# COUNTY OF SUFFOLK



STEVEN BELLONE COUNTY EXECUTIVE

DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND PLANNING DIVISION OF PLANNING AND ENVIRONMENT

COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

GLORIA RUSSO CHAIRPERSON CEQ MICHAEL MULÉ ENVIRONMENTAL PROJECTS COORDINATOR

# **NOTICE OF PUBLIC MEETING**

Notice is hereby given that the Council on Environmental Quality will convene a regular public meeting at 9:30 a.m. on Wednesday June 20<sup>th</sup>, 2012 in the Arthur Kunz Library, H. Lee Dennison Building, Fourth Floor, Veterans Memorial Highway, Hauppauge, NY 11788. Pursuant to the Citizens Public Participation Act, all citizens are invited to submit testimony, either orally or in writing at the meeting. Written comments can also be submitted prior to the meeting to the attention of:

Michael P. Mulé Environmental Projects Coordinator Council on Environmental Quality Suffolk County Planning Department P.O. Box 6100 Hauppauge, NY 11788

> **Council of Environmental Quality Gloria Russo, Chairperson**

# COUNTY OF SUFFOLK



STEVEN BELLONE COUNTY EXECUTIVE

DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND PLANNING DIVISION OF PLANNING AND ENVIRONMENT

COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

GLORIA RUSSO CHAIRPERSON CEQ MICHAEL MULÉ ENVIRONMENTAL PROJECTS COORDINATOR

# **REVISED AGENDA**

# **MEETING NOTIFICATION**

Wednesday, June 20<sup>th</sup>, 2012 9:30 a.m. Arthur Kunz Library H. Lee Dennison Bldg. - 4<sup>th</sup> Floor Veterans Memorial Highway, Hauppauge

All project materials can be found at: <u>http://www.suffolkcountyny.gov/Departments/Planning/Boards/CouncilonEnvironmentalQuality</u>

# Call to Order:

Minutes: May 2012 Available on the website above

**Correspondence:** 

**Public Portion:** 

# **Historic Trust Docket:**

Director's Report: Updates on Housing Program for Historic Trust Sites Updates on Historic Trust Custodial Agreements Listing of the Dittman House (Trihy House) to the Suffolk County Historic Trust

# **Project Review:**

# **Recommended Type I Actions:**

A. Proposed Declaration as surplus and subsequent sale of 230.8± acres of County owned land in Yaphank, Town of Brookhaven.

# **Recommended Type II Actions:**

- A. Ratification of Recommendations for Legislative Resolutions Laid on the Table June 5, 2012.
- B. Ratification of Recommendations for Legislative Resolutions Laid on the Table June 20, 2012.
- C. Proposed Sewer District #7 Medford (Woodside) Plant Upgrade, Town of Brookhaven.

# **Recommended Unlisted Actions:**

- A. Proposed Sewer District #14 Parkland, Sludge Thickening, Town of Islip.
- B. Proposed Sewer District #20 William Floyd, Replacement of Main Pumping Station, Town of Brookhaven.

# **Other Business:**

A. Updated County Environmental Assessment Forms

# CAC Concerns:

\*CAC MEMBERS: The above information has been forwarded to your local Legislators, Supervisors and DEC personnel. Please check with them prior to the meeting to see if they have any comments or concerns regarding these projects that they would like brought to the CEQ's attention. \*\*CEQ MEMBERS: PLEASE NOTIFY THIS OFFICE AS SOON AS POSSIBLE IF YOU WILL BE UNABLE TO ATTEND. \*\*\*FOLLOWING THE MEETING PLEASE LEAVE BEHIND ALL PROJECT MATERIAL THAT YOU DO NOT WANT OR NEED AS WE CAN RECYCLE THESE MATERIALS LATER ON.

# COUNTY OF SUFFOLK



COUNTY EXECUTIVE

DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND PLANNING DIVISION OF PLANNING AND ENVIRONMENT

COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

GLORIA RUSSO CHAIRPERSON CEQ MICHAEL MULÉ Environmental Projects Coordinator

### SUFFOLK COUNTY COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY MINUTES

DATE: June 20, 2012 TIME: 9:30 am to 11:15 am LOCATION: Arthur Kunz Library H. Lee Dennison Bldg. – 4<sup>th</sup> Floor Veterans Memorial Highway, Hauppauge, New York

PRESENT:

James Bagg, Vice-Chair Eva Growney Hon. Kara Hahn Michael Kaufman Richard Machtay Dan Pichney Gloria Russo, Chair Larry Swanson

ABSENT: Thomas Gulbransen Mary Ann Spencer

CAC REPRESENTATIVES: Joy Squires, Town of Huntington

STAFF: Christine DeSalvo, Senior Clerk Typist Michael Mulé, Environmental Projects Coordinator

**GUESTS**:

Robert Braun, Assistant County Attorney, Suffolk County Department of Law Jack Finkenberg, Great South Bay Audubon Society Lauretta Fischer, Principal Environmental Analyst, Suffolk County Dept. of Eco. Dev. and Planning Richard Martin, Director of Historic Services, Suffolk County Dept. of Parks, Recreation & Conservation Michael Pitcher, Director of Communications, Office of the Presiding Officer Thomas Ryan, Legislative Aide, 5<sup>th</sup> Legislative District Ron Warren, Director of Operations & Maintenance, Suffolk County Dept. of Public Works

#### MINUTES:

A motion was made by Mr. Machtay to approve the May 16, 2012 minutes. The motion was seconded by Mr. Kaufman. Ms. Growney abstained. Motion carried.

#### CORRESPONDENCE:

The Council received an invitation to the annual Pine Barrens Research Forum scheduled for October 4<sup>th</sup> & 5<sup>th</sup> at Brookhaven National Lab.

PUBLIC PORTION: None

#### HISTORIC TRUST DOCKET:

Mr. Martin updated the Council on the following:

Housing Program:

• The Department of Parks Recreation and Conservation is sponsoring an open house on the weekend of June 23<sup>rd</sup> for those interested in renting the apartment in the baymen's cottage at the Long Island Maritime Museum, the cottage at Southaven County Park or the apartment in old clubhouse at North Fork Preserve.

Custodial Agreements:

- Contract negotiations are still on going with the L.I. Maritime Museum.
- The Parks Department is currently working on a five-year contract extension with the Deepwells Farm Historical Society.
- The Parks Department is amending the existing agreement with the Commerdinger Historical Society to add the restoration and upkeep of the buildings on site to their existing contract.

Mr. Martin presented the Dittmann AKA Trihy House and garage located in the Village of Amityville for consideration of listing to the Suffolk County Historic Trust. Mr. Martin informed the Council that the Historic Trust Committee has met at the site to review the historic nature of the property and has recommended that both the house and detached garage be listed to the Suffolk County Historic Trust. While the buildings were constructed under Dittmann Family ownership, the Council recommended that some recognition be given to the Ireland Family whose ownership of the property predates that of the Dittmans.

Mr. Machtay made a motion to list the Dittmann AKA Trihy house and garage to the Suffolk County Historic Trust. The motion was seconded by Ms. Growney. Motion carried.

PROJECT REVIEW: Recommended Type I Actions:

Proposed Declaration as Surplus and Subsequent Sale of 230.8 +/- acres of County-Owned Land in Yaphank, Town of Brookhaven

Mr. Braun presented the proposed project explaining that the County wishes to surplus and sell approximately 230 acres of County-owned land according to existing tax parcels. The Council reviewed and recommended a negative declaration for a similar proposal in the past based on zoning boundaries and this updated proposal seeks to cover the balance of the property that was not reviewed prior. Mr. Braun informed the Council that there is no development tied with the contract of sale. Mr. Bagg highlighted the fact that the environmental impact statement for the Legacy Village proposal evaluated the impacts of the alternative to sell this land for development under existing zoning.

Mr. Kaufman made a motion to recommend classification of the proposed project as a Type I Action with a Negative Declaration. Ms. Growney seconded the motion. Motion carried.

## Recommended Type II Actions:

Ratification of Recommendations for Legislative Resolutions Laid on the Table June 5, 2012 Ms. Growney made a motion to accept staff recommendations for the June 5, 2012 Legislative Resolutions. The motion was seconded by Mr. Kaufman. Motion carried.

#### Ratification of Recommendations for Legislative Resolutions Laid on the Table June 19, 2012

Ms. Growney made a motion to accept staff recommendations for the June 19, 2012 Legislative Resolutions. The motion was seconded by Mr. Kaufman. Motion carried.

#### Proposed Improvements to County Sewer Districts

Mr. Warren presented three projects involving improvements and upgrades to existing sewage treatment plants.

- <u>Proposed Improvements to SD#7-Medford, Town of Brookhaven</u> Mr. Kaufman made a motion to recommend classification of the proposed project as a Type II Action. The motion was seconded by Ms. Growney. Motion carried.
- <u>Proposed Improvements to SD#14-Parkland, Town of Islip</u> Mr. Kaufman made a motion to recommend classification of the proposed project as a Type II Action. The motion was seconded by Ms. Growney. Motion carried.
- <u>Proposed Improvements to SD#20-William Floyd, Town of Brookhaven</u> Mr. Machtay made a motion to recommend classification of the proposed project as a Type II Action. The motion was seconded by Ms. Growney. Motion carried.

#### OTHER BUSINESS:

#### Update County Environmental Assessment Forms:

Mr. Mulé gave a brief overview of the updates made to the Environmental Assessment Forms and asked the Council for their input on the contents and layout of the new forms. Mr. Machtay submitted suggested changes to help gear the form towards typical County projects and to address relevant local issues. Ms. Squires presented updated information regarding the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation workbooks being prepared to assist initiating units in the preparation of long and short environmental assessment forms. Legislator Hahn went on to request including target concentrations for major constituents of wastewater effluent such as Nitrogen, Phosphorus, BOD and TSS as suggested by Mr. Swanson. After further discussion, Legislator Hahn made a motion to accept the updated environmental assessment forms as amended. Ms. Growney seconded the motion. Motion carried.

#### CAC CONCERNS:

Ms. Squires presented documentation outlining the priorities of the Commissioner of the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (NYSDEC) along with other various topics such as solid waste regulations, fracking and funding opportunities that were covered in the Town of Huntington CAC meeting minutes. She went on to inform the group that the NYSDEC does not support the recommendation made by the regional economic development council that the State be designated SEQRA lead agency on regionally significant projects.

# COUNTY OF SUFFOLK



STEVEN BELLONE SUFFOLK COUNTY EXECUTIVE

DEPARTMENT OF PARKS, RECREATION AND CONSERVATION

GREG DAWSON COMMISSIONER

TRACEY BELLONE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER

June 6, 2012

Gloria G. Russo, Chairperson Council on Environmental Quality H. Lee Dennison Building 100 Veterans Memorial Hwy. Hauppauge, NY 11788

Re.: Listing of the Dittmann House & garage (Trihy House), Village of Amityville, to the Suffolk County Historic Trust.

Dear Ms. Russo,

The Dittmann House and garage (Trihy House) was acquired by Suffolk County in 2000. This house and garage is located at 18 Ocean Avenue, Village of Amityville, and was built in 1918 by August Dittmann who lived in this house until 1966.

The Suffolk County Historic Trust Committee has made a site visit to the Dittmann House and garage (Trihy House) and has recommended the buildings be listed to the Suffolk County Historic Trust as they contribute to the historic residential development of Ocean Avenue, Village of Amityville. See attached committee vote.

Please consider reviewing the Dittmann House and garage (Trihy House) for listing to the Suffolk County Historic Trust at the next CEQ meeting on June 20, 2012.

Sincerely yours. Richard C. Martin

Director of Historic Services Suffolk County Parks Department

cc.: Commissioner Greg Dawson Encls: Historic Trust Committee Motion, map, photos, & historic information



The Historic Trust Committee voted to list both the August Dittmann (Trihy House) and garage but not the surrounding parkland which has been improved with modern landscaping as part of the Amityville Village Nautical Park.

Motion to List the August Dittmann HouseMotion made by MaryAnn SpencerSeconded: Dan Pichney


HT Committee Members	Aye	Nay	Abstain	Not Present
Mary Ann Spencer	Х			
Dan Pichney	Х			
Bertram Seides	Х			
Ellen Williams	Х			
Thomas Smith	X			
Zach Studenroth				Х
Laurie Billadello				X

Motion approved.

Motion to List the August Dittmann Garage. Motion made by MaryAnn Spencer Seconded: Dan Pichney


HT Committee Members	Ave	Nay	Abstain	Not Present
Mary Ann Spencer	Х			
Dan Pichney	X			
Bertram Seides	Х			
Ellen Williams	X			
Thomas Smith	X			
Zach Studenroth				х
Laurie Billadello				x

Motion approved.











# 18 Ocean Avenue, Amityville, NY Probable Chain of Property Title


1.	c.1800 - Thomas Ireland -	Built Mill on Amityville River on the North side of "Kings Highway" now Main street,	
		Amityville.	
2.	1838 - Samuel Ireland -	Son of Thomas Ireland	
3.	1869 - John Edward Ireland-	Grandson of Thomas Ireland who ran the	
		Mill until his death in 1913. Mill continued	
		in operation until 1916.	
4.	1913 - Estate of J.E. Ireland		
5.	1918 - August Dittmann -	Built house in 1918. Mr. Dittmann was in	
		the ceramic tile business in NYC.	
6.	1966 - Estate of August Dittmann-	A. Dittmann died on July 16, 1966.	
7.	1966 - Maria Wizdal-	House was willed to Maria Wizdal,	
		housekeeper for August Dittmann.	
8.	1969 - RRMA Realty Corp		
9.	1975 - Raymond & Lorraine Woitke	eilwicz - Principals of the RRMA Realty Corp	
10	1978 - Beatrice Trihy -	Real Estate Broker purchases house.	
11.	2000 - County of Suffolk		

Property Title research provided by Amityville Village Historian William T. Lauder

.







# SUFFOLK COUNTY FULL ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT FORM (EAF)

<u>Instructions:</u> This document is designed to assist in determining whether the action proposed may have a significant effect on the environment. Please complete the entire Data Sheet. Include as much information as possible such as feasibility studies, design reports, etc. Attach additional sheets if necessary. Mark irrelevant questions N.A., not applicable.

# A. General Information:

1. Name of Project:

This action involves approval of a resolution declaring as surplus and authorizing the sale of approximately 230.8+/- acres of County-owned land in Yaphank.

2. Location of Project: (specify Town, Village or Hamlet and include project location map on next page.)

Hamlet of Yaphank, Town of Brookhaven

Street Address:

North of Horseblock Road, South of the Long Island Rail Road, West of Yaphank Ave.

Name of property or waterway:

Part of the Yaphank County Center

- 3. Maps of Property and Project: Attach relevant available maps, including a location map (note: use road map, Hagstrom Atlas, U.S.G.S. topo map, tax map or equivalent) and preliminary site plans showing orientation, scale, buildings, roads, landmarks, drainage systems, areas to be altered by project, etc.
- 4. Type of Project: (check one) New \_\_\_\_ Expansion X
- 5. Capital Program: (specify) Item # N/A Date Adopted N/A Amount N/A
- 6. General Description of project including its purpose (attach relevant design reports, plans etc.):

Resolution 298-2011 declared the majority of this property surplus along zoning boundaries. At this point, the County seeks to surplus and sell the balance of the full tax map parcels of a portion of the property declared surplus.

	Start	Completion
PROPOSAL	6/2012	
STUDY		
PRELIMINARY PLANNING		
FINAL PLANS: SPECS		
SITE ACOUISITION		
CONSTRUCTION		

7. Project Status: (check if begun)

8. Departments Involved:

NAME AND ADDRESS OF DEPT. PERFORMING DESIGN & CONSTRUCTION	NAME AND ADDRESS OF INITIATING DEPT. (If different)
Name:	Suffolk County Executive's Office
Street/P.O.:	P.O. Box 6100
City, State:	Hauppauge, New York
Zip:	11788
Contact Person:	Robert Braun, Law Department, Real Estate Deputy Bureau Chief
Business Phone	631-853-5133

# B. Project Description

# 1. Scale of Project:

a. Total contiguous acres now owned at site:	900 ±
b. Acreage to surplus and sell:	230.8 ±
c. Developed acreage now: Developed acreage at completion of project: Developed acreage ultimately:	0 N/A N/A
d. Acreage of vegetation or cover to be removed:	N/A
e. Acreage to remain undeveloped:	N/A
f. Building gross floor area now: Building gross floor area proposed:	N/A N/A
g. Height of tallest structure on site now: Height of tallest structure proposed on site:	N/A N/A
h. Proposed Building use (if any):	N/A
<ul> <li>Off-street parking spaces now: Off-street parking spaces proposed:</li> </ul>	N/A N/A
j. Max. vehicle trips/hr. when operational:	N/A
k. Roads on site now:	N/A
1. New road construction or reconstruction	N/A
<ul> <li>m. Will project result in an increase in energy use?</li> <li>If yes, indicate type(s):</li> </ul>	No

<ul><li>n. Will project require storage of liquid fuels and chemicals?</li><li>If yes, describe substances and amounts to be stored:</li></ul>	No

# 2. Project Schedule:

a. Is project single or multi-phase?	N/A
b. If multi-phase, how many phases?	N/A
c. Total construction time (months)	N/A

# 3. Wastes and Pollutants Generated During Project Construction and Operation:

	Components	Quantity	Mode of Disposal
a. Sanitary Sewage	N/A	N/A	N/A
b. Liquid industrial waste	N/A	N/A	N/A
c. Toxic chemicals	N/A	N/A	N/A
d. Pesticides or herbicides	N/A	N/A	N/A
e. Solid wastes	N/A	N/A	N/A
f. Clearing or demolition debris	N/A	N/A	N/A
g. Spoil disposal or sedimentation	N/A	N/A	N/A
h. Atmospheric emissions	N/A	N/A	N/A
i. Surface water runoff	N/A	N/A	N/A
j. Noise exceeding ambient	N/A	N/A	N/A
k. Odors exceeding 1hr/day	N/A	N/A	N/A
l. Other (specify)			

# 4. Does Project Involve Any:

Grading Cut/Fill; List amounts.	N/A
Dredging; List max. depth, length & width.	N/A
Spoil Area; List amount.	N/A
Bulkheading; List length.	N/A
Dewatering; List g.p.m. & period of time.	N/A

# 5. Indicate Sources of Utilities:

Water	N/A
Electricity	N/A
Gas	N/A
Other (please specify)	

# 6. Total Water Usage:

Gallons per Day

If water supply is from wells, indicate pumping capacity in gallons per minute: N/A

# C. Project Area Description/Existing Conditions:

1. Acreage of Physical Characteristics of Project Area:	Presently	After Completion
Meadow, field, scrub growth		
Wooded	230.8±	230.8±
Agricultural		
Freshwater wetland		
Tidal wetlands		
Surface waters		
Cleared, graded or filled land		
Paved areas (roads, parking, etc.)		
Buildings (List number and sq. ft.)		
Other (please specify)		
TOTAL	230.8±	230.8±

2. Streams within or contiguous to project area: (Please list name of stream and/or name of river to

None

3. Lakes, Ponds, Wetland areas within or contiguous to project area: (*Please list name(s) and size(s) in acres*)

None

4. a. Are there <u>natural drainage channels</u> on the project site? <u>yes X</u> no

b. How far is project area from freshwater wetlands, tidal wetlands or surface waters?

Greater than 6,000 ft

5. Is the Project area within the 100 yr. Flood plain? \_\_\_\_\_yes \_\_\_\_X\_\_\_no

6. Depth to the water table: at surface 0-3 ft 3-8 ft 8-16 ft X 16 ft

7. Predominant soil type (s) on project site as identified in the <u>Soil Survey of Suffolk County</u> - 1975: (Include soils map of site.)

HaA, PIA, RdA, CpE

8. General character of the land: Generally uniform slope X\_Generally uneven and rolling or irregular\_\_\_\_\_. (Include topographic map of site.)

9. Approximate percentage of proposed project site with slopes: 0-10% 95% 10-15% or greater <5%.

10. Any unique or unusual land forms on the project site? (i.e. cliffs, dunes, kettle holes, eskers, other geological formations):

None

11. Describe the predominant vegetation types on the site:

The Yaphank site can be divided into two major vegetation community types: oak/pine woodland and pitch pine stands.

Oak/Pine Woodland: The vast majority of the property is dominated by a variety of oak species at varying heights, but for the most part are juvenile trees measuring approximately six to twelve inches in diameter at basal height. Pitch pines occur in varying densities throughout the site, and are the dominate species along roadsides and in recently cleared areas located in the southern end of the property; these areas of pitch pine stands are described as a separate category. The shrub layer in the oak/pine woodland is predominately blueberry and black huckleberry. In more open canopy areas, scrub oak forms a thick, continuous stand approximately five feet high; in these areas the berry shrubs do not occur.

Pitch Pine Stand: In more recently cleared areas, pitch pine has colonized the soil, forming a virtual monoculture of this typical, pioneer conifer. Although this community type occurs on a limited portion of the subject property, it is clearly distinct from the oak/pine woodland. Pitch pine dominance excludes the development of any significant shrub layer. The majority of pitch pine stands are located in the southern region of the property.

The publication <u>Ecological Communities of New York State</u><sup>1</sup> (Reschke 1990) was utilized as a guide to determine the predominant vegetation types on the Yaphank site. The oak/pine woodland and pitch pine stands can be described as portions of the following two categories:

Pitch pine-oak forest: A mixed forest that typically occurs on well-drained, sandy soils of glacial outwash plains or moraines; it also occurs on thin, rocky soils of ridge tops. The dominant trees are pitch pine mixed with one or more of the following oaks; scarlet oak, white oak, red oak, or black oak. The relative proportions of pines and oaks are quite variable within this community type. At one extreme are stands in which the pines are widely spaced amidst the oaks, in which case the pines are often emergent above the canopy of oak trees. At the other extreme are stands in which the pines form a nearly pure stand with only a few widely spaced oak trees. The shrub layer is well-developed with scattered clumps of scrub oak and a nearly continuous cover of low heath shrubs such as blueberries and black huckleberry. The herbaceous layer is relatively sparse; characteristic species are bracken fern, wintergreen, and Pennsylvania sedge. Characteristic birds include rufous-sided towhee, common yellowthroat, field sparrow, prairie warbler, pine warbler, blue jay, and whip-poor-whill. This community combined with several types of barrens and woodland communities make up the broadly defined ecosystem known as the Pine Barrens.

Heritage Rank: G4, G5, S4

Example: Sears Bellows County Park, Flanders

Pitch pine-oak heath woodland: A pine barrens community that occurs on well-drained, infertile, sandy soils in eastern Long Island (and possibly on sandy or rocky soils in upstate New York). The structure of this community is intermediate between a shrub-savanna and woodland. Pitch pine and white oak are the most abundant trees, and these form an open canopy with 30 to 60% cover. Scarlet oak and black oak may also occur in the canopy. The shrub layer is dominated by scrub oaks, and includes a few heath shrubs such as huckleberry and blueberry. The density of the shrub layer is inversely related to the tree canopy cover; where the trees form a more closed canopy, the shrub layer may be relatively sparse. Stunted multiple-stemmed white oaks may be present in the shrub layer if the site has burned regularly. Characteristic species of the groundcover include bearberry Pennsylvania sedge, golden heather, beach heather and pinweed. Like other closely related pine barrens communities, the woodland provides habitat for buck moth and prairie warbler.

This community is adapted to periodic fires; the fire frequency has not been documented, but it probably burns less frequently than pitch pine-scrub oak barrens (i.e. no more than 15 years between fires). This community may have fairly low species richness: it is more diverse than dwarf pine plains, but less diverse than pitch pine-scrub oak barrens.

Heritage Rank: G3, G4, S2, S3

Example: Rocky Point Pine Barrens, Rocky Point; Dwarf Pine Barrens, Westhampton

The pitch pine-oak forest description seems to accurately describe the Yaphank site. However, the listing of Sears Bellows County Park as a typical, representative example of this community type is now consistent with the Yaphank site. Furthermore, the pitch pine-oak heath woodland occurs on the infertile soil, whereas the Yaphank site is mostly fertile. However, the Rocky Point Pine Barrens is an accurate comparison to Yaphank.

<sup>1</sup>Reschke, Carol, Ecological Communities of New York State, New York Natural Heritage Program, Latham, New York, 1990.

12. Describe the predominant wildlife on the site:

Wildlife on the site and the general areas include: White tailed deer, gray squirrel, raccoon, opossum, red fox, cotton-tailed rabbit, and birds.

13. Does project site contain any species of plant or animal life that is identified as threatened or endangered? <u>yes</u> X no; if yes, give source and identify each species;

14. Is project contiguous to, or does it contain a building or site of historic, pre-historic or paleontological importance? yes X no. Explain.

15. List the specific activities now occurring at project location (ie. hunting, fishing, hiking etc.)

Government property - no authorized use

16. Is the project site presently used by the community or neighborhood as an open space or recreation area? \_\_\_\_\_yes  $\underline{X}$  no.

17. Does the present site offer or include scenic views or vistas known to be important to the community? \_\_\_\_\_\_yes  $\underline{X}$  \_\_\_\_\_no.

18. Zoning:

a. Current specific zoning or use classification of site?	L1 Industrial & A1 Residential
b. Is proposed use consistent with present zoning or use?	No proposed use
c. If no, indicate desired zoning or use.	

19. What is the dominant land use and zoning classification within a 1/4 mile radius of the project (e.g. single family residential, R-2) and the scale of development (e.g. 2 story)? (Include existing land use map)

Government use, vacant land, farm, residential, industrial, outside storage, compost facility

20. Is the site served by existing public utilities? X yes \_\_\_\_\_no.

a) If yes, does sufficient capacity exist to allow connection? \_\_\_\_\_ yes \_\_\_\_\_ no.

b) If yes, will improvements be necessary to allow connection? \_\_\_\_\_\_ yes \_\_\_\_\_ no

- Is the site located in an agricultural district certified pursuant to Agriculture and Market Law, article 25-AA, Section 303 and 304? yes X no.
- 22. Is the site located in or substantially contiguous to a Critical Environmental Area designated pursuant to Article 8 of the ECL, and 6 NYCRR 617? \_\_\_\_\_yes \_\_X no.
- 23. Has the site ever been used for disposal of solid or hazardous wastes? \_\_\_\_\_yes \_\_\_\_yes \_\_\_yes \_\_yes \_\_yy

# D. Impact Summary and Mitigation

- 1. How many acres of vegetation (trees, shrubs, ground covers) will be removed from site? <u>N/A</u> acres
- Will any mature forest or other locally important vegetation be removed by this project?
   yes X no. Explain.

3. Are there plans for erosion control and stabilization? yes X no. Explain and attach plans.

4. Are there any plans for revegetation to replace that removed during construction? \_\_\_\_yes <u>X</u>\_\_no. Explain and attach plans.

N/A

5. Will project physically alter any surface water bodies? \_\_\_yes\_X\_no. Explain.

N/A

6. Will project require relocation of any projects, facilities or homes? \_\_\_yes X\_no. Explain.
 N/A

# 7. Number of jobs generated:

During construction?	N/A
After project is completed?	N/A

8. Number of jobs eliminated by this project <u>N/A</u>.

E. Alternatives - Briefly list alternatives to the proposal considered

- No Action: Remains for Government (institutional) use. This would allow for any use

that is determined to be for public need. Such uses could include offices, day care center, health clinics, stadiums and other facilities.

- Development as proposed in I.R. 1883-2010 (Legacy Village Proposal) which includes residential development, sports complex and industrial development

# F. Approval and Compliance

- 1. Will project involve funding or financing by any: No
  - a. Federal agency (specify) \_\_\_\_\_; amount \_\_\_\_\_.
  - b. State agency (specify)\_\_\_\_; amount\_\_\_\_.
  - c. Local agency (specify) \_\_\_\_\_; amount\_\_\_\_\_.

# 2. Does project require permit or approval from:

	YES	NO	ТҮРЕ
a. Army Corps of Engineers		x	
b. U.S. Environmental Protection		X	
c. Other Federal agency (specify)		x	
d. N.Y.S. Environmental Conservation Department		X	
e. Other State agency (specify)		X	
f. County Health Department		x	
g. County Planning Department		X	
h. County Public Works Department		X	
i. Town or Village Board		X	
j. Town or Village Planning Board		X	
k. Town or Village Zoning Board		x	
1. Town or Village Building Department		X	
m. Town or Village Highway Department		x	
n. Town or Village Environmental Agency		x	
o. Local Fire Marshal		x	
p. Other local agency (specify i.e. CAC) CEQ and Suffolk County Legislature	Х		SEQRA

3. Conformance to existing comprehensive or project master plans.

	yes	no	Description			
a. State			<u>N/A</u>			
b. Bi County		_	<u>N/A</u>			
c. County	<u> </u>		<u>N/A</u>			
d. Town			<u>N/A</u>			
e. Village		_	<u>N/A</u>			
PREPARER <u>Mic</u>	hael M	ulé	Date June 18, 2012			
TITLE <u>Environme</u>	ntal Pro	pjects Coordinator				
SIGNATURE*	, 2	1 Ton	P			
	I certif	y that the information herein i	s accurate.			
PROJECT DIRECTOR SANAGE LANS SDA Date June 18, 2012						
TITLE PLANN	うろ	Difector				
SIGNATURE* S	Q					
		I certify that the information	herein is accurate			

\*Signature of both preparer and project director required

# Part 2 - RESPONSIBILITY OF LEAD AGENCY Project Impacts and Their Magnitude

# General Information (Read Carefully)

- X In completing the form the reviewer should be guided by the question: Have my decisions and determinations been **reasonable?** The reviewer is not expected to be an expert environmental analyst.
- X Identifying that an effect will be potentially large (column 2) does not mean that it is also necessarily **significant**. Any large impact must be evaluated in PART 3 to determine significance. By identifying an impact in column 2 simply asks that it be looked at further.
- X The **Examples** provided are to assist the reviewer by showing types of impacts and wherever possible the threshold of magnitude that would trigger a response in column 2. The examples are generally applicable throughout the State and for most situations. But, for any specific project or site other examples and/or lower thresholds may be appropriate for a Potential Large Impact rating.
- X Each project, on each site, in each locality, will vary. Therefore, the examples have been offered as guidance. They do not constitute an exhaustive list of impacts and thresholds to answer each question.
- X The number of examples per question does not indicate the importance of each question.

# **Instructions** (Read carefully)

- a. Answer each of the 19 questions in PART 2. Answer Yes if there will be any impact.
- b. Maybe answers should be considered as Yes answers.
- c. If answering Yes to a question then check the appropriate box (column 1 or 2) to indicate the potential size of the
- impact. If threshold impact equals or exceeds any example provided, check column 2. If impact will occur but threshold is lower than example, check column 1.
- d. If reviewer has doubt about size of the impact then consider the impact as potentially large and proceed to PART 3.
- e. If a potentially large impact or effect can be mitigated by a change in the project to a less that large magnitude, check the yes box in column 3. A No response indicates that such a reduction is not possible.

#### IMPACT ON LAND

1. Will the proposed action result in a physical change to the project site? Yes X No

<b>IMPACT ON LAND</b> <b>Examples</b> that would apply to Column 1	1 Small to Moderate Impact	2 Potential Large Impact	3 Can Impact Be Mitigated By Project Change (Enter Yes or No)
Any construction on slopes of 15% or greater, (15 foot rise per 100 foot of length), or where the general slopes in the project area exceed 10%.			
Construction of land where the depth to the water table is less than 3 feet.			
Construction of paved parking area for 1,000 or more vehicles.			
Construction on land where bedrock is exposed or generally within 3 feet of existing ground surface.			
Construction that will continue for more than w year or involve more than one phase or stage.			
Excavation for mining purposes that would remove more than 1,000 tons of natural material (i.e., rock or soil) per year.			
IMPACT ON LAND	1 Small to Moderate	2 Potential Large	3 Can Impact Be Mitigated By

	Impact	Impact	Project Change (Enter Yes or No)
Construction of any new sanitary landfill.			
Construction in a designated floodway.			
Other Impacts (Please describe)			

2. Will there be an effect to any unique or unusual land forms found on the site? (i.e., cliffs, dunes, geological formations, etc.) \_\_\_\_\_yes \_\_X\_\_ no.

List Specific land forms:			
---------------------------	--	--	--

## **IMPACT ON WATER**

3. Will proposed action affect any water body designated as protected? (under Articles 15,24,25 of the Environmental Conservation Law, ECL) \_\_\_\_\_ yes  $\underline{\mathbf{X}}$  no.

<b>IMPACT ON WATER</b> (Examples that would apply to column 2)	1 Small to Moderate Impact	2 Potential Large Impact	3 Can Impact Be Mitigated By Project Change (Enter Yes or No)
Developable area of site contains a protected water body.			
Dredging more than 100 cubic yards of material from channel of a protected stream.			
Extension of utility distribution facilities through a protected water body.			
Construction in a designated freshwater or tidal wetland.			
Please List Other Impacts:			

## 4. Will proposed action affect any non-protected existing or new body of water? \_\_\_\_\_yes \_\_X\_\_ no

A 10% increase or decrease in the surface area of any body of water or more than a 10 acre increase or decrease.		
Construction of a body of water that exceeds 10 acres of surface area.		
Please List Other Impacts:		

## 5. Will proposed action affect surface or groundwater quality? \_\_\_\_ yes $\underline{X}$ no

Proposed Action will require a discharge permit.		
Proposed Action requires use of a source of water that does not have approval to serve proposed (project) action.		
Proposed Action requires water supply from wells with greater than 45 gallons per minute pumping capacity.		

<b>IMPACT ON WATER (cont.)</b> (Examples that would apply to column 2)	1 Small to Moderate Impact	2 Potential Large Impact	3 Can Impact Be Mitigated By Project Change
---	-------------------------------------	-----------------------------------	--

	(Enter Yes or No)
Construction or operation causing any contamination of a public water supply system.	
Proposed Action will adversely affect groundwater.	
Liquid effluent will be conveyed off the site to facilities which presently do not exist or have inadequate capacity.	
Proposed Action requiring a facility that would use water in excess of 20,000 gallons per day.	
Proposed Action will likely cause siltation or other discharge into an existing body of water to the extent that there will be an obvious visual contrast to natural conditions.	
Proposed Action will require the storage of petroleum products greater than 1,100 gallons.	
Proposed Action will allow residential uses in areas without water and/or sewer services.	
Proposed Action locates commercial and/or industrial uses which may require new or expansion of existing waste treatment and/or storage facilities.	
Please list other impacts:	

6. Will proposed action alter drainage flow, patterns or surface water runoff? \_\_\_\_\_ yes \_\_\_\_ no.

Proposed Action would impede flood water flows.		
Proposed Action is likely to cause substantial erosion.		
Proposed Action is incompatible with existing drain patterns.		
Proposed Action will allow development in a designated floodway.		
Please list other impacts:		

# **IMPACT ON AIR**

7	Will proposed	l action	affect air	quality?	уе	s <u>X</u>	<u>no.</u>

IMPACT ON AIR (Examples that would apply to column 2)	1 Small to Moderate Impact	2 Potential Large Impact	3 Can Impact Be Mitigated By Project Change (Enter Yes or No)
Proposed Action will induce 1,000 or more vehicle trips in given hour.			
Proposed Action will result in the incineration of more than 1 ton of refuse per hour.			

(Enter Yes or No)	M	I Small to Moderate Impact	2 Potential Large Impact	3 Can Impact Be Mitigated By Project Change
-------------------	---	-------------------------------------	-----------------------------------	--

Proposed Action emission rate of all contaminants will exceed 5 lbs. per hour or a heat source producing more than 10 million BTU's per hour.		
Proposed Action will allow an increase in the amount of land committed to industrial use.		
Proposed Action will allow an increase in the density of industrial development in existing industrial areas.		
Please List Other Impacts:		

## **IMPACT ON PLANTS AND ANIMALS**

8. Will Proposed Action affect any threatened or endangered species? <u>yes X</u> no.

IMPACT ON PLANTS AND ANIMALS (Examples that would apply to Column 2)	1 Small to Moderate Impact	2 Potential Large Impact	3 Can Impact Be Mitigated By Project Change (Enter Yes or No)
Reduction of one or more species listed on the New York or Federal list, using the site, over or near site or found on the site.			
Removal of any portion of a critical or significant wildlife habitat.			
Application of pesticide or herbicide over more than twice a year other than for agricultural purposes.			
Please list other impacts:			

9. Will Proposed Action substantially affect non-threatened or endangered species? \_\_\_\_ Yes X\_\_ No

Proposed Action would substantially interfere with any resident or migratory fish or wildlife species.		
Proposed Action requires the removal of more than 10 acres of mature forest (over 100 years of age) or other locally important vegetation.		

# **IMPACT ON AGRICULTURAL LAND RESOURCES**

10. Will the Proposed Action affect agricultural land resources? \_\_\_\_ Yes X\_\_\_ No

IMPACT ON AGRICULTURAL LAND RESOURCES (Examples that would apply to Column 2)	1 Small to Moderate Impact	2 Potential Large Impact	3 Can Impact Be Mitigated By Project Change (Enter Yes or No)
The Proposed Action would sever, cross through, or limit access to a field of agricultural land (includes cropland, hayfields, pasture, vineyard, orchard, etc.			

IMPACT ON AGRICULTURAL LAND RESOURCES (cont.)	1 Small to Moderate Impact	2 Potential Large Impact	3 Can Impact Be Mitigated By Project Change (Enter Yes or No)
Construction activity would excavate or compact the soil profile of agricultural land.			

The Proposed Action would irreversibly convert more than 10 acres of agricultural land or, if located in an Agricultural District, more than one acre of agricultural land.		
The Proposed Action would disrupt agricultural land management systems (e.g., subsurface drain lines, outlet ditches, strip cropping); prevent agricultural land management measures from being installed; or create a need for such measures (e.g., cause a farm field to drain poorly due to increased runoff)		
Prime or unique farmland as defined by USDA-SCS 7 CFR Part 657 and governed by the Farmland Protection Policy Act of 1981 is involved.		
Please list other impacts:		

# IMPACT ON AESTHETIC RESOURCES OR COMMUNITY CHARACTER

11. Will proposed action affect aesthetic resources, or the character of the n	eighborhood or c	community?	Yes X No
IMPACT ON AESTHETIC RESOURCES OR COMMUNITY CHARACTER (Examples that would apply to column 2) (If Necessary Use the Visual EAF Addendum in Section 617.23)	1 Small to Moderate Impact	2 Potential Large Impact	3 Can Impact Be Mitigated By Project Change (Enter Yes or No)
Introduction of proposed land uses, projects or project components obviously different or in sharp contrast to current surrounding land use patterns or existing man-made additions to the landscape.			
Introduction of proposed land uses, projects or project components as described in the above example that will be visible to users of aesthetic resources. This will eliminate or significantly reduce the public enjoyment or appreciation of the appearance or aesthetic qualities of a resource or community character.			
Introduction of project components that will result in the elimination or significant screening of scenic views known to be important to the area.			
Please list other impacts:			

#### **IMPACT ON HISTORIC AND ARCHEOLOGICAL RESOURCES**

12. Will Proposed Action impact any site or structure of historic, prehistoric or paleontogical importance? Yes X No

IMPACT ON HISTORIC AND ARCHEOLOGICAL RESOURCES (Examples that would apply to column 2)	1 Small to Moderate Impact	2 Potential Large Impact	3 Can Impact Be Mitigated By Project Change (Enter Yes or No)
Proposed Action occurring wholly or partially within or contiguous to any facility or site listed or eligible for listing on the State or National Register of historic places.			
Any impact to an archeological site or fossil bed located within the project site.			
Proposed Action will occur in an area designated as sensitive for archeological sites on the NSY Site Inventory.			
Please list other impacts:			

#### IMPACT ON OPEN SPACE AND RECREATION

13. Will Proposed Action affect the quantity or quality of existing or future open spaces or recreational opportunities?

X Yes No

IMPACT ON OPEN SPACE AND RECREATION (Examples that would apply to column 2)	1 Small to Moderate Impact	2 Potential Large Impact	3 Can Impact Be Mitigated By Project Change (Enter Yes or No)
The permanent foreclosure of a future recreational opportunity.	х		
A major reduction of an open space important to the community.			
Please list other impacts:			

## **IMPACT ON CRITICAL ENVIRONMENTAL AREAS**

14. Will Proposed Action impact the exceptional or unique characteristics of a critical environmental area (CEA) established pursuant to subdivision 6 NYCRR 617.14(g)?\_\_\_Yes\_X\_No List the environmental characteristics that caused the designation of the CEA.

IMPACT ON CRITICAL ENVIRONMENTAL AREAS (Examples that would apply to column 2)	1 Small to Moderate Impact	2 Potential Large Impact	3 Can Impact Be Mitigated By Project Change (Enter Yes or No)
Proposed Action to locate within the CEA?			
Proposed Action will result in a reduction in the quantity of the resource?			
Proposed Action will result in a reduction in the quality of the resource?			
Proposed Action will impact the use, function or enjoyment of the resource?			
Please list other impacts.			

 IMPACT ON TRANSPORTATION

 15. Will there be an effect to existing transportation systems?
 Yes X No

<b>IMPACT ON TRANSPORTATION</b> (Examples that would apply to column 2)	1 Small to Moderate Impact	2 Potential Large Impact	3 Can Impact Be Mitigated By Project Change (Enter Yes or No)
Alteration of present patterns of movement of people and/or goods.			
Proposed Action will result in severe traffic problems			
Please list other impacts:			

## **IMPACT ON ENERGY**

16. Will proposed action affect the communities sources of fuel or energy supply? Yes X No			
<b>IMPACT ON ENERGY</b> (Examples that would apply to column 2)	1 Small to Moderate Impact	2 Potential Large Impact	3 Can Impact Be Mitigated By Project Change (Enter Yes or No)
Proposed Action will cause a greater than 5% increase in any form of energy in municipality.			
Proposed Action will require the creation or extension of an energy transmission or supply system to serve more than 50 single or two family residences.			
Please list other impacts:			

#### IMPACT ON NOISE

17. Will there be objectionable odors, noise, glare, vibration or electrical disturbance as a result of the Proposed Action?
 Yes X No

IMPACT ON NOISE (Examples that would apply to column 2)	1 Small to Moderate Impact	2 Potential Large Impact	3 Can Impact Be Mitigated By Project Change (Enter Yes or No)
Blasting within 1,500 feet of a hospital, school or other sensitive facility.			
Odors will occur routinely (more than one hour per day).			
Proposed Action will produce operating noise exceeding the local ambient noise levels for noise outside of structures.			
Proposed Action will remove natural barriers that would act as a noise screen.			
Please list other impacts:			

#### IMPACT ON PUBLIC HEALTH AND (HAZARDS) SAFETY

18. Will Proposed Action affect public health and safety? Yes X No

IMPACT ON PUBLIC HEALTH AND (HAZARDS) SAFETY (Examples that would apply to column 2)	1 Small to Moderate Impact	2 Potential Large Impact	3 Can Impact Be Mitigated By Project Change (Enter Yes or No)
Proposed Action will cause a risk of explosion or release of hazardous substances (i.e. oil, pesticides, chemicals, radiation, etc.) in the event of accident or upset conditions, or there will be a chronic low level discharge or emission.			
Proposed Action will result in the burial of "hazardous wastes" (i.e. toxic, poisonous, highly reactive, radioactive, irritating, infectious, etc., including wastes that are solid, semi-solid, liquid or contain gases).			
Storage facilities for one million or more gallons of liquified natural gas or other liquids.			
Please list other impacts:			

#### **IMPACT ON GROWTH AND CHARACTER OF COMMUNITY OR NEIGHBORHOOD**

19. Will Proposed Action affect the character of the existing Community? Yes X No 1 2 3 IMPACT ON GROWTH AND CHARACTER OF COMMUNITY Potential Can Impact Be Small to **OR NEIGHBORHOOD** Moderate Large Mitigated By (Examples that would apply to column 2) Impact Impact **Project Change** (Enter Yes or No) The population of the city, town or village in which the project is likely

to grow by more than 5% of resident human population.		
The municipal budgets for capital expenditures or operating services will increase by more than 5% per year as a result of this project.		
Will involve any permanent facility of a non-agricultural use on more than one acre in an agricultural district or remove more than 10 acres of (prime) agricultural lands from cultivation.		
Proposed Action will replace or eliminate existing facilities, structures or areas of historic importance to the community.		
Development will in induce an influx of a particular age group with special needs.		
Proposed Action will set an important precedent for future projects.		
Proposed Action will relocate 15 or more employees in one or more businesses.		
Please List other impacts:		

#### PUBLIC INPUT

20. Is there public controversy related to Potential Adverse Environmental	mpacts? <u>Ye</u>	s <u>X</u> No	
Either government or citizens of adjacent communities have expressed opposition or rejected the project or have not been contacted.			
Objections to the project from within the community.			

# If Any Action in Part 2 Is Identified as a Potential Large Impact or If You Cannot Determine the Magnitude of Impact, Proceed to Part 3

# Determination of Significance

Portions of EAF completed for this project: <u>X</u> Part 1 <u>X</u> Part 2 Part 3

Upon review of the information recorded on this EAF (Parts 1, 2 and 3) and considering both the magnitude and importance of each impact, it is reasonably determined that:

- X. The project will result in no major impacts and, therefore, is one which may not cause significant damage to the environment. **Prepare a negative declaration:**
- **For unlisted actions only.** Although the project could have a significant effect on the environment, there will not be a significant effect in this case because the mitigation measures described in Part # have been included as part of the proposed project. **Prepare a CONDITIONAL negative declaration:**
- \_\_\_\_ The project will result in one or more major adverse impacts that cannot be reduced and may cause significant damage to the environment. **Prepare a positive declaration , proceed with EIS:**

Signature of Preparer (if different from responsible officer)

June 18, 2012

Date

Signature of Responsible Officer in Lead Agency

Print or Type Name of Responsible Officer in Lead Agency

Suffolk County

Name of Lead Agency

June 18, 2012

Date

# Proposed Surplus and Sale of County Land 230.8 ± acres - Hamlet of Yaphank, Town of Brookhaven 1 © 2010 Aerial Photography New York State Office for Technology. 2,500 5,000 Feet 1,250 0 June 18, 2012

SCRPTM# 0200 81500 0100 004004, 0200 81500 0100 006002 & 0200 81500 0100 006003



Proposed Surplus Land SCRP Tax Map Base








COPYRIGHT 2009, COUNTY OF SUFFOLK, N.Y.

COPYRIGH 2009, COUNTY OF SUFFOLK, N.T. Real Property Taxmap pared linework used with permission of Suffolk County Real Property Tax Service Agency (R.P.T.S.A.). This rendering is a DRAFT MAP in that 1) the data displayed is an interagency or intra agency work\* produced for the purpose of identifying and correcting data. It is not a final agency determination. It is not statistical or factual compilation of data. In some cases correct data has been left out and questionable or inaccurate data has been exaggerated to help identify errors. In short, this is a DRAFT MAP produced in an effort to aid in the correction of data and is not held out as being complete or accurate in any way.

\*excerpted from (F.O.I.L.) the provisions of the Freedom of Information Law [Public Officers Law Article 6 Section 84-90] by section 87.2.g







TH

COPYRIGH 2009, COUNTY OF SUFFOLK, N.T. Real Property Taxmap pared linework used with permission of Suffolk County Real Property Tax Service Agency (R.P.T.S.A.). This rendering is a DRAFT MAP in that 1) the data displayed is an interagency or intra agency work\* produced for the purpose of identifying and correcting data. It is not a final agency determination. It is not statistical or factual compilation of data. In some cases correct data has been left out and questionable or inaccurate data has been exaggerated to help identify errors. In short, this is a DRAFT MAP produced in an effort to aid in the correction of data and is not held out as being

\*excerpted from (F.O.I.L.) the provisions of the Freedom of Information Law [Public Officers Law Article 6 Section 84-90] by section 87.2.g

### **COUNTY OF SUFFOLK**



STEVEN BELLONE SUFFOLK COUNTY EXECUTIVE

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS

GILBERT ANDERSON, P.E. COMMISSIONER PHILIP A. BERDOLT DEPUTY COMMISSIONER

#### **MEMORANDUM**

TO: Gloria Russo, Chairperson Council on Environmental Quality

FROM: Ben Wright, P.E., Principal Civil Engineer

SUBJECT: Sewer District No. 7 – Medford (Woodside)

DATE: June 5, 2012

Please find attached 15 copies of an EAF for a project to upgrade and rehabilitate the Woodside Wastewater Treatment facility that has been in operation for over 30 years and the process that is available on the site as well as the infrastructure is in need of upgrading and rehabilitation. It is expected that the existing tanks can be raised by 5 feet in order to accommodate the new treatment processes that can meet the effluent limitations for an extended life cycle. In addition, we will evaluate the recharge facilities which include both underground pools and open beds to ensure that their life is sufficient for the next 20 plus years.

We would appreciate the project being placed on an upcoming CEQ meeting. Thank you for your assistance.

BW:ni

Attachment

cc: Gil Anderson, P.E., Commissioner

John Donovan, P.E., Chief Engineer

Ron Warren, Director of Operation & Maintenance bw6-5-12 sd7 Medford (Woodside) CP 8119 CEQ memo to GRusso

SUFFOLK COUNTY IS AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY / AFFIRMATIVE ACTION EMPLOYER

**335 YAPHANK AVENUE** 

YAPHANK, N.Y. 11980

(631) 852-4010 FAX (631) 852-4150

### SUFFOLK COUNTY FULL ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT FORM (EAF)

<u>Instructions:</u> This document is designed to assist in determining whether the action proposed may have a significant effect on the environment. Please complete the entire Data Sheet. Include as much information as possible such as feasibility studies, design reports, etc. Attach additional sheets if necessary. Mark irrelevant questions N.A., not applicable.

#### A. General Information:

1. Name of Project:

### Suffolk County Sewer District No. 7 – Medford (Woodside WWTP)

2. Location of Project: (specify Town, Village or Hamlet and include project location map on next page.)

#### **Bellport**, NY

Street Address:

#### 47 Harrison Avenue, Bellport, NY

Name of property or waterway:

Suffolk County Department of Public Works Sewer District No. 7 – Medford (Woodside WWTP)

3. Maps of Property and Project: Attach relevant available maps, including a location map (note: use road map, Hagstrom Atlas, U.S.G.S. topo map, tax map or equivalent) and preliminary site plans showing orientation, scale, buildings, roads, landmarks, drainage systems, areas to be altered by project, etc. **Attached** 

4. Type of Project: (check one) New X Expansion

5. Capital Program: (specify) Item #<u>8119</u> Date Adopted <u>2012</u> Amount \$3,000,000

6. General Description of Project Including its Purpose (attach relevant design reports, plans etc.):

The Woodside Wastewater Treatment facility has a process that has been stressed with respect to meeting effluent limitations. Although those limitations have been met, there are concerns that the process and infrastructure will require rehabilitation in order to continue that success. Current process modifications have assisted, however, a preliminary evaluation indicates that utilizing the existing tanks by raising their side wall areas and providing new technology would provide the life cycle necessary to meet limitations in the long term. In addition, an evaluation will be made on the recharge facilities on the site which are a combination of underground leaching facilities and open recharge beds. Engineering assistance is anticipated.

7. Project Status: (check if begun)

	Start	Completion
PROPOSAL		
STUDY		7/2012
PRELIMINARY PLANNING	7/2012	12/2012
FINAL PLANS: SPECS	1/2013	10/2013
SITE ACOUISITION		
CONSTRUCTION	3/2014	6/2015
OTHER		

# 8. Departments Involved:

NAME AND ADDRESS OF DEPT. PERFORMING DESIGN & CONSTRUCTION	NAME AND ADDRESS OF INITIATING DEPT. (If different)
Name: SCDPW - Sanitation	
Street/P.O.: 335 Yaphank Ave.	
City, State: Yaphank, NY	
Zip: 11980	
Contact Person: Ben Wright, P.E.	
Business Phone: 631-852-4184	

### B. Project Description

1	Scale	of Project:	
1.	Scale	011100000	

a. Total contiguous acres now owned at site:	5.2 acres – owned by district	
b. Acreage to be acquired:		
c. Developed acreage now: Developed acreage at completion of project: Developed acreage ultimately:	3.1 acres3.1 acres3.1 acres	
d. Acreage of vegetation or cover to be removed:		
e. Acreage to remain undeveloped:	2.1 acres	
<ul> <li>f. Building gross floor area now: (equipment enclosure)</li> <li>Building gross floor area proposed:</li> </ul>	1,730 sq. ft. acres 1,730 sq. ft. acres	
<ul> <li>g. Height of tallest structure on site now:</li> <li>Height of tallest structure proposed on site:</li> </ul>	30 ft. 30 ft.	
h. Proposed Building use (if any):	Wastewater treatment	
i. Off-street parking spaces now:	4 number acres	

2

Off-street parking spaces proposed:	4 number acres
j. Max. vehicle trips/hr. when operational:	2 operators per day in/out of site
k. Roads on site now:	380 ft. length acres
1. New road construction or reconstruction	length acres
m. Will project result in an increase in energy use? If yes, indicate type(s): Yes	electric
<ul><li>n. Will project require storage of liquid fuels and chemicals?</li><li>If yes, describe substances and amounts to be stored:</li></ul>	NA

# 2. Project Schedule:

a. Is project single or multi-phase?	Single
b. If multi-phase, how many phases?	
c. Total construction time (months)	15 months

# 3. Wastes and Pollutants Generated During Project Construction and Operation:

	Components	Quantity	Mode of Disposal
a. Sanitary Sewage	NA		
b. Liquid industrial waste	NA		
c. Toxic chemicals	NA		
d. Pesticides or herbicides	NA		
e. Solid wastes	NA		
f. Clearing or demolition debris	NA		
g. Spoil disposal or sedimentation	NA		
h. Atmospheric emissions	Typical Construction Equipment		
i. Surface water runoff	NA		
j. Noise exceeding ambient	Construction	Crane,	

	Equipment	scaffolds	
k. Odors exceeding 1hr/day	NA		
1. Other (specify)	NA		

4. Does Project Involve Any:

Grading Cut/Fill; List amounts.	NA
Dredging; List max. depth, length & width.	NA
Spoil Area; List amount.	NA
Bulkheading; List length.	NA
Dewatering; List g.p.m. & period of time.	NA

### 5. Indicate Sources of Utilities:

Water	SCWA
Electricity	LIPA
Gas	
Other (please specify)	

6. Total Water Usage:

Gallons per Day

If water supply is from wells, indicate pumping capacity in gallons per minute \_\_\_\_\_.

### C. Project Area Description/Existing Conditions:

1. Acreage of Physical Characteristics o	f Project Area:	Presently	After Completion
Meadow, field, scrub growth			
Wooded			
Agricultural			
Freshwater wetland			
Tidal wetlands			
Surface waters	- -		
Cleared, graded or filled land			
Paved areas (roads, parking, etc.)			
Buildings (List number and sq. ft.)			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4 June 6	, 2012	

Other (please specify)		
TOTAL		No change
IUIAL		L

2. Streams within or contiguous to project area: (Please list name of stream and/or name of river to which it is tributary, including intermittent streams)

None

3. Lakes, Ponds, Wetland areas within or contiguous to project area: (*Please list name(s) and size(s) in acres*)

None

4. a. Are there <u>natural drainage channels</u> on the project site? <u>yes</u> <u>x</u> no

# b. How far is project area from freshwater wetlands, tidal wetlands or surface waters? Approximately 1.5 miles northeast of the Mud Creek headwaters

5. Is the Project area within the 100 yr. Flood plain? \_\_\_\_\_yes X\_\_\_\_no

6. Depth to the water table: at surface 0-3 ft X 3-8 ft 8-16 ft X 16 ft

7. Predominant soil type (s) on project site as identified in the <u>Soil Survey of Suffolk County</u> - 1975: (Include soils map of site.)

Clean sand and gravel

8. General character of the land: Generally uniform slope X\_Generally uneven and rolling or irregular\_\_\_\_\_. (Include topographic map of site.)

9. Approximate percentage of proposed project site with slopes: 0-10% 100 10-15% or greater \_\_\_\_%.

10. Any unique or unusual land forms on the project site? (i.e. cliffs, dunes, kettle holes, eskers, other geological formations):

None

11. Describe the predominant vegetation types on the site:

Scrub pines and light brush

12. Describe the predominant wildlife on the site:

None

13. Does project site contain any species of plant or animal life that is identified as threatened or 5 June 6, 2012

14. Is project contiguous to, or does it contain a building or site of historic, pre-historic or paleontological importance? ves X no. Explain.

15. List the specific activities now occurring at project location (ie. hunting, fishing, hiking etc.) Wastewater treatment facility

16. Is the project site presently used by the community or neighborhood as an open space or recreation area? \_\_\_\_\_ yes \_\_\_ X no.

17. Does the present site offer or include scenic views or vistas known to be important to the community? \_\_\_\_\_ yes X no.

18. Zoning:

18. Zoning:	
a. Current specific zoning or use classification of site?	Municipal Wastewater Treatment Facility
b. Is proposed use consistent with present zoning or use?	Yes
c. If no, indicate desired zoning or use.	NA

19. What is the dominant land use and zoning classification within a 1/4 mile radius of the project (e.g. single family residential, R-2) and the scale of development (e.g. 2 story)? (Include existing land use map)

Single family (2 story)

20. Is the site served by existing public utilities? X ves no.

a) If yes, does sufficient capacity exist to allow connection? X yes no.

b) If yes, will improvements be necessary to allow connection? yes X no

- 21. Is the site located in an agricultural district certified pursuant to Agriculture and Market Law, article 25-AA, Section 303 and 304? yes X no.
- 22. Is the site located in or substantially contiguous to a Critical Environmental Area designated pursuant to Article 8 of the ECL, and 6 NYCRR 617? ves X no.

23. Has the site ever been used for disposal of solid or hazardous wastes? ves X no.

D. **Impact Summary and Mitigation** 

- 1. How many acres of vegetation (trees, shrubs, ground covers) will be removed from site? 0 acres
- 2. Will any mature forest or other locally important vegetation be removed by this project? 6

June 6, 2012

ves	X	no.	Exp	lain.

3. Are there plans for erosion control and stabilization? \_\_\_\_\_yes \_X\_\_\_no. Explain and attach plans.

The project area is flat; no erosion control is required.

4. Are there any plans for revegetation to replace that removed during construction? yes  $\underline{\mathbf{x}}$  no. Explain and attach plans.

5. Will project physically alter any surface water bodies? <u>yes</u> <u>x</u> no. Explain.

6. Will project require relocation of any projects, facilities or homes? yes <u>x</u> no. Explain.

7. Number of jobs generated:

During construction?	5 (temporary)
After project is completed?	0

8. Number of jobs eliminated by this project \_\_\_\_\_0\_\_\_\_.

E. <u>Alternatives</u> - Briefly list alternatives to the proposal considered **No action, potential future environmental/financial problems** 

- F. Approval and Compliance
- 1. Will project involve funding or financing by any:
  - a. Federal agency (specify) \_\_\_\_\_; amount\_\_\_\_\_.

  - c. Local agency (specify) County (District); amount \$3,000,000

7

2. Does project require permit or approval from:

	YES	NO	ТҮРЕ
a. Army Corps of Engineers		X	
b. U.S. Environmental Protection		X	

c. Other Federal agency (specify)		X	
d. N.Y.S. Environmental Conservation Department	X		permit
e. Other State agency (specify)		X	
f. County Health Department	X		
g. County Planning Department	X		
h. County Public Works Department	X		
i. Town or Village Board		X	
j. Town or Village Planning Board		X	
k. Town or Village Zoning Board		x	
1. Town or Village Building Department		<u> </u>	
m. Town or Village Highway Department		x	
n. Town or Village Environmental Agency		<u> </u>	
o. Local Fire Marshal		X	
p. Other local agency (specify i.e. CAC)	X		CEQ-SEQRA

Description

3. Conformance to existing comprehensive or project master plans.

yes no a. State b. Bi County c. County d. Town e. Village

June 6, 2012

8

PREPARER Ben Wrig	<u>ht, P.E.</u>	Date June 6, 2012
TITLE <u>Principal C</u> i	vil Engineer, SCDPW	
SIGNATURE*	Berling	<u></u>
I cert	ify that the information herein is accu	irate.
PROJECT DIRECTOR	John Donovan, P.E.	Date June 6, 2012
TITLE	Chief Engineer, SCDPW	
SIGNATURE*	ncam	
	I certify that the information hereir	is accurate
*Signature of both preparer	and project director required	

**,** 

9

### Part 2 - RESPONSIBILITY OF LEAD AGENCY Project Impacts and Their Magnitude

### **General Information** (Read Carefully)

- X In completing the form the reviewer should be guided by the question: Have my decisions and determinations been **reasonable?** The reviewer is not expected to be an expert environmental analyst.
- X Identifying that an effect will be potentially large (column 2) does not mean that it is also necessarily significant. Any large impact must be evaluated in PART 3 to determine significance. By identifying an impact in column 2 simply asks that it be looked at further.
- X The **Examples** provided are to assist the reviewer by showing types of impacts and wherever possible the threshold of magnitude that would trigger a response in column 2. The examples are generally applicable throughout the State and for most situations. But, for any specific project or site other examples and/or lower thresholds may be appropriate for a Potential Large Impact rating.
- X Each project, on each site, in each locality, will vary. Therefore, the examples have been offered as guidance. They do not constitute an exhaustive list of impacts and thresholds to answer each question.
- X The number of examples per question does not indicate the importance of each question.

### **Instructions** (Read carefully)

- a. Answer each of the 19 questions in PART 2. Answer Yes if there will be any impact.
- b. Maybe answers should be considered as Yes answers.
- If answering Yes to a question then check the appropriate box (column 1 or 2) to indicate the potential size of the impact. If threshold impact equals or exceeds any example provided, check column 2. If impact will occur but threshold is lower than example, check column 1.
- d. If reviewer has doubt about size of the impact then consider the impact as potentially large and proceed to PART 3.
- If a potentially large impact or effect can be mitigated by a change in the project to a less that large magnitude, check the yes box in column 3. A No response indicates that such a reduction is not possible.

#### IMPACT ON LAND

1. Will the proposed action result in a physical change to the project site? X Yes No

<b>IMPACT ON LAND</b> <b>Examples</b> that would apply to Column 1	1 Small to Moderate Impact	2 Potential Large Impact	3 Can Impact Be Mitigated By Project Change (Enter Yes or No)
Any construction on slopes of 15% or greater, (15 foot rise per 100 foot of length), or where the general slopes in the project area exceed 10%.			
Construction of land where the depth to the water table is less than 3 feet.			
Construction of paved parking area for 1,000 or more vehicles.			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Construction on land where bedrock is exposed or generally within 3 feet of existing ground surface.			
Construction that will continue for more than w year or involve more than one phase or stage.			
Excavation for mining purposes that would remove more than 1,000 tons of natural material (i.e., rock or soil) per year.			

IMPACT ON LAND	1 Small to Moderate Impact	2 Potential Large Impact	3 Can Impact Be Mitigated By Project Change (Enter Yes or No)
Construction of any new sanitary landfill.			
Construction in a designated floodway.			
Other Impacts (Please describe) Increase the height of treatment tanks	$\checkmark$		No

2. Will there be an effect to any unique or unusual land forms found on the site? (i.e., cliffs, dunes, geological formations, etc.) yes \_ <u>X</u> no.

List Specific land forms:	NA	-		
---------------------------	----	---	--	--

**IMPACT ON WATER** 3. Will proposed action affect any water body designated as protected? (under Articles 15,24,25 of the Environmental Conservation Law, ECL) \_\_\_\_ yes \_\_\_ no.

IMPACT ON WATER (Examples that would apply to column 2)	1 Small to Moderate Impact	2 Potential Large Impact	3 Can Impact Be Mitigated By Project Change (Enter Yes or No)
Developable area of site contains a protected water body.			
Dredging more than 100 cubic yards of material from channel of a protected stream.			
Extension of utility distribution facilities through a protected water body.			
Construction in a designated freshwater or tidal wetland.			•
Please List Other Impacts:			

4. Will proposed action affect any non-protected existing or new body of water?	yes	X no
---	-----	------

A 10% increase or decrease in the surface area of any body of water or more than a 10 acre increase or decrease.		
Construction of a body of water that exceeds 10 acres of surface area.		
Please List Other Impacts:		

5. Will proposed action affect surface or groundwater quality? <u>yes X</u> no

Proposed Action will require a discharge permit.		
Proposed Action requires use of a source of water that does not have approval to serve proposed (project) action.		
Proposed Action requires water supply from wells with greater than 45 gallons per minute pumping capacity.		

IMPACT ON AIR (cont.)	1 Small to Moderate Impact	2 Potential Large Impact	3 Can Impact Be Mitigated By Project Change (Enter Yes or No)
Proposed Action emission rate of all contaminants will exceed 5 lbs. per hour or a heat source producing more than 10 million BTU's per hour.			
Proposed Action will allow an increase in the amount of land committed to industrial use.			
Proposed Action will allow an increase in the density of industrial development in existing industrial areas.			
Please List Other Impacts:			

 IMPACT ON PLANTS AND ANIMALS

 8. Will Proposed Action affect any threatened or endangered species?
 yes x no.

<b>IMPACT ON PLANTS AND ANIMALS</b> (Examples that would apply to Column 2)	1 Small to Moderate Impact	2 Potential Large Impact	3 Can Impact Be Mitigated By Project Change (Enter Yes or No)
Reduction of one or more species listed on the New York or Federal list, using the site, over or near site or found on the site.			
Removal of any portion of a critical or significant wildlife habitat.			
Application of pesticide or herbicide over more than twice a year other than for agricultural purposes.			
Please list other impacts:			

### 9. Will Proposed Action substantially affect non-threatened or endangered species? Yes x No

Proposed Action would substantially interfere with any resident or migratory fish or wildlife species.		
Proposed Action requires the removal of more than 10 acres of mature forest (over 100 years of age) or other locally important vegetation.		

### IMPACT ON AGRICULTURAL LAND RESOURCES

10. Will the Proposed Action affect agricultural land resources? Yes	<u>x No</u>		
IMPACT ON AGRICULTURAL LAND RESOURCES (Examples that would apply to Column 2)	1 Small to Moderate Impact	2 Potential Large Impact	3 Can Impact Be Mitigated By Project Change (Enter Yes or No)
The Proposed Action would sever, cross through, or limit access to a field of agricultural land (includes cropland, hayfields, pasture, vineyard, orchard, etc.			

IMPACT ON AGRICULTURAL LAND RESOURCES (cont.)	1 Small to Moderate Impact	2 Potential Large Impact	3 Can Impact Be Mitigated By Project Change (Enter Yes or No)
Construction activity would excavate or compact the soil profile of agricultural land.			
The Proposed Action would irreversibly convert more than 10 acres of agricultural land or, if located in an Agricultural District, more than one acre of agricultural land.			
The Proposed Action would disrupt agricultural land management systems (e.g., subsurface drain lines, outlet ditches, strip cropping); prevent agricultural land management measures from being installed; or create a need for such measures (e.g., cause a farm field to drain poorly due to increased runoff)			
Prime or unique farmland as defined by USDA-SCS 7 CFR Part 657 and governed by the Farmland Protection Policy Act of 1981 is involved.			
Please list other impacts:			

 IMPACT ON AESTHETIC RESOURCES OR COMMUNITY CHARACTER

 11. Will proposed action affect aesthetic resources, or the character of the neighborhood or community?

 Yes <u>x</u> No

IMPACT ON AESTHETIC RESOURCES OR COMMUNITY CHARACTER (Examples that would apply to column 2) (If Necessary Use the Visual EAF Addendum in Section 617.23)	1 Small to Moderate Impact	2 Potential Large Impact	3 Can Impact Be Mitigated By Project Change (Enter Yes or No)
Introduction of proposed land uses, projects or project components obviously different or in sharp contrast to current surrounding land use patterns or existing man-made additions to the landscape.			
Introduction of proposed land uses, projects or project components as described in the above example that will be visible to users of aesthetic resources. This will eliminate or significantly reduce the public enjoyment or appreciation of the appearance or aesthetic qualities of a resource or community character.			
Introduction of project components that will result in the elimination or significant screening of scenic views known to be important to the area.			
Please list other impacts:			

 IMPACT ON HISTORIC AND ARCHEOLOGICAL RESOURCES

 12. Will Proposed Action impact any site or structure of historic, prehistoric or paleontogical importance?
 Yes x No

Impact	Impact	Project Change (Enter Yes or No)
--------	--------	-------------------------------------

Proposed Action occurring wholly or partially within or contiguous to any facility or site listed or eligible for listing on the State or National Register of historic places.		
Any impact to an archeological site or fossil bed located within the project site.		
Proposed Action will occur in an area designated as sensitive for archeological sites on the NSY Site Inventory.		
Please list other impacts:		

# IMPACT ON OPEN SPACE AND RECREATION

- 13. Will Proposed Action affect the quantity or quality of existing or future open spaces or recreational opportunities?
  - Yes X No

IMPACT ON OPEN SPACE AND RECREATION (Examples that would apply to column 2)	1 Small to Moderate Impact	2 Potential Large Impact	3 Can Impact Be Mitigated By Project Change (Enter Yes or No)
The permanent foreclosure of a future recreational opportunity.			
A major reduction of an open space important to the community.			
Please list other impacts:			

### IMPACT ON CRITICAL ENVIRONMENTAL AREAS

14. Will Proposed Action impact the exceptional or unique characteristics of a critical environmental area (CEA) established pursuant to subdivision 6 NYCRR 617.14(g)?\_\_Yes\_X\_No List the environmental characteristics that caused the designation of the CEA.

IMPACT ON CRITICAL ENVIRONMENTAL AREAS (Examples that would apply to column 2)	1 Small to Moderate Impact	2 Potential Large Impact	3 Can Impact Be Mitigated By Project Change (Enter Yes or No)
Proposed Action to locate within the CEA?			
Proposed Action will result in a reduction in the quantity of the resource?			
Proposed Action will result in a reduction in the quality of the resource?			
Proposed Action will impact the use, function or enjoyment of the			
resource?			
Please list other impacts.			

#### IMPACT ON TRANSPORTATION

ı.

15.	Will there be an effect to existin	g transportation systems? _	Yes _2	K No	(positive impact)

<b>IMPACT ON TRANSPORTATION</b> (Examples that would apply to column 2)	1 Small to Moderate Impact	2 Potential Large Impact	3 Can Impact Be Mitigated By Project Change (Enter Yes or No)
Alteration of present patterns of movement of people and/or goods.			
Proposed Action will result in severe traffic problems			
Please list other impacts:			

#### **IMPACT ON ENERGY**

16. Will proposed action affect the communities sources of fuel or energy supply? X Yes No

<b>IMPACT ON ENERGY</b> (Examples that would apply to column 2)	1 Small to Moderate Impact	2 Potential Large Impact	3 Can Impact Be Mitigated By Project Change (Enter Yes or No)
Proposed Action will cause a greater than 5% increase in any form of . energy in municipality.			
Proposed Action will require the creation or extension of an energy transmission or supply system to serve more than 50 single or two family residences.			
Please list other impacts: Higher level of treatment requires additional power	V		No

# **IMPACT ON NOISE**

17. Will there be objectionable odors, noise, glare, vibration or electrical disturbance as a result of the Proposed Action?
X Yes No

IMPACT ON NOISE (Examples that would apply to column 2)	1 Small to Moderate Impact	2 Potential Large Impact	3 Can Impact Be Mitigated By Project Change (Enter Yes or No)
Blasting within 1,500 feet of a hospital, school or other sensitive facility.			
Odors will occur routinely (more than one hour per day).			
Proposed Action will produce operating noise exceeding the local ambient noise levels for noise outside of structures.			
Proposed Action will remove natural barriers that would act as a noise screen.			
Please list other impacts: Noise During Construction	Temporary and minor		No

#### **IMPACT ON PUBLIC HEALTH AND (HAZARDS) SAFETY**

IMPACT ON PUBLIC HEALTH AND (HAZARDS) SAFETY (Examples that would apply to column 2)	1 Small to Moderate Impact	2 Potential Large Impact	3 Can Impact Be Mitigated By Project Change (Enter Yes or No)
Proposed Action will cause a risk of explosion or release of hazardous substances (i.e. oil, pesticides, chemicals, radiation, etc.) in the event of accident or upset conditions, or there will be a chronic low level discharge or emission.			
Proposed Action will result in the burial of "hazardous wastes" (i.e. toxic, poisonous, highly reactive, radioactive, irritating, infectious, etc., including wastes that are solid, semi-solid, liquid or contain gases).			
Storage facilities for one million or more gallons of liquified natural gas or other liquids.			
Please list other impacts:			

#### IMPACT ON GROWTH AND CHARACTER OF COMMUNITY OR NEIGHBORHOOD

19. Will Proposed Action affect the character of the existing Community? Yes X No 1 2 3 IMPACT ON GROWTH AND CHARACTER OF COMMUNITY Small to Potential Can Impact Be **OR NEIGHBORHOOD** Moderate Large Mitigated By Impact **Project Change** (Examples that would apply to column 2) Impact (Enter Yes or No) The population of the city, town or village in which the project is likely to grow by more than 5% of resident human population. The municipal budgets for capital expenditures or operating services will increase by more than 5% per year as a result of this project. Will involve any permanent facility of a non-agricultural use on more than one acre in an agricultural district or remove more than 10 acres of (prime) agricultural lands from cultivation. Proposed Action will replace or eliminate existing facilities, structures or areas of historic importance to the community. Development will in induce an influx of a particular age group with special needs. Proposed Action will set an important precedent for future projects. Proposed Action will relocate 15 or more employees in one or more businesses. Please List other impacts:

#### PUBLIC INPUT

20. Is there public controversy related to Potential Adverse Environmental	impacts? <u>X</u>	Yes	<u>_ No</u>	 ٦
Either government or citizens of adjacent communities have expressed opposition or rejected the project or have not been contacted.				
Objections to the project from within the community.				

### If Any Action in Part 2 Is Identified as a Potential Large Impact or If You Cannot Determine the Magnitude of Impact, Proceed to Part 3

Determination of Significance

Portions of EAF completed for this project: <u>X</u> Part 1 <u>X</u> Part 2 Part 3

Upon review of the information recorded on this EAF (Parts 1, 2 and 3) and considering both the magnitude and importance of each impact, it is reasonably determined that:

- A. The project will result in no major impacts and, therefore, is one which may not cause significant damage to the environment. **Prepare a negative declaration:**
- B. For unlisted actions only. Although the project could have a significant effect on the environment, there will not be a significant effect in this case because the mitigation measures described in Part # have been included as part of the proposed project. Prepare a CONDITIONAL negative declaration:
- C. The project will result in one or more major adverse impacts that cannot be reduced and may cause significant damage to the environment. Prepare a positive declaration, proceed with EIS:

Signature of Preparer (if different from responsible officer)

Date

Ben Wright, P.E., Principal Civil Engineer

Signature of Responsible Officer in Lead Agency

Print or Type Name of Responsible Officer in Lead Agency

4/6/12

Date

Suffolk County

Name of Lead Agency

18

# Part 3 - Responsibility of Lead Agency

Evaluation of the Importance of Impacts

#### Information

Part 3 is prepared if one or more impact or effect is considered to be potentially large.

The amount of writing necessary to answer Part 3 may be determined by answering the question: In **briefly** completing the instructions below, have I placed in this record sufficient information to indicate the reasonableness of my decisions?

#### Instructions

Complete the following for each impact or effect identified in Column 2 of Part 2:

- 1. Briefly describe the impact.
- 2. Describe (if applicable) how the impact might be mitigated or reduced to a less than large impact by project change.
- 3. Based on the information available, decide if it is reasonable to conclude that this impact is **important** to the municipality (city, town or village) in which the project is located.

To answer the question of importance, consider:

- The probability of the impact or effect occurring
- The duration of the impact or effect
- Its irreversibility, including permanently lost resources of value
- Whether the impact or effect can be controlled
- The regional consequence of the impact or effect
- Its potential divergence from local needs and goals
- Whether known objectives to the project apply to this impact or effect

#### Determination of Significance

An action is considered to be significant if:

One (or more) impact(s) is determined to be (both) large and its (their) consequence, based on the review above, is important.

Part 3 Statements (Continue on Attachments, as needed)

19 June 6, 2012

### **APPENDIX A** SUFFOLK COUNTY HISTORIC TRUST

### Application for Determination of Appropriateness for Alteration to Suffolk County Historic Trust Landmark or Site

#### **APPLICANT:** 1.

SUFFOLK COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS BEN WRIGHT, P.E., PRINCIPAL CIVIL ENGINEER CONTACT PERSON: **335 YAPHANK AVENUE YAPHANK, NEW YORK 11980** (631) 852-4184

**TELEPHONE:** 

AGENCY:

ADDRESS:

#### **PROPERTY:** 2.

STRUCTURE NAME: LOCATION: \_\_\_\_ Designated \_\_\_\_ Eligible HISTORIC TRUST STATUS: PRESERVATION CATEGORY: **USE CATEGORY:** CURRENT USE: PROPOSED USE: Is structure listed on or eligible for the National Register of Historic Places? \_\_\_\_ Yes \_\_\_\_ No

#### 3. **PROPOSED WORK:**

SCOPE OF WORK:

#### **REASON FOR WORK:**

ARCHITECT/ENGINEER: CONTRACTOR: CONSTRUCTION SCHEDULE:

#### FUNDING: 4.

ESTIMATE COST OF PROJECT: SOURCES:

#### 5. PROPERTY HISTORY:

DATE OF ORIGINAL CONSTRUCTION: ORIGINAL ARCHITECT BUILDER: HISTORY OF USE:

HISTORY OF ALTERATIONS:

#### 6. SUBMISSIONS:

Please check all applicable:

МАР	
DRAWINGS	
HP-1 FORM	
SPECIFICATIONS	
EAF/SHORT	
PHOTOGRAPHS	
SAMPLES	
OTHER	

#### 7. **RELATED INFORMATION AND COMMENT:**

The Suffolk County Historic Trust is hereby requested to review the scope of work proposed for the above mentioned landmark structure, owned by the County of Suffolk, New York, to determine the appropriateness of design and/or use as regulated by the Suffolk County Charter. Design review guidelines have been made available for reference and it is understood that submission or approval of this application does not relieve responsibility for securing any and all other permits and approvals as required by law for the work proposed.

This form is to be submitted by applicant department to:

Suffolk County Department of Public Works **Sanitation Engineering Division** 335 Yaphank Avenue Yaphank, New York 11980

For additional information and instructions please call (631) 852-4184.

OFFICE USE ONLY

DETERMINATION OF APPROPRIATENESS: \_\_\_\_ Approved \_\_\_ Disapproved

Vote: \_\_\_\_ Aye \_\_\_\_ Nay \_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_ Signature \_\_\_\_\_

# 617.20 Appendix B VISUAL EAF ADDENDUM

This form is to be used in conjunction with the SEQR Full EAF. Once the potential visual impacts have been identified by the following questions, proceed to Question 11 of the Full EAF. *(To be completed by Lead Agency)* 

#### VISIBILITY (Check distance as appropriate in miles project is from each resource)

1. Is the project potentially visible from: \*\*\*\*\* 0 - 1/41/4 - 1/21/2 - 33 - 5 5+ A parcel of land which is dedicated to and available to the public for the use, enjoyment and appreciation of natural or man-made scenic qualities. An overlook or parcel of land dedicated to public observation, enjoyment and appreciation of natural or man-made scenic qualities. A site or structure on or eligible for inclusion in the National or State Register of Historic Places. State Parks. The State Forest Preserve National Wildlife Refuges and state game refuges. National Natural Landmarks and other outstanding natural features. National Park System Rivers designated as National or State Wild, Scenic or Recreational. Any transportation corridor of high exposure, such as part of the Interstate System, or Amtrak. A governmentally established or designated interstate or inter county foot trail, or one proposed for establishment or designation. A site, are, lake, reservoir or highway designated or eligible for designation as scenic. Municipal park, or open space. County road. Local road.

2. Is the visibility of the project seasonal? (i.e., screened by summer foliage, but visible during other seasons) \_ Yes \_ No

3. Are any of the resources checked in question 1 used by the public during the time of year during which the project will be visible? \_ Yes \_ No

#### DESCRIPTION OF EXISTING VISUAL ENVIRONMENT

4. From each item checked in question 1, check those which most generally describe the surrounding environment.

*****	Within 1/4 mile*	Within 1 mile*
Essentially undeveloped		
Forested		
Agricultural		
Suburban residential		
Industrial		
Commercial		
Urban		
River, Lake		·
Cliffs, overlooks		
Designated aesthetic resource		
Flat		
Hilly		
Mountainous		
Other		

NOTE: Add attachments as needed.

5. Are there visually similar projects within:

 1/2 mile\*
 Yes \_\_ No

 1 mile\*
 Yes \_\_ No

 2 miles\*
 Yes \_\_ No

 3 miles\*
 Yes \_\_ No

\*Distance from project site are provided for assistance. Substitute other distances as appropriate.


#### **EXPOSURE**

6. The annual number of viewers likely to observe the proposed project is \_\_\_\_\_. *NOTE: When user data is unavailable or unknown, use best estimate.* 

#### CONTEXT

7. The situation or activity in which the viewers are engaged while viewing the proposed action is as follows:

ACTIVITY	<b>FREQUENCY</b> (please list whether it is daily, weekly, weekends/holidays or seasonally)
Travel to and from work	
Involved in recreational activities	
Routine travel by residents	
At a residence	
At worksite	
Please list any others:	





# SD 7 – Woodside Process Tankage



### COUNTY OF SUFFOLK



STEVEN BELLONE SUFFOLK COUNTY EXECUTIVE

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS

GILBERT ANDERSON, P.E. COMMISSIONER

PHILIP A. BERDOLT DEPUTY COMMISSIONER

#### **MEMORANDUM**

TO: Gloria Russo, Chairperson Council on Environmental Quality

FROM: Ben Wright, P.E., Principal Civil Engineer

SUBJECT: SD 14 – Parkland, Sludge Thickening

DATE: June 5, 2012

Please find attached 15 copies of an EAF for a project to install a sludge thickening system at the Sewer District No. 14 - Parkland Wastewater Treatment Plant. The sludge thickening system will increase the solids concentration of sludge that is removed by tanker truck and disposed of at the Bergen Point Wastewater Treatment Facility. The increase in solids concentration will be from the current 1% to at least 3% and have a direct relationship with decreasing the amount of trucks going through the community, creating less greenhouse gases, and saving labor and equipment of the sewer district. It is expected that at least a 65% reduction in sludge volume and, therefore, transportation will take place. We have installed these facilities at other locations with success.

We would appreciate the project being placed on an upcoming CEQ meeting. Thank you for your assistance.

BW:ni

Attachment

cc: Gil Anderson, P.E., Commissioner

John Donovan, P.E., Chief Engineer

Ron Warren, Director of Operation & Maintenance

bw6-5-12 sd14 CP 8128 CEQ memo to GRusso

SUFFOLK COUNTY IS AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY / AFFIRMATIVE ACTION EMPLOYER

**YAPHANK, N.Y. 11980** 

(631) 852-4010 FAX (631) 852-4150

### SUFFOLK COUNTY FULL ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT FORM (EAF)

<u>Instructions:</u> This document is designed to assist in determining whether the action proposed may have a significant effect on the environment. Please complete the entire Data Sheet. Include as much information as possible such as feasibility studies, design reports, etc. Attach additional sheets if necessary. Mark irrelevant questions N.A., not applicable.

#### A. General Information:

1. Name of Project:

### Suffolk County Sewer District No. 14 – Parkland, Sludge Thickening

2. Location of Project: (specify Town, Village or Hamlet and include project location map on next page.)

#### Holbrook, NY

Street Address:

#### Joanne Drive, Holbrook, NY

Name of property or waterway:

Suffolk County Department of Public Works Sewer District No. 14 – Parkland Wastewater Treatment Plant

3. Maps of Property and Project: Attach relevant available maps, including a location map (note: use road map, Hagstrom Atlas, U.S.G.S. topo map, tax map or equivalent) and preliminary site plans showing orientation, scale, buildings, roads, landmarks, drainage systems, areas to be altered by project, etc. Attached

4. Type of Project: (check one) New X Expansion

5. Capital Program: (specify) Item #<u>8128</u> Date Adopted <u>2012</u> Amount \$1,000,000

6. General Description of Project Including its Purpose (attach relevant design reports, plans etc.): Attached

Currently, solids residuals or sludge that are created at the wastewater treatment facility are hauled by tanker vehicle to Sewer District No. 3 – Southwest's Bergen Point Wastewater Treatment Facility for treatment and disposal. There are approximately 10 trucks per week that leave the site, drive through Joanne Drive which is the access point of the wastewater treatment facility through the community and make a trip of approximately 20 miles to Bergen Point. It is estimated that the sludge concentration which currently exists at 1% can be increased to 3% with sludge thickening and reduce the amount of volume and, therefore, vehicles by approximately 65%. This project will reduce greenhouse gases as well as be more efficient and cost effective with respect to equipment and staff utilization. The sludge thickening system will be contained and provide odor control.

7. Project Status: (check if begun)

		Start	Completion
	PROPOSAL		
	STUDY	x	1/12
	PRELIMINARY PLANNING	X	7/12
	FINAL PLANS: SPECS	8/12	12/12
L	SITE ACOUISITION		
	CONSTRUCTION		
	OTHER		

8. Departments Involved:

ć

NAME AND ADDRESS OF DEPT. PERFORMING DESIGN & CONSTRUCTION	NAME AND ADDRESS OF INITIATING DEPT. (If different)
Name: SCDPW - Sanitation	
Street/P.O.: 335 Yaphank Ave.	
City, State: Yaphank, NY	
Zip: 11980	
Contact Person: Ben Wright, P.E.	
Business Phone: 631-852-4184	

.

# B. Project Description

1. Scale of Project:

a. Total contiguous acres now owned at site:	13.4 acres – owned by Suffolk County
b. Acreage to be acquired:	
<ul> <li>c. Developed acreage now: Developed acreage at completion of project: Developed acreage ultimately:</li> </ul>	10.6 acres 10.6 acres 10.6 acres
d. Acreage of vegetation or cover to be removed:	0 acres max
e. Acreage to remain undeveloped:	2.8 acres
<ul><li>f. Building gross floor area now: (equipment enclosure)</li><li>Building gross floor area proposed:</li></ul>	1,000 sq. ft.acres0sq. ft.acres
<ul><li>g. Height of tallest structure on site now:</li><li>Height of tallest structure proposed on site:</li></ul>	22 ft. NA
h. Proposed Building use (if any):	Enclosure only

i. Off-street parking spaces now: Off-street parking spaces proposed:	0 number acres 0 number acres
j. Max. vehicle trips/hr. when operational:	3 operators per day in/out of the treatment plant
k. Roads on site now:	1,222 ft. length acres
1. New road construction or reconstruction	0 length acres
m. Will project result in an increase in energy use? If yes, indicate type(s):	No
n. Will project require storage of liquid fuels and chemicals? If yes, describe substances and amounts to be stored:	Coagulants and polymer for sludge, sodium hypochlorite and caustic soda for odor control

# 2. Project Schedule:

a. Is project single or multi-phase?	Single
b. If multi-phase, how many phases?	NA
c. Total construction time (months)	12 months

ł

# 3. Wastes and Pollutants Generated During Project Construction and Operation:

	Components	Quantity	Mode of Disposal
a. Sanitary Sewage	NA		
b. Liquid industrial waste	NA		
c. Toxic chemicals	NA		
d. Pesticides or herbicides	NA		
e. Solid wastes	NA		
f. Clearing or demolition debris	NA		
g. Spoil disposal or sedimentation	NA		
h. Atmospheric emissions	Typical Construction Equipment		
i. Surface water runoff	NA		

j. Noise exceeding ambient	Construction Equipment	Crane, forklift	
k. Odors exceeding 1hr/day	NA		
1. Other (specify)	NA		

4. Does Project Involve Any:

Grading Cut/Fill; List amounts.	NA
Dredging; List max. depth, length & width.	NA
Spoil Area; List amount.	NA
Bulkheading; List length.	NA
Dewatering; List g.p.m. & period of time.	NA

### 5. Indicate Sources of Utilities:

Water	SCWA	
Electricity	LIPA	
Gas		
Other (please specify)		

### 6. Total Water Usage:

Gallons per Day

If water supply is from wells, indicate pumping capacity in gallons per minute \_\_\_\_\_.

### C. Project Area Description/Existing Conditions:

1. Acreage of Physical Characteristics of Project Area:	Presently	After Completion
Meadow, field, scrub growth		
Wooded	NA	
Agricultural	NA	
Freshwater wetland	NA	
Tidal wetlands	NA	
Surface waters	NA	
Cleared, graded or filled land	NA	
Paved areas (roads, parking, etc.)	NA	

Buildings (List number and sq. ft.)	4 at 2,360 sq. ft.	5 at 3,360 sq. ft.
Other (please specify)		
TOTAL	4 at 2,360 sq. ft.	5 at 3,360 sq. ft.

2. Streams within or contiguous to project area: (*Please list name of stream and/or name of river to which it is tributary, including intermittent streams*)

None

3. Lakes, Ponds, Wetland areas within or contiguous to project area: (*Please list name(s) and size(s) in acres*)

None within or contiguous to project boundary

4. a. Are there <u>natural drainage channels</u> on the project site? <u>yes X</u> no

b. How far is project area from freshwater wetlands, tidal wetlands or surface waters?

Project area is located approximately 1.4 miles from freshwater wetlands boundary (south of project area) and 2 miles from open surface waters – Pine Lake in Patchogue (southeast of project area).

5. Is the Project area within the 100 yr. Flood plain? \_\_\_\_\_ yes \_\_\_ X \_\_\_\_ no

6. Depth to the water table: at surface\_\_\_\_0-3 ft \_X\_3-8 ft \_\_\_8-16 ft\_\_\_16 ft

7. Predominant soil type (s) on project site as identified in the <u>Soil Survey of Suffolk County</u> - 1975: *(Include soils map of site.)* 

Clean sand and gravel

8. General character of the land: Generally uniform slope X\_Generally uneven and rolling or irregular\_\_\_\_\_. (Include topographic map of site.)

10. Any unique or unusual land forms on the project site? (i.e. cliffs, dunes, kettle holes, eskers, other geological formations):

No

11. Describe the predominant vegetation types on the site:

Scrub pines and light brush

12. Describe the predominant wildlife on the site:

None

13. Does project site contain any species of plant or animal life that is identified as threatened or endangered? yes  $X_{no}$ ; if yes, give source and identify each species;

NA

14. Is project contiguous to, or does it contain a building or site of historic, pre-historic or paleontological importance? yes X no. Explain.

NA

15. List the specific activities now occurring at project location (ie. hunting, fishing, hiking etc.)

Wastewater treatment facility

16. Is the project site presently used by the community or neighborhood as an open space or recreation area?\_\_\_\_\_yes  $\underline{X}_n$  no.

17. Does the present site offer or include scenic views or vistas known to be important to the community? \_\_\_\_\_\_yes X\_\_\_no.

18. Zoning:

a. Current specific zoning or use classification of site?	Municipal Wastewater Treatment Facility
b. Is proposed use consistent with present zoning or use?	Yes
c. If no, indicate desired zoning or use.	NA

19. What is the dominant land use and zoning classification within a 1/4 mile radius of the project (e.g. single family residential, R-2) and the scale of development (e.g. 2 story)? (Include existing land use map)

Single family (2 story), golf course

20. Is the site served by existing public utilities? X yes no.

a) If yes, does sufficient capacity exist to allow connection? X yes no.

b) If yes, will improvements be necessary to allow connection? \_\_\_\_\_yes \_\_\_\_ no

- Is the site located in an agricultural district certified pursuant to Agriculture and Market Law, article 25-AA, Section 303 and 304? \_\_\_\_\_yes \_\_\_X \_\_no.
- 22. Is the site located in or substantially contiguous to a Critical Environmental Area designated pursuant to Article 8 of the ECL, and 6 NYCRR 617? \_\_\_\_\_yes X\_\_\_no.
- 23. Has the site ever been used for disposal of solid or hazardous wastes? \_\_\_\_\_yes X\_\_\_\_no.

6 June 6, 2012

# D. Impact Summary and Mitigation

- 1. How many acres of vegetation (trees, shrubs, ground covers) will be removed from site?
- 2. Will any mature forest or other locally important vegetation be removed by this project? yes x no. Explain.

3. Are there plans for erosion control and stabilization? yes <u>x</u> no. Explain and attach plans. The project area is flat; no erosion control is required.

4. Are there any plans for revegetation to replace that removed during construction? yes  $\underline{\mathbf{x}}$  no. Explain and attach plans.

5. Will project physically alter any surface water bodies? yes x no. Explain.

6. Will project require relocation of any projects, facilities or homes? \_\_\_yes\_\_xno. Explain.

7. Number of jobs generated:

During construction?	3 (temporary jobs)
After project is completed?	0

8. Number of jobs eliminated by this project \_\_\_\_\_.

E. Alternatives - Briefly list alternatives to the proposal considered

Do not thicken sludge, continue to haul 10 loads per week.

## F. Approval and Compliance

1. Will project involve funding or financing by any:

- a. Federal agency (specify) \_\_\_\_\_; amount \_\_\_\_\_.
- b. State agency (specify)\_\_\_\_; amount\_\_\_\_.
- c. Local agency (specify) <u>County funds 1,000,000 (District funds)</u>

7 June 6, 2012

2. Does project require permit of approval from.	1	T	
	YES	NO	ТҮРЕ
a. Army Corps of Engineers		X	
b. U.S. Environmental Protection		X	
c. Other Federal agency (specify)		X	
d. N.Y.S. Environmental Conservation Department	X		Environmental
e. Other State agency (specify)		x	
f. County Health Department	x		
g. County Planning Department	X		
h. County Public Works Department	X		
i. Town or Village Board		X	
j. Town or Village Planning Board		X	
k. Town or Village Zoning Board		x	
1. Town or Village Building Department		x	
m. Town or Village Highway Department		x	
n. Town or Village Environmental Agency		X	
o. Local Fire Marshal		X	
p. Other local agency (specify i.e. CAC)	X		CEQ-SEQRA

2. Does project require permit or approval from:

t

3. Conformance to existing comprehensive or project master plans.

no

a. State \_\_\_\_

Description

- b. Bi County \_\_\_\_
- c. County \_\_\_\_
- d. Town
- e. Village

đ.	PREPARER	Ben Wrig	ht, P.E.		Date June 6, 2012
	TITLE	<u>Principal Ci</u>	<u>vil Engineer, SCDPW</u>		
	SIGNATURE	<u> </u>	en Winger		
		I certi	ify that the information he	rein is accu	rate.
	PROJECT DI	RECTOR	<u>John Donovan, P.E.</u>		Date June 6, 2012
	TITLE		Chief Engineer, SCDP	W	
	SIGNATURE <sup>2</sup>	* /h	C.a.m.		
	*Signature of	both preparer	I certify that the information and project director require		is accurate

# Part 2 - RESPONSIBILITY OF LEAD AGENCY Project Impacts and Their Magnitude

#### **General Information** (Read Carefully)

- X In completing the form the reviewer should be guided by the question: Have my decisions and determinations been **reasonable?** The reviewer is not expected to be an expert environmental analyst.
- X Identifying that an effect will be potentially large (column 2) does not mean that it is also necessarily **significant**. Any large impact must be evaluated in PART 3 to determine significance. By identifying an impact in column 2 simply asks that it be looked at further.
- X The **Examples** provided are to assist the reviewer by showing types of impacts and wherever possible the threshold of magnitude that would trigger a response in column 2. The examples are generally applicable throughout the State and for most situations. But, for any specific project or site other examples and/or lower thresholds may be appropriate for a Potential Large Impact rating.
- X Each project, on each site, in each locality, will vary. Therefore, the examples have been offered as guidance. They do not constitute an exhaustive list of impacts and thresholds to answer each question.
- X The number of examples per question does not indicate the importance of each question.

#### **Instructions** (Read carefully)

- a. Answer each of the 19 questions in PART 2. Answer Yes if there will be any impact.
- b. Maybe answers should be considered as Yes answers.
- c. If answering Yes to a question then check the appropriate box (column 1 or 2) to indicate the potential size of the impact. If threshold impact equals or exceeds any example provided, check column 2. If impact will occur but threshold is lower than example, check column 1.
- d. If reviewer has doubt about size of the impact then consider the impact as potentially large and proceed to PART 3.
- e. If a potentially large impact or effect can be mitigated by a change in the project to a less that large magnitude, check the yes box in column 3. A No response indicates that such a reduction is not possible.

#### **IMPACT ON LAND**

1. Will the proposed action result in a physical change to the project site? X Yes No

<b>IMPACT ON LAND</b> <b>Examples</b> that would apply to Column 1	1 Small to Moderate Impact	2 Potential Large Impact	3 Can Impact Be Mitigated By Project Change (Enter Yes or No)
Any construction on slopes of 15% or greater, (15 foot rise per 100 foot of length), or where the general slopes in the project area exceed 10%.			
Construction of land where the depth to the water table is less than 3 feet.			
Construction of paved parking area for 1,000 or more vehicles.			
Construction on land where bedrock is exposed or generally within 3 feet of existing ground surface.			
Construction that will continue for more than w year or involve more than one phase or stage.			
Excavation for mining purposes that would remove more than 1,000 tons of natural material (i.e., rock or soil) per year.			

IMPACT ON LAND	1 Small to Moderate Impact	2 Potential Large Impact	3 Can Impact Be Mitigated By Project Change (Enter Yes or No)
Construction of any new sanitary landfill.			
Construction in a designated floodway.			
Other Impacts (Please describe) Additional Equipment			No

2. Will there be an effect to any unique or unusual land forms found on the site? (i.e., cliffs, dunes, geological formations, etc.) \_\_\_\_ yes \_\_\_\_ no.

List Specific land forms: NA				
List operine faile forme.	List Specific land forms:	NA		
	Dist Speetile faile forme.	I	L	

-

٦

**IMPACT ON WATER** 3. Will proposed action affect any water body designated as protected? (under Articles 15,24,25 of the Environmental Conservation Law, ECL) \_\_\_\_ yes \_\_\_ no.

<b>IMPACT ON WATER</b> (Examples that would apply to column 2)	1 Small to Moderate Impact	2 Potential Large Impact	3 Can Impact Be Mitigated By Project Change (Enter Yes or No)
Developable area of site contains a protected water body.			
Dredging more than 100 cubic yards of material from channel of a protected stream.			
Extension of utility distribution facilities through a protected water body.			
Construction in a designated freshwater or tidal wetland.			
Please List Other Impacts:			

4. Will proposed action affect any non-protected existing or new body of water? \_\_\_\_ yes \_\_\_X no

A 10% increase or decrease in the surface area of any body of water or more than a 10 acre increase or decrease.		
Construction of a body of water that exceeds 10 acres of surface area.		
Please List Other Impacts:		

5. Will proposed action affect surface or groundwater quality? \_\_\_\_yes X\_\_\_no

Proposed Action will require a discharge permit.		
Proposed Action requires use of a source of water that does not have approval to serve proposed (project) action.		
Proposed Action requires water supply from wells with greater than 45 gallons per minute pumping capacity.		

<b>IMPACT ON WATER (cont.)</b> (Examples that would apply to column 2)	1 Small to Moderate Impact	2 Potential Large Impact	3 Can Impact Be Mitigated By Project Change (Enter Yes or No)
Construction or operation causing any contamination of a public water supply system.			
Proposed Action will adversely affect groundwater.			
Liquid effluent will be conveyed off the site to facilities which presently do not exist or have inadequate capacity.			
Proposed Action requiring a facility that would use water in excess of 20,000 gallons per day.			
Proposed Action will likely cause siltation or other discharge into an existing body of water to the extent that there will be an obvious visual contrast to natural conditions.			
Proposed Action will require the storage of petroleum products greater than 1,100 gallons.			
Proposed Action will allow residential uses in areas without water and/or sewer services.			
Proposed Action locates commercial and/or industrial uses which may require new or expansion of existing waste treatment and/or storage facilities.			
Please list other impacts:			

# 6. Will proposed action alter drainage flow, patterns or surface water runoff? \_\_\_\_yes \_\_x\_\_no.

Proposed Action would impede flood water flows.		 
Proposed Action is likely to cause substantial erosion.	 	
Proposed Action is incompatible with existing drain patterns.		 
Proposed Action will allow development in a designated floodway.		 
Please list other impacts:		

 IMPACT ON AIR

 7. Will proposed action affect air quality?
 yes x no.

IMPACT ON AIR (Examples that would apply to column 2)	1 Small to Moderate Impact	2 Potential Large Impact	3 Can Impact Be Mitigated By Project Change (Enter Yes or No)
Proposed Action will induce 1,000 or more vehicle trips in given hour.			
Proposed Action will result in the incineration of more than 1 ton of refuse per hour.			

IMPACT ON AIR (cont.)	1 Small to Moderate Impact	2 Potential Large Impact	3 Can Impact Be Mitigated By Project Change (Enter Yes or No)
Proposed Action emission rate of all contaminants will exceed 5 lbs. per hour or a heat source producing more than 10 million BTU's per hour.			
Proposed Action will allow an increase in the amount of land committed to industrial use.			-
Proposed Action will allow an increase in the density of industrial development in existing industrial areas.			
Please List Other Impacts:			

#### **IMPACT ON PLANTS AND ANIMALS**

8. Will Proposed Action affect any threatened or endangered species? \_\_\_\_ yes \_\_\_\_ no.

<b>IMPACT ON PLANTS AND ANIMALS</b> (Examples that would apply to Column 2)	1 Small to Moderate Impact	2 Potential Large Impact	3 Can Impact Be Mitigated By Project Change (Enter Yes or No)
Reduction of one or more species listed on the New York or Federal list, using the site, over or near site or found on the site.			
Removal of any portion of a critical or significant wildlife habitat.			
Application of pesticide or herbicide over more than twice a year other than for agricultural purposes.			
Please list other impacts:			

# 9. Will Proposed Action substantially affect non-threatened or endangered species? Yes x No

Proposed Action would substantially interfere with any resident or migratory fish or wildlife species.		
Proposed Action requires the removal of more than 10 acres of mature forest (over 100 years of age) or other locally important vegetation.		

#### **IMPACT ON AGRICULTURAL LAND RESOURCES**

10. Will the Proposed Action affect agricultural land resources? Yes x No

IMPACT ON AGRICULTURAL LAND RESOURCES (Examples that would apply to Column 2)	1 Small to Moderate Impact	2 Potential Large Impact	3 Can Impact Be Mitigated By Project Change (Enter Yes or No)
The Proposed Action would sever, cross through, or limit access to a field of agricultural land (includes cropland, hayfields, pasture, vineyard, orchard, etc.			

IMPACT ON AGRICULTURAL LAND RESOURCES (cont.)	1 Small to Moderate Impact	2 Potential Large Impact	3 Can Impact Be Mitigated By Project Change (Enter Yes or No)
Construction activity would excavate or compact the soil profile of agricultural land.			
The Proposed Action would irreversibly convert more than 10 acres of agricultural land or, if located in an Agricultural District, more than one acre of agricultural land.			
The Proposed Action would disrupt agricultural land management systems (e.g., subsurface drain lines, outlet ditches, strip cropping); prevent agricultural land management measures from being installed; or create a need for such measures (e.g., cause a farm field to drain poorly due to increased runoff)			
Prime or unique farmland as defined by USDA-SCS 7 CFR Part 657 and governed by the Farmland Protection Policy Act of 1981 is involved.			
Please list other impacts:			

 IMPACT ON AESTHETIC RESOURCES OR COMMUNITY CHARACTER

 11. Will proposed action affect aesthetic resources, or the character of the neighborhood or community?

 1
 1

 Yes <u>x</u> No

IMPACT ON AESTHETIC RESOURCES OR COMMUNITY CHARACTER (Examples that would apply to column 2) (If Necessary Use the Visual EAF Addendum in Section 617.23)	1 Small to Moderate Impact	2 Potential Large Impact	3 Can Impact Be Mitigated By Project Change (Enter Yes or No)
Introduction of proposed land uses, projects or project components obviously different or in sharp contrast to current surrounding land use patterns or existing man-made additions to the landscape.			
Introduction of proposed land uses, projects or project components as described in the above example that will be visible to users of aesthetic resources. This will eliminate or significantly reduce the public enjoyment or appreciation of the appearance or aesthetic qualities of a resource or community character.			
Introduction of project components that will result in the elimination or significant screening of scenic views known to be important to the area.			
Please list other impacts:			

### IMPACT ON HISTORIC AND ARCHEOLOGICAL RESOURCES

12. Will Proposed Action impact any site or structure of historic, prehistoric or paleontogical importance? \_\_\_\_\_ Yes \_\_\_\_ No

IMPACT ON HISTORIC AND ARCHEOLOGICAL RESOURCES (Examples that would apply to column 2)	1 Small to Moderate Impact	2 Potential Large Impact	3 Can Impact Be Mitigated By Project Change (Enter Yes or No)

Proposed Action occurring wholly or partially within or contiguous to any facility or site listed or eligible for listing on the State or National Register of historic places.		
Any impact to an archeological site or fossil bed located within the project site.		
Proposed Action will occur in an area designated as sensitive for archeological sites on the NSY Site Inventory.		
Please list other impacts:		

# **IMPACT ON OPEN SPACE AND RECREATION**

13. Will Proposed Action affect the quantity or quality of existing or future open spaces or recreational opportunities?

Yes	<u>X</u>	No

IMPACT ON OPEN SPACE AND RECREATION (Examples that would apply to column 2)	1 Small to Moderate Impact	2 Potential Large Impact	3 Can Impact Be Mitigated By Project Change (Enter Yes or No)
The permanent foreclosure of a future recreational opportunity.			
A major reduction of an open space important to the community.			
Please list other impacts:			

# **IMPACT ON CRITICAL ENVIRONMENTAL AREAS**

14. Will Proposed Action impact the exceptional or unique characteristics of a critical environmental area (CEA) established pursuant to subdivision 6 NYCRR 617.14(g)? Yes X No List the environmental characteristics that caused the designation of the CEA.

Small to Moderate Impact	Potential Large Impact	5 Can Impact Be Mitigated By Project Change (Enter Yes or No)
-	Moderate	Moderate Large

### **IMPACT ON TRANSPORTATION**

15. Will there be an effect to existing transportation systems? X Yes No (positive impact)

<b>IMPACT ON TRANSPORTATION</b> (Examples that would apply to column 2)	1 Small to Moderate Impact	2 Potential Large Impact	3 Can Impact Be Mitigated By Project Change (Enter Yes or No)
Alteration of present patterns of movement of people and/or goods.			
Proposed Action will result in severe traffic problems			
Please list other impacts: <b>Reduced tanker volume through the community</b>			

#### **IMPACT ON ENERGY**

16. Will proposed action affect the communities sources of fuel or energy supply? Yes X No

IMPACT ON ENERGY (Examples that would apply to column 2)	1 Small to Moderate Impact	2 Potential Large Impact	3 Can Impact Be Mitigated By Project Change (Enter Yes or No)
Proposed Action will cause a greater than 5% increase in any form of energy in municipality.			
Proposed Action will require the creation or extension of an energy transmission or supply system to serve more than 50 single or two family residences.			
Please list other impacts: Slight increase in power but reduction in fuel	V		No

#### **IMPACT ON NOISE**

17. Will there be objectionable odors, noise, glare, vibration or electrical disturbance as a result of the Proposed Action?
 <u>x</u> Yes <u>No</u>

<b>IMPACT ON NOISE</b> (Examples that would apply to column 2)	1 Small to Moderate Impact	2 Potential Large Impact	3 Can Impact Be Mitigated By Project Change (Enter Yes or No)
Blasting within 1,500 feet of a hospital, school or other sensitive facility.			
Odors will occur routinely (more than one hour per day).			
Proposed Action will produce operating noise exceeding the local ambient noise levels for noise outside of structures.			
Proposed Action will remove natural barriers that would act as a noise screen.			

Please list other impacts: Noise During Construction	Temporary	No	
	and minor		

### IMPACT ON PUBLIC HEALTH AND (HAZARDS) SAFETY

18. Will Proposed Action affect public health and safety? Yes X N	0		
IMPACT ON PUBLIC HEALTH AND (HAZARDS) SAFETY (Examples that would apply to column 2)	1 Small to Moderate Impact	2 Potential Large Impact	3 Can Impact Be Mitigated By Project Change (Enter Yes or No)
Proposed Action will cause a risk of explosion or release of hazardous substances (i.e. oil, pesticides, chemicals, radiation, etc.) in the event of accident or upset conditions, or there will be a chronic low level discharge or emission.		ala Al	
Proposed Action will result in the burial of "hazardous wastes" (i.e. toxic, poisonous, highly reactive, radioactive, irritating, infectious, etc., including wastes that are solid, semi-solid, liquid or contain gases).			
Storage facilities for one million or more gallons of liquified natural gas or other liquids.		· · ·	
Please list other impacts:			

# IMPACT ON GROWTH AND CHARACTER OF COMMUNITY OR NEIGHBORHOOD 19. Will Proposed Action affect the character of the existing Community? Yes X No

IMPACT ON GROWTH AND CHARACTER OF COMMUNITY OR NEIGHBORHOOD (Examples that would apply to column 2)	1 Small to Moderate Impact	2 Potential Large Impact	3 Can Impact Be Mitigated By Project Change (Enter Yes or No)
The population of the city, town or village in which the project is likely to grow by more than 5% of resident human population.			
The municipal budgets for capital expenditures or operating services will increase by more than 5% per year as a result of this project.			
Will involve any permanent facility of a non-agricultural use on more than one acre in an agricultural district or remove more than 10 acres of (prime) agricultural lands from cultivation.			
Proposed Action will replace or eliminate existing facilities, structures or areas of historic importance to the community.			
Development will in induce an influx of a particular age group with special needs.			
Proposed Action will set an important precedent for future projects.			
Proposed Action will relocate 15 or more employees in one or more businesses.			

Please List of			

#### **PUBLIC INPUT**

20. Is there public controversy related to Potential Adverse Environmental	Impacts? Yes x No	
Either government or citizens of adjacent communities have expressed opposition or rejected the project or have not been contacted.		
Objections to the project from within the community.		

### If Any Action in Part 2 Is Identified as a Potential Large Impact or If You Cannot Determine the Magnitude of Impact, Proceed to Part 3

Determination of Significance

Portions of EAF completed for this project: x Part 1 x Part 2 Part 3

Upon review of the information recorded on this EAF (Parts 1, 2 and 3) and considering both the magnitude and importance of each impact, it is reasonably determined that:

- The project will result in no major impacts and, therefore, is one which may not cause significant damage to the environment. A. Prepare a negative declaration:
- B. For unlisted actions only. Although the project could have a significant effect on the environment, there will not be a significant effect in this case because the mitigation measures described in Part # have been included as part of the proposed project. Prepare a CONDITIONAL negative declaration:
- C. The project will result in one or more major adverse impacts that cannot be reduced and may cause significant damage to the environment. Prepare a positive declaration, proceed with EIS:

Signature of Preparer (if different from responsible officer)

Date

Signature of Responsible Office in Lead Agency

Ben Wright, P.E., Principal Civil Engineer

Date 6/6/12

Print or Type Name of Responsible Officer in Lead Agency

Suffolk County

Name of Lead Agency

## APPENDIX A SUFFOLK COUNTY HISTORIC TRUST

### Application for Determination of Appropriateness for Alteration to Suffolk County Historic Trust Landmark or Site

#### 1. APPLICANT:

AGENCY: CONTACT PERSON: ADDRESS: SUFFOLK COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS BEN WRIGHT, P.E., PRINCIPAL CIVIL ENGINEER 335 YAPHANK AVENUE YAPHANK, NEW YORK 11980 (631) 852-4184

TELEPHONE:

,

2. **PROPERTY**:

STRUCTURE NAME: LOCATION: HISTORIC TRUST STATUS: \_\_\_\_ Designated \_\_\_ Eligible PRESERVATION CATEGORY: USE CATEGORY: CURRENT USE: PROPOSED USE: Is structure listed on or eligible for the National Register of Historic Places? \_\_\_ Yes \_\_\_ No

#### 3. **PROPOSED WORK:**

SCOPE OF WORK:

REASON FOR WORK:

ARCHITECT/ENGINEER: CONTRACTOR: CONSTRUCTION SCHEDULE:

4. FUNDING:

ESTIMATE COST OF PROJECT: SOURCES:

#### 5. **PROPERTY HISTORY**:

DATE OF ORIGINAL CONSTRUCTION: ORIGINAL ARCHITECT BUILDER: HISTORY OF USE:

HISTORY OF ALTERATIONS:

# 617.20 Appendix B VISUAL EAF ADDENDUM

This form is to be used in conjunction with the SEQR Full EAF. Once the potential visual impacts have been identified by the following questions, proceed to Question 11 of the Full EAF. *(To be completed by Lead Agency)* 

#### VISIBILITY (Check distance as appropriate in miles project is from each resource)

' V 2

37

1. Is the project potentially visible from: 3 - 5 5+ 0 - 1/41/4 - 1/21/2 - 3A parcel of land which is dedicated to and available to the public for the use, enjoyment and appreciation of natural or man-made scenic qualities. An overlook or parcel of land dedicated to public observation, enjoyment and appreciation of natural or man-made scenic qualities. . A site or structure on or eligible for inclusion in the National or State Register of Historic Places. State Parks. The State Forest Preserve National Wildlife Refuges and state game refuges. National Natural Landmarks and other outstanding natural features. National Park System Rivers designated as National or State Wild, Scenic or Recreational. Any transportation corridor of high exposure, such as part of the Interstate System, or Amtrak. A governmentally established or designated interstate or inter county foot trail, or one proposed for establishment or designation. A site, are, lake, reservoir or highway designated or eligible for designation as scenic. Municipal park, or open space. County road. Local road.

2. Is the visibility of the project seasonal? (i.e., screened by summer foliage, but visible during other seasons) \_ Yes \_ No

3. Are any of the resources checked in question 1 used by the public during the time of year during which the project will be visible? \_ Yes \_ No

**DESCPIPTION OF EXISTING VISUAL ENVIRONMENT** 4. From each item checked in question 1, check those which most generally describe the surrounding environment.

	Within 1/4 mile*	Within 1 mile*
Essentially undeveloped		
Forested		
Agricultural		
Suburban residential		
Industrial		
Commercial		
Urban		
River, Lake		
Cliffs, overlooks	·	
Designated aesthetic resource		
Flat		
Hilly		
Mountainous		
Other		· ·

NOTE: Add attachments as needed.

5. Are there visually similar projects within:

 1/2 mile\*
 Yes \_ No

 1 mile\*
 Yes \_ No

 2 miles\*
 Yes \_ No

 3 miles\*
 Yes \_ No

\*Distance from project site are provided for assistance. Substitute other distances as appropriate.

#### **EXPOSURE**

12 1 1

6. The annual number of viewers likely to observe the proposed project is \_\_\_\_. NOTE: When user data is unavailable or unknown, use best estimate.

#### CONTEXT

7. The situation or activity in which the viewers are engaged while viewing the proposed action is as follows:

ΑCΤΙVITY	<b>FREQUENCY</b> (please list whether it is daily, weekly, weekends/holidays or seasonally)
Travel to and from work	
Involved in recreational activities	
Routine travel by residents	
At a residence	
At worksite	
Please list any others:	

#### CP 8128 Sewer District No. 14 – Parkland, Sludge Thickening

Sewer District No. 14 – Parkland is a facility that provides wastewater treatment for a community of nearly 2,300 connections with a design capacity of 1.25 million gallons per day. The treatment process produces residuals or sludge that must be treated and disposed of. The current facility does not thicken sludge beyond conventional means and produces a concentration of approximately 1% solids. By installation of a sludge thickening system, a 3% solids concentration can be obtained. Trucking of 1% solids to the disposal point in West Babylon results in 10 tanker vehicles per week leaving the treatment plant site, driving through the community, and disposing of the material in West Babylon. By employing a sludge thickening system , the concentration can be increased to 3% solids and, therefore, approximately 1/3 or 2-3 trucks per week would be driving through the community. It is estimated that the vehicles used for sludge hauling produce more than 5 pounds of greenhouse gases per mile and, therefore, there will be a significant reduction in that material being discharged. Additionally, the labor and equipment use will be reduced by a substantial amount and be more cost effective and efficient with respect to the sewer district funding.

bw6-5-12 sd14 Parkland CP 8128 text

.

•



# **COUNTY OF SUFFOLK**



STEVEN BELLONE SUFFOLK COUNTY EXECUTIVE

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS

GILBERT ANDERSON, P.E. COMMISSIONER

TO:

PHILIP A. BERDOLT DEPUTY COMMISSIONER

#### **MEMORANDUM**

Gloria Russo, Chairperson Council on Environmental Quality

FROM: Ben Wright, P.E., Principal Civil Engineer

SUBJECT: Sewer District No. 20 – William Floyd

DATE: June 5, 2012

Please find attached 15 copies of an EAF for the above mentioned project. The project will be the replacement of the main pumping station on the Sewer District No. 20 - William Floyd (Leisure Village WWTP) site. The existing station has exceeded its useful life and must be replaced prior to failing conditions. The new pumping station will include a wet well approximately 10 foot square by 25 foot deep with a small building containing controls and instrumentation. No dewatering, tree removal or other site disturbances is necessary.

We would appreciate the opportunity to present the project at the June meeting and hopefully gain concurrence in order to proceed with the project.

BW:ni

cc:

Attachment

Gil Anderson, P.E., Commissioner

John Donovan, P.E., Chief Engineer

Ron Warren, Director of Operation & Maintenance bw6-5-12 sd20 William Floyd CP 8148 CEQ memo to GRusso

SUFFOLK COUNTY IS AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY / AFFIRMATIVE ACTION EMPLOYER

(631) 852-4010 FAX (631) 852-4150

YAPHANK, N.Y. 11980

# SUFFOLK COUNTY FULL ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT FORM (EAF)

Instructions: This document is designed to assist in determining whether the action proposed may have a significant effect on the environment. Please complete the entire Data Sheet. Include as much information as possible such as feasibility studies, design reports, etc. Attach additional sheets if necessary. Mark irrelevant questions N.A., not applicable.

#### A. General Information:

1. Name of Project:

Improvements to Sewer District No. 20 – William Floyd (Leisure Village Pumping Station) (CP 8148)

2. Location of Project: (specify Town, Village or Hamlet and include project location map on next page.)

Whiskey Road 2,000 feet west of Randall Road

Street Address:

# Whiskey Road 2,000 feet west of Randall Road, Ridge, NY

Name of property or waterway:

# Suffolk County Sewer District No. 20 – William Floyd (Leisure Village WWTP)

3. Maps of Property and Project: Attach relevant available maps, including a location map (note: use road map, Hagstrom Atlas, U.S.G.S. topo map, tax map or equivalent) and preliminary site plans showing orientation, scale, buildings, roads, landmarks, drainage systems, areas to be altered by project, etc.

4. Type of Project: (check one) New X Expansion

5. Capital Program: (specify) Item # 8148 \_\_\_\_ Date Adopted 2012 Amount \$1,000,000

6. General Description of Project Including its Purpose (attach relevant design reports, plans etc.): Main Pumping Station Replacement

### **Main Pumping Station Replacement**

	Start	Completion
PROPOSAL		
STUDY		
PRELIMINARY PLANNING	1/2012	9/2012
FINAL PLANS: SPECS	10/2012	3/2013
SITE ACOUISITION		
CONSTRUCTION	6/2013	6/2014
OTHER		

7. Project Status: (check if begun)

June 6, 2012

8. Departments Involved:

NAME AND ADDRESS OF DEPT. PERFORMING DESIGN & CONSTRUCTION	NAME AND ADDRESS OF INITIATING DEPT. (If different)
Name: SCDPW - Sanitation	
Street/P.O.: 335 Yaphank Ave.	
City, State: Yaphank, NY	
Zip: 11980	
Contact Person: Ben Wright, P.E.	
Business Phone: 631-852-4184	

# B. Project Description

1. Scale of Project:

a. Total contiguous acres now owned at site:	11 acres
b. Acreage to be acquired:	NA
<ul> <li>c. Developed acreage now: Developed acreage at completion of project: Developed acreage ultimately:</li> </ul>	<ul><li>4.7 acres</li><li>4.7 acres</li><li>4.7 acres</li></ul>
d. Acreage of vegetation or cover to be removed:	NA
e. Acreage to remain undeveloped:	6.3 acres
f. Building gross floor area now: Building gross floor area proposed:	1,000 sq. ft. acres 1,325 sq. ft. acres
g. Height of tallest structure on site now: Height of tallest structure proposed on site:	12 ft. 12 ft.
h. Proposed Building use (if any):	Pumping station replacement
i. Off-street parking spaces now: Off-street parking spaces proposed:	3numberacres3numberacres
j. Max. vehicle trips/hr. when operational:	3 per day
k. Roads on site now:	350 ft. length acres
m. Will project result in an increase in energy use? If yes, indicate type(s):	No
n. Will project require storage of liquid fuels and chemicals? If yes, describe substances and amounts to be stored:	No

# 2. Project Schedule:

,

a. Is project single or multi-phase?	Single
b. If multi-phase, how many phases?	
c. Total construction time (months)	12 months

# 3. Wastes and Pollutants Generated During Project Construction and Operation:

	Components	Quantity	Mode of Disposal
a. Sanitary Sewage	NA		
b. Liquid industrial waste	NA		
c. Toxic chemicals	NA		
d. Pesticides or herbicides	NA		
e. Solid wastes	NA		
f. Clearing or demolition debris	Concrete wetwell	Estimated 20 tons	Landfill
g. Spoil disposal or sedimentation	soil	125 cy	Landfill
h. Atmospheric emissions	NA		
i. Surface water runoff	NA		
j. Noise exceeding ambient	minimal		
k. Odors exceeding 1hr/day	NA		
1. Other (specify)			

# 4. Does Project Involve Any: Yes

Grading Cut/Fill; List amounts.	~125 cubic yards, new wet well 25 feet deep by 10 foot square
Dredging; List max. depth, length & width.	NA
Spoil Area; List amount.	NA
Bulkheading; List length.	NA
Dewatering; List g.p.m. & period of time.	NA

# 5. Indicate Sources of Utilities: existing use

Water	
Electricity	
Gas	
Other (please specify)	

# 6. Total Water Usage: NA

Gallons per Day If water supply is from wells, indicate pumping capacity in gallons per minute \_\_\_\_\_\_.

# C. Project Area Description/Existing Conditions:

1. Acreage of Physical Characteristics of Project Area:	Presently	After Completion
Meadow, field, scrub growth	0.6 acres	
Wooded	5.7 acres	
Agricultural		
Freshwater wetland		
Tidal wetlands		
Surface waters		
Cleared, graded or filled land (treatment)	4.53 acres	
Paved areas (roads, parking, etc.)	.15 acres	
Buildings (List number and sq. ft.)	3 @1,100 sq.ft.	
Other (please specify)		
TOTAL	11.0 acres	

2. Streams within or contiguous to project area: (Please list name of stream and/or name of river to which it is tributary, including intermittent streams)

NA

3. Lakes, Ponds, Wetland areas within or contiguous to project area: (*Please list name(s) and size(s) in acres*)

NYSDEC Wetland Map designated MP16 on map

4. a. Are there <u>natural drainage channels</u> on the project site? <u>yes X</u> no

b. How far is project area from freshwater wetlands, tidal wetlands or surface waters?

#### ~ 2000 feet

5. Is the Project area within the 100 yr. Flood plain? \_\_\_\_\_ yes X\_\_\_\_ no

6. Depth to the water table: at surface\_\_\_\_0-3 ft \_\_\_3-8 ft \_\_\_8-16 ft X\_\_\_16 ft

7. Predominant soil type (s) on project site as identified in the Soil Survey of Suffolk County - 1975: (Include soils map of site.)
 Sand and gravel

8. General character of the land: Generally uniform slope <u>X</u> Generally uneven and rolling or irregular\_\_\_\_\_. (Include topographic map of site.)

9. Approximate percentage of proposed project site with slopes: 0-10% 100 10-15% or greater %.

10. Any unique or unusual land forms on the project site? (i.e. cliffs, dunes, kettle holes, eskers, other geological formations):

No

11. Describe the predominant vegetation types on the site:

Meadow grass, trees

12. Describe the predominant wildlife on the site:

None

13. Does project site contain any species of plant or animal life that is identified as threatened or endangered? \_\_\_\_yes X\_\_no; if yes, give source and identify each species;

14. Is project contiguous to, or does it contain a building or site of historic, pre-historic or paleontological importance? yes X no. Explain.

15. List the specific activities now occurring at project location (ie. hunting, fishing, hiking etc.)
None

16. Is the project site presently used by the community or neighborhood as an open space or recreation area? \_\_\_\_\_yes  $\underline{X}$  no.

17. Does the present site offer or include scenic views or vistas known to be important to the community? \_\_\_\_\_\_yes X\_\_\_\_no.

18. Zoning:

- 1	a. Current specific zoning or use classification of site?	Residential, Treatment Plants
	b. Is proposed use consistent with present zoning or use?	Yes
L	c. If no, indicate desired zoning or use.	

19. What is the dominant land use and zoning classification within a 1/4 mile radius of the project (e.g. single family residential, R-2) and the scale of development (e.g. 2 story)? *(Include Pasidential and use map)* 

Residential and Municipal (Sewage Treatment Plant)

20. Is the site served by existing public utilities? X yes no.

- a) If yes, does sufficient capacity exist to allow connection? X yes \_\_\_\_\_\_no.
- b) If yes, will improvements be necessary to allow connection?\_\_\_\_yes\_\_\_x\_\_no
- Is the site located in an agricultural district certified pursuant to Agriculture and Market Law, article 25-AA, Section 303 and 304? \_\_\_\_\_yes X \_\_\_\_no.
- 22. Is the site located in or substantially contiguous to a Critical Environmental Area designated pursuant to Article 8 of the ECL, and 6 NYCRR 617? \_\_\_\_\_yes X\_\_\_\_no.

22 II.	.1	•.								no.	
23. Has	the	site	ever	been	used	for	disposal	of	solid	01	hazardous
wastes?		yes	<u>X</u> n				1	U1	50110	01	nazardous

# D. Impact Summary and Mitigation

- 1. How many acres of vegetation (trees, shrubs, ground covers) will be removed from site?
- Will any mature forest or other locally important vegetation be removed by this project?
   yes X no. Explain.

3. Are there plans for erosion control and stabilization? yes <u>X</u> no. Explain and attach plans.

4. Are there any plans for revegetation to replace that removed during construction? \_\_\_\_yes X\_\_\_no. Explain and attach plans.

June 6, 2012

5. Will project physically alter any surface water bodies? \_\_yes X no. Explain.

6. Will project require relocation of any projects, facilities or homes? \_\_yes X no. Explain.

 7. Number of jobs generated:

 During construction?
 5

 After project is completed?
 0

8. Number of jobs eliminated by this project <u>None</u>

E. <u>Alternatives</u> - Briefly list alternatives to the proposal considered Possible emergency response, potential for sanitary sewage overflow

### F. Approval and Compliance

1. Will project involve funding or financing by any:

a. Federal agency (specify) \_\_\_\_\_; amount\_\_\_\_\_.

b. State agency (specify) \_\_\_\_\_; amount \_\_\_\_\_.

c. Local agency (specify) X ; amount \$1,000,000

# 2. Does project require permit or approval from:

	YES	NO	ТҮРЕ
a. Army Corps of Engineers		X	
b. U.S. Environmental Protection		X	
c. Other Federal agency (specify)		X	
d. N.Y.S. Environmental Conservation Department	x		permit
e. Other State agency (specify)		X	
f. County Health Department	x		permit
g. County Planning Department CEQ	X		Environmental
h. County Public Works Department	x		
i. Town or Village Board		X	
j. Town or Village Planning Board		X	

k. Town or Village Zoning Board	X	
1. Town or Village Building Department	X	
m. Town or Village Highway Department	X	
n. Town or Village Environmental Agency	X	
o. Local Fire Marshal	X	
p. Other local agency (specify i.e. CAC)	X	

3. Conformance to existing comprehensive or project master plans.

	yes	no	Descri	ption
a. State				
b. Bi County	<u></u>	<u> </u>		
c. County	<u> </u>	_		
d. Town		_		
e. Village		<u>X</u>		
PREPARER <u>Ben</u>	Wrigh	ıt, P.E.		Date <b>June 6, 2012</b>
TITLE <u>Princi</u>	<u>pal Civ</u>	<u>'il Engineer, SCDPW</u>		
SIGNATURE*	R	elling		
<u></u>	I certi	fy that the information herein i	is accura	ate.
PROJECT DIRECTO	R	John Donovan, P.E.		Date June 6, 2012
TITLE		<u>Chief Engineer, SCDPW</u>		
SIGNATURE*	Ahn	(.am		
/	7	I certify that the information	herein i	s accurate

\*Signature of both preparer and project director required

# Part 2 - RESPONSIBILITY OF LEAD AGENCY Project Impacts and Their Magnitude

### **General Information** (Read Carefully)

- X In completing the form the reviewer should be guided by the question: Have my decisions and determinations been **reasonable?** The reviewer is not expected to be an expert environmental analyst.
- X Identifying that an effect will be potentially large (column 2) does not mean that it is also necessarily **significant**. Any large impact must be evaluated in PART 3 to determine significance. By identifying an impact in column 2 simply asks that it be looked at further.
- X The **Examples** provided are to assist the reviewer by showing types of impacts and wherever possible the threshold of magnitude that would trigger a response in column 2. The examples are generally applicable throughout the State and for most situations. But, for any specific project or site other examples and/or lower thresholds may be appropriate for a Potential Large Impact rating.
- X Each project, on each site, in each locality, will vary. Therefore, the examples have been offered as guidance. They do not constitute an exhaustive list of impacts and thresholds to answer each question.
- X The number of examples per question does not indicate the importance of each question.

#### Instructions (Read carefully)

- a. Answer each of the 19 questions in PART 2. Answer Yes if there will be any impact.
- b. Maybe answers should be considered as Yes answers.
- c. If answering Yes to a question then check the appropriate box (column 1 or 2) to indicate the potential size of the impact. If threshold impact equals or exceeds any example provided, check column 2. If impact will occur but threshold is lower than example, check column 1.
- d. If reviewer has doubt about size of the impact then consider the impact as potentially large and proceed to PART 3.
- e. If a potentially large impact or effect can be mitigated by a change in the project to a less that large magnitude, check the yes box in column 3. A No response indicates that such a reduction is not possible.

#### **IMPACT ON LAND**

1. Will the proposed action result in a physical change to the project site? X Yes No

<b>IMPACT ON LAND</b> <b>Examples</b> that would apply to Column 1	1 Small to Moderate Impact	2 Potential Large Impact	3 Can Impact Be Mitigated By Project Change (Enter Yes or No)
Any construction on slopes of 15% or greater, (15 foot rise per 100 foot of length), or where the general slopes in the project area exceed 10%.			
Construction of land where the depth to the water table is less than 3 feet.			
Construction of paved parking area for 1,000 or more vehicles.			
Construction on land where bedrock is exposed or generally within 3 feet of existing ground surface.			
Construction that will continue for more than w year or involve more than one phase or stage.			
Excavation for mining purposes that would remove more than 1,000 tons of natural material (i.e., rock or soil) per year.			

IMPACT ON LAND	1 Small to Moderate Impact	2 Potential Large Impact	3 Can Impact Be Mitigated By Project Change (Enter Yes or No)
Construction of any new sanitary landfill.			
Construction in a designated floodway.			
Other Impacts (Please describe) Additional Building	√		No

# 2. Will there be an effect to any unique or unusual land forms found on the site? (i.e., cliffs, dunes, geological formations, etc.) yes <u>X</u> no.

List Specific land forms:

# **IMPACT ON WATER**

3. Will proposed action affect any water body designated as protected? (under Articles 15,24,25 of the Environmental Conservation Law, ECL) \_\_\_\_ yes \_X no.

<b>IMPACT ON WATER</b> (Examples that would apply to column 2)	1 Small to Moderate Impact	2 Potential Large Impact	3 Can Impact Be Mitigated By Project Change (Enter Yes or No)
Developable area of site contains a protected water body.			
Dredging more than 100 cubic yards of material from channel of a protected stream.			
Extension of utility distribution facilities through a protected water body.			
Construction in a designated freshwater or tidal wetland.			
Please List Other Impacts:			

4. Will proposed action affect any non-protected existing or new body of water? yes X no

A 10% increase or decrease in the surface area of any body of water or more than a 10 acre increase or decrease.		
Construction of a body of water that exceeds 10 acres of surface area.		
Please List Other Impacts:	<u> </u>	

# 5. Will proposed action affect surface or groundwater quality? \_\_\_\_\_ yes X\_\_\_ no

Proposed Action will require a discharge permit.	Yes	 
Proposed Action requires use of a source of water that does not have approval to serve proposed (project) action.		
Proposed Action requires water supply from wells with greater than 45 gallons per minute pumping capacity.		

IMPACT ON WATER (cont.) (Examples that would apply to column 2)	1 Small to Moderate Impact	2 Potential Large Impact	3 Can Impact Be Mitigated By Project Change (Enter Yes or No)
Construction or operation causing any contamination of a public water supply system.			
Proposed Action will adversely affect groundwater.			
Liquid effluent will be conveyed off the site to facilities which presently do not exist or have inadequate capacity.			
Proposed Action requiring a facility that would use water in excess of 20,000 gallons per day.			
Proposed Action will likely cause siltation or other discharge into an existing body of water to the extent that there will be an obvious visual contrast to natural conditions.			
Proposed Action will require the storage of petroleum products greater than 1,100 gallons.			
Proposed Action will allow residential uses in areas without water and/or sewer services.			
Proposed Action locates commercial and/or industrial uses which may require new or expansion of existing waste treatment and/or storage facilities.			
Please list other impacts:			

#### flow patterns or surface water runoff? \_\_\_\_\_yes \_\_\_\_ no.\_\_\_ .

6. Will proposed action alter drainage flow, patterns or surface water rank		
Proposed Action would impede flood water flows.	 	
Proposed Action is likely to cause substantial erosion.	 	
Proposed Action is incompatible with existing drain patterns.		
Proposed Action will allow development in a designated floodway.	 	
Please list other impacts:	 	

#### IMPACT ON AIR

IMPACT ON AIR         7. Will proposed action affect air quality? yesX no.         IMPACT ON AIR         (Examples that would apply to column 2)	1 Small to Moderate Impact	2 Potential Large Impact	3 Can Impact Be Mitigated By Project Change (Enter Yes or No)
Proposed Action will induce 1,000 or more vehicle trips in given hour.			
Proposed Action will result in the incineration of more than 1 ton of refuse per hour.			

IMPACT ON AIR (cont.)	1 Small to Moderate Impact	2 Potential Large Impact	3 Can Impact Be Mitigated By Project Change (Enter Yes or No)
Proposed Action emission rate of all contaminants will exceed 5 lbs. per hour or a heat source producing more than 10 million BTU's per hour.			
Proposed Action will allow an increase in the amount of land committed to industrial use.			199 <sup>9</sup>
Proposed Action will allow an increase in the density of industrial development in existing industrial areas.			
Please List Other Impacts:			

 IMPACT ON PLANTS AND ANIMALS

 8. Will Proposed Action affect any threatened or endangered species?
 yes
 X
 no.

<b>IMPACT ON PLANTS AND ANIMALS</b> (Examples that would apply to Column 2)	1 Small to Moderate Impact	2 Potential Large Impact	3 Can Impact Be Mitigated By Project Change (Enter Yes or No)
Reduction of one or more species listed on the New York or Federal list, using the site, over or near site or found on the site.			
Removal of any portion of a critical or significant wildlife habitat.			
Application of pesticide or herbicide over more than twice a year other than for agricultural purposes.			
Please list other impacts:			

# 9. Will Proposed Action substantially affect non-threatened or endangered species? Yes X No

Proposed Action would substantially interfere with any resident or migratory fish or wildlife species.		
Proposed Action requires the removal of more than 10 acres of mature forest (over 100 years of age) or other locally important vegetation.		

#### IMPACT ON AGRICULTURAL LAND RESOURCES

10. Will the Proposed Action affect agricultural land resources? Yes	X No		r
IMPACT ON AGRICULTURAL LAND RESOURCES (Examples that would apply to Column 2)	1 Small to Moderate Impact	2 Potential Large Impact	3 Can Impact Be Mitigated By Project Change (Enter Yes or No)
The Proposed Action would sever, cross through, or limit access to a field of agricultural land (includes cropland, hayfields, pasture, vineyard, orchard, etc.			

12

IMPACT ON AGRICULTURAL LAND RESOURCES (cont.)	1 Small to Moderate Impact	2 Potential Large Impact	3 Can Impact Be Mitigated By Project Change (Enter Yes or No)
Construction activity would excavate or compact the soil profile of agricultural land.			
The Proposed Action would irreversibly convert more than 10 acres of agricultural land or, if located in an Agricultural District, more than one acre of agricultural land.			
The Proposed Action would disrupt agricultural land management systems (e.g., subsurface drain lines, outlet ditches, strip cropping); prevent agricultural land management measures from being installed; or create a need for such measures (e.g., cause a farm field to drain poorly due to increased runoff)			
Prime or unique farmland as defined by USDA-SCS 7 CFR Part 657 and governed by the Farmland Protection Policy Act of 1981 is involved.			
Please list other impacts:			

# IMPACT ON AESTHETIC RESOURCES OR COMMUNITY CHARACTER

1. Will proposed action affect aesthetic resources, or the character of the n IMPACT ON AESTHETIC RESOURCES OR COMMUNITY CHARACTER (Examples that would apply to column 2) (If Necessary Use the Visual EAF Addendum in Section 617.23)	1 Small to Moderate Impact	2 Potential Large Impact	Yes X No 3 Can Impact Be Mitigated By Project Change (Enter Yes or No)
Introduction of proposed land uses, projects or project components obviously different or in sharp contrast to current surrounding land use patterns or existing man-made additions to the landscape.			
Introduction of proposed land uses, projects or project components as described in the above example that will be visible to users of aesthetic resources. This will eliminate or significantly reduce the public enjoyment or appreciation of the appearance or aesthetic qualities of a resource or community character.			
Introduction of project components that will result in the elimination or significant screening of scenic views known to be important to the area.			
Please list other impacts:			

# IMPACT ON HISTORIC AND ARCHEOLOGICAL RESOURCES

12. Will Proposed Action impact any site or structure of historic, prehistoric or paleontogical importance? \_\_\_\_\_ Yes \_\_\_\_ No

IMPACT ON HISTORIC AND ARCHEOLOGICAL RESOURCES (Examples that would apply to column 2)	1 Small to Moderate Impact	2 Potential Large Impact	3 Can Impact Be Mitigated By Project Change (Enter Yes or No)
Proposed Action occurring wholly or partially within or contiguous to any facility or site listed or eligible for listing on the State or National Register of historic places.			
Any impact to an archeological site or fossil bed located within the project site.			
Proposed Action will occur in an area designated as sensitive for archeological sites on the NSY Site Inventory.			
Please list other impacts:			

#### **IMPACT ON OPEN SPACE AND RECREATION**

- 13. Will Proposed Action affect the quantity or quality of existing or future open spaces or recreational opportunities?
  - Yes X No

IMPACT ON OPEN SPACE AND RECREATION (Examples that would apply to column 2)	1 Small to Moderate Impact	2 Potential Large Impact	3 Can Impact Be Mitigated By Project Change (Enter Yes or No)
The permanent foreclosure of a future recreational opportunity.			
A major reduction of an open space important to the community.			
Please list other impacts:			

# IMPACT ON CRITICAL ENVIRONMENTAL AREAS

14. Will Proposed Action impact the exceptional or unique characteristics of a critical environmental area (CEA) established pursuant to subdivision 6 NYCRR 617.14(g)?\_\_Yes\_X\_No

List the environmental characteristics that caused the designation of the CEA.

IMPACT ON CRITICAL ENVIRONMENTAL AREAS (Examples that would apply to column 2)	1 Small to Moderate Impact	2 Potential Large Impact	3 Can Impact Be Mitigated By Project Change (Enter Yes or No)
Proposed Action to locate within the CEA?			
Proposed Action will result in a reduction in the quantity of the resource?			
Proposed Action will result in a reduction in the quality of the resource?			
Proposed Action will impact the use, function or enjoyment of the			
resource?		+	
Please list other impacts.			

# IMPACT ON TRANSPORTATION

15. Will there be an effect to existing transportation systems? Yes	X No	(positive	impact)	
<b>IMPACT ON TRANSPORTATION</b> (Examples that would apply to column 2)	Sn Mo	1 nall to oderate npact	2 Potential Large Impact	3 Can Impact Be Mitigated By Project Change (Enter Yes or No)
Alteration of present patterns of movement of people and/or goods.				
Proposed Action will result in severe traffic problems				
Please list other impacts: <b>Reduced tanker</b> volume through the community				

#### IMPACT ON ENERGY

<ul> <li>16. Will proposed action affect the communities sources of fuel or energy</li> <li>IMPACT ON ENERGY</li> <li>(Examples that would apply to column 2)</li> </ul>	1 Small to Moderate Impact	2 Potential Large Impact	3 Can Impact Be Mitigated By Project Change (Enter Yes or No)
Proposed Action will cause a greater than 5% increase in any form of energy in municipality.			
Proposed Action will require the creation or extension of an energy transmission or supply system to serve more than 50 single or two family residences.			
Please list other impacts: Slight increase in power but reduction in fuel	1		No

# **IMPACT ON NOISE**

17. Will there be objectionable odors, noise, glare, vibration or electrical disturbance as a result of the Proposed Action?

••

Yes X No

IMPACT ON NOISE (Examples that would apply to column 2)	1 Small to Moderate Impact	2 Potential Large Impact	3 Can Impact Be Mitigated By Project Change (Enter Yes or No)
Blasting within 1,500 feet of a hospital, school or other sensitive facility.			
Odors will occur routinely (more than one hour per day).			
Proposed Action will produce operating noise exceeding the local ambient noise levels for noise outside of structures.			
Proposed Action will remove natural barriers that would act as a noise screen.			-
Please list other impacts			

#### **IMPACT ON PUBLIC HEALTH AND (HAZARDS) SAFETY**

<ol> <li>Will Proposed Action affect public health and safety? X Yes N</li> <li>IMPACT ON PUBLIC HEALTH AND (HAZARDS) SAFETY (Examples that would apply to column 2)</li> </ol>	o 1 Small to Moderate Impact	2 Potential Large Impact	3 Can Impact Be Mitigated By Project Change (Enter Yes or No)
Proposed Action will cause a risk of explosion or release of hazardous substances (i.e. oil, pesticides, chemicals, radiation, etc.) in the event of accident or upset conditions, or there will be a chronic low level discharge or emission.	·		
Proposed Action will result in the burial of "hazardous wastes" (i.e. toxic, poisonous, highly reactive, radioactive, irritating, infectious, etc., including wastes that are solid, semi-solid, liquid or contain gases).			
Storage facilities for one million or more gallons of liquified natural gas or other liquids.			
Please list other impacts: The project will reduce the possibility of an impact			

# IMPACT ON GROWTH AND CHARACTER OF COMMUNITY OR NEIGHBORHOOD

19. Will Proposed Action affect the character of the existing Community? IMPACT ON GROWTH AND CHARACTER OF COMMUNITY OR NEIGHBORHOOD (Examples that would apply to column 2)	Yes X 1 Small to Moderate Impact	2 Potential Large Impact	3 Can Impact Be Mitigated By Project Change (Enter Yes or No)
The population of the city, town or village in which the project is likely			

to grow by more than 5% of resident human population.	······································	
The municipal budgets for capital expenditures or operating services will increase by more than 5% per year as a result of this project.		
Will involve any permanent facility of a non-agricultural use on more than one acre in an agricultural district or remove more than 10 acres of (prime) agricultural lands from cultivation.		
Proposed Action will replace or eliminate existing facilities, structures or areas of historic importance to the community.		 
Development will in induce an influx of a particular age group with special needs.		
Proposed Action will set an important precedent for future projects.		
Proposed Action will relocate 15 or more employees in one or more businesses.		
Please List other impacts:		

# PUBLIC INPUT

20. Is there public controversy related to Potential Adverse Environmental	Impacts? Yes	<u>X</u> No	
Either government or citizens of adjacent communities have expressed opposition or rejected the project or have not been contacted.			
Objections to the project from within the community.			

### If Any Action in Part 2 Is Identified as a Potential Large Impact or If You Cannot Determine the Magnitude of Impact, Proceed to Part 3

Determination of Significance

Portions of EAF completed for this project: <u>X</u> Part 1 <u>X</u> Part 2 Part 3

Upon review of the information recorded on this EAF (Parts 1, 2 and 3) and considering both the magnitude and importance of each impact, it is reasonably determined that:

- A. The project will result in no major impacts and, therefore, is one which may not cause significant damage to the environment. **Prepare a negative declaration:**
- B. For unlisted actions only. Although the project could have a significant effect on the environment, there will not be a significant effect in this case because the mitigation measures described in Part # have been included as part of the proposed project. Prepare a CONDITIONAL negative declaration:
- C. The project will result in one or more major adverse impacts that cannot be reduced and may cause significant damage to the environment. Prepare a positive declaration, proceed with EIS:

Signature of Preparer (if different from responsible officer)

Date

Ben Wright, P.E., Principal Civil Engineer

Signature of Responsible Officer M Lead Agency

Print or Type Name of Responsible Officer in Lead Agency

Suffolk County DPW Name of Lead Agency

Date 6/6/12

# Part 3 - Responsibility of Lead Agency

Evaluation of the Importance of Impacts

#### Information

Part 3 is prepared if one or more impact or effect is considered to be potentially large.

The amount of writing necessary to answer Part 3 may be determined by answering the question: In briefly completing the instructions below, have I placed in this record sufficient information to indicate the reasonableness of my decisions?

#### Instructions

Complete the following for each impact or effect identified in Column 2 of Part 2:

- Briefly describe the impact. 1.
- Describe (if applicable) how the impact might be mitigated or reduced to a less than large impact by project change. 2.
- Based on the information available, decide if it is reasonable to conclude that this impact is important to the 3.
  - municipality (city, town or village) in which the project is located. To answer the question of importance, consider:
    - The probability of the impact or effect occurring
    - The duration of the impact or effect
    - Its irreversibility, including permanently lost resources of value
    - Whether the impact or effect can be controlled
    - The regional consequence of the impact or effect
    - Its potential divergence from local needs and goals
    - Whether known objectives to the project apply to this impact or effect

#### Determination of Significance

An action is considered to be significant if:

One (or more) impact(s) is determined to be (both) large and its (their) consequence, based on the review above, is important.

Part 3 Statements (Continue on Attachments, as needed)

June 6, 2012

## APPENDIX A SUFFOLK COUNTY HISTORIC TRUST

# Application for Determination of Appropriateness for Alteration to Suffolk County Historic Trust Landmark or Site

#### 1. APPLICANT:

AGENCY: CONTACT PERSON: ADDRESS: SUFFOLK COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS BEN WRIGHT, P.E., PRINCIPAL CIVIL ENGINEER 335 YAPHANK AVENUE YAPHANK, NEW YORK 11980 (631) 852-4184

TELEPHONE:

#### 2. PROPERTY:

STRUCTURE NAME: LOCATION: HISTORIC TRUST STATUS: \_\_\_\_ Designated \_\_\_ Eligible PRESERVATION CATEGORY: USE CATEGORY: CURRENT USE: PROPOSED USE: Is structure listed on or eligible for the National Register of Historic Places? \_\_\_ Yes \_\_\_ No

### 3. PROPOSED WORK:

SCOPE OF WORK:

REASON FOR WORK:

ARCHITECT/ENGINEER: CONTRACTOR: CONSTRUCTION SCHEDULE:

4. FUNDING:

ESTIMATE COST OF PROJECT: SOURCES:

### 5. PROPERTY HISTORY:

DATE OF ORIGINAL CONSTRUCTION: ORIGINAL ARCHITECT BUILDER: HISTORY OF USE:

HISTORY OF ALTERATIONS:

#### 6. SUBMISSIONS:

Please check all applicable:

МАР	
DRAWINGS	
HP-1 FORM	
SPECIFICATIONS	
EAF/SHORT	
LATION	
PHOTOGRAPHS	······································
SAMPLES	
OTHER	

# 7. RELATED INFORMATION AND COMMENT:

The Suffolk County Historic Trust is hereby requested to review the scope of work proposed for the above mentioned landmark structure, owned by the County of Suffolk, New York, to determine the appropriateness of design and/or use as regulated by the Suffolk County Charter. Design review guidelines have been made available for reference and it is understood that submission or approval of this application does not relieve responsibility for securing any and all other permits and approvals as required by law for the work proposed.

This form is to be submitted by applicant department to:

Suffolk County Department of Public Works Sanitation Engineering Division 335 Yaphank Avenue Yaphank, New York 11980

For additional information and instructions please call (631) 852-4184.

OFFICE USE ONLY

DETERMINATION OF APPROPRIATENESS: \_\_\_\_ Approved \_\_\_\_ Disapproved

Vote: \_\_\_\_ Aye \_\_\_ Nay \_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_ Signature \_\_\_\_\_

# 617.20 Appendix B VISUAL EAF ADDENDUM

This form is to be used in conjunction with the SEQR Full EAF. Once the potential visual impacts have been identified by the following questions, proceed to Question 11 of the Full EAF.

#### (To be completed by Lead Agency)

#### VISIBILITY (Check distance as appropriate in miles project is from each resource)

1. Is the project potentially visible from:

t. is the project potentially visible from:					
****	0 - 1/4	1/4 - 1/2	1/2 - 3	3 - 5	5+
A parcel of land which is dedicated to and available to the public for the use, enjoyment and appreciation of natural or man-made scenic qualities.					
An overlook or parcel of land dedicated to public observation, enjoyment and appreciation of natural or man-made scenic qualities.					
A site or structure on or eligible for inclusion in the National or State Register of Historic Places.					
State Parks.					
The State Forest Preserve					
National Wildlife Refuges and state game refuges.					
National Natural Landmarks and other outstanding natural features.					
National Park System					
Rivers designated as National or State Wild, Scenic or Recreational.					
Any transportation corridor of high exposure, such as part of the Interstate System, or Amtrak.					
A governmentally established or designated interstate or inter county foot trail, or one proposed for establishment or designation.					
A site, are, lake, reservoir or highway designated or eligible for designation as scenic.					
Municipal park, or open space.					
County road.					
Local road.					

2. Is the visibility of the project seasonal? (i.e., screened by summer foliage, but visible during other seasons) \_ Yes \_ No

3. Are any of the resources checked in question 1 used by the public during the time of year during which the project will be visible? \_ Yes \_ No

#### DESCRIPTION OF EXISTING VISUAL ENVIRONMENT

4. From each item checked in question 1, check those which most generally describe the surrounding environment.

*****	Within 1/4 mile*	Within 1 mile*
Essentially undeveloped		
Forested		
Agricultural		
Suburban residential		
Industrial		
Commercial		
Urban		
River, Lake	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Cliffs, overlooks		
Designated aesthetic resource		
Flat		
Hilly		
Mountainous		
Other		

NOTE: Add attachments as needed.

5. Are there visually similar projects within:

1/2 mile*		Yes	_ No
1 mile*		Yes	No
2 miles*	Yes	No	
3 miles* _	Yes _	_ No	

\*Distance from project site are provided for assistance. Substitute other distances as appropriate.

#### **EXPOSURE**

6. The annual number of viewers likely to observe the proposed project is \_\_\_\_\_. *NOTE: When user data is unavailable or unknown, use best estimate.* 

#### CONTEXT

7. The situation or activity in which the viewers are engaged while viewing the proposed action is as follows:

ΑCTIVITY	<b>FREQUENCY</b> (please list whether it is daily, weekly, weekends/holidays or seasonally)
Travel to and from work	
Involved in recreational activities	
Routine travel by residents	
At a residence	
At worksite	
Please list any others:	







# CEQ RESOLUTION NO. 36-2012, AUTHORIZING ADOPTION OF May 16, 2012 CEQ MINUTES

**WHEREAS,** the Council on Environmental Quality has received and reviewed the May 16, 2012 meeting minutes; now, therefore, be it

1<sup>st</sup> **RESOLVED**, that a quorum of the Council on Environmental Quality, having heard and accepted all comments and necessary corrections hereby adopts the meeting minutes of May 16, 2012

#### PROJECT #: Adoption of Minutes RESOLUTION #: 36-2012 DATE: June 20, 2012

### RECORD OF CEQ RESOLUTION VOTES

CEQ APPOINTED MEMBERS	AYE	NAY	ABSTAIN	NOT PRESENT	RECUSED
James Bagg	$\boxtimes$				
Eva Growney			$\boxtimes$		
Thomas C. Gulbransen				$\boxtimes$	
Hon. Kara Hahn				$\boxtimes$	
Michael Kaufman	$\boxtimes$				
Richard Machtay	$\boxtimes$				
Daniel Pichney	$\boxtimes$				
Gloria G. Russo	$\boxtimes$				
Mary Ann Spencer				$\boxtimes$	
Larry Swanson	$\times$				
CAC REPRESENTATIVES					

Recommendation: Adoption of minutes

Motion: Mr. Machtay Second: Mr. Kaufman

Further information may be obtained by contacting:

#### CEQ RESOLUTION NO. 37-2012, LISTING OF THE DITTMANN AKA TRIHY HOUSE IN THE VILLAGE OF AMITYVILLE TO THE SUFFOLK COUNTY HISTORIC TRUST

WHEREAS, Resolution 871-1972 established the Suffolk County Historic Trust and designated the voting members of the Council on Environmental Quality as its membership; and

WHEREAS, Article I §C1-4 of the Suffolk County Charter states that a function of the Suffolk County Council on Environmental Quality is to make recommendations to the County Legislature and County Executive as to what County-owned properties should be dedicated to the Historic Trust; and

**WHEREAS,** Article I §C1-8 of the Suffolk County Charter authorizes the County to dedicate properties having distinctive historical significance to the Historic Trust; and

**WHEREAS**, the Dittmann AKA Trihy House, built in 1918, contributes to the historic residential development of Ocean Avenue in the Village of Amityville; and

**WHEREAS**, the Historic Trust Committee has recommended to the Suffolk County Council on Environmental Quality, as the Suffolk County Historic Trust that the Dittmann AKA Trihy House be listed to the Suffolk County Historic Trust for preservation purposes; and

**WHEREAS,** at its June 20, 2012 meeting, the Suffolk County Council on Environmental Quality reviewed and considered information submitted by the Suffolk County Department of Parks, Recreation and Conservation, Division of Historic Services; now, therefore be it

1<sup>st</sup> **RESOLVED**, that based on the information received and presented, a quorum of the Council hereby classifies this listing as a Type II Action pursuant to Chapter 450 of the Suffolk County Code and the provisions of Title 6 NYCRR Part 617.5(c)(20), (27) & (32), as the action involves policies relating to continuing agency administration for the designation of a local landmark; and, be it further

2<sup>st</sup> **RESOLVED**, that a quorum of the Suffolk County Council on Environmental Quality, as the Suffolk County Historic Trust hereby lists the subject property outlined below to the Suffolk County Historic Trust as so indicated pursuant to the Historic Trust Manual

Parcel/Bldg.	Action	Use Category	Preservation Category
Dittmann AKA Trihy House (0101 00700 0800 008000 p/o)	List	N/A	N/A

and, be it further

3<sup>nd</sup> **RESOLVED**, that as a listed property, any changes to the structure or proposed uses must come before the Council for consideration; and be it further

4<sup>rd</sup> **RESOLVED**, that the Council recommends incorporating the use of the historic land owner Ireland family name on the property at some point in the future

|--|

CEQ APPOINTED MEMBERS	AYE	NAY	ABSTAIN	NOT PRESENT	RECUSED
James Bagg	$\boxtimes$				
Eva Growney	$\boxtimes$				
Thomas C. Gulbransen				$\boxtimes$	
Hon. Kara Hahn	$\boxtimes$				
Michael Kaufman	$\boxtimes$				
Richard Machtay	$\boxtimes$				
Daniel Pichney	$\boxtimes$				
Gloria G. Russo	$\boxtimes$				
Mary Ann Spencer				$\boxtimes$	
Larry Swanson	$\boxtimes$				
CAC REPRESENTATIVES					

<u>Recommendation</u>: Listing of the Dittmann AKA Trihy House to the Suffolk County Historic Trust

Motion: Mr. Machtay Second: Ms. Growney

Further information may be obtained by contacting:

#### CEQ RESOLUTION NO. 38-2012, LISTING OF THE DITTMANN AKA TRIHY HOUSE GARAGE IN THE VILLAGE OF AMITYVILLE TO THE SUFFOLK COUNTY HISTORIC TRUST

WHEREAS, Resolution 871-1972 established the Suffolk County Historic Trust and designated the voting members of the Council on Environmental Quality as its membership; and

WHEREAS, Article I §C1-4 of the Suffolk County Charter states that a function of the Suffolk County Council on Environmental Quality is to make recommendations to the County Legislature and County Executive as to what County-owned properties should be dedicated to the Historic Trust; and

**WHEREAS,** Article I §C1-8 of the Suffolk County Charter authorizes the County to dedicate properties having distinctive historical significance to the Historic Trust; and

**WHEREAS,** the Dittmann AKA Trihy House Garage contributes to the historic residential development of Ocean Avenue in the Village of Amityville; and

WHEREAS, the Historic Trust Committee has recommended to the Suffolk County Council on Environmental Quality, as the Suffolk County Historic Trust that the Dittmann AKA Trihy House Garage be listed to the Suffolk County Historic Trust for preservation purposes; and

WHEREAS, at its June 20, 2012 meeting, the Suffolk County Council on Environmental Quality reviewed and considered information submitted by the Suffolk County Department of Parks, Recreation and Conservation, Division of Historic Services; now, therefore be it

1<sup>st</sup> **RESOLVED**, that based on the information received and presented, a quorum of the Council hereby classifies this listing as a Type II Action pursuant to Chapter 450 of the Suffolk County Code and the provisions of Title 6 NYCRR Part 617.5(c)(20), (27) & (32), as the action involves policies relating to continuing agency administration for the designation of a local landmark; and, be it further

2<sup>st</sup> **RESOLVED**, that a quorum of the Suffolk County Council on Environmental Quality, as the Suffolk County Historic Trust hereby lists the subject property outlined below to the Suffolk County Historic Trust as so indicated pursuant to the Historic Trust Manual

Parcel/Bldg.	Action	Use Category	Preservation Category
Dittmann AKA Trihy House Garage (0101 00700 0800 008000 p/o)	List	N/A	N/A

and, be it further

3<sup>nd</sup> **RESOLVED**, that as a listed property, any changes to the structure or proposed uses must come before the Council for consideration; and be it further

4<sup>rd</sup> **RESOLVED**, that the Council recommends incorporating the use of the historic land owner Ireland family name on the property at some point in the future

|--|

CEQ APPOINTED MEMBERS	AYE	NAY	ABSTAIN	NOT PRESENT	RECUSED
James Bagg	$\boxtimes$				
Eva Growney	$\boxtimes$				
Thomas C. Gulbransen				$\boxtimes$	
Hon. Kara Hahn	$\boxtimes$				
Michael Kaufman	$\boxtimes$				
Richard Machtay	$\boxtimes$				
Daniel Pichney	$\boxtimes$				
Gloria G. Russo	$\boxtimes$				
Mary Ann Spencer				$\boxtimes$	
Larry Swanson	$\boxtimes$				
CAC REPRESENTATIVES					

<u>Recommendation</u>: Listing of the Dittmann AKA Trihy House Garage to the Suffolk County Historic Trust

Motion: Mr. Machtay Second: Ms. Growney

Further information may be obtained by contacting:

# COUNTY OF SUFFOLK



DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND PLANNING DIVISION OF PLANNING AND ENVIRONMENT

COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

GLORIA RUSSO CHAIRPERSON CEQ MICHAEL MULÉ ENVIRONMENTAL PROJECTS COORDINATOR

#### MEMORANDUM

TO:	Honorable Steven Bellone, Suffolk County Executive
	Honorable William J. Lindsay, Presiding Officer

FROM: Gloria Russo, Chairperson

DATE: June 27, 2012

RE: CEQ Review of the Proposed Declaration as Surplus and Subsequent sale of approximately 230.8± acres of County-owned land in Yaphank, Town of Brookhaven

At its June 20, 2012 meeting, the CEQ reviewed the above referenced matter. Pursuant to Chapter 450 of the Suffolk County Code, and based on the information received, as well as that given in a presentation by Bob Braun, Assistant County Attorney with the Suffolk County Department of Law, the Council advises the Suffolk County Legislature and County Executive, in CEQ Resolution No. 39-2012, a copy of which is attached, that the proposed project be considered a Type I Action under SEQRA, pursuant to the provisions of Title 6 NYCRR Part 617.4(b)(4), which will not have a significant adverse impact on the environment.

If the Legislature concurs with the Council on Environmental Quality's recommendation that the project will not have a significant adverse impact on the environment, the Presiding Officer should cause to be brought before the Legislature for a vote, a resolution determining that the proposed action is a Type I Action pursuant to SEQRA that will not have significant adverse impacts on the environment (negative declaration). However, if the Legislature has further environmental concerns regarding this project and needs additional information, the Presiding Officer should remand the case back to the initiating unit for the necessary changes to the project and EAF or submit a resolution authorizing the initiating unit to prepare a draft environmental impact statement (positive declaration).

Enclosed for your information is a copy of CEQ Resolution No. 39-2012 which sets forth the Council's recommendations. The project EAF and supporting documentation can be viewed online at http://www.suffolkcountyny.gov/Departments/Planning/Boards/CouncilonEnvironmentalQuality

If the Council can be of further help in this matter, please let us know.

Enc. cc: All Suffolk County Legislators Tim Laube, Clerk of Legislature George Nolan, Attorney for the Legislature Sarah Lansdale, Director of Planning Dennis Cohen, Suffolk County Attorney

.

.

#### CEQ RESOLUTION NO. 39-2012, RECOMMENDATION CONCERNING A SEQRA CLASSIFICATION AND DETERMINATION FOR THE PURPOSES OF CHAPTER 450 OF THE SUFFOLK COUNTY CODE FOR THE PROPOSED DECLARATION AS SURPLUS AND SUBSEQUENT SALE OF COUNTY-OWNED LAND IN YAPHANK, TOWN OF BROOKHAVEN

**WHEREAS**, at its June 20, 2012 meeting, the Suffolk County Council on Environmental Quality reviewed the EAF and associated information submitted by Suffolk County Department of Economic Development and Planning, Division of Planning and Environment; and

**WHEREAS**, a presentation regarding the project was given at the meeting by Bob Braun, Assistant County Attorney with the Suffolk County Department of Law; and

WHEREAS, the project involves the declaration of approximately 230.8± acres of County-owned land surplus to the County's needs and the authorization of its sale; now, therefore, be it

1<sup>st</sup> **RESOLVED**, that in the judgment of the CEQ, based on the information received and presented, the proposed activity constitutes a Type I Action under the provisions of Title 6 NYCRR Part 617.4(b)(4) and Chapter 450 of the Suffolk County Code; and, be it further

2<sup>nd</sup> **RESOLVED**, that based on the information received, a quorum of the Council recommends to the Suffolk County Legislature and County Executive, pursuant to Chapter 450 of the Suffolk County Code, that the project will not have significant adverse impacts on the environment for the following reasons:

- 1. The proposed action, as demonstrated in the Environmental Assessment Form will not exceed any of the criteria in Title 6 NYCRR Park 617.7 setting forth thresholds for determining significant effect on the environment;
- 2. The proposed action involves the surplus and sale of property and does not authorize, fund or approve any development or change in the type or intensity of the use on the property;
- 3. Unless preempted by federal law, any future development or change in the use of the subject property will be subject to local land use controls and further review under the State Environmental Quality Review Act before it is undertaken, funded or approved by any agency.

**3<sup>rd</sup> RESOLVED**, that it is the recommendation of the Council that the Legislature and County Executive adopt a SEQRA determination of non-significance (negative declaration).

PROJECT #: PLN-38-2012 RESOLUTION #: 39-2012 DATE: June 20, 2012

#### RECORD OF CEQ RESOLUTION VOTES

CEQ APPOINTED MEMBERS		NAY	ABSTAIN	NOT PRESENT	RECUSED
James Bagg	$\boxtimes$				
Eva Growney	$\boxtimes$				
Thomas C. Gulbransen				$\boxtimes$	
Hon. Kara Hahn	$\boxtimes$				
Michael Kaufman	$\boxtimes$				
Richard Machtay	$\boxtimes$				
Daniel Pichney	$\boxtimes$				
Gloria G. Russo	$\boxtimes$				
Mary Ann Spencer				$\boxtimes$	
Larry Swanson	$\boxtimes$				
CAC REPRESENTATIVES					

Recommendation: Type I Action, Negative Declaration

Motion: Mr. Kaufman Second: Ms. Growney

Further information may be obtained by contacting:

# COUNTY OF SUFFOLK



**COUNTY EXECUTIVE** 

#### DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND PLANNING DIVISION OF PLANNING AND ENVIRONMENT

COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

**GLORIA RUSSO** CHAIRPERSON CEQ

MICHAEL MULÉ **ENVIRONMENTAL PROJECTS** COORDINATOR

#### **MEMORANDUM**

TO:	Honorable Steven Bellone, Suffolk County Executive
	Honorable William J. Lindsay, Presiding Officer

FROM: Gloria Russo, Chairperson

DATE: June 28, 2012

RE: CEQ Review of the Recommended SEQRA Classifications of Legislative Resolutions Laid on the Table June 5, 2012

At its June 20, 2012 meeting, the CEQ reviewed the above referenced matter. Pursuant to Chapter 450 of the Suffolk County Code, and based on the information received, the Council recommends to the Suffolk County Legislature and County Executive in CEQ Resolution No.40-2012, a copy of which is attached, that the enclosed list of legislative resolutions laid on the table June 5, 2012, be classified pursuant to SEQRA as so indicated in the left hand margin. The majority of the proposed resolutions are Type II actions pursuant to the appropriate section of Title 6 NYCRR Part 617.5, with no further environmental review necessary. Unlisted and Type I actions require that the initiating unit of County government prepare an Environmental Assessment Form (EAF) and submit it to the CEQ for further SEQRA review and recommendations.

Enclosed for your information is a copy of CEQ Resolution No. 40-2012 setting forth the Council's recommendations along with the associated list of legislative resolutions. If the Council can be of further help in this matter, please let us know.

MPM/cd

Enc.

cc: All Suffolk County Legislators

Tim Laube, Clerk of Legislature

George Nolan, Attorney for the Legislature Sarah Lansdale, Director of Planning Dennis Cohen, Suffolk County Attorney

#### CEQ RESOLUTION NO. 40-2012, RECOMMENDATION CONCERNING SEQRA CLASSIFICATIONS OF LEGISLATIVE RESOLUTIONS LAID ON THE TABLE JUNE 5, 2012 PURSUANT TO CHAPTER 450 OF THE SUFFOLK COUNTY CODE

**WHEREAS,** the legislative packet regarding resolutions laid on the table June 5, 2012 has been received in the CEQ office; and

**WHEREAS,** staff has preliminarily reviewed the proposed resolutions and recommended SEQRA classifications; now, therefore, be it

1<sup>st</sup> **RESOLVED**, that in the judgment of the CEQ, based on the information received and presented, a quorum of the Council recommends to the Suffolk County Legislature and County Executive, pursuant to Chapter 450 of the Suffolk County Code, that the attached list of actions and projects be classified by the Legislature and County Executive pursuant to SEQRA as so indicated.

### RECORD OF CEQ RESOLUTION VOTES

CEQ APPOINTED MEMBERS		NAY	ABSTAIN	NOT PRESENT	RECUSED
James Bagg					
Eva Growney	$\boxtimes$				
Thomas C. Gulbransen				$\boxtimes$	
Hon. Kara Hahn	$\boxtimes$				
Michael Kaufman	$\boxtimes$				
Richard Machtay	$\boxtimes$				
Daniel Pichney	$\boxtimes$				
Gloria G. Russo	$\boxtimes$				
Mary Ann Spencer				$\boxtimes$	
Larry Swanson	$\boxtimes$				
CAC REPRESENTATIVES					

Motion: Ms. Growney Second: Mr. Kaufman

Further information may be obtained by contacting:

	LAID ON THE TABLE JUNE 5, 2012 LADS REPORT PREPARED BY: Michele Gerardi (Revised 6/6/2012)
Type II Action <b>1528.</b> 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(21)(27)	Adopting Local Law No2012, A Local Law to ensure advanced planning for emergencies by nursing homes, long-term care facilities and group homes for the disabled ("Prepared To Protect Our Most Vulnerable Citizens Act"). (Stern) <u>PUBLIC SAFETY</u>
Type II Action <b>1529.</b> 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(27)	Amending the 2012 Operating Budget to maintain the Women, Infant and Children (WIC) Program. (Kennedy) <u>BUDGET AND FINANCE</u>
Type II Action <b>1530.</b> 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(27)	Amending the 2012 Operating Budget to restore training programs and classes at the Suffolk County Fire Academy. (Muratore) <u>BUDGET AND FINANCE</u>
Type II Action <b>1531.</b> 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (15)(20)(27)	Authorizing use of Southaven County Park property for the Yaphank Day Camp Trail Run. (Browning) <u>PARKS &amp; RECREATION</u>
Type II Action <b>1532.</b> 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(25)(27)	Authorizing certain technical corrections to Adopted Resolution No. 905-2010. (Co. Exec.) <u>WAYS &amp; MEANS</u>
Type II Action <b>1533.</b> 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(25)(27)	Appropriating funds in connection with the purchase of hybrid electric vehicles of various models for County Fleet and accepting Federal Aid (CP 5601, PIN 082638). (Co. Exec.) <u>PUBLIC WORKS AND TRANSPORTATION</u>
Type II Action <b>1534.</b> 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (1)(2)(20)(21)(27)	Appropriating funds in connection with installation of Fire, Security, and Emergency Systems at County facilities (CP 1710). (Co. Exec.) <u>PUBLIC WORKS AND TRANSPORTATION</u>
Type II Action <b>1535</b> . 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (1)(2)(20)(27)	Amending the 2012 Capital Budget and Program, accepting a Community Enhancement Facilities Assistance Program (CEFAP) grant in the amount of \$400,000 from the Dormitory Authority of the State of New York, and appropriating funds in connection to Historic Restoration and Preservation Fund - West Sayville Greenhouse at Charles R. Dominy County Park (CP 7510). (Co. Exec.) <u>PARKS &amp; RECREATION</u>
Type II Action <b>1536.</b> 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (1)(2)(20)(27)	Amending the 2012 Capital Budget and Program, accepting a Community Enhancement Facilities Assistance Program (CEFAP) grant in the amount of \$300,000 from the Dormitory Authority of the State of New York, and appropriating funds in connection to improvements and lighting at County Parks - West Sayville Meadow Edge Clubhouse and Long Island Maritime Museum (CP 7079). (Co. Exec.) <u>PARKS &amp; RECREATION</u>
Type II Action <b>1537.</b> 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (1)(18)(20)(21)(27)	Appropriating funds in connection with Underground Injection Control Management Program (CP 8220). (Co. Exec.) <u>PUBLIC WORKS AND TRANSPORTATION</u>
Type II Action <b>1538.</b> 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (16)(20)(27)	Appropriating funds in connection with application and removal of lane markings (CP 5037). (Co. Exec.) <u>PUBLIC WORKS AND TRANSPORTATION</u>
Type II Action <b>1539.</b> 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (1)(2)(20)(27)	Appropriating funds in connection with Replacement of Major Buildings Operations Equipment at Various County Facilities (CP 1737). (Co. Exec.) <u>PUBLIC WORKS AND TRANSPORTATION</u>

Type II Action 1540. <sup>6 NYCRR 617.5(c)</sup> <sup>(20)(27)</sup>
To readjust, compromise, and grant refunds and charge-backs on real property correction of errors by: County Legislature (Control No. 894-2012). (Co. Exec.) <u>BUDGET AND FINANCE</u>

- Unlisted Action 1541. Authorizing the sale, pursuant to Local Law No. 16-1976, of real property acquired under Section 46 of the Suffolk County Tax Act Nissim Azoulay (SCTM No. 0300-146.00-05.00-006.000 and 0300-147.00-09.00-034.000). (Co. Exec.) <u>WAYS & MEANS</u>
- Type II Action 1542. 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(27) Authorizing certain technical correction to Adopted Resolution No. 59-2012. (Co. Exec.) WAYS & MEANS
- Completes<br/>SEQRA1543.Authorizing acquisition of land under the Suffolk County Open Space Preservation<br/>Program (1986) for the estate of Frieda Langman property- Mud Creek Town of<br/>Brookhaven (SCTM No. 0200-975.70-03.00-001.000). (Co. Exec.)<br/>ENVIRONMENT, PLANNING AND AGRICULTURE
- Completes<br/>SEQRA1544.Authorizing acquisition of land under the Old Suffolk County Drinking Water<br/>Protection Program [C12-5(E)(1)(b)] for the Grausso Property Hampton Hills<br/>County Park Addition Pine Barrens Core Town of Southampton (SCTM No.<br/>0900-237.00-01.00-006.000). (Co. Exec.) <a href="mailto:Environment">ENVIRONMENT, PLANNING AND</a><br/>AGRICULTURE
- Type II Action 1545. <sup>6 NYCRR 617.5(c)</sup> <sup>(20)(27)</sup>
  Amending the 2012 Operating Budget and appropriating funds in connection with bonding a settlement for an Auto Liability Case. (Co. Exec.) <u>BUDGET AND</u> <u>FINANCE</u>
- Unlisted Action 1546. Authorizing the sale, pursuant to Local Law No. 16-1976, of real property acquired under Section 46 of the Suffolk County Tax Act Jose A. Ospina and Adriana M. Rojas, his wife (SCTM No. 0500-055.01-01.00-009.000). (Co. Exec.) <u>WAYS & MEANS</u>
- Unlisted Action 1547. Authorizing the sale, pursuant to Local Law No. 16-1976, of real property acquired under Section 46 of the Suffolk County Tax Act Joseph Volpe (SCTM No. 0400-259.00-01.00-037.000). (Co. Exec.) <u>WAYS & MEANS</u>
- Unlisted Action 1548. Authorizing the sale, pursuant to Local Law No. 16-1976, of real property acquired under Section 46 of the Suffolk County Tax Act Harriet Magil, Bernard Magil and Margaret R. Magil, Trustees or their Successors in Trust under the Harriet Magil Living Trust, dated February 19, 1999 (SCTM No. 0900-231.00-01.00-015.000). (Co. Exec.) WAYS & MEANS
- Unlisted Action 1549. Authorizing the sale, pursuant to Local Law No. 16-1976, of real property acquired under Section 46 of the Suffolk County Tax Act Lisa Grossman (SCTM No. 0102-016.00-03.00-072.000). (Co. Exec.) WAYS & MEANS
- Unlisted Action 1550. Authorizing the sale, pursuant to Local Law No. 16-1976, of real property acquired under Section 46 of the Suffolk County Tax Act Bonnie Braun (SCTM No. 0404-015.01-02.00-006.000). (Co. Exec.) WAYS & MEANS

Type II Action 1551. <sup>6 NYCRR 617.5(c)</sup> <sup>(1)(4)(7)(16)(20)(27)</sup>
Amending Resolution No. 625-2009, accepting New York State Marchiselli Aid in connection with the rehabilitation of CR 17, Wheeler Road from the vicinity of CR 100, Suffolk Avenue to the vicinity of Bretton Road, Town of Islip (CP 5097, PIN 075733). (Co. Exec.) <u>PUBLIC WORKS AND TRANSPORTATION</u>

Type II Action 1552. <sup>6 NYCRR 617.5(c)</sup> <sup>(20)(27)</sup>
To readjust, compromise, and grant refunds and chargebacks on correction or errors/County Treasurer by: County Legislature No. 373. (Co. Exec.) <u>BUDGET</u> <u>AND FINANCE</u>

Type II Action 1553. <sup>6 NYCRR 617.5(c)</sup> <sup>(20)(27)</sup>
Amending the 2012 Adopted Operating Budget to accept and appropriate 100% Federal grant funds passed through the New York State Department of Health to the Suffolk County Department of Health Services for the Community Based HIV Primary Care Program. (Co. Exec.) <u>HEALTH</u>

Type II Action 1554. <sup>6 NYCRR 617.5(c)</sup> <sup>(20)(27)</sup> Accepting and appropriating 100% Federal funds awarded as pass-through funding by the New York State Division of Criminal Justice Services to the Suffolk County Department of Probation and authorizing the County Executive to execute related agreements. (Co. Exec.) <u>PUBLIC SAFETY</u>

Unlisted Action 1555. Sale of County-owned real estate pursuant to Local Law No. 13-1976 Enrico V. Cardillo and Cathy A. Cardillo, his wife (SCTM No. 0200-559.00-01.00-033.000). (Co. Exec.) WAYS & MEANS

- Type II Action 1556. <sup>6 NYCRR 617.5(c)</sup> <sup>(20)(21)(27)</sup>
  Accepting and appropriating \$20,000 in 100% grant funding from the New York State Office of Children and Family Services under the State Quality Enhancement Fund to continue Suffolk County's participation in a Statewide Pilot Project to address Disproportionate Minority Representation (DMR) in the Child Welfare System and authorizing the County Executive and the Commissioner of Social Services to execute contracts. (Co. Exec.) <u>HUMAN SERVICES</u>
- Type II Action 1557. <sup>6 NYCRR 617.5(c)</sup> <sup>(20)(21)(27)</sup>
  Authorizing planning steps for the Acquisition of Development Rights under the Suffolk County Drinking Water Protection Program, as amended by Local Law No. 24-2007 - February 2012 - Vitti Farm property - Town of Southold (SCTM No. 1000-059.00-03.00-027.000 p/o). (Co. Exec.) <u>ENVIRONMENT, PLANNING AND</u> <u>AGRICULTURE</u>
- Type II Action1558.6 NYCRR 617.5(c)<br/>(20)(21)(27)Authorizing planning steps for the Acquisition of Development Rights under the<br/>Suffolk County Drinking Water Protection Program, as amended by Local Law No.<br/>24-2007 February 2012 Szuster Farm property Town of Brookhaven (SCTM<br/>No. 0200-500.00-01.00-001.003 p/o). (Co. Exec.)6 NYCRR 617.5(c)<br/>(20)(21)(27)Authorizing planning steps for the Acquisition of Development Rights under the<br/>Suffolk County Drinking Water Protection Program, as amended by Local Law No.<br/>24-2007 February 2012 Szuster Farm property Town of Brookhaven (SCTM<br/>No. 0200-500.00-01.00-001.003 p/o). (Co. Exec.)AND AGRICULTURE
- Type II Action 1559. <sup>6 NYCRR 617.5(c)</sup> <sup>(20)(21)(27)</sup>
  Authorizing planning steps for the Acquisition of Development Rights under the Suffolk County Drinking Water Protection Program, as amended by Local Law No. 24-2007 - February 2012 - Rosko Farms, Inc. property - Town of Southampton (SCTM Nos. 0900-158.00-02.00-015.001 and 0900-158.00-02.00-028.001). (Co. Exec.) <u>ENVIRONMENT, PLANNING AND AGRICULTURE</u>
- Type II Action 1560. <sup>6 NYCRR 617.5(c)</sup> <sup>(20)(21)(27)</sup>
  Authorizing planning steps for the Acquisition of Development Rights under the Suffolk County Drinking Water Protection Program, as amended by Local Law No. 24-2007 - February 2012 - L & L Farm LLC property - Town of Riverhead (SCTM No. 0600-061.00-01.00-007.002 p/o). (Co. Exec.) <u>ENVIRONMENT, PLANNING</u> AND AGRICULTURE

- Type II Action 1561. <sup>6 NYCRR 617.5(c)</sup> <sup>(20)(21)(27)</sup>
  Authorizing planning steps for the Acquisition of Development Rights under the Suffolk County Drinking Water Protection Program, as amended by Local Law No. 24-2007 - February 2012 - Hamlet Organic Garden property - Town of Brookhaven (SCTM No. 0200-974.20-02.00-021.001 p/o). (Co. Exec.) <u>ENVIRONMENT,</u> <u>PLANNING AND AGRICULTURE</u>
- Authorizing planning steps for the Acquisition of Development Rights under the Suffolk County Drinking Water Protection Program, as amended by Local Law No. 24-2007 February 2012 Foster Farm property Town of Southampton (SCTM No. 0908-009.00-01.00-003.000 p/o). (Co. Exec.) ENVIRONMENT, PLANNING AND AGRICULTURE
- Type II Action 1563. <sup>6 NYCRR 617.5(c)</sup> <sup>(20)(21)(27)</sup>
  Authorizing planning steps for the Acquisition of Development Rights under the Suffolk County Drinking Water Protection Program, as amended by Local Law No. 24-2007 - February 2012 - Densieski Family Farm property - Town of Southampton (SCTM Nos. 0900-249.00-01.00-010.001 p/o and 0900-288.00-01.00-001.000 p/o). (Co. Exec.) <u>ENVIRONMENT, PLANNING AND AGRICULTURE</u>
- Type II Action 1564. <sup>6 NYCRR 617.5(c)</sup> <sup>(20)(21)(27)</sup>
  Authorizing planning steps for the Acquisition of Development Rights under the Suffolk County Drinking Water Protection Program, as amended by Local Law No. 24-2007 - February 2012 - DeLea Sod Farm - Town of Huntington (SCTM No. 0400-168.00-03.00-041.003 p/o). (Co. Exec.) <u>ENVIRONMENT, PLANNING AND</u> <u>AGRICULTURE</u>
- Type II Action 1565. <sup>6 NYCRR 617.5(c)</sup> <sup>(20)(21)(27)</sup>
  Authorizing planning steps for the Acquisition of Development Rights under the Suffolk County Drinking Water Protection Program, as amended by Local Law No. 24-2007 - February 2012 - Edward and Helen Corrigan Farm property - Town of Southampton (SCTM Nos. 0900-158.00-02.00-033.00 and 0904-001.00-03.00-085.001). (Co. Exec.) ENVIRONMENT, PLANNING AND AGRICULTURE
- Type II Action 1566. <sup>6 NYCRR 617.5(c)</sup> <sup>(20)(27)</sup>
  Authorizing the inclusion of new parcels into existing certified agricultural districts in the County of Suffolk. (Co. Exec.) <u>ENVIRONMENT, PLANNING AND</u> <u>AGRICULTURE</u>
- SEQRA<br/>Complete1567.Calling for a public hearing for the purpose of considering proposed increases and<br/>improvements of facilities (Phase 2A) for Sewer District No. 21 SUNY (CP 8121).<br/>(Co. Exec.) PUBLIC WORKS AND TRANSPORTATION
- Type II Action 1568. 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (15)(20)(27) Authorizing use of Cedar Beach County Park by Event Power for its Mighty North Fork Triathlon Fundraiser. (Co. Exec.) <u>PARKS & RECREATION</u>

Type II Action 1569. <sup>6 NYCRR 617.5(c)</sup> <sup>(15)(20)(27)</sup>
Authorizing use of the Long Island Maritime Museum by the Cystic Fibrosis Foundation for their annual "Sayville Run/Walk & Barbeque" Fundraiser. (Co. Exec.) <u>PARKS & RECREATION</u>

- Type II Action 1570. <sup>6 NYCRR 617.5(c)</sup> <sup>(15)(20)(27)</sup> Authorizing use of the Long Island Maritime Museum by the Rotary Club of Sayville for Annual Beefsteak Fundraiser. (Co. Exec.) <u>PARKS & RECREATION</u>
- Type II Action 1571. <sup>6 NYCRR 617.5(c)</sup> <sup>(15)(20)(27)</sup>
  Authorizing use of Cathedral Pines County Park by the League for Animal Protection of Huntington for its "Ride Laps For L.A.P." Fundraiser. (Co. Exec.) <u>PARKS & RECREATION</u>

Type II Action <b>1572.</b> 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(27)	Approving the reappointment of Scott Thebold as a member of the Suffolk County Fire, Rescue and Emergency Services Commission. (Co. Exec.) <u>PUBLIC SAFETY</u>
Type II Action <b>1573.</b> 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(27)	Approving the appointment of Ryan J. Murphy as a member of the Suffolk County Fire, Rescue and Emergency Services Commission. (Co. Exec.) <u>PUBLIC SAFETY</u>
Type II Action <b>1574.</b> 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(27)	Approving the appointment of Timothy Crafts as a member of the Suffolk County Fire, Rescue and Emergency Services Commission. (Co. Exec.) <u>PUBLIC SAFETY</u>
Type II Action <b>1575.</b> 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(27)	Approving the appointment of Arthur P. Bloom as a member of the Suffolk County Fire, Rescue and Emergency Services Commission. (Co. Exec.) <u>PUBLIC SAFETY</u>
Type II Action <b>1576.</b> 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(27)	Approving the reappointment of Vincent Bologna Jr. as a member of the Suffolk County Fire, Rescue and Emergency Services Commission. (Co. Exec.) <u>PUBLIC</u> <u>SAFETY</u>
Type II Action <b>1577.</b> 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(27)	Adopting Local Law No2012, A Local Law to require public notification of sewage contamination in Suffolk County. (Romaine) <u>HEALTH</u>
Type II Action <b>1578.</b> 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(27)	Establishing a Long-Term Pediatric Care Task Force in Suffolk County. (Romaine) <u>HEALTH</u>
Type II Action <b>1579.</b> 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(27)	Amending Resolution No. 366-2012, requiring health insurance contributions by exempt employees. (Cilmi) <u>GOVERNMENT OPERATIONS, PERSONNEL, HOUSING &amp; CONSUMER PROTECTION</u>
Type II Action <b>1580.</b> 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(27)	Authorizing elected officials to make immediate contribution for health insurance. (Cilmi) <u>GOVERNMENT OPERATIONS, PERSONNEL, HOUSING &amp; CONSUMER</u> <u>PROTECTION</u>
Type II Action <b>1581.</b> 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(27)	Amending the Suffolk County Classification and Salary Plan in connection with a new position title in the Board of Ethics: Executive Director of the Suffolk County Board of Ethics. (Pres. Off.) <u>GOVERNMENT OPERATIONS, PERSONNEL,</u> HOUSING & CONSUMER PROTECTION
Type II Action <b>1582.</b> 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(27)	Approving the reappointment of Benjamin J. Luft, MD, as a member of the Suffolk County Board of Health. (Pres. Off.) <u>HEALTH</u>
Type II Action <b>1583.</b> 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(27)	Tax Anticipation Note Resolution No2012, Resolution delegating to the County Comptroller the powers to authorize the issuance of not to exceed \$105,000,000 Tax Anticipation Notes of the County of Suffolk, New York, in anticipation of the collection of taxes levied for County purposes or returned to the County for collection for the fiscal years commencing January 1, 2009, 2010, 2011 and 2012, and to prescribe the terms, form and contents, and provide for the sale and credit enhancement of such notes. (Co. Exec.) <u>BUDGET AND FINANCE</u>
Type II Action <b>1584.</b> 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (1)(2)(20)(27)	Amending the 2012 Capital Budget and Program and appropriating funds in connection with Emergency Repairs to Various Bridges and Embankments (CP 5850). (Co. Exec.) <u>**ADOPTED WITH C/N 6/5/2012**</u>
Type II Action <b>1585.</b> 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(27)	Amending the 2012 Operating Budget to reduce firearms laboratory backlog. (Kennedy) <u>BUDGET AND FINANCE</u>

Type II Action 1586. Adopting Local Law No. -2012, A Charter Law to ensure the independence and integrity of the County Ethics Process. (Romaine) <u>WAYS & MEANS</u>

Type II Action 1587. Amending the 2012 Operating Budget to preserve Suffolk County's ability to investigate and control pollution. (Romaine) <u>BUDGET AND FINANCE</u>

Type II Action 1588. Amending the 2012 Operating Budget to restore certain County positions. (Romaine) BUDGET AND FINANCE

<sup>NYS is SEQRA</sup> 1589. <sup>Lead Agency</sup> 1589. Amending the 2012 Capital Budget and Program and appropriating funds in connection with dredging of County waters (CP 5200). (Pres. Off.) <u>PUBLIC</u> WORKS AND TRANSPORTATION

Type II Action1590.6 NYCRR 617.5(c)<br/>(20)(27)Amending the 2012 Operating Budget and restoring one heavy equipment operator<br/>position in the Department of Public Works. (Muratore)BUDGET AND FINANCE

Type II Action 1591. Amending the 2012 Operating Budget and restoring one Senior Neighborhood <sup>6 NYCRR 617.5(c)</sup> Aide position in the Office for the Aging. (Muratore) <u>BUDGET AND FINANCE</u>

Type II Action 1592. <sup>6 NYCRR 617.5(c)</sup> <sup>(20)(27)</sup>
Amending the 2012 Operating Budget to restore the positions, salaries and benefits for the Department of Social Services Security Guards. (Muratore) <u>BUDGET AND FINANCE</u>

Type II Action 1593. 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(26)(27) Authorizing extension of lease of the Long Wharf (CR 81) with the Village of Sag Harbor. (Schneiderman) <u>WAYS & MEANS</u>

Type II Action 1594. <sup>6 NYCRR 617.5(c)</sup> <sup>(20)(27)</sup> Amending the 2012 Operating Budget to fully collect and account for revenue received by the Suffolk County Department of Health Services. (Kennedy) <u>BUDGET AND FINANCE</u>

Type II Action 1595. Amending the 2012 Operating Budget and restoring certain positions in the Department of Public Works. (Kennedy) <u>BUDGET AND FINANCE</u>

Type II Action 1596. <sup>6 NYCRR 617.5(c)</sup> <sup>(20)(27)</sup>
Amending the 2012 Operating Budget to restore positions in the Emergency Medical Services Division of the Department of Health Services. (Kennedy) <u>BUDGET AND FINANCE</u>

Type II Action 1597. <sup>6 NYCRR 617.5(c)</sup> <sup>(18)(20)(21)(27)</sup>
Amending the 2012 Capital Budget and Program and appropriating funds in connection with road improvements for CR 83 from the vicinity of CR 16 to the vicinity of NYS RT25, Town of Brookhaven; PIN OT2465 (CP 5548). (Muratore) PUBLIC WORKS AND TRANSPORTATION

Type II Action 1598. Directing a Cost/Benefit Analysis of sale of Kermit W. Graf Building. <sup>6 NYCRR 617.5(c)</sup> <sup>(20)(21)(27)</sup> (Schneiderman) <u>WAYS & MEANS</u>

Type II Action 1599. <sup>6 NYCRR 617.5(c)</sup> <sup>(20)(27)</sup>
Approving the appointment of a relative of an Acting Supreme Court Judge in the Suffolk County Treasurer's Office (Emily E. Hudson). (Pres. Off.) <u>GOVERNMENT</u> <u>OPERATIONS, PERSONNEL, HOUSING & CONSUMER PROTECTION</u>

Type II Action 1600. Declaring May as "Speech and Hearing Awareness Month" in Suffolk County. (20)(27) HEALTH

Type II Action <b>1601.</b> 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(27)	Adopting Local Law No2012, A Local Law to update the County's Domestic Partnership Registry. (Spencer) <u>WAYS &amp; MEANS</u>
Type II Action <b>1602.</b> 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(27)	Adopting Local Law No2012, A Local Law to update and strengthen the County's Responsible Bidder Statute. (Spencer) <u>GOVERNMENT OPERATIONS,</u> <u>PERSONNEL, HOUSING &amp; CONSUMER PROTECTION</u>
Type II Action <b>1603.</b> 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(27)	Establish a Voluntary Wage Freeze Program for elected officials. (Horsley) <u>GOVERNMENT OPERATIONS, PERSONNEL, HOUSING &amp; CONSUMER</u> <u>PROTECTION</u>
Completes 1604. SEQRA	Making a SEQRA determination in connection with the proposed improvements to Sewer District No. 5 - Strathmore Huntington (CP 8115), Town of Huntington. (Pres. Off.) <u>ENVIRONMENT, PLANNING AND AGRICULTURE</u>
Completes 1605. SEQRA	Making a SEQRA determination in connection with the proposed improvements to Sewer District No. 7 - Medford (CP 8150), Town of Brookhaven. (Pres. Off.) <u>ENVIRONMENT, PLANNING AND AGRICULTURE</u>
Completes 1606. SEQRA	Making a SEQRA determination in connection with the proposed improvements to Sewer District No. 10 - Stony Brook (CP 8175), Town of Brookhaven. (Pres. Off.) <u>ENVIRONMENT, PLANNING AND AGRICULTURE</u>
Completes 1607. SEQRA	Making a SEQRA determination in connection with the proposed improvements to Sewer District No. 11 - Selden (CP 8117), Town of Brookhaven. (Pres. Off.) <u>ENVIRONMENT, PLANNING AND AGRICULTURE</u>
Completes 1608. SEQRA	Making a SEQRA determination in connection with the proposed rehabilitation of Browns Creek Bridge (CP 5850), Town of Islip. (Pres. Off.) <u>ENVIRONMENT, PLANNING AND AGRICULTURE</u>
Completes 1609. SEQRA	Making a SEQRA determination in connection with the proposed median improvements to CR 46 - William Floyd Parkway (CP 5116), Town of Brookhaven. (Pres. Off.) <u>ENVIRONMENT, PLANNING AND AGRICULTURE</u>
Type II Action <b>1610.</b> 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(27)	Directing the Department of Public Works to implement bus fare reduction for veterans. (Muratore) PUBLIC WORKS AND TRANSPORTATION
Type II Action <b>1611.</b> 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(25)(27)	Amending the 2012 Operating Budget to purchase Emergency Integrated Lifesaving Lanyard (EMILY) units. (Romaine) <u>BUDGET AND FINANCE</u>
SEQRA 1612. Complete	Amending the 2012 Operating Budget, transferring Assessment Stabilization Reserve Funds to the Capital Fund, and appropriating funds in connection with improvements to Suffolk County Sewer District No. 9 – College Park (CP 8163). (Co. Exec.) <u>PUBLIC WORKS AND TRANSPORTATION</u>
Type II Action 1613. 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(27)	Approving the reappointment of Michele T. Del Monte as a member of the Suffolk County Human Rights Commission. (Co. Exec.) <u>PUBLIC SAFETY</u>
Type II Action <b>1614.</b> 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(27)	Approving the reappointment of Rabbi Steven A. Moss as Chair of the Suffolk County Human Rights Commission. (Co. Exec.) <u>PUBLIC SAFETY</u>

Type II Action 1615. 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(27)	Approving the reappointment of Lynda Perdomo-Ayala as a member of the Suffolk County Human Rights Commission. (Co. Exec.) <u>PUBLIC SAFETY</u>
Type II Action <b>1616.</b> 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(27)	Approving the reappointment of Rabbi Steven A. Moss as a member of the Suffolk County Human Rights Commission. (Co. Exec.) <u>PUBLIC SAFETY</u>
Type II Action <b>1617.</b> 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(27)	Approving the reappointment of Carolyn G. Peabody as a member of the Suffolk County Human Rights Commission. (Co. Exec.) <u>PUBLIC SAFETY</u>
Type II Action <b>1618.</b> 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(27)	Approving the appointment of Luis E. Rodriguez, Esq. as a member of the Suffolk County Human Rights Commission. (Co. Exec.) <u>PUBLIC SAFETY</u>
Type II Action <b>1619.</b> 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(25)(27)	Amending the 2012 Operating Budget, transferring Assessment Stabilization Reserve Funds to the Capital Fund, and appropriating funds for safety and security improvements for Sanitary Facilities in Suffolk County Sewer Districts (CP 8103). (Co. Exec.) <u>PUBLIC WORKS AND TRANSPORTATION</u>
Type II Action <b>1620.</b> 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(27)	Authorizing the County Comptroller and the County Treasurer to transfer funds to cover salaries for interim positions authorized in the Adopted 2012 Discretionary Budget. (Co. Exec.) <u>BUDGET AND FINANCE</u>
Type II Action <b>1621.</b> 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(27)	Authorizing the County Comptroller and the County Treasurer to transfer funds to cover salaries for interim positions authorized in the Adopted 2012 Mandated Budget from the 2012 Adopted Discretionary Budget. (Co. Exec.) <u>BUDGET AND FINANCE</u>
Type II Action <b>1622.</b> 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(27)	Adopting Local Law No2012, A Local Law to further regulate utility poles on County road right-of-ways. (Schneiderman) <u>PUBLIC WORKS AND</u> TRANSPORTATION
Type II Action <b>1623.</b> 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(27)	Bond Resolution of the County of Suffolk, New York, amending Bond Resolution No. 1147-2011 heretofore adopted on December 20, 2011, authorizing the issuance of \$50,000 bonds to finance a part of the cost of improvements to newly acquired parkland (CP 7145.311). (Co. Exec.) <u>**ADOPTED ON 6/5/2012**</u>
Type II Action <b>1624.</b> 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(27)	Bond Resolution of the County of Suffolk, New York, amending Bond Resolution No. 1151-2011 heretofore adopted on December 20, 2011, authorizing the issuance of \$50,000 bonds to finance the cost of improvements to water supply systems in County Parks (CP 7184.311). (Co. Exec.) <u>**ADOPTED ON 6/5/2012**</u>
Type II Action <b>1625.</b> 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (18)(20)(25)(27)	Amending the 2012 Adopted Operating Budget to accept and appropriating funds from the Suffolk County Water Authority (SCWA) to Suffolk County Department of Health Services for the provision of well drilling services. (Co. Exec.) <u>ENVIRONMENT, PLANNING AND AGRICULTURE</u>
Type II Action <b>1626</b> . 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (1)(2)(20)(25)(27)	Amending the 2012 Capital Budget and Program and appropriating funds in connection with roof replacement on various County buildings (CP 1623). (Co. Exec.) <u>PUBLIC WORKS AND TRANSPORTATION</u>

- Type II Action 1627. <sup>6 NYCRR 617.5(c)</sup> <sup>(20)(25)(27)</sup> Accepting and appropriating 100% Federal pass-through grant funds from the NYS Division of Homeland Security and Emergency Services in the amount of \$1,169,092 for the "State Homeland Security Program (SHSP) FY2011" administered by the Suffolk County Department of Fire, Rescue and Emergency Services and to execute grant related agreements. (Co. Exec.) <u>PUBLIC SAFETY</u>
- Type II Action 1628. <sup>6 NYCRR 617.5(c)</sup> <sup>(20)(25)(27)</sup>
  Accepting and appropriating 100% Federal pass-through grant funds from the NYS Division of Homeland Security and Emergency Services in the amount of \$2,247,291 for the "Urban Area Security Initiative (UASI) FY2011" administered by the Suffolk County Department of Fire, Rescue and Emergency Services and to execute grant related agreements. (Co. Exec.) <u>PUBLIC SAFETY</u>
- SEQRA Complete
  1629. Deleting and replacing certain previously approved maps and adding an "A" Map regarding the acquisition of lands pursuant to the New York State Eminent Domain Procedure Law, in connection with the acquisition of properties for the reconstruction of CR 3, Pinelawn Road/Wellwood Avenue at Colonial Springs Road, Towns of Babylon and Huntington, Suffolk County, New York (CP 5510). (Co. Exec.) <u>PUBLIC WORKS AND TRANSPORTATION</u>
- Type II Action 1630. <sup>6 NYCRR 617.5(c)</sup> <sup>(20)(25)(27)</sup>
  Amending the 2012 Capital Budget and Program and appropriating funds in connection with the purchase of Compressed Natural Gas (CNG) vehicles of various models for County Fleet and accepting Federal Aid (CP 5602, PIN 082636). (Co. Exec.) <u>PUBLIC WORKS AND TRANSPORTATION</u>
- <sup>SEQRA</sup> Complete 1631. Amending the 2012 Capital Budget and Program and appropriating funds in connection with acquisition of lands for the reconstruction of CR 16, Portion Road from Ronkonkoma Avenue to CR 97, Nicolls Road, Town of Brookhaven (CP 5511, PIN 075598). (Co. Exec.) <u>PUBLIC WORKS AND TRANSPORTATION</u>
- Type II Action 1632. <sup>6 NYCRR 617.5(c)</sup> <sup>(20)(25)(27)</sup>
  Accepting and appropriating a grant in the amount of \$100,000 in Federal passthrough funds from the New York State Division of Homeland Security and Emergency Services for the 2010 Bomb Squad Initiative Program with 100% support. (Co. Exec.) PUBLIC SAFETY
- Type II Action 1633. Authorizing use of property at Francis S. Gabreski Airport by the Kiwanis Club of Greater Westhampton. (Co. Exec.) <u>ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND ENERGY</u>
- Type II Action 1634. <sup>6 NYCRR 617.5(c)</sup> <sup>(20)(27)</sup>
  Authorizing a lease agreement with Zoom Taxi and Transportation, LLC for use of property at Francis S. Gabreski Airport. (Co. Exec.) <u>ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT</u> <u>AND ENERGY</u>

Type II Action 1635. 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) Further strengthening procedures for procuring consultant services. (Kennedy) (20)(27) WAYS & MEANS

N/A 1636. VOID

- Type II Action 1637. 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(27) Appointing member of the Suffolk County Board of Ethics (Robin L. Long). (Co. Exec.) WAYS & MEANS
- Type II Action 1638. 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(27) Authorizing an amendment of the Second Ballpark License Management and Operations Agreement between the Long Island Ducks Professional Baseball Club. LLC and the County of Suffolk. (Co. Exec.) WAYS & MEANS

Type II Action 1639. <sup>6 NYCRR 617.5(c)</sup> <sup>(20)(27)</sup>
Amending the 2012 Operating Budget to preserve Suffolk County's ability to conduct and evaluate groundwater investigations. (Co. Exec.) <u>\*\*ADOPTED WITH</u> <u>C/N 6/5/2012\*\*</u>

<sup>Type II Action</sup> 1640. <sup>6 NYCRR 617.5(c)</sup> <sup>(20)(27)</sup>
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(20)(27)
(2

Unlisted Action 1641. Authorizing the sale, pursuant to Local Law No. 16-1976, of real property acquired under Section 46 of the Suffolk County Tax Act 428 Route 25A Realty Corp. by Daniel Carr, President (SCTM No. 0200-098.00-07.00-004.000). (Co. Exec.) WAYS & MEANS

Type II Action 1642. Approving the appointment of Luis Valenzuela, Ph.D. as a member of the Suffolk County Human Rights Commission. (Co. Exec.) <u>PUBLIC SAFETY</u>

#### **PROCEDURAL MOTION**

Type II Action PM.11 <sup>6 NYCRR 617.5(c)</sup> <sup>(20)(27)</sup>
To set a public hearing for the inclusion of new parcels into existing Agricultural Districts in the Towns of Brookhaven, East Hampton, Huntington and Southold. (Pres. Off.) \*\*ADOPTED ON 6/5/2012\*\*

#### HOME RULE

Type II Action HR.13 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(27) Requesting the State of New York to enact amendment to the Retirement and Social Security Law, in relation to performance of duty disability retirement allowance for Deputy Sheriffs employed by Suffolk County. (Barraga) <u>\*\*TABLED</u> TO JUNE 19, 2012\*\*

# COUNTY OF SUFFOLK



**COUNTY EXECUTIVE** 

#### DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND PLANNING DIVISION OF PLANNING AND ENVIRONMENT

COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

**GLORIA RUSSO** CHAIRPERSON CEQ

MICHAEL MULÉ **ENVIRONMENTAL PROJECTS** COORDINATOR

#### **MEMORANDUM**

TO:	Honorable Steven Bellone, Suffolk County Executive
	Honorable William J. Lindsay, Presiding Officer

FROM: Gloria Russo, Chairperson

DATE: June 28, 2012

RE: CEQ Review of the Recommended SEQRA Classifications of Legislative Resolutions Laid on the Table June 19, 2012

At its June 20, 2012 meeting, the CEQ reviewed the above referenced matter. Pursuant to Chapter 450 of the Suffolk County Code, and based on the information received, the Council recommends to the Suffolk County Legislature and County Executive in CEQ Resolution No.41-2012, a copy of which is attached, that the enclosed list of legislative resolutions laid on the table June 19, 2012, be classified pursuant to SEQRA as so indicated in the left hand margin. The majority of the proposed resolutions are Type II actions pursuant to the appropriate section of Title 6 NYCRR Part 617.5, with no further environmental review necessary. Unlisted and Type I actions require that the initiating unit of County government prepare an Environmental Assessment Form (EAF) and submit it to the CEQ for further SEQRA review and recommendations.

Enclosed for your information is a copy of CEQ Resolution No. 41-2012 setting forth the Council's recommendations along with the associated list of legislative resolutions. If the Council can be of further help in this matter, please let us know.

MPM/cd

Enc.

cc: All Suffolk County Legislators

Tim Laube, Clerk of Legislature

George Nolan, Attorney for the Legislature Sarah Lansdale, Director of Planning Dennis Cohen, Suffolk County Attorney

#### CEQ RESOLUTION NO. 41-2012, RECOMMENDATION CONCERNING SEQRA CLASSIFICATIONS OF LEGISLATIVE RESOLUTIONS LAID ON THE TABLE JUNE 19, 2012 PURSUANT TO CHAPTER 450 OF THE SUFFOLK COUNTY CODE

**WHEREAS,** the legislative packet regarding resolutions laid on the table June 19, 2012 has been received in the CEQ office; and

**WHEREAS,** staff has preliminarily reviewed the proposed resolutions and recommended SEQRA classifications; now, therefore, be it

1<sup>st</sup> **RESOLVED**, that in the judgment of the CEQ, based on the information received and presented, a quorum of the Council recommends to the Suffolk County Legislature and County Executive, pursuant to Chapter 450 of the Suffolk County Code, that the attached list of actions and projects be classified by the Legislature and County Executive pursuant to SEQRA as so indicated.

### RECORD OF CEQ RESOLUTION VOTES

CEQ APPOINTED MEMBERS		NAY	ABSTAIN	NOT PRESENT	RECUSED
James Bagg					
Eva Growney	$\boxtimes$				
Thomas C. Gulbransen				$\boxtimes$	
Hon. Kara Hahn	$\boxtimes$				
Michael Kaufman	$\boxtimes$				
Richard Machtay	$\boxtimes$				
Daniel Pichney	$\boxtimes$				
Gloria G. Russo	$\boxtimes$				
Mary Ann Spencer				$\boxtimes$	
Larry Swanson	$\boxtimes$				
CAC REPRESENTATIVES					

Motion: Ms. Growney Second: Mr. Kaufman

Further information may be obtained by contacting:

# LAID ON THE TABLE JUNE 19, 2012

LADS REPORT PREPARED BY: Michele Gerardi

Type II Action 1643. Appropriating funds in connection with the purchase of sewer facility maintenance equipment (CP 8164). (Co. Exec.) <u>PUBLIC WORKS AND TRANSPORTATION</u>

- <sup>Unlisted Action</sup> 1644. Authorizing the sale, pursuant to Local Law No. 16-1976, of real property acquired under Section 46 of the Suffolk County Tax Act Anthony Fowora and Natalie Fowora, his wife (SCTM No. 0500-099.00-01.00-013.000). (Co. Exec.) <u>WAYS & MEANS</u>
- Unlisted Action 1645. Authorizing the sale, pursuant to Local Law No. 16-1976, of real property acquired under Section 46 of the Suffolk County Tax Act Joseph Pan and Barbara Pan, his wife (SCTM No. 0200-597.00-04.00-019.000). (Co. Exec.) WAYS & MEANS
- Type II Action 1646. <sup>6 NYCRR 617.5(c)</sup> <sup>(20)(27)</sup>
  Authorizing an Intermunicipal Agreement with the Town of Islip for use of a town recharge basin in the vicinity of CR 7, Wicks Road and Williams Street, Town of Islip. (Co. Exec.) <u>PUBLIC WORKS AND TRANSPORTATION</u>
- Type II Action 1647. <sup>6 NYCRR 617.5(c)</sup> Authorizing certain technical corrections to Adopted Resolution No. 71-2012. (Co. (20)(27) WAYS & MEANS
- Unlisted Action 1648. Authorizing the sale, pursuant to Local Law No. 16-1976, of real property acquired under Section 46 of the Suffolk County Tax Act Gina Jensen and Sean Jensen, her husband (SCTM No. 0200-077.00-07.00-073.002). (Co. Exec.) WAYS & MEANS
- Unlisted Action 1649. Authorizing the sale, pursuant to Local Law No. 16-1976, of real property acquired under Section 46 of the Suffolk County Tax Act Daniel Sheen (SCTM No. 0900-094.00-03.00-010.000). (Co. Exec.) WAYS & MEANS
- Unlisted Action 1650. Authorizing the sale, pursuant to Local Law No. 16-1976, of real property acquired under Section 46 of the Suffolk County Tax Act Ronald Postiglione (SCTM No. 0200-646.00-01.00-008.000). (Co. Exec.) WAYS & MEANS
- Unlisted Action 1651. Authorizing the sale, pursuant to Local Law No. 16-1976, of real property acquired under Section 46 of the Suffolk County Tax Act Arlene Mary Loughlin (SCTM No. 0200-441.00-03.00-025.000). (Co. Exec.) WAYS & MEANS
- Unlisted Action 1652. Sale of County-owned real estate pursuant to Section 72-h of the General Municipal Law Town of Brookhaven (SCTM No. 0200-958.00-06.00-004.000). (Co. Exec.) WAYS & MEANS
- Unlisted Action 1653. Sale of County-owned real estate pursuant to Section 72-h of the General Municipal Law Town of Brookhaven (SCTM No. 0200-921.00-03.00-047.000). (Co. Exec.) <u>WAYS & MEANS</u>
- Unlisted Action 1654. Authorizing the sale, pursuant to Local Law No. 16-1976, of real property acquired under Section 46 of the Suffolk County Tax Act Veronica Bripernauth (SCTM No. 0200-823.00-03.00-041.000). (Co. Exec.) WAYS & MEANS

- Unlisted Action 1655. Authorizing the sale, pursuant to Local Law No. 16-1976, of real property acquired under Section 46 of the Suffolk County Tax Act Georgette Pierce (SCTM No. 0900-161.00-02.00-031.000). (Co. Exec.) WAYS & MEANS
- Unlisted Action 1656. Authorizing the sale, pursuant to Local Law No. 16-1976, of real property acquired under Section 46 of the Suffolk County Tax Act Pro K Builders, By Robert Kaiser, President (SCTM No. 0200-030.00-08.00-065.000). (Co. Exec.) WAYS & MEANS
- Type II Action 1657. Amending Adopted Resolution No. 912-2011, amending the Adopted 2011 <sup>6 NYCRR 617.5(c)</sup> <sup>(20)(27)</sup> Operating Budget to transfer funds from Fund 477 Water Quality Protection, amending the 2011 Capital Budget and Program, and appropriating funds in connection with the Pharmaceutical Disposal and Aquifer Protection Initiative (CP 8710.134). (Co. Exec.) ENVIRONMENT, PLANNING AND AGRICULTURE
- Type II Action 1658. <sup>6 NYCRR 617.5(c)</sup> <sup>(20)(25)(27)</sup>
  Approving the purchase of vehicles and appropriating funds in connection with the purchase of heavy duty equipment for County parks (CP 7011). (Co. Exec.) <u>PARKS & RECREATION</u>
- Type II Action 1659. Authorizing certain technical corrections to Adopted Resolution No. 924-2008. (Co. Exec.) <u>WAYS & MEANS</u>
- Type II Action 1660. <sup>6 NYCRR 617.5(c)</sup> <sup>(20)(27)</sup>
  To readjust, compromise, and grant refunds and charge-backs on real property correction of errors by: County Legislature (Control No. 895-2012). (Co. Exec.) <u>BUDGET AND FINANCE</u>
- Type II Action 1661. <sup>6 NYCRR 617.5(c)</sup> <sup>(20)(27)</sup>
  To readjust, compromise, and grant refunds and charge-backs on real property correction of errors by: County Legislature (Control No. 896-2012). (Co. Exec.) <u>BUDGET AND FINANCE</u>
- Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(27) Authorizing certain technical correction to Adopted Resolution No. 416-2012. (Co. Exec.) WAYS & MEANS
- Type II Action 1663. Appropriating funds in connection with the acquisition of a Disaster Recovery Project (CP 1729). (Co. Exec.) EDUCATION AND INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY
- Type II Action 1664. Authorizing transfer of the TWA Flight 800 Crew Memorial to the TWA Museum, <sup>6 NYCRR 617.5(c)</sup> <sup>(15)(20)(27)</sup> Kansas City, Missouri. (Co. Exec.) <u>PARKS & RECREATION</u>
- Type II Action 1665. <sup>6 NYCRR 617.5(c)</sup> <sup>(20)(25)(27)</sup>
  Approving an increase in the fleet of the Suffolk County Department of Fire, Rescue and Emergency Services funded through the Urban Area Security Initiative (UASI) FFY2010 grant. (Co. Exec.) <u>PUBLIC SAFETY</u>
- Type II Action 1666. <sup>6 NYCRR 617.5(c)</sup> <sup>(20)(25)(27)</sup>
  Transferring Assessment Stabilization Reserve Funds to the Capital Fund, and appropriating funds for a SCADA (Surveillance, Control And Data Acquisition) system for sanitary facilities in Suffolk County Sewer Districts (CP 8165). (Co. Exec.) <u>PUBLIC WORKS AND TRANSPORTATION</u>
- Unlisted Action 1667. Sale of County-owned real estate pursuant to Local Law No. 13-1976 Winston S. Watson and Vashti P. Watson, his wife (SCTM No. 0100-056.00-02.00-081.000). (Co. Exec.) WAYS & MEANS

- Unlisted Action 1668. Authorizing the sale, pursuant to Local Law No. 16-1976, of real property acquired under Section 46 of the Suffolk County Tax Act Ekaterina Sioutopoulos (SCTM No. 0500-382.00-08.00-024.000). (Co. Exec.) WAYS & MEANS
- Unlisted Action 1669. Authorizing the sale, pursuant to Local Law No. 16-1976, of real property acquired under Section 46 of the Suffolk County Tax Act Nowsherwan Khan (SCTM No. 0200-648.00-02.00-025.001). (Co. Exec.) WAYS & MEANS
- Unlisted Action 1670. Authorizing the sale, pursuant to Local Law No. 16-1976, of real property acquired under Section 46 of the Suffolk County Tax Act Schoolhouse Management, Inc. (SCTM No. 0200-902.00-03.00-013.000). (Co. Exec.) <u>WAYS & MEANS</u>
- Unlisted Action 1671. Authorizing the sale, pursuant to Local Law No. 16-1976, of real property acquired under Section 46 of the Suffolk County Tax Act Hilary S. Smalling III (SCTM No. 0500-294.00-02.00-106.000). (Co. Exec.) WAYS & MEANS
- Unlisted Action 1672. Authorizing the sale, pursuant to Local Law No. 16-1976, of real property acquired under Section 46 of the Suffolk County Tax Act Elizabeth Mae Laster (SCTM Nos. 0400-098.00-02.00-060.001 and 0400-098.00-02.00-060.002). (Co. Exec.) <u>WAYS & MEANS</u>
- Unlisted Action 1673. Authorizing the sale, pursuant to Local Law No. 16-1976, of real property acquired under Section 46 of the Suffolk County Tax Act Carol Fitzsimmons (SCTM No. 0100-191.01-01.00-216.000). (Co. Exec.) WAYS & MEANS
- Unlisted Action 1674. Authorizing the sale, pursuant to Local Law No. 16-1976, of real property acquired under Section 46 of the Suffolk County Tax Act Marcia L. Jabick (SCTM No. 0100-067.01-01.00-023.000). (Co. Exec.) WAYS & MEANS
- Unlisted Action 1675. Authorizing the sale, pursuant to Local Law No. 16-1976, of real property acquired under Section 46 of the Suffolk County Tax Act Carol Usher and Andrew D. Usher (SCTM Nos. 0100-170.00-02.00-133.000 and 0103-001.00-02.00-030.000). (Co. Exec.) WAYS & MEANS
- Type II Action 1676. Appropriating funds for the purchase of equipment for Medical, Legal Investigations and Forensic Sciences (CP 1132) and to approve the purchase of one (1) replacement vehicle in accordance with Section (B)(6) of the Suffolk County Code and in accordance with the County Vehicle Standard. (Co. Exec.) HEALTH
- Type II Action 1677. Appropriating funds for the study and monitoring of Public Health Related Harmful <sup>6 NYCRR 617.5(c)</sup>
  <sup>(20)(21)(27)</sup>
  Algal Blooms (CP 8224). (Co. Exec.) <u>ENVIRONMENT, PLANNING AND</u> <u>AGRICULTURE</u>
- Type II Action 1678. <sup>6 NYCRR 617.5(c)</sup> <sup>(20)(27)</sup>
  Accepting and appropriating additional funds for a 100% State funded Summer Youth Employment Program. (Co. Exec.) <u>GOVERNMENT OPERATIONS</u>, <u>PERSONNEL, HOUSING & CONSUMER PROTECTION</u>
- Type II Action 1679. <sup>6 NYCRR 617.5(c)</sup> <sup>(20)(27)</sup>
  Authorizing execution of an Intermunicipal Agreement pursuant to §§ 119-0 with the Town of Southampton. (Co. Exec.) <u>EDUCATION AND INFORMATION</u> <u>TECHNOLOGY</u>

Type II Action 1680. <sup>6 NYCRR 617.5(c)</sup> <sup>(20)(27)</sup>
Approving the appointment of Robert S. DeLuca to the Gabreski Airport Conservation and Assessment Panel. (Co. Exec.) <u>ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT</u> <u>AND ENERGY</u>

Type II Action 1681. <sup>6 NYCRR 617.5(c)</sup> <sup>(20)(27)</sup>
Approving the appointment of Herman J. Beck to the Gabreski Airport Conservation and Assessment Panel. (Co. Exec.) <u>ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT</u> <u>AND ENERGY</u>

Type II Action<br/>6 NYCRR 617.5(c)<br/>(20)(27)1682.Approving the appointment of Jason McMunn to the Gabreski Airport Conservation<br/>and Assessment Panel. (Co. Exec.) <a href="https://www.ec.action.com">ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND ENERGY</a>

Type II Action 1683. <sup>6 NYCRR 617.5(c)</sup> <sup>(20)(25)(27)</sup>
Amending Resolution No. 495-2011, accepting and appropriating a grant award from the National Science Foundation for an NSF Science, Technology, Engineering and Mathematics Scholarships Program (NSF STEMS II) 100% reimbursed by Federal funds at Suffolk County Community College. (Co. Exec.) EDUCATION AND INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY

Type II Action 1684. <sup>6 NYCRR 617.5(c)</sup> <sup>(20)(27)</sup>
Accepting and appropriating 100% Federal funds awarded as pass-through funding by the New York State Division of Criminal Justice Services to the Suffolk County Department of Probation and authorizing the County Executive to execute related agreements. (Co. Exec.) <u>PUBLIC SAFETY</u>

Type II Action 1685. <sup>6 NYCRR 617.5(c)</sup> <sup>(20)(27)</sup>
Adopting Local Law No. -2012, A Local Law amending Chapter 740 of the Suffolk County Code in connection with revisions to sewer use rules and regulations. (Co. Exec.) <u>PUBLIC WORKS AND TRANSPORTATION</u>

# COUNTY OF SUFFOLK



#### DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND PLANNING DIVISION OF PLANNING AND ENVIRONMENT

COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

GLORIA RUSSO CHAIRPERSON CEQ CEQ

MICHAEL MULÉ ENVIRONMENTAL PROJECTS COORDINATOR

COORDINATOR

#### MEMORANDUM

TO:	Honorable Steven Bellone, Suffolk County Executive Honorable William J. Lindsay, Presiding Officer
FROM:	Gloria Russo, Chairperson
DATE:	June 28, 2012
RE:	CEQ Review of the Proposed Sewer District #7 – Medford (Woodside) Plant Upgrade, Town of Brookhaven

At its June 20, 2012 meeting, the CEQ reviewed the above referenced matter. Pursuant to Chapter 450 of the Suffolk County Code, and based on the information received, as well as that given in a presentation by Ron Warren, Director of Operations & Maintenance with the Suffolk County Department of Public Works, the Council advises the Suffolk County Legislature and County Executive, in CEQ Resolution No. 42-2012, a copy of which is attached, that the proposed project be considered a Type II Action under SEQRA pursuant to the provisions of Title 6 NYCRR Part 617.5(c)(1)(2)(20)(21)(25)(27).

If the Legislature concurs with the Council on Environmental Quality's recommendation, the Presiding Officer should cause to be brought before the Legislature for a vote, a resolution determining that the proposed action constitutes a Type II Action pursuant to the provisions of SEQRA noted above.

Enclosed for your information is a copy of CEQ Resolution No. 42-2012 Which sets forth the Council's recommendations. The project EAF and supporting documentation can be viewed online at <u>http://www.suffolkcountyny.gov/Departments/Planning/Boards/CouncilonEnvironmentalQuali</u>ty

If the Council can be of further help in this matter, please let us know.

Enc.

cc: All Suffolk County Legislators

Tim Laube, Clerk of Legislature George Nolan, Attorney for the Legislature Sarah Lansdale, Director of Planning Dennis Cohen, Suffolk County Attorney

#### CEQ RESOLUTION NO. 42-2012, RECOMMENDATION CONCERNING A SEQRA CLASSIFICATION AND DETERMINATION FOR THE PURPOSES OF CHAPTER 450 OF THE SUFFOLK COUNTY CODE FOR THE PROPOSED SEWER DISTRICT #7 – MEDFORD (WOODSIDE) PLANT UPGRADE, TOWN OF BROOKHAVEN

WHEREAS, at its June 20, 2012 meeting, the Suffolk County Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) reviewed the EAF and associated information submitted by Suffolk County Department of Public Works; and

WHEREAS, a presentation regarding the project was given at the meeting by Ron Warren, Director Operations & Maintenance with Suffolk County Department of Public Works; and

**WHEREAS,** the project involves the upgrade and rehabilitation of the Woodside Wastewater Treatment facility including alterations to existing tanks to accommodate new treatment processes and the evaluation of new recharge facilities; now, therefore, be it

1<sup>st</sup> **RESOLVED**, that based on the information received and presented, a quorum of the CEQ hereby recommends to the Suffolk County Legislature and County Executive that the proposed activity be classified as a Type II Action pursuant to Chapter 450 of the Suffolk County Code and the provisions of Title 6 NYCRR Part 617.5(c)(1)(2)(20)(21)(25)(27), as the project involves a local legislative decision for the purchase of equipment relating to continuing agency administration for the evaluation, upgrade and rehabilitation of the Woodside Wastewater Treatment facility

### RECORD OF CEQ RESOLUTION VOTES

CEQ APPOINTED MEMBERS	AYE	NAY	ABSTAIN	NOT PRESENT	RECUSED
James Bagg	$\boxtimes$				
Eva Growney	$\boxtimes$				
Thomas C. Gulbransen				$\boxtimes$	
Hon. Kara Hahn	$\boxtimes$				
Michael Kaufman	$\boxtimes$				
Richard Machtay	$\boxtimes$				
Daniel Pichney	$\boxtimes$				
Gloria G. Russo	$\boxtimes$				
Mary Ann Spencer				$\boxtimes$	
Larry Swanson	$\times$				
CAC REPRESENTATIVES					

Recommendation: Type II Action

Motion: Mr. Kaufman Second: Ms. Growney

Further information may be obtained by contacting:

# COUNTY OF SUFFOLK



#### DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND PLANNING DIVISION OF PLANNING AND ENVIRONMENT

COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

GLORIA RUSSO CHAIRPERSON CEQ CEQ

MICHAEL MULÉ ENVIRONMENTAL PROJECTS COORDINATOR

COORDINATOR

#### MEMORANDUM

TO:	Honorable Steven Bellone, Suffolk County Executive Honorable William J. Lindsay, Presiding Officer
FROM:	Gloria Russo, Chairperson
DATE:	June 28, 2012
RE:	CEQ Review of the Proposed Sewer District #14 – Parkland, Sludge Thickening, Town of Islip

At its June 20, 2012 meeting, the CEQ reviewed the above referenced matter. Pursuant to Chapter 450 of the Suffolk County Code, and based on the information received, as well as that given in a presentation by Ron Warren, Director of Operations & Maintenance with the Suffolk County Department of Public Works, the Council advises the Suffolk County Legislature and County Executive, in CEQ Resolution No. 43-2012, a copy of which is attached, that the proposed project be considered a Type II Action under SEQRA pursuant to the provisions of Title 6 NYCRR Part 617.5(c)(1)(2)(7)(20)(21)(25)(27).

If the Legislature concurs with the Council on Environmental Quality's recommendation, the Presiding Officer should cause to be brought before the Legislature for a vote, a resolution determining that the proposed action constitutes a Type II Action pursuant to the provisions of SEQRA noted above.

Enclosed for your information is a copy of CEQ Resolution No. 43-2012 Which sets forth the Council's recommendations. The project EAF and supporting documentation can be viewed online at <u>http://www.suffolkcountyny.gov/Departments/Planning/Boards/CouncilonEnvironmentalQuali</u>ty

If the Council can be of further help in this matter, please let us know.

Enc.

cc: All Suffolk County Legislators

Tim Laube, Clerk of Legislature George Nolan, Attorney for the Legislature Sarah Lansdale, Director of Planning Dennis Cohen, Suffolk County Attorney

#### CEQ RESOLUTION NO. 43-2012, RECOMMENDATION CONCERNING A SEQRA CLASSIFICATION AND DETERMINATION FOR THE PURPOSES OF CHAPTER 450 OF THE SUFFOLK COUNTY CODE FOR THE PROPOSED SEWER DISTRICT #14 – PARKLAND, SLUDGE THICKENING, TOWN OF ISLIP

WHEREAS, at its June 20, 2012 meeting, the Suffolk County Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) reviewed the EAF and associated information submitted by Suffolk County Department of Public Works; and

WHEREAS, a presentation regarding the project was given at the meeting by Ron Warren, Director Operations & Maintenance with the Suffolk County Department of Public Works; and

WHEREAS, the project involves the installation of a sludge thickening system that will increase the solids concentratin of sludge that is transported off site. This increase in solids concentration will have a direct relationship with decreasing the amount of trucks transporting sludge through the community, creating less greenhouse gases and saving labor and equipment costs for the sewer district; now, therefore, be it

1<sup>st</sup> **RESOLVED**, that based on the information received and presented, a quorum of the CEQ hereby recommends to the Suffolk County Legislature and County Executive that the proposed activity be classified as a Type II Action pursuant to Chapter 450 of the Suffolk County Code and the provisions of Title 6 NYCRR Part 617.5(c)(1)(2)(7)(20)(21)(25)(27), as the project involves a local legislative decision concerning continuing agency administration for the purchase of equipment to maintain, repair or replace existing facilities and the planning and construction of a structure to contain them

### RECORD OF CEQ RESOLUTION VOTES

CEQ APPOINTED MEMBERS	AYE	NAY	ABSTAIN	NOT PRESENT	RECUSED
James Bagg	$\boxtimes$				
Eva Growney	$\boxtimes$				
Thomas C. Gulbransen				$\boxtimes$	
Hon. Kara Hahn	$\boxtimes$				
Michael Kaufman	$\boxtimes$				
Richard Machtay	$\boxtimes$				
Daniel Pichney	$\boxtimes$				
Gloria G. Russo	$\boxtimes$				
Mary Ann Spencer				$\boxtimes$	
Larry Swanson	$\boxtimes$				
CAC REPRESENTATIVES					

Recommendation: Type II Action

Motion: Mr. Kaufman Second: Ms. Growney

Further information may be obtained by contacting:

# COUNTY OF SUFFOLK



#### DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND PLANNING DIVISION OF PLANNING AND ENVIRONMENT

COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

GLORIA RUSSO CHAIRPERSON CEQ CEQ

MICHAEL MULÉ ENVIRONMENTAL PROJECTS COORDINATOR

COORDINATOR

#### MEMORANDUM

TO:	Honorable Steven Bellone, Suffolk County Executive Honorable William J. Lindsay, Presiding Officer
FROM:	Gloria Russo, Chairperson
DATE:	June 28, 2012
RE:	CEQ Review of the Proposed Sewer District #20 – William Floyd, Replacement of Main Pumping Station, Town of Brookhaven

At its June 20, 2012 meeting, the CEQ reviewed the above referenced matter. Pursuant to Chapter 450 of the Suffolk County Code, and based on the information received, as well as that given in a presentation by Ron Warren, Director of Operations & Maintenance with the Suffolk County Department of Public Works, the Council advises the Suffolk County Legislature and County Executive, in CEQ Resolution No. 44-2012, a copy of which is attached, that the proposed project be considered a Type II Action under SEQRA pursuant to the provisions of Title 6 NYCRR Part 617.5(c)(1)(2)(7)(20)(21)(25)(27).

If the Legislature concurs with the Council on Environmental Quality's recommendation, the Presiding Officer should cause to be brought before the Legislature for a vote, a resolution determining that the proposed action constitutes a Type II Action pursuant to the provisions of SEQRA noted above.

Enclosed for your information is a copy of CEQ Resolution No. 44-2012 Which sets forth the Council's recommendations. The project EAF and supporting documentation can be viewed online at <u>http://www.suffolkcountyny.gov/Departments/Planning/Boards/CouncilonEnvironmentalQuali</u>ty

If the Council can be of further help in this matter, please let us know.

Enc.

cc: All Suffolk County Legislators

Tim Laube, Clerk of Legislature George Nolan, Attorney for the Legislature Sarah Lansdale, Director of Planning Dennis Cohen, Suffolk County Attorney

#### CEQ RESOLUTION NO. 44-2012, RECOMMENDATION CONCERNING A SEQRA CLASSIFICATION AND DETERMINATION FOR THE PURPOSES OF CHAPTER 450 OF THE SUFFOLK COUNTY CODE FOR THE PROPOSED SEWER DISTRICT #20 – WILLIAM FLOYD, REPLACEMENT OF MAIN PUMPING STATION, TOWN OF BROOKHAVEN

WHEREAS, at its June 20, 2012 meeting, the Suffolk County Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) reviewed the EAF and associated information submitted by Suffolk County Department of Public Works; and

WHEREAS, a presentation regarding the project was given at the meeting by Ron Warren, Director Operations & Maintenance with the Suffolk County Department of Public Works; and

WHEREAS, the project involves replacement of the main pumping station which includes a wet well approximately 10 foot square by 25 foot deep with a small building containing controls and instrumentation; now, therefore, be it

 $1^{st}$  **RESOLVED**, that based on the information received and presented, a quorum of the CEQ hereby recommends to the Suffolk County Legislature and County Executive that the proposed activity be classified as a Type II Action pursuant to Chapter 450 of the Suffolk County Code and the provisions of Title 6 NYCRR Part 617.5(c)(1)(2)(7)(20)(21)(25)(27), as the project involves a local legislative decision concerning continuing agency administration for the purchase of equipment to maintain, repair or replace existing facilities and the planning and construction of a structure to contain them

### RECORD OF CEQ RESOLUTION VOTES

CEQ APPOINTED MEMBERS	AYE	NAY	ABSTAIN	NOT PRESENT	RECUSED
James Bagg	$\boxtimes$				
Eva Growney	$\boxtimes$				
Thomas C. Gulbransen				$\boxtimes$	
Hon. Kara Hahn	$\boxtimes$				
Michael Kaufman				$\boxtimes$	
Richard Machtay	$\boxtimes$				
Daniel Pichney	$\boxtimes$				
Gloria G. Russo	$\boxtimes$				
Mary Ann Spencer				$\boxtimes$	
Larry Swanson	$\boxtimes$				
CAC REPRESENTATIVES					

Recommendation: Type II Action

Motion: Mr. Machtay Second: Ms. Growney

Further information may be obtained by contacting:

#### CEQ RESOLUTION NO. 45-2012, AUTHORIZING ADOPTION OF UPDATED COUNTY ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT FORMS

**WHEREAS,** on January 25, 2012, the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation adopted revised model environmental assessment forms (EAFs) following more than one year of public review and comment; and

WHEREAS, the effective date of the new forms has been set for October 1, 2012; and

**WHEREAS**, staff has prepared updated County environmental assessment forms using the state model; and

WHEREAS, the Council on Environmental Quality has received and reviewed the updated County environmental assessment forms; now, therefore, be it

1<sup>st</sup> **RESOLVED**, that a quorum of the Council on Environmental Quality, having heard and accepted all comments and necessary corrections hereby adopts the updated County environmental assessment forms and requires all project submission to include the new forms on or before October 1, 2012

|--|

CEQ APPOINTED MEMBERS	AYE	NAY	ABSTAIN	NOT PRESENT	RECUSED
James Bagg	$\boxtimes$				
Eva Growney	$\boxtimes$				
Thomas C. Gulbransen				$\boxtimes$	
Hon. Kara Hahn	$\boxtimes$				
Michael Kaufman	$\boxtimes$				
Richard Machtay	$\boxtimes$				
Daniel Pichney	$\boxtimes$				
Gloria G. Russo	$\boxtimes$				
Mary Ann Spencer				$\boxtimes$	
Larry Swanson	$\boxtimes$				
CAC REPRESENTATIVES					

Recommendation: Accept Updates made to the County Environmental Assessment Forms

Motion: Leg. Hahn Second: Ms. Growney

Further information may be obtained by contacting:

#### SUFFOLK COUNTY FULL ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT FORM 6 NYCRR Part 617 State Environmental Quality Review

#### **Part 1 – Environment and Setting**

<u>Instructions</u>: Part 1 is to be completed by the applicant or project sponsor. Complete Part 1 based on information currently available. If additional research or investigation would be needed to fully respond to any item, please answer as thoroughly as possible based on current information; indicate whether missing information does not exist, or is not reasonably available to the sponsor; and, when possible, generally describe work or studies which would be necessary to update or fully develop that information. If a question is not applicable to the proposed project indicate with "N/A".

Applicants/sponsors must complete all items in Sections A & B. In Sections C, D & E, most items contain an initial question that must be answered either "Yes" or "No". If the answer is "Yes", complete the sub-questions that follow. If the answer to the initial question is "No", proceed to the next question. Section F allows the project sponsor to identify and attach any additional information. Section G requires the name and signature of the project sponsor to verify that the information contained in Part 1 is accurate and complete.

#### A. <u>Project and Sponsor Information</u>

\* Maps of Property and Project: Attach relevant available maps including a location map (note: use road map, Hagstrom Atlas, USGS topography map, tax map or equivalent) and preliminary site plans showing orientation, scale, buildings, roads, landmarks, drainage systems, area to be altered by project, etc.

Type of Project:	New 🗌	Expansion	
Capital Program:	Item #	Date Adopted:	Amount: \$

Brief Description of Proposed Action (include purpose or need/attach relevant design reports, plans, etc.):

Project Status:

	Start	Completion
Proposal		
Study		
Preliminary Planning		
Final Plans: Specs		
Site Acquisition		
Construction		
Other		

Departments Involved:

Dept. Performing Design & Construction

Initiating Dept. (if different)

	Construction	
Name:		
Street/PO:		
City, State:		
Zip:		
Contact Person:		
<b>Business Phone:</b>		
Email:		

B. <u>Government Approvals, Funding or Sponsorship</u> ("Funding" includes grants, loans, tax relief and any other forms of financial assistance)

	Government Entity			If "Yes": Identify Agency and Approval(s) Required		lication Date d or Projected)
i.	City Council, Town Board or Village Board of Trustees	Yes 🗌	No 🗌			
ii.	City, Town or Village Planning Board or Commission	Yes 🗌	No 🗌			
iii.	City, Town or Village Zoning Board of Appeals	Yes 🗌	No 🗌			
iv.	Other local agencies	Yes 🗌	No 🗌			
ν.	County agencies	Yes 🗌	No 🗌			
vi.	Regional agencies	Yes 🗌	No 🗌			
vii.	State agencies	Yes 🗌	No 🗌			
viii.	Federal agencies	Yes 🗌	No 🗌			
ix.	Coastal Resources Is the project site within a Waterway? If YES, Is the project site located Waterfront Revitalization Pro Is the project site within a Co	in a com ogram?	nmunity v		i Inland	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
	is the project site within a Co	astal E10SI				

# C. Planning and Zoning

C.1. Planning and Zoning Actions			
	Il administrative or legislative adoption or amendment of a plan, local law, ordinance, rule or	Yes 🗌 No 🗌	
	ulation be the only approval(s) which must be granted to enable the proposed action to proceed?		
	2. Adopted Land Use Plans		
a.	Do any municipally-adopted (city, town, village or county) comprehensive land use plan(s) include the site where the proposed action would be located?		
	If Yes:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌	
	Does the comprehensive plan include specific recommendations for the site where the proposed action would be located? Yes No		
b.	Is the site of the proposed action within any local or regional special planning district (i.e. Greenway Brownfield Opportunity Area (BOA); designated State or Federal heritage area; watershed management plan; et. al)?	Yes 🗌 No 🗍	
	<b>If Yes</b> , identify the plan(s):		
с.	Is the proposed action located wholly or partially within an area listed in an adopted municipal		
	open space plan, or an adopted municipal farmland protection plan?		
	If Yes, identify the plan(s):	Yes 🗌 No 🗌	
C	B. Zoning		
<u>с.</u> а.	Is the site of the proposed action located in a municipality with an adopted zoning law or		
u.	ordinance?		
	If Yes, what is the zoning classification(s) including any applicable overlay district?	Yes 🗌 No 🗌	
h	Is the use permitted or allowed by a special or conditional use permit?		
		Yes No	
C.	Is a zoning change requested as part of the proposed action?		
	If Yes, what is the proposed new zoning for the site?	Yes 🗌 No 🗌	
<b>C</b> 4	I. Existing Community Services		
a.	In what school district is the project site located?		
u.	· ·		
b.	What police or other public protection forces serve the project site?		
c.	Which fire protection and emergency medical services serve the project site?		
d.	What parks serve the project site?		

## D. Project Details

<b>D.</b> 1	. Proposed and Potential Development			
a.				
	Residential ]; Industrial ]; Commercial ]; Recreational]; Other ]:			
b.	Total acreage of the site of the proposed action:	acres		
	Total acreage to be physically disturbed:	acres		
d.	Total acreage (project site and any contiguous properties) owned or controlled by the applicant or	acres		
e.	project sponsor: Is the proposed action an expansion of an existing project or use?			
с.	If Yes, what is the approximate percentage of the proposed expansion and identify the units (e.g., acres, miles, housing units, square feet, etc.)?	Yes 🗌 No 🗌		
f.	Is the proposed action a subdivision, or does it include a subdivision?			
	If Yes:         i. Purpose or type of subdivision? (if mixed, specify types) Residential ]; Industrial ]; Commercial ]; Recreational ]; Other ]         ii.         ii.         Is a cluster/conservation layout proposed?       Yes ] No ]         Number of lots proposed:         Minimum and maximum proposed lot sizes:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌		
g.	Will proposed action be constructed in multiple phases? If No, What is the anticipated period of construction?			
	If Yes:			
	Total number of phases anticipated:			
	Anticipated commencement date of phase I (including demolition):			
	Anticipated completion date of final phase:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌		
	Generally describe connections or relationships among phases, including any contingencies where progress of one phase may determine timing or duration of future phases:			

h.	Does the project in	clude new resider	ntial uses?				
	If Yes, show numb	per of units propos	sed.				
		Single Family	Two Family	Three Family	Multi-Family (4+)	]	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
	Initial Phase						
	At Completion						
i.	Does the proposed	action include ne	w non-residentia	al construction (inc	cluding expansions)?		
	If Yes:						
	Total Number of	Structures:					
	Dimensions of la	rgest proposed str	ucture				Yes 🗌 No 🗌
	Difficusions of fai	igest proposed su	ueture.				
	Approximate exte	ent of building spa	ace to be heated	or cooled:			
j.					ies that will result		
		ny liquids, such as	s creation of a w	ater supply, reserv	oir, pond, lake, waste	lagoon	
	or other storage?						
	If Yes:						
	Purpose of the im	poundment:					
		11	1 6.1				
	If a water impound Ground Water	dment, the principle Surface Water S	pal source of the	e water: $r \prod (specify)$			
				contained liquids a	and their source:		Yes 🗌 No 🗌
			•	•			
	Approximate size Volume:		mpoundment (ir ce area:	clude units):			
	Dimensions of the			ructure:			
	Construction mot	had/matarials for	the proposed de	m or impounding	structure (e.g., earth	fill rocl	
	wood, concrete):		the proposed da	and or impounding	structure (e.g., earth	1111, 1001	
	Ducient On sustin						
<b>D.</b> .	2. Project Operation		v excavation mi	ining or dredging	during construction,		
u.			•	0 0 0	installation of utilities	sor	
	foundations where						
	If Yes:						
	What is the purpo	ose of the excavati	on or dredging?				
	TT 1	. 1 /. 1 1. 1			1. 1 1.0	.1	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
	site?	ial (including roci	k, earth, sedimer	its, etc.) is propose	d to be removed from	the	
	Volume:	Over	what duration o	of time:			
	Describe nature a	nd characteristics			edged, and plans to us	e,	
	manage or dispos	e of them:					

Will there be onsite dewatering or processing of excavated materials? <b>If Yes</b> , describe:	
What is the total area to be dredged or excavated?	
What is the maximum area to be worked at any one time?	
What would be the maximum depth of excavation or dredging?	
Will the excavation require blasting?	
Summarize site reclamation goals and plans:	
Would the proposed action cause or result in alteration of, increase or decrease in size of, or encroachment into any existing wetland, water body, shoreline, beach or adjacent area? <b>f Yes:</b> Identify the wetland or water body which would be affected (by name, water index number,	1
wetland map number or geographic description):	
Describe how the proposed action would affect that water body or wetland, e.g. excavation, fill, placement of structures or creation of channels, banks and shorelines. Indicate extent of activities, alterations and additions in square feet or acres:	
Will proposed action cause or result in disturbance to bottom sediments? If Yes, describe:	
Will proposed action cause or result in the destruction or removal of aquatic vegetation? If Yes:	Yes 🗌 No
Area of vegetation proposed to be removed:	
Expected acreage of aquatic vegetation remaining after project completion:	
Purpose of proposed removal (e.g., beach clearing, invasive control, boat access):	
Proposed method of plant removal:	
roposed method of plant removal.	
If chemical/herbicide treatment will be used, specify product(s):	

	d water usage/demand per day:	
******		
Will the propos	ed action obtain water from an existing public water supply?	
If Yes:		
	ct/service area:	
Yes 🗌 No 🗌	ing public water supply have capacity to serve the proposal?	
Is the project s Yes No	site in the existing district?	
	f the district needed?	
Yes No		
	nes serve the project site?	
Yes 🗌 No 🗌		
XX7'11 1'		
Will line extens	sion within an existing district be necessary to supply the project?	
If Yes:		Yes 🗌 No
	nsions or capacity expansions proposed to serve this project:	
Source(s) of s	upply for the district:	
	supply district or service area proposed to be formed to serve the project site?	
Is a new water		
Is a new water <b>If Yes:</b>		
If Yes:	nsor for new district:	
If Yes: Applicant/spo	nsor for new district: on submitted or anticipated:	
If Yes: Applicant/spo Date applicati		
If Yes: Applicant/spo Date applicati	on submitted or anticipated:	

Yes:	
Total anticipated liquid waste generation per day:	
Nature of liquid wastes to be generated (e.g., sanitary wastewater, industrial; if combination,	
lescribe all components and approximate volumes or proportions of each):	
f sanitary wastewater identify proposed disinfection technology and treatment goals for	
he following: Disinfection technology:	
Nitrogen:	
Phosphorus:	
Total Suspended Soilds (TSS):	
Biological Oxygen Demand (BOD):	
Will the proposed action use any existing public wastewater treatment facilities?	
f Yes:	_
Name of wastewater treatment plant to be used:	
Name of district:	
Does the existing wastewater treatment plant have capacity to serve the project? Yes No	
Is the project site in the existing district? Yes No	
Is expansion of the district needed? Yes No	Yes N
Do existing sewer lines serve the project site? Yes 🗌 No 🗍	
Will line extension within an existing district be necessary to serve the project?	
If Yes:	
Describe extensions or capacity expansions proposed to serve this project:	
Will a new wastewater (sewage) treatment district be formed to serve the project site?	-
If Yes:	
Applicant/Sponsor for new district:	
Date application submitted or anticipated:	
What is the receiving water for the wastewater discharge?	
If public facilities will not be used, describe plans to provide wastewater treatment for the	
project, including specifying proposed receiving water (name and classification if surface discharge, or describe subsurface disposal plans):	
Describe any plans or designs to capture, recycle or reuse liquid waste:	

e.	Will the proposed action disturb more than one acre and create stormwater runoff, either from new point sources (i.e. ditches, pipes, swales, curbs, gutters or other concentrated flows of stormwater) or non-point source (i.e. sheet flow) during construction or post construction?	
	If Yes:	
	How much impervious surface will the project create in relation to total size of project parcel? Area of Impervious Surface: Area of Parcel:	
	Describe types of new point sources:	
	Where will the stormwater runoff be directed (i.e. on-site stormwater management facility/structures, adjacent properties, groundwater, on-site surface water or off-site surface waters)?	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
	If to surface waters, identify receiving water bodies or wetlands:	
	Will stormwater runoff flow to adjacent properties? Yes No	
	Does proposed plan minimize impervious surfaces use pervious materials or collect and re-use stormwater? Yes No	
f.	Does the proposed action include, or will it use on-site, one or more sources of air emissions, including fuel combustion, waste incineration, or other processes or operations?  If Yes, identify:  Mobile sources during project operations (e.g., heavy equipment, fleet or delivery vehicles):  Stationary sources during construction (e.g., power generation, structural heating, batch plant, crushers):	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
	Stationary sources during operations (e.g., process emissions, large boilers, electric generation):	
g.	Will any air emission sources named in D.2.f (above) require a NY State Air Registration, Air Facility Permit or Federal Clean Air Act Title IV or Title V Permit?	
	If Yes: Is the project site located in an Air Quality non-attainment area? (Area routinely or periodically fails to meet ambient air quality standards for all or some parts of the year) Yes No	
	In addition to emissions as calculated in the application, the project will generate:         -       Tons/year (metric) of Carbon Dioxide (CO <sub>2</sub> )         -       Tons/year (metric) of Nitrous Oxide (N <sub>2</sub> O)         -       Tons/year (metric) of Perfluorocarbons (PFCs)	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
	<ul> <li>Tons/year (metric) of Sulfur Hexafluoride (SF<sub>6</sub>)</li> <li>Tons/year (metric) of Carbon Dioxide equivalent of Hydroflorocarbons (HFCS)</li> <li>Tons/year (metric) of Hazardous Air Pollutants (HAPs)</li> </ul>	

h.	Will the proposed action generate or emit methane (including, but not limited to, sewage treatment plants, landfills, composting facilities)?	
	If Yes:	
	Estimate methane generation in tons/year (metric):	Yes No
	Describe any methane capture, control or elimination measures included in project design (e.g.,	
	combustion to generate heat or electricity, flaring):	
i.	Will the proposed action result in the release of air pollutants from open-air operations or processes	
	such as quarry or landfill operations?	
		Yes 🗌 No 🗍
	If Yes, describe operations and nature of emissions (e.g., diesel exhaust, rock particulates/dust):	
j.	Will the proposed action result in a substantial increase in traffic above present levels or generate substantial new demand for transportation facilities or services?	
	If Yes:	
	When is the peak traffic expected? (check all that apply)	
	Morning ; Evening ; Weekend ; Randomly	
	For commercial activities only, projected number of semi-trailer truck trips/day:	
	For commercial activities only, projected number of semi-traner truck trips/day.	
	Parking spaces:	
	Existing:Proposed:Net Increase/Decrease:	
	Does the proposed action include any shared use parking?	
	Yes No	Yes 🗌 No 🛄
	If the proposed action includes any modification of existing roads, creation of new roads or change in existing access, describe:	
	Are public/private transportation service(s) or facilities available within <sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub> mile of the proposed	
	site?	
	Yes No	
	Will the proposed action include access to public transportation or accommodations for use of hybrid, electric or other alternative fueled vehicles?	
	Yes No	
	Will the proposed action include plans for pedestrian or bicycle accommodations for	
	connections to existing pedestrian or bicycle routes? Yes $\square$ No $\square$	
k.	Will the proposed action (for commercial or industrial projects only) generate new or additional	
	demand for energy?	
	If Yes:	
	Estimate annual electricity demand during operation of the proposed action:	
		Yes 🗌 No 🗌
	Anticipated sources/suppliers of electricity for the project (e.g., on-site combustion, on-site	
	renewable, via grid/local utility or other):         Will the proposed action require a new, or an upgrade to, an existing substation?	
	Yes No	

1.	Hours of operation (Answer all items which apply	v)	
	During Construction	During Operations	
	Monday-Friday:	Monday-Friday:	
	Saturday:	Saturday:	N/A
	Sunday:	Sunday:	
	Holidays:	Holidays:	
	1101100/01	Tonowjor	
m.	Does the proposed action produce noise that will construction, operation or both?  If Yes: Provide details including sources, time of day ar Will proposed action remove existing natural ba screen? Yes  No  Describe:	nd duration:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
n.	Will the proposed action have outdoor lighting?         If Yes:         Describe source(s), location(s), height of fixture occupied structures:         Will proposed action remove existing natural bar Yes         No       Describe:		Yes 🗌 No 🗌
0.	Does the proposed action have the potential to pro <b>If Yes:</b> Describe possible sources, potential frequency a nearest occupied structures:		Yes 🗌 No 🗌
p.	Will the proposed action include any bulk storage products (over 550 gallons)?         If Yes:         Product(s) to be stored:         Volume(s):       per unit time:       (e.g., model)         Generally describe proposed storage facilities:	e of petroleum (over 1,100 gallons) or chemical	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
q.	Will the proposed action (commercial, industrial a herbicides, insecticides) during construction or op If Yes: Describe proposed treatment(s): Will the proposed action use Integrated Pest Ma Yes No		Yes 🗌 No 🗌

r.	Will the proposed action (commercial or industrial projects only) involve or require the management or disposal of solid waste (excluding hazardous materials)?		
	If Yes:		
	Describe any solid waste(s) to be generated during construction or operation of the facility:		
	Construction: tons per (unit of time)		
	Operation: tons per (unit of time)		
	Describe any proposals for on-site minimization, recycling or reuse of materials to avoid		••
	disposal as solid waste:		Yes 🗌 No 🗌
	Construction:		
	Operation:		
	Proposed disposal methods/facilities for solid waste generated on-site:		
	Construction:		
	Operation:		
s.	Does the proposed action include construction or modification of a solid waste management		
	facility?		
	If Yes:		
	Type of management or handling of waste proposed for the site (e.g., recycling or transfer		
	station, composting, landfill or other disposal activities):		
	Anticipated rate of disposal/processing:		Yes 🗌 No 🗌
	tons/month, if transfer or other non-combustion/thermal treatment, or		
	tons/hour, if combustion or thermal treatment		
	If landfill, anticipated site life: years		
t.	Will proposed action at the site involve the commercial generation, treatment, storage or disposal of	f	
	hazardous waste?		
	If Yes:	٦l	
	Name(s) of all hazardous wastes or constituents to be generated, handled or managed at facility:		
	Generally describe processes or activities involving hazardous wastes or constituents:	-11	
	Generally describe processes of activities involving nazardous wastes of constituents.		
	Specify amount to be handled or generated:		
	tons/month		
	Describe any proposals for on-site minimization, recycling or reuse of hazardous constituents:		
			Yes 🗌 No 🗌
	Will any hazardous wastes be disposed at an existing offsite hazardous waste facility?		
	If Yes:		
	Provide name and location of facility:		
	If No:		
	Describe proposed management of any hazardous wastes which will not be sent to a hazardous		
	waste facility:		
1			

u.	Will proposed action adhere to Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design (LEED) or any other green building principals?         If Yes:         Describe proposed green building methods and attempted level of certification, if any:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
v.	Does the project sponsor propose the use of energy benchmarking to monitor and adjust project energy needs?  If Yes, explain:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
w.	Will the proposed action use native plants for all landscaping needs? Identify species to be used and method of irrigation:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
X.	Does the proposed action promote local tourism?  If Yes, explain:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌

## E. Site and Setting of Proposed Action

<b>E.1</b>	. Land Uses on and Surrounding the Project Site					
a.	Existing land uses (Check all uses the occur on, adjoining and near the project site): (include map)					
	Urban Industrial Comr	nercial	Residential	Rural		
	Forest Agriculture Aqua	tic 🗌	Other Specify:			
	If mix of uses, generally describe:					
b.	Land uses and cover types on the project site:		_			
	Land Use or Cover Type	Current Acreage	Acreage After Project Completion	Change (Acres +/-)		
	Roads, buildings and other paved or impervious surfaces					
	Forested					
	Meadows, grasslands or brushlands (non- agricultural, including abandoned agricultural)					
	Agricultural					
	(includes active orchards, fields, greenhouse, etc.)					
	Surface water features					
	(lakes, ponds, streams, rivers, etc.) Wetlands					
	(freshwater or tidal)					
	Non-Vegetated					
	(bare rock, earth or fill)					
	Other					
	Describe:					
	TOTAL:					
			-			

c.	Is the project site presently used by members of the community for public recreation?	
	If Yes, explain:	
		Yes 🗌 No 🗌
d.	Are there any facilities serving children, the elderly, people with disabilities (e.g., schools, hospitals, licensed day care centers or group homes) within 1,500 feet of the project site?	
	nospitals, neensed day care centers of group nomes) within 1,500 feet of the project site?	
	If Yes, identify facilities:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
0	Does the project site contain an existing dam?	
e.	Does the project site contain an existing dam?	
	If Yes:	
	Dimensions of the dam and impoundment:	
	- Dam height: feet	
	- Dam length: feet	
	<ul> <li>Surface area: acres</li> <li>Volume impounded: gallons or acre-feet</li> </ul>	Yes 🗌 No 🛄
	Dam's existing hazard classification:	
	Provide date and summarize results of last inspection:	
f.	Has the project site ever been used as a municipal, commercial or industrial solid waste	
1.	management facility, or does the project site adjoin property which is now, or was at one time, used	
	as a solid waste management facility?	
	If Yes:	
	Has the facility been formally closed? Yes $\square$ No $\square$	
	If Yes, cite sources/documentation:	Yes No
	Describe the location of the project site relative to the boundaries of the solid waste management	
	facility:	
	Describe any development constraints due to the prior solid waste activities:	
a	Have hazardous wastes been generated, treated and/or disposed of at the site, or does the project	
g.	site adjoin property which is now or was at one time used to commercially treat, store and/or	
	dispose of hazardous waste?	
	-	Yes 🗌 No 🗍
	If Yes:	
	Describe waste(s) handled and waste management activities, including approximate time when	
	activities occurred:	
		1

h.	Has there been a reported contamination spill at the proposed project site or have any remedial actions been conducted at or adjacent to the proposed site?	
	If Yes:	
	Is any portion of the site listed on the NYSDEC Spills Incidents database or Environmental Site	
	Remediation database? (Check all that apply)	
	Yes – Spills Incidents databaseProvide DEC ID number(s):	
	Yes – Environmental Site Remediation database Provide DEC ID number(s):	
	Neither database	
	If site has been subject to RCRA corrective activities, describe control measures:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
	Is the project within 2000 feet of any site in the NYSDEC Environmental Site Remediation database? Yes No	
	If Yes:	
	DEC ID number(s):	
	Describe current status of site(s):	
<b>E.1</b>	.h. (cont.) – only answer following if checked "Yes" above	
	Is the project site subject to an institutional control limiting property uses?	
	is the project site subject to an institutional control minimig property uses?	
	If Yes:	
	DEC site ID number(s):	
	Describe the type of institutional control (e.g., deed restriction or easement):	
	Describe any use limitations:	
	Describe any engineering controls:	
	Will the project affect the institutional or engineering controls in place? Yes No	
	Explain:	
<b>E.2</b>	A. Natural Resources On or Near Project Site	
a.	What is the average depth to bedrock on the project site: feet	
b.	Are there bedrock outcroppings on the project site?	
	If Yes:	
	What proportion of the site is comprised of bedrock outcroppings?	Yes No
	% // // // // // // // // // // // // //	
c.	Predominant soil type(s) present on project site: (include map)	
	1. % of site	
1	2. % of site	
1	3. % of site	
	4. % of site	
1		

d. What is the average depth to the water table on the project site?							
e. Drainage status of project site soils:							
	1 Wel	1 Drained	6 of site				
			6 of site				
			6 of site				
f.	Approximate proportion of proposed a	ction site with slopes: (include topograp	hic map)				
	1. 0-10	)%	6 of site				
	2. 11-15% % of site						
	3. 16%	or greater	6 of site				
g.	Are there any unique geologic features	s on the project site?					
	If Yes, describe:						
				Yes 🗌 No 🗌			
h.							
	rivers, ponds or lakes)?						
i. Do any wetlands or other waterbodies adjoin the project site?				Yes 🗌 No 🗌			
If Yes to either E.2.h or E.2.i, continue. If No, skip to E.2.m							
j. Are any of the wetlands or waterbodies within or adjoining the project site regulated by any				V N.			
5	federal, state or local agency? (include			Yes 🗌 No 🗌			
k.	k. For each identified wetland and waterbody on the project site, provide the following information:						
	Streams:Name:Classification:Lakes or Ponds:Name:Classification:						
	Wetlands:	Name:	Approx. Size:				
	Wetland No. (if regulated by DEC):		Appion. Size.				
1. Are any of the above waterbodies listed in the most recent compilation of NYS water quality-							
	impaired waterbodies?						
If Ves name of impaired water body/bodies and basis for listing as impaired:				Yes 🗌 No 🗌			
If Yes, name of impaired water body/bodies and basis for listing as impaired:							
m.	m. Is the project site in a designated floodway?						
n.				Yes 🗌 No 🗌			
0.	Is the project site in the 500 year flood			Yes 🗌 No 🗌			
р.	Is the project site located over or imme	ediately adjoining a primary, principal or	sole source aquifer?				
1	If Voc						
If Yes:							
	Name of aquifer:		Name of aquifer:				
	Name of aquifer: Source of information:			Yes 🗌 No 🛄			

q.	Identify the predominant wildlife species that occupy or use the project site:				
r.	Does the project site contain a designated significant natural community?  If Yes:				
	Describe the habitat/community (composition, function and basis for designation:				
	Source(s) of description or evaluation:				
	Extent of community/habitat:         -       Currently:         acres         -       Following completion of project as proposed:         -       Gain or loss (indicate + or -):         acres				
S.	Does project site contain any species of plant or animal that is listed by the federal government or NYS as endangered or threatened, or does it contain any areas identified as habitat for an endangered or threatened species? If Yes:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌			
	Species and listing (endangered or threatened):         Nature of use of site by the species (e.g., resident, seasonal, transient):				
t.	Does project site contain any species of plant or animal that is listed by NYS as rare, or as a species of special concern?				
	If Yes:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌			
	Species and listing:         Nature of use of site by the species (e.g., resident, seasonal, transient):				
u.	Is the project site or adjoining area currently used for hunting, trapping, fishing or shellfishing?				
	If Yes, give a brief description of how the proposed action may affect that use:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌			
Е.	3. Designated Public Resources On or Near Project Site	<u> </u>			
a.	Is the project site, or any portion of it, located in a designated agricultural district certified pursuant to Agriculture and Markets Law, Article 25-AA, Section 303 and 304?				
	If Yes, provide county plus district name/number:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌			
b.	Are agricultural lands consisting of highly productive soils present?				
	If Yes:	Yes 🗌 No 🗍			
	Acreage(s) on project site:				
	Source(s) of soil rating(s):				

c.	Does the project site contain all or part of, or is it substantially contiguous to a registered National Natural Landmark?	
	If Yes:	
	Nature of the natural landmark:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
	Biological Community; Geological Feature	
	Provide brief description of landmark, including values behind designation and approximate size/extent:	
	SEC/ORCHR.	
d.	Is the project site located in or does it adjoin a state listed Critical Environmental Area, including Special Groundwater Protection Areas?	
	If Yes:	
	CEA name:	Yes 🗌 No 🛄
	Basis for designation:	
	Designating agency and date:	
e.	Does the project site contain, or is it substantially contiguous to, a building, archeological site, or	
0.	district which is listed on, or has been nominated by the NYS Board of Historic Preservation for	
	inclusion on the State or National Register of Historic Places?	
	If Yes: Nature of historic/archaeological resource:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
	Archaeological Site; Historic Building or district	
	Name:	
	Brief description of attributes on which listing is based:	
f.	Is the project site, or any portion of it, located in or adjacent to an area designated as sensitive for archaeological sites on the NY State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) archaeological site inventory?	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
g.	Have additional archaeological or historic site(s) or resources been identified on the project site?	
	If Yes:	
	Describe possible resource(s):	Yes 🗌 No 📋
	Basis for identification:	
h.	Would the project site be visible from any officially designated and publicly assessable federal, state or local scenic or aesthetic resource?	
	If Maga	
	If Yes: Identify resource:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
	Nature of, or basis for designation (e.g., established highway overlook, state or local park, state	
	historic trail or scenic byway, etc.):	
	Distance between project and resource:	
i.	Is the project site located within a designated river corridor under the Wild, Scenic and	
	Recreational Rivers Program 6 NYCRR Part 666?	
	If Yes:	
	Identify the name of the river and its designation:	Yes 🗌 No 📋
	Is the activity consistent with development restrictions contained in 6 NYCRR Part 666? Yes No	

## F. Additional Information

Attach any additional information which may be needed to clarify your project. If you have identified any adverse impacts which could be associated with your proposal, please describe those impacts plus any measures which you propose to avoid or minimize them.

#### G. Verification

I certify that the information provided is true to the best of my knowledge.

Applicant/Sponsor Name:

Date:

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

Title:

#### SUFFOLK COUNTY FULL ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT FORM 6 NYCRR Part 617 State Environmental Quality Review

#### Part 2 – Identification of Potential Project Impacts

<u>Instructions</u>: Part 2 is to be completed by the lead agency. It is designed to help the lead agency inventory all potential resources that could be affected by a proposed project or action. We recognize that the lead agency's reviewer(s) will not necessarily be environmental professionals. So, the questions are designed to walk a reviewer through the assessment process by providing a series of questions that can be answered using the information found in Part 1. To further assist the lead agency in completing Part 2, the form identifies the most relevant questions in Part 1 that will provide the information needed to answer the Part 2 question. When Part 2 is completed, the lead agency will have identified the relevant environmental areas that may be impacted by the proposed activity.

#### **Tips for completing Part 2:**

- Review all of the information provided in Part 1.
- Review any application, maps, supporting materials and the Full EAF Workbook.
- Answer each of the 18 questions in Part 2.
- If you answer "YES" to a numbered question, please complete all the questions that follow in that section.
- If you answer "NO" to a numbered question, move on to the next numbered section.
- Check appropriate column to indicate the anticipated size of the impact.
- Proposed projects that would exceed a numeric threshold contained in a question should result in the reviewing agency checking the box "Moderate to large impact may occur."

YES  $\square$  NO  $\square$ 

- The reviewer is not expected to be an expert in environmental analysis.
- If you are not sure or undecided about the size of an impact, it may help to review the sub-questions for the general question and consult the workbook.
- When answering a question consider all components of the proposed activity, that is, the "whole action."
- Consider the possibility for long-term and cumulative impacts as well as direct impacts.
- Answer the question in a reasonable manner considering the scale and context of the project.

#### 1. Impact on Land

The proposed action may involve construction on, or physical alteration
of the land surface of the proposed site. (See Part 1.D.1)

	If "YES".	answer	questions a-h	h. 1	f "NO".	move	on to	Section	2.
--	-----------	--------	---------------	------	---------	------	-------	---------	----

		Relevant Part 1 Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a.	The proposed action may involve construction on land where depth to water table is less than 3 feet.	E.2.d		
b.	The proposed actin may involve construction on slopes of 15% or greater.	E.2.f		
c.	The proposed actin may involve construction on land where bedrock is exposed, or generally within 5 feet of existing ground surface.	E.2.a		
d.	The proposed action may involve the excavation and removal of more than 1,000 tons of natural material.	D.2.a		
e.	The proposed action may involve construction that continues for more than one year or in multiple phases.	D.1.g		
f.	The proposed action may result in increased erosion, whether from physical disturbance or vegetation removal (including from treatment by herbicides).	D.2.e D.2.q		
g.	The proposed action is, or may be, located within a Coastal Erosion hazard area.	B.ix		

h.	Other impacts:				
2.	<b>Impact on Geological Features</b> The proposed action may result in the modification or destruction of, or inhibit access to, any unique or unusual land forms on the site (e.g., cliffs, dunes, minerals, fossils, caves). (See Part 1.E.2.g) <i>If "YES", answer questions a-c. If "NO", move on to Section 3.</i>	Y	ES 🗌 NO [		
		Relevant Part 1 Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur	
a.	Identify the specific land form(s):	E.2.g			
b.	The proposed action may affect or is adjacent to a geological feature listed as a registered National Natural Landmark. Specific feature:	E.3.c			
c.	Other impacts:	>			
3.	Impact on Surface WaterThe proposed action may affect one or more wetlands or other surfacewater bodies (e.g., streams, rivers, ponds or lakes).(See Part 1.D.2 & E.2.h)If "YES", answer questions a-l. If "NO", move on to Section 4.	YES 🗌 NO 🗌			
		Relevant Part 1 Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur	
a.	The proposed action may create a new water body	D.1.j D.2.b			
b.	The proposed action may result in an increase or decrease of over 10% or more than a 10 acre increase or decrease in the surface area of any body of water.	D.2.b			
c.	The proposed action may involve dredging more than 100 cubic yards of material from a wetland or water body.	D.2.a			
d.	The proposed action may involve construction within or adjoining a freshwater or tidal wetland, or in the bed or banks of any other water body.	E.2.h E.2.i			
e.	The proposed action may create turbidity in a waterbody, either from upland erosion, runoff or by disturbing bottom sediments.	D.2.a D.2.h			
f.	The proposed action may include construction of one or more intake(s) for withdrawal of water from surface water.	D.2.c			
g.	The proposed action may include construction of one or more outfall(s) for discharge of wastewater to surface water(s).	D.2.d			
h.	The proposed action may cause soil erosion, or otherwise create a source of stormwater discharge that may lead to siltation or other degradation of receiving water bodies.	D.2.e			
i.	The proposed action may affect the water quality of any water bodies within or downstream of the site of the proposed action.	E.2.h – E.2.l			
j.	The proposed action may involve the application of pesticides or herbicides in or around any water body.	$\begin{array}{c} D.2.q\\ E.2.h-E.2.l\end{array}$			
k.	The proposed action may require the construction of new, or expansion of existing, wastewater treatment facilities.	D.1.a D.2.d			

4.	<b>Impact on Groundwater</b> The proposed action may result in new or additional use of groundwater, or			
	may have the potential to introduce contaminants to groundwater or an aquifer. (See Part 1.D.2.a, D.2.c, D.2.d, D.2.p, D.2.q, D.2.t) <i>If "YES", answer questions a-h. If "NO", move on to Section 5.</i>	Y	ES 📃 NO 🗌	
		Relevant Part 1 Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a.	The proposed action may require new water supply wells, or create additional demand on supplies from existing water supply wells.	D.2.c		
b.	Water supply demand from the proposed action may exceed safe and sustainable withdrawal capacity rate of the local supply or aquifer. Cite Source:	D.2.c		
c.	The proposed action may allow or result in residential uses in areas without water and sewer services.	D.1.a D.2.c – D.2.d		
d.	The proposed action may include or require wastewater discharged to groundwater.	D.2.d E.2.p		
e.	The proposed action may result in the construction of water supply wells in locations where groundwater is, or is suspected to be, contaminated.	D.2.c E.1.f – E.1.h		
f.	The proposed action may require the bulk storage of petroleum or chemical products over ground water or an aquifer.	D.2.p E.2.p		
g.	The proposed action may involve the commercial application of pesticides within 100 feet of potable drinking water or irrigation sources.	D.2.q E.2.h – E.2.1 E.2.p D.2.c		
h.	Other impacts:			
5.	<b>Impact on Flooding</b> The proposed action may result in development on lands subject to flooding. (See Part 1.E.2) <i>If "YES", answer questions a-g. If "NO", move on to Section 6.</i>	Y	ES 🗌 NO 🛛	
		Relevant Part 1 Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may <u>occur</u>
a. b.	The proposed action may result in development in a designated floodway. The proposed action may result in development within a 100 year	E.2.m		
	floodplain.	E.2.n		
c.	The proposed action may result in development within a 500 year floodplain.	E.2.o		
1 1		D 0 1		

d.	The proposed action may result in, or require, modification of existing	D.2.b	
	drainage patterns.	D.2.e	
e.	The proposed action may change flood water flows that contribute to	D.2.b	
	flooding.	E.2.m – E.2.o	
f.	If there is a dam located on the site of the proposed action, the dam has	E.1.e	
	failed to meet one or more safety criteria on its most recent inspection.	E.1.e	

g.	Other impacts:		

6.	Impact on Air			
	The proposed action may include a state regulated air emission source.	YES NO		
	(See Part 1.D.2.f, D.2.h, D.2.g)			
	If "YES", answer questions a-f. If "NO", move on to Section 7.	1		
		Relevant Part 1 Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a.	If the proposed action requires federal or state air emission permits, the action may also emit one or more greenhouse gases at or above the following levels:			
i.	More than 1000 tons/year of carbon dioxide (CO2)	D.2.g		
ii.	More than 3.5 tons/year of nitrous oxide (N20)	D.2.g		
iii.	More than 1000 tons/year of carbon equivalent of perfluorocarbons (PFCs)	D.2.g		
iv.	More than .045 tons/year of sulfur hexafluoride (SF6)	D.2.g		
v.	More than 1000 tons/year of carbon dioxide equivalent of	D.2.g		
	hydrochloroflurocarbons (HCFCs) emissions	C		
	43 tons/year or more of methane	D.2.h		
b.	The proposed action may generate 10 tons/year or more of any one designated hazardous air pollutant, or 25 tons/year or more of any combination of such hazardous air pollutants.	D.2.g		
c.	The proposed action may require a state air registration, or may produce an emissions rate of total contaminants that may exceed 5 lbs. per hour, or may include a heat source capable of producing more than 10 million BTU=s per hour.	D.2.f D.3.g		
d.	The proposed action may reach 50% of any two or more of the thresholds in "a" through "c", above.	D.1.i D.2.k		
e.	The proposed action may result in the combustion or thermal treatment of more than 1 ton of refuse per hour.	D.2.s		
f.	Other impacts:			
7.	Impact on Plants and Animals			

	The proposed action may result in a loss of flora or fauna. (See Part 1.E.2.q – E.2.u) <i>If "YES", answer questions a-j. If "NO", move on to Section 8.</i>	Y	TES 🗌 NO 🛛	
		Relevant Part 1 Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a.	The proposed action may cause reduction in population or loss of individuals of any threatened or endangered species, as listed by New York State or the Federal government, that use the site, or are found on, over, or near the site.	E.2.s		
b.	The proposed action may result in a reduction or degradation of any habitat used by any rare, threatened or endangered species, as listed by New York State or the federal government.	E.2.s		

c.	The proposed action may cause reduction in population, or loss of individuals, of any species of special concern or conservation need, as listed by New York State or the Federal government, that use the site, or are found on, over, or near the site.	E.2.t	
d.	The proposed action may result in a reduction or degradation of any habitat used by any species of special concern and conservation need, as listed by New York State or the Federal government.	E.2.t	
e.	The proposed action may diminish the capacity of a registered National Natural Landmark to support the biological community it was established to protect.	E.3.c	
f.	The proposed action may result in the removal of, or ground disturbance in, any portion of a designated significant natural community. Source:	E.2.r	
g.	The proposed action may substantially interfere with nesting/breeding, foraging, or over-wintering habitat for the predominant species that occupy or use the project site.	E.2.q	
h.	The proposed action requires the conversion of more than 10 acres of forest, grassland or any other regionally or locally important habitat. Habitat type & information source:	E.1.b	
i.	Proposed action (commercial, industrial or recreational projects, only) involves use of herbicides or pesticides.	D.2.q	
j.	Other impacts:		

8.	<b>Impact on Agricultural Resources</b> The proposed action may impact agricultural resources. (See Part 1.E.3.a & E.3.b) <i>If "YES", answer questions a-h. If "NO", move on to Section 9.</i>	YES NO		
		Relevant Part 1 Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a.	The proposed action may impact soil classified within soil group 1 through 4 of the NYS Land Classification System.	E.2.c E.3.b		
b.	The proposed action may sever, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land (includes cropland, hayfields, pasture, vineyard, orchard, etc.).	E.1.a E.1.b		
c.	The proposed action may result in the excavation or compaction of the soil profile of active agricultural land.	E.3.b		
d.	The proposed action may irreversibly convert agricultural land to non- agricultural uses, either more than 2.5 acres if located in an Agricultural District or more than 10 acres if not within an Agricultural District.	E.1.b E.3.a		
e.	The proposed action may disrupt or prevent installation of an agricultural land management system.	E.1.a E.1.b		
f.	The proposed action may result, directly or indirectly, in increased development potential or pressure on farmland.	C.2.c, C.3 D.2.c, D.2.d		
g.	The proposed project is not consistent with the adopted municipal Farmland Protection Plan.	C.2.c		
h.	Other impacts:			

The sha and <i>If</i> '	<b>pact on Aesthetic Resources</b> e land use of the proposed action are obviously different from, or are in urp contrast to, current land use patterns between the proposed project d a scenic or aesthetic resource. (See Part 1.E.1.a, E.1.b, E.3.h) <i>'YES'', answer questions a-g and complete Appendix B - Visual EAF</i> <i>dendum. If "NO", move on to Section 10.</i>	Y	TES 🗌 NO 🗌	
		Relevant Part 1 Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
	posed action may be visible from any officially designated federal, e, or local scenic or aesthetic resource.	E.3.h		
	e proposed action may result in the obstruction, elimination or nificant screening of one or more officially designated scenic views.	C.2.b E.3.h		
	e proposed action may be visible from publicly accessible vantage nts:			
	easonally (e.g., screened by summer foliage, but visible during other seasons) <i>Y</i> ear round	E.3.h E.3.h		
	e situation or activity in which viewers are engaged while viewing the posed action is:	E.3.h		
	Routine travel by residents, including travel to and from work Recreational or tourism based activities	E.2.u E.1.c		
and	e proposed action may cause a diminishment of the public enjoyment l appreciation of the designated aesthetic resource.	E.3.h		
f. The pro 0 - 1/2 -	<ul> <li>are similar projects visible within the following distance of the posed project:</li> <li>1/2 mile</li> <li>- 3 mile</li> <li>5 mile</li> <li>mile</li> </ul>	D.1.a D.1.h D.1.i E.1.a		
g. Otł	ner impacts:			

10	<b>. Impact on Historic and Archeological Resources</b> The proposed action may occur in or adjacent to an historic or archaeological resource. (See Part 1.E.3.e, E.3.f, E.3.g) <i>If "YES", answer questions a-e. If "NO", move on to Section 11.</i>	YES 🗌 NO 🗌		
		Relevant Part 1 Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a.	The proposed action may occur wholly or partially within, or substantially contiguous to, any buildings, archaeological site or district which is listed on or has been nominated by the NYS Board of Historic Preservation for inclusion on the State or National Register of Historic Places.	E.3.e		
b.	The proposed action may occur wholly or partially within, or substantially contiguous to, an area designated as sensitive for archaeological sites on the NY State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) archaeological site inventory.	E.3.f		

c.	The proposed action may occur wholly or partially within, or substantially contiguous to, an archaeological site not included on the NY SHPO	EQ		
	inventory. Source:	E.3.g		
d.	Other impacts:			
e.	If any of the above (a-d) are answered "Yes", continue with the following questions to help support conclusions in Part 3:			
	i. The proposed action may result in the destruction or alteration of all or part of the site or property.	E.3.e – E.3g		
	ii. The proposed action may result in the alteration of the property's setting or integrity.	E.1.a, E.1.b E.3.e – E.3.g		
	iii. The proposed action may result in the introduction of visual elements which are out of character with the site or property, or may alter its setting.	C2, C3 E.3.g, E.3.h		
11	. Impact on Open Space and Recreation			
	The proposed action may result in a loss of recreational opportunities or a reduction of an open space resource as designated in any adopted municipal open space plan. (See Part 1.C.2.c, E.1.c, E.2.u)       YES NO          If "YES", answer questions a-e. If "NO", move on to Section 12.       YES			
		Relevant Part 1 Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a.	The proposed action may result in an impairment of natural functions, or "ecosystem services", provided by an undeveloped area, including but not	D.2.e, E.1.b E.2.h – E.2.1		
	limited to stormwater storage, nutrient cycling, and wildlife habitat.	E.2.n = E.2.n E.2.q = E.2.t		
b.				
b. c.	limited to stormwater storage, nutrient cycling, and wildlife habitat. The proposed action may result in the loss of a current or future	E.2.q – E.2.t C.2.a, C.2.c		
	limited to stormwater storage, nutrient cycling, and wildlife habitat. The proposed action may result in the loss of a current or future recreational resource. The proposed action may eliminate open space or recreational resource in	E.2.q – E.2.t C.2.a, C.2.c E.1.c, E.2.u C.2.a, C.2.c		
c.	limited to stormwater storage, nutrient cycling, and wildlife habitat. The proposed action may result in the loss of a current or future recreational resource. The proposed action may eliminate open space or recreational resource in an area with few such resources. The proposed action may result in loss of an area now used informally by	$\begin{array}{c} E.2.q-E.2.t\\ C.2.a, C.2.c\\ E.1.c, E.2.u\\ C.2.a, C.2.c\\ E.1.c, E.2.u\\ \end{array}$		
c. d. e.	limited to stormwater storage, nutrient cycling, and wildlife habitat. The proposed action may result in the loss of a current or future recreational resource. The proposed action may eliminate open space or recreational resource in an area with few such resources. The proposed action may result in loss of an area now used informally by the community as an open space resource.	E.2.q – E.2.t C.2.a, C.2.c E.1.c, E.2.u C.2.a, C.2.c E.1.c, E.2.u C.2.c, E.1.c	ES   NO [	
c. d. e.	<ul> <li>limited to stormwater storage, nutrient cycling, and wildlife habitat.</li> <li>The proposed action may result in the loss of a current or future recreational resource.</li> <li>The proposed action may eliminate open space or recreational resource in an area with few such resources.</li> <li>The proposed action may result in loss of an area now used informally by the community as an open space resource.</li> <li>Other impacts:</li> <li>Impact on Critical Environmental Areas</li> <li>The proposed action may be located within or adjacent to a critical environmental area (CEA). (See Part 1.E.3.d)</li> <li>If "YES", answer questions a-c. If "NO", move on to Section 13.</li> </ul>	E.2.q – E.2.t C.2.a, C.2.c E.1.c, E.2.u C.2.a, C.2.c E.1.c, E.2.u C.2.c, E.1.c	ES No, or small impact may occur	Moderate
c. d. e.	<ul> <li>limited to stormwater storage, nutrient cycling, and wildlife habitat.</li> <li>The proposed action may result in the loss of a current or future recreational resource.</li> <li>The proposed action may eliminate open space or recreational resource in an area with few such resources.</li> <li>The proposed action may result in loss of an area now used informally by the community as an open space resource.</li> <li>Other impacts:</li> <li>Impact on Critical Environmental Areas</li> <li>The proposed action may be located within or adjacent to a critical environmental area (CEA). (See Part 1.E.3.d)</li> <li>If "YES", answer questions a-c. If "NO", move on to Section 13.</li> </ul>	E.2.q – E.2.t C.2.a, C.2.c E.1.c, E.2.u C.2.a, C.2.c E.1.c, E.2.u C.2.c, E.1.c V Relevant Part 1	No, or small impact	Moderate to large impact
c. d. e. 12	<ul> <li>limited to stormwater storage, nutrient cycling, and wildlife habitat.</li> <li>The proposed action may result in the loss of a current or future recreational resource.</li> <li>The proposed action may eliminate open space or recreational resource in an area with few such resources.</li> <li>The proposed action may result in loss of an area now used informally by the community as an open space resource.</li> <li>Other impacts:</li> <li>Impact on Critical Environmental Areas</li> <li>The proposed action may be located within or adjacent to a critical environmental area (CEA). (See Part 1.E.3.d)</li> <li>If "YES", answer questions a-c. If "NO", move on to Section 13.</li> </ul>	E.2.q - E.2.t C.2.a, C.2.c E.1.c, E.2.u C.2.a, C.2.c E.1.c, E.2.u C.2.c, E.1.c V Relevant Part 1 Question(s)	No, or small impact	Moderate to large impact

<b>13. Impact on Transportation</b> The proposed action may result in a change to existing transportation systems. (See Part 1.D.2.j) <i>If "YES", answer questions a-f. If "NO", move on to Section 14.</i>	Y	TES 🗌 NO [	
	Relevant Part 1 Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. Projected traffic increase may exceed capacity of existing road network.	D.2.j		
b. The proposed action may result in the construction of paved parking area for 500 or more vehicles.	D.2.j		
c. The proposed action will degrade existing transit access.	D.2.j		
d. The proposed action will degrade existing pedestrian or bicycle accommodations.	D.2.j		
e. The proposed action may alter the present pattern of movement of people or goods.	D.2.j		
f. Other impacts:			
<ul> <li>14. Impact on Energy The proposed action may cause an increase in the use of any form of energy (See Part 1.D.2.k) If "YES", answer questions a-e. If "NO", move on to Section 15. </li> </ul>	Y	ES 🗌 NO 🛛	
	Relevant Part 1 Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action will require a new, or an upgrade to an existing, substation.	D.2.k		
b. The proposed action will require the creation or extension of an energy transmission or supply system to serve more than 50 single or two-family residences or to serve a commercial or industrial use.	D.1.h D.1.i D.2.k		
c. The proposed action may utilize more than 2,500 MWhrs per year of electricity.	D.2.k		
d. The proposed action may involve heating and/or cooling of more than 100,000 square feet of building area when completed.	D.1.i		
e. Other impacts:			
<b>15. Impact on Noise, Odor and Light</b> The proposed action may result in an increase in noise, odors or outdoor lighting (See Part 1.D.2.m, D.2.n, D.2.o) <i>If "YES", answer questions a-f. If "NO", move on to Section 16.</i>	Y	TES 🗌 NO [	_
	Relevant Part 1 Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may produce sound above noise levels established by local regulation.	D.2.m		
b. The proposed action may result in blasting within 1,500 feet of any residence, hospital, school, licensed day care center, or nursing home.	D.2.m E.1.d		
c. The proposed action may result in routine odors for more than one hour per day.	D.2.0		

d.	The proposed action may result in light shining onto adjoining properties.	D.2.n	
e.	The proposed action may result in lighting that creates sky-glow brighter	D.2.n	
	than existing-area conditions.	E.1.a	
f.	Other impacts:		

_				
16	. Impact on Human Health			
	The proposed action may have an impact on human health from exposure			_
	to new or existing sources of contaminants (See Part 1.D.2.q, E.1.d, E.1.f,	Y	ES 🗌 NO 🛛	
	E.1.g, E.1.h)			
	If "YES", answer questions a-m. If "NO", move on to Section 17.	I	I	
		Relevant Part 1 Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a.	The proposed action is located within 1500 feet of a school, hospital,			
	licensed day care center, group home, nursing home or retirement community.	E.1.d		
b.	The site of the proposed action is currently undergoing remediation.	E.1.g, E.1.h		
c.	There is a completed emergency spill remediation or a completed environmental site remediation on, or adjacent to, the site of the proposed action.	E.1.g E.1.h		
d.	The site of the action is subject to an institutional control limiting the use of the property (e.g. easement, deed restriction)	E.1.g E.1.h		
e.	The proposed action may affect institutional control measures that were put in place to ensure that the site remains protective of the environment and human health.	E.1.g E.1.h		
f.	The proposed action has adequate control measures in place to ensure that future generation, treatment and/or disposal of hazardous wastes will be protective of the environment and human health.	D.2.t		
g.	The proposed action involves construction or modification of a solid waste management facility.	D.2.q E.1.f		
h.	The proposed action may result in the unearthing of solid or hazardous waste.	D.2.q E.1.f		
i.	The proposed action may result in an increase in the rate of disposal, or processing, of solid waste.	D.2.r D.2.s		
j.	The proposed action may result in excavation or other disturbance within 2000 feet of a site used for the disposal of solid or hazardous waste.	E.1.f – E.1.h		
k.	The proposed action may result in the migration of explosive gases from a landfill site to adjacent off site structures.	E.1.f E.1.g		
1.	The proposed action may result in the release of contaminated leachate from the project site.	D.2.r, D.2.s E.1.f		
m.	Other impacts:			

<b>17. Consistency with Community Plans</b> The proposed action is not consistent with adopted land use plans. (See Part 1.C.1, C.2, C.3) <i>If "YES", answer questions a-h. If "NO", move on to Section 18.</i>	Y	TES 🗌 NO [	]
	Relevant Part 1 Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur

a.	The proposed action's land use components may be different from, or in sharp contrast to, current surrounding land use pattern(s).	C.2, C.3, D.1.a, E.1.a, E.1.b	
b.	The proposed action will cause the permanent population of the city, town or village in which the project is located to grow by more than 5%.	C.2	
c.	The proposed action is inconsistent with local land use plans or zoning regulations.	C.2, C.3	
d.	The proposed action is inconsistent with any County plans, or other regional land use plans.	C.2	
e.	The proposed action may cause a change in the density of development that is not supported by existing infrastructure or is distant from existing infrastructure.	C.3 D.1.e, D.1.f, D.1.h, E.1.b	
f.	The proposed action is located in an area characterized by low density development that will require new or expanded public infrastructure.	C.4, D.2.c, D.2.d, D.2.j	
g.	The proposed action may induce secondary development impacts (e.g., residential or commercial development not included in the proposed action)	C.2.a	
h.	Other impacts:		

18.	Consistency with Community Character			
	The proposed action is inconsistent with the existing community character (See Part 1.C.2, C.3, D.2, E.3)	YES NO		
	If "YES", answer questions a-g. If "NO", move on to Part 3.	Relevant Part 1 Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a.	The proposed action may replace or eliminate existing facilities, structures, or areas of historic importance to the community.	E.3.e, E.3.f, E.3.g		
b.	The proposed action may create a demand for additional community services (e.g. schools, police and fire)	C.4		
c.	The proposed action may displace affordable or low-income housing in an area where there is a shortage of such housing.	C.2, C.3,D.1.h, D.1.i, E.1.a		
d.	The proposed action may interfere with the use or enjoyment of officially recognized or designated public resources.	C.2, E.3		
e.	The proposed action is inconsistent with the predominant architectural scale and character.	C.2, C.3		
f.	Proposed action is inconsistent with the character of the existing natural landscape.	C.2, C.3, E.1.a, E.1.b, E.2.g – E.2.1		
g.	Other impacts:			

# SUFFOLK COUNTY FULL ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT FORM 6 NYCRR Part 617

## State Environmental Quality Review

## Part 3 – Evaluation of the Magnitude and Importance of Project Impacts and Determination of Significance

Part 3 provides the reasons in support of the determination of significance. The lead agency must complete Part 3 for every question in Part 2 where the impact has been identified as potentially moderate to large or where there is a need to explain why a particular element of the proposed action will not, or may, result in a significant adverse environmental impact.

Based on the analysis in Part 3, the lead agency must decide whether to require an environmental impact statement to further assess the proposed action or whether available information is sufficient for the lead agency to conclude that the proposed action will not have a significant adverse environmental impact. By completing the certification on the next page, the lead agency can complete its determination of significance.

### **Reasons Supporting This Determination:**

To complete this section:

- \* Identify the impact based on the Part 2 responses and describe its magnitude. Magnitude considers factors such as severity, size or extent of an impact.
- \* Assess the importance of the impact. Importance relates to the geographic scope, duration, probability of the impact occurring, number of people affected by the impact and any additional environmental consequences if the impact were to occur.
- \* The assessment should take into consideration any design element or project changes.
- \* Repeat this process for each Part 2 question where the impact has been identified as potentially moderate to large or where there is a need to explain why a particular element of the proposed action will not, or may, result in a significant adverse environmental impact.
- \* Provide the reason(s) why the impact may, or will not, result in a significant adverse environmental impact
- \* For Conditional Negative Declarations identify the specific condition(s) imposed that will modify the proposed action so that no significant adverse environmental impacts will result.
- \* Attach additional sheets, as needed.

Determination of Significance Type 1 and Unlisted Actions					
	inu Omste	zu Actions			
SEOR Statuce	Trimo I	] Unlisted			
SEQR Status:	Type I	Unlisted			
Identify portions of EAF completed for this project:	Part 1	Part 2 Part 3			
Upon review of the information recorded on this EAF.	, as noted, pl	plus this additional support information			
and considering both the magnitude and importance of lead agency that:	f each identif	tified potential impact, it is the conclusion of	as		
A. This project will result in no significant adverse impact statement need not be prepared. Accordingly,			1		
	B. Although this project could have a significant adverse impact on the environment, that impact will be avoided or substantially mitigated because of the following conditions which will be required by the lead agency:				
There will, therefore, be no significant adverse impacts from the project as conditioned, and therefore, this conditioned negative declaration is issued. A conditioned negative declaration may be used only for UNLISTED actions (see 6 NYCRR 617.7(d)).					
C. This Project may result in one or more significant adverse impacts on the environment, and an environmental impact statement must be prepared to further assess the impact(s) and possible mitigation and to explore alternatives to avoid or reduce those impacts. Accordingly, this positive declaration is issued.					
Name of Action:					
Name of Lead Agency:					
Name of Responsible Officer in Lead Agency:					
Title of Responsible Officer in Lead Agency:					
Signature of Responsible Officer in Lead Agency:		Date:			
Signature of Preparer (if different from Responsible O	fficer)	Date:			
For Further Information:					
Contact Person:					
Address:					
Telephone Number:					
Email:					
For Type 1 Actions and Conditioned Negative Decl Chief Executive Officer of the political subdivision in Other involved agencies (if any) Applicant (if any) Environmental Notice Bulletin: <u>http://www.dec.ny.go</u>	which the ad	action will be principally located (Town/City/Villa	ge)		
Environmental nouce bulletin: <u>http://www.dec.ny.gc</u>	<u>iv/enu/enu.n</u>	<u>1111111</u>			

## SUFFOLK COUNTY ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT FORM

## Appendix A Suffolk County Historic Trust

### Application for Determination of Appropriateness for Alteration to Suffolk County Historic Trust Landmark or Site

#### 1. APPLICANT

Agency: Contact Person: Address: Telephone:

#### 2. PROPERTY

Structure Name:
Location:
Historic Trust Status: 🗌 Designated; 🗌 Eligible
Use Category:
Current Use:
Proposed Use:
Is the structure listed on or eligible for the National Register of Historic Places? [] Yes; [] No

#### 3. PROPOSED WORK

#### 4. FUNDING

Estimated Cost of Project: Source(s) of Funding:

### 5. PROPERTY HISTORY

Date of Original Construction:
Original Architect/Builder:
History of Use:
History of Alterations:

### 6. <u>SUBMISSIONS</u> (check all that apply)

☐ Map ☐ Drawings

HP-1 Form

Specifications
 Environmental Assessment Form
 Photographs

Samples
Other:

# 7. RELATED INFORMATION AND COMMENT:

The Suffolk County Historic Trust is hereby requested to review the scope of work proposed for the above mentioned landmark structure, owned by the County of Suffolk, New York, to determine the appropriateness of design and/or use as regulated by the Suffolk County Charter. Design review guidelines have been made available for reference and it is understood that submission or approval of this application does not relieve applicant's responsibility for securing any and all other permits and approvals as required by law.

## SUFFOLK COUNTY ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT FORM

# Appendix B Visual EAF Addendum

This form may be used to provide additional information relating to Question 9 of Part 1 of the Full Environmental Assessment Form

## VISIBILITY

		Distance Between				
		Project and Resource (in miles)				
<u>1.</u> \	Vould the project be visible from:	0 - 1⁄4	1/4 - 1/2	1⁄2 -3	3-5	5+
a.	A parcel of land which is dedicated to and available to the					
	public for the use, enjoyment and appreciation of natural or					
	man-made scenic qualities					
b.	An overlook or parcel of land dedicated to public					
	observation, enjoyment and appreciation of natural or man-					
	made scenic qualities					
с.	A site or structure listed on the National or State Registers					
	of Historic Places					
d.	State Parks					
e.	The State Forest Preserve					
f.	National Wildlife Refuges and State Game Refuges					
g.	National Natural Landmarks and other outstanding natural					
	features					
h.	National Park Service lands					
i.	Rivers designated as National or State Wild, Scenic or					
	Recreational					
j.	Any transportation corridor of high exposure, such as part					
	of the Interstate System or Amtrak					
k.	A governmentally established or designated interstate or					
	inter-county foot trail, or one formally proposed for					
	establishment or designation					
1.	A site, area, lake, reservoir or highway designated as scenic					
m.	Municipal park or designated open space					
n.	County road					
0.	State road					
p.	Local road					

2. Is the visibility of the project seasonal? (i.e., screened by summer foliage but visible during other seasons)

3. Are any of the resources checked in question 1 used by the public during the time of year during which the project will be visible?

# DESCRIPTION OF EXISTING VISUAL ENVIRONMENT

4. From each item checked in question 1, check those which generally describe the surrounding environment.

			ithin	
	1⁄4 m	ile*	1 n	nile*
Essentially undeveloped				
Forested		_		_
Agricultural				
Suburban Residential		_		_
Industrial	L			
Commercial				
Urban		<u> </u>		
River, Lake, Pond				
Cliffs, Overlooks				
Designated Open Space				
Flat				
Hilly				
Mountainous				
Other: NOTE: Add attachments as needed.				
5. Are there visually similar projects within*: <sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub> mile: Yes No 1 mile: Yes No	2 miles:	_	3 miles:	] Yes 🗌 No
* Distance from project site is provided for assistance. Substitute of	ther distances as	appropriate.		
EXPOSURE				
6. The annual number of viewers likely to observe the proposed p NOTE: When user data is unavailable or unknown, use best est				
<u>CONTEXT</u>				
7. The situation or activity in which the viewers are engaged whil	e viewing the pro	oposed action is:		
		Fre	quency	
			Holidova/	
Activity	Daile	Waalda	Holidays/ Weekends	Seasonally
Activity Travel to and from work	Daily	Weekly	weekellus	
Involved in recreational activities				
Routine travel by residents				
At a residence				
At worksite				
Other:				
Ould1.				

### SUFFOLK COUNTY SHORT ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT FORM 6 NYCRR Part 617 State Environmental Quality Review

<u>Instructions</u>: The applicant or project sponsor is responsible for the completion of Part 1. Responses become part of the application for approval or funding, are subject to public review and may be subject to further verification. Complete Part 1 based on information currently available. If additional research or investigation would be needed to fully respond to any item, please answer as thoroughly as possible based on current available information.

Complete all items in Part 1. You may also provide any additional information which you believe will be needed by or useful to the lead agency; attach additional pages as necessary to supplement any item.

## **Part 1 – Project and Sponsor Information**

Name of Action/Project:					
Project Location (include map):					
Brief Description of Proposed Action (include purpose, inte	ent and the environme	ental resourc	ces that may be affected):		
Name of Applicant/Project Sponsor:		Email: Telephone	#·		
Address:	I	Telephone			
City/P.O.:	State:		Zip Code:		
1. Does the proposed action only involve the legislative as ordinance, administrative rule or regulation?		Yes 🗌 No 🗌			
<ul> <li>2. Does the proposed action require a permit, approval or governmental agency?</li> <li>If Yes, list agency(s) name and permit or approval:</li> </ul>		Yes 🗌 No 🗌			
3a. Total acreage of the site of the proposed action:					
3b. Total acreage to be physically disturbed:					
3c. Total acreage (project site and contiguous properties) owned or controlled by the applicant or project sponsor:					
4. Check all land uses that occur on, adjoining and near the Urban         Industrial         Industrial		ture tial (suburba	Rural (non- agriculture) n) Other:		
5a. Is the proposed action a permitted use under the zoning 5b. Is the proposed action consistent with an adopted comp	-		Yes No N/A Yes No N/A		

6. Is the proposed action consistent with the predominant character of the existing built or natural landscape?	Yes 🗌 No 🗌 N/A 🗌
7. Is the site of the proposed action located in, or adjoining a state listed Critical Environmental Area (CEA)?	
If Yes, identify CEA:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
8a. Will the proposed action result in a substantial increase in traffic above present levels?	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
8b. Are public transportation services available at or near the site of the proposed action?	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
8c. Are any pedestrian accommodations or bicycle routes available on or near the site of the proposed action?	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
9. Does the proposed action meet or exceed the state energy code requirements?	
If the proposed action will exceed requirements, describe design features and technologies:	Yes 🗌 No 🗌 N/A 🗌
10. Will the proposed action connect to an existing public/private water supply?	
If Yes, does the existing system have capacity to provide service? Yes No	Yes 🗌 No 🦳 N/A 🗍
If No, describe method for providing potable water:	
11. Will the proposed action connect to existing wastewater utilities?	
If Yes, does the existing system have capacity to provide service? Yes $\square$ No $\square$	
If No, describe method for providing wastewater treatment:	Yes No N/A
12a. Does the site contain a structure that is listed on either the State or National Register of Historic Places or dedicated to the Suffolk County Historic Trust?	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
12b. Is the proposed action located in an archeological sensitive area?	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
13a. Does any portion of the site of the proposed action, or lands adjoining the proposed action, contain wetlands or other waterbodies regulated by a federal, state or local agency?	Yes 🗌 No 🗌

13b. Would the proposed action physically alter, or encroach into, any existing wetland or waterbody?	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
If Yes, identify the wetland or waterbody and extent of alterations in square feet or acres:	
14. Identify the typical habitat types that occur on, or are likely to be found on the project site         Shoreline       Forest         Wetland       Urban	(check all that apply): Early/mid-successional
15. Does the site of the proposed action contain any species of animal or associated habitats, listed by the State or Federal government as threatened or endangered?	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
16. Is the project site located in the 100 year flood plain?	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
<ul> <li>17. Will the proposed action create storm water discharge, either from point or non-point sources?</li> <li>If Yes, <ul> <li>a. Will storm water discharges flow to adjacent properties?</li> <li>Yes No</li> </ul> </li> <li>b. Will storm water discharges be directed to established conveyance systems (runoff and storm drains)?</li> <li>Yes No</li> <li>Yes, describe:</li> </ul>	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
<ul> <li>18. Does the proposed action include construction or other activities that result in the impoundment of water or other liquids (e.g. retention pond, waste lagoon, dam)?</li> <li>If Yes, explain size and purpose:</li> </ul>	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
<ul> <li>19. Has the site of the proposed action or an adjoining property been the location of an active or closed solid waste management facility?</li> <li>If Yes, describe:</li> </ul>	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
<ul> <li>20. Has the site of the proposed action or an adjoining property been the subject of remediation (ongoing or completed) for hazardous waste?</li> <li>If Yes, describe:</li> <li>I AFFIRM THAT THE INFORMATION PROVIDED ABOVE IS TRUE AND ACCURA</li> </ul>	Yes No
MY KNOWLEDGE	IL IC IIIL DEDI OF
Applicant/Sponsor Name: Date:	
Signature:	

## SUFFOLK COUNTY SHORT ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT FORM 6 NYCRR Part 617 State Environmental Quality Review

# **Part 2 – Impact Assessment** (To be completed by Lead Agency)

		No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
1.	Will the proposed action create a material conflict with an adopted land use plan or zoning regulations?		
2.	Will the proposed action result in a change in the use or intensity of use of land?		
3.	Will the proposed action impair the character or quality of the existing community?		
4.	Will the proposed action have an impact on the environmental characteristics that caused the establishment of a Critical Environmental Area (CEA)?		
5.	Will the proposed action result in an adverse change in the existing level of traffic or affect existing infrastructure for mass transit, biking or walkway?		
6.	Will the proposed action cause an increase in the use of energy and fail to incorporate reasonably available energy conservation or renewable energy opportunities?		
7.	Will the proposed action impact existing public/private water supplies?		
8.	Will the proposed action impact existing public/private wastewater treatment utilities?		
9.	Will the proposed action impair the character or quality of important historic, archaeological, architectural or aesthetic resources?		
10.	Will the proposed action result in an adverse change to natural resources (e.g., wetlands, waterbodies, groundwater, air quality, flora and fauna)?		
11.	Will the proposed action result in an increase in the potential for erosion, flooding or drainage problems?		
12.	Will the proposed action create a hazard to environmental resources or human health?		

## SUFFOLK COUNTY SHORT ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT FORM 6 NYCRR Part 617

State Environmental Quality Review

#### Part 3 – Determination of Significance

The Lead Agency is responsible for the completion of Part 3. For every question in Part 2 that was answered "moderate to large impact may occur", or if there is a need to explain why a particular element of the proposed action may or will not result in a significant adverse environmental impact, please complete Part 3. Part 3 should, in sufficient detail, identify the impact, including any measures or design elements that have been included by the project sponsor to avoid or reduce impacts. Part 3 should also explain how the lead agency determined that the impact may or will not be significant. Each potential impact should be assessed considering its setting, probability of occurring, duration, irreversibility, geographic scope and magnitude. Also consider the potential for short-term, long-term and cumulative impacts. Attach additional pages as necessary.

Check this box if you have determined, based on the information and analysis above, and any supporting documentation that the proposed action may result in one or more potentially large or significant adverse impacts and an environmental impact statement is required. (Positive Declaration)

Check this box if you have determined, based on the information and analysis above, and any supporting documentation that the proposed action will not result in any significant adverse environmental impacts. (Negative Declaration)

Name of Lead Agency

Date

Print or Type Name of Responsible Officer in Lead Agency

Title of Responsible Officer

Signature of Responsible Officer in Lead Agency

Signature of Preparer (if different from Responsible Officer)