what it is without some artificial
7	nourishment of the especially in the
8	area immediately in front of the
9	pavilion, unfortunately, because for a
10	variety of very technical reasons, I
11	don't completely understand erosion and
12	hot spots and there are two or three
13	
	along the beach and we have other areas
14	that are very much replenished and are
15	growing into the ocean out on the outer
16	beach. The whole Smith Point Park is
17	roughly six miles of beach frontage of
18	which only the pavilion is a very small
19	part. The vast majority is really five
20	miles.
21	LEGISLATOR VILORIA-FISHER: The
22	outer beach?
23	MR. GIBBONS: Right.
24	LEGISLATOR VILORIA-FISHER: So how
25	deep is the beach there by the pavilion

1	now?
2	MR. GIBBON: Well, in certain
3	under certain conditions, meaning
4	weather conditions and/or believe it or
5	not, the phase of the moon, the tide can
6	come right up to the steps, currently.
7	LEGISLATOR VILORIA-FISHER: So from
8	zero to
9	MR. GIBBONS: From zero to several
10	hundred yards.
11	The impact of the public at least
12	for short term will not be so great.
13	Those conditions are very specific.
14	They only happen on one or two dates and
15	even that occasionally. We get into a
16	fair weather pattern now where
17	Springtime it is routine that inundated
18	by the ocean. That has not been the
19	case recently. The issue is whether or
20	not the beach is we're spreading
21	the same amount of people are going to
22	come out. They're going to be spread
23	out further and laterally along the
24	beach because there isn't enough depth
25	for the beach and to consolidate

1	lifeguard stands and	
2	LEGISLATOR VILORIA-FISHER: And, of	
3	course, because we were worried about	
4	having the beaches crowded so we lowered	
5	the fees.	
6	MR. GIBBONS: I am not sure how	
7	many people would come for that dollar.	
8	LEGISLATOR VILORIA-FISHER: I	
9	agree. I didn't vote for that.	
10	MR. GIBBON: As long as you brought	
11	it up. The access to the outer beach	
12	which we sell 11,000 permits for beach	
13	buggy access and the vase majority of	
14	whom access Smiths Point. So there is	
15	competing interest there. We had pipe	
16	plum nesting, as well. We kind of	
17	threaded the needle between those ares	
18	that are wide enough to drive on because	
19	of the things that the birds will	
20	require, as well.	
21	MR. KAUFMAN: Are they paying their	
22	parks fees? The birds?	
23	MR. GIBBONS: No, but they	
24	certainly come with their own costs.	
25	But we have a road behind the primary	

1	dune that can get you acces out to the
2	inlets. It's a Burma road so that
3	provides some recreational acts behind
4	the dunes regardless of what the
5	oceanfront beach looks that.
6	LEGISLATOR VILORIA-FISHER: Isn't
7	that where the guys go fishing from?
8	MR. GIBBONS: Also, it is used as
9	an access road where you can there
10	are break cuts in the dune that you then
11	drive out from Burma Road now heading
12	back out to the ocean beach, and we have
13	these day trip areas. We like to set
14	them up for several hundred vehicles at
15	a time.
16	LEGISLATOR VILORIA-FISHER: That's
17	just four wheeling?
18	MR. GIBBONS: Right.
19	LEGISLATOR VILORIA-FISHER: The
20	second question I have I am not sure
21	but it's for both you and Richard.
22	If you recall, you mentioned that
23	deep wells are trying to go back to
24	agricultural use and
25	MR. MARTIN: We're clearing the

1	growth that was coming into the pasture	
2	land the grassland there.	
3	LEGISLATOR VILORIA-FISHER: I just	
4	want to advise us how to go forward with	
5	that. I do have a school the	
6	catholic school across the street from	
7	(Inaudible name) James. The boy scouts	
8	would be interested I mean, I will	
9	try community gardens across the County.	
10	But how do I begin with? Pam Green is	
11	actually on my Victory Garden Committee,	
12	but Pam is not that excited about it so	
13	I am trying to find a more hands on	
14	person who can help me.	
15	MR. MARTIN: Direct the calls to me	
16	first.	
17	LEGISLATOR VILORIA-FISHER: I will	
18	call you then.	
19	So we discussed the appointee for	
20	the commission position and issue with	
21	Smiths Point and he was under the	
22	impression that the natural incretion	
23	would build up the beach area.	
24	MR. GIBBONS: It will, but I don't	
25	think it would return to previous	

1	conditions, no. I can just tell you
2	that we have to tend to the beaches for
3	the birds and marsh out there, and
4	that's a very fluid time for the beach
5	in terms of incretion and erosion. We
6	put up
7	LEGISLATOR VILORIA-FISHER:
8	Especially this March.
9	MR. GIBBON: Almost every season is
10	the rain isn't so much but the wind
11	has more to do with it more than
12	anything. Just real briefly, we
13	LEGISLATOR VILORIA-FISHER: That's
14	what I meant. This March, people lost
15	all their power.
16	MR. GIBBONS: We, overnight, gained
17	five to six feet of depth to the beach
18	in one tidal cycle. We only know that
19	because we put up six foot posts of
20	which five feet is exposed and the next
21	morning they were buried. So those
22	things happen, and there is no better
23	way to nourish the beach then with the
24	natural processes. But in the case of
25	the pavilion itself, as I spoke of

1	earlier, there is just a bad combination
2	by things going on over there that
3	necessitate artifical nourishment.
4	LEGISLATOR VILORIA-FISHER: And the
5	other beaches are okay? Cupsogue?
6	MR. GIBBONS: Cupsogue happens to
7	be on the right side of the inlet,
8	meaning, in this case, the east side, so
9	it all kind of builds up on the east
10	side of the Moriches Inlet. It's also
11	the beneficiary of things that further
12	up-drift from it which are the Village
13	of West Hampton dunes which is on a
14	federal nourishment program. By law, it
15	gets nourished ever three years, I
16	believe, and all that sand eventually
17	comes our way to Cupsogue. It is in
18	fairly good shape. Shinnecock west is,
19	again, on the wrong side of Shinnecock
20	and we're on the west side and there was
21	some artifical emergency nourishment
22	that was done there. We had three miles
23	of beach there, but no infrastructure to
24	speak of and it's not very well used.
25	It another good secret spot for people

1	that like to go to the ocean.
2	Shinnecock east, on the east side of the
3	Shinnecock inlet, has experienced
4	incretion to the point where we had so
5	much sand it was a problem. We actually
6	had to pull it out of the campsite out
7	there. So it depends on what we're
8	talking about.
9	LEGISLATOR VILORIA-FISHER: I have
10	never been to Shinnecock.
11	MR. GIBBON: It is strictly a
12	campground on the ocean and along the
13	Shinnecock Inlet.
14	LEGISLATOR VILORIA-FISHER: So you
15	need four-wheel drive?
16	MR. GIBSON: Yes. You can park and
17	walk but it's maybe a half-a-mile in
18	length.
19	LEGISLATOR VILORIA-FISHER: Now is
20	that whole traffic how is that
21	traffic pattern going into Cupsogue?
22	MR. GIBBON: It is the same as last
23	year. We didn't move forward with
24	the
25	LEGISLATOR VILORIA-FISHER: That

1	project hasn't moved?
2	MR. GIBBONS: Not yet.
3	LEGISLATOR VILORIA-FISHER: When is
4	that scheduled for?
5	MR. GIBBONS: Well, I can tell you
6	that we have been back and forth
7	between, probably, three Memorial dates.
8	I can tell you now, it will be done by
9	Memorial Day as long as I don't have to
10	specify the year.
11	(WHEREUPON, there was laughter.)
12	LEGISLATOR VILORIA-FISHER: And you
13	said they began to push it back a couple
14	of years ago or has it died down?
15	MR. GIBBONS: To be honest, I don't
16	know. I just try to get approvals that
17	we need and then after that I
18	MR. PICHNEY: With the Community
19	Gardens, are you talking about large
20	cultivated plots or are you going to
21	divide them up like a community garden?
22	LEGISLATOR VILORIA-FISHER: I'm not
23	doing the gardens. What I am doing is I
24	am mapping the gardens that are being
25	done by other people. This is the only

		120
1	one where I am going to be hands-on	
2		
3		
4	the Health Department school gardens,	
5	CSA's, community gardens, farms, and any	
6	kind of place that's growing local	
7	vegetables.	
8	MR. PICHNEY: So you're saying,	
9	this where they can go and this is where	
10	they are and these are the individual	
11	LEGISLATOR VILORIA-FISHER:	
12	Exactly.	
13	MR. PICHNEY: And how they're going	
14	to run the infrastructure for the water	
15	and all that?	
16	LEGISLATOR VILORIA-FISHER: Well,	
17	you saw the Wyandanch Gardens the	
18	school garden that was in the paper	
19	today? We're going to map that onto our	
20	garden so people know where the school	
21	gardens and where community gardens are.	
22	We're trying to put them we're	
23	reaching out to people in underserved	
24	areas and, you know, actually people	
25	talk about urban areas being food	

1	deserts. We have many food deserts in
2	Suffolk County. In Wyandanch, there is
3	no supermarkets.
4	MR. PICHNEY: I just remember the
5	ones over God, it was over 30 years
6	ago in both Huntington and Babylon.
7	LEGISLATOR VILORIA-FISHER: Yes.
8	Well, Huntington is really good with
9	going to and Babylon has one and
10	Brookhaven is trying to develop one.
11	MR. CHAIRMAN: We have to move on.
12	Joy?
13	MS. SQUIRES: This is part of
14	this is my CAC concern.
15	MR. CHAIRMAN: Oh, we're doing that
16	now.
17	MS. SQUIRES: No, we're not doing
18	it. I just am commenting that the
19	community gardens are a big thing
20	throughout New York State and a lot of
21	CAC's are involved, but in Huntington,
22	we have two projects that are town
23	projects and town funded. One is
24	Gateway Gardens and they are beds
25	raised beds. This is adjacent to the

1	problems in Huntington Station. We
2	cleared we have systematically
3	purchased the land through the open
4	space program the town open space
5	program. The area is cleared. They are
6	beds specific beds that will be built
7	that can be moved in the future and
8	eventually it will be a community
9	center. In the Cliff Circle Gardens
10	which is part of the town's organic
11	gardens, there is an area that is being
12	built with beds again for people who are
13	needed and all the produce goes to
14	hungry people and they're very much
15	pushing that.
16	MR. CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
17	LEGISLATOR VILORIA-FISHER: People
18	have come to our public hearings
19	regarding Cliff Circle.
20	MS. GROWNEY: Do you have Eco-farms
21	and other farms in East Hampton?
22	LEGISLATOR VILORIA-FISHER: The
23	Eco-farm I know we have. E-mail me the
24	names so that I have them to try and
25	reach out to them as much as I can.

1	MR. CHAIRMAN: We have to move on.
2	Next is the CAC concerns.
3	MS. SQUIRES: I just said it.
4	MR. CHAIRMAN: So do we have any
5	other business?
6	(WHEREUPON, there was no response.)
7	MR. CHAIRMAN: If not, again, I
8	would like to thank everybody that has
9	been so helpful in setting this up.
10	It's not easy. Do we have a motion to
11	adjourn?
12	MR. MARTIN: Motion to adjourn.
13	MS. GROWNEY: Second.
14	(WHEREUPON, this meeting was
15	concluded at 12:18 p.m.)
16	* * *
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	CERTIFICATION
2	
3	STATE OF NEW YORK)
4	:ss
5	COUNTY OF SUFFOLK)
6	I, MELISSA POWELL, a Shorthand Reporter and
7	Notary Public of the State of New York, do hereby
8	certify:
9	That the within transcript was prepared by me and is
10	a true and accurate record of this hearing, to the best
11	of my ability.
12	I further certify that I am not related to any of the
13	parties to this action by blood or by marriage and that
14	I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter.
15	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this
16	dth day of they 2010.
17	
18	- Muss Buell
19	MELISSA POWELL
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	