COUNTY OF SUFFOLK



DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND PLANNING
DIVISION OF PLANNING AND ENVIRONMENT

COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

GLORIA RUSSO CHAIRPERSON CEQ

NOTICE OF PUBLIC MEETING

Notice is hereby given that the Council on Environmental Quality will convene a regular public meeting at 9:30 a.m. on Wednesday July 17, 2013 in the Arthur Kunz Library, H. Lee Dennison Building, Fourth Floor, Veterans Memorial Highway, Hauppauge, NY 11788. Pursuant to the Citizens Public Participation Act, all citizens are invited to submit testimony, either orally or in writing at the meeting. Written comments can also be submitted prior to the meeting to the attention of:

Andrew P. Freleng, Chief Planner Council on Environmental Quality Suffolk County Planning Department P.O. Box 6100 Hauppauge, NY 11788 631-853-5191

Council of Environmental Quality Gloria Russo, Chairperson

COUNTY OF SUFFOLK



DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND PLANNING DIVISION OF PLANNING AND ENVIRONMENT COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

GLORIA RUSSO CHAIRPERSON **CEQ**

REVISED AGENDA

MEETING NOTIFICATION

Wednesday, July 17, 2013 9:30 a.m. **Arthur Kunz Library** H. Lee Dennison Bldg. - 4th Floor Veterans Memorial Highway, Hauppauge

All project materials can be found at:

http://www.suffolkcountyny.gov/Departments/Planning/Boards/CouncilonEnvironmentalQuality

Call to Order: **Minutes:** June 2013 **Correspondence: Public Portion:**

Historic Trust Docket:

Director's Report:

Updates on Housing Program for Historic Trust Sites Updates on Historic Trust Custodial Agreements

Project Review:

Recommended Unlisted Actions:

- A. Proposed Sewer District #6 Kings Park, Sewer Extension, Town of Smithtown
- B. Proposed Demolition of the Bavarian Inn Building Structure, Town of Smithtown

Recommendations for LADS Report:

- A. Recommendations for Legislative Resolutions Laid on the Table June 18, 2013
- B. Recommendation for I.R. 1252-2013 (Amended)

\sim	41	D •	
	thar	Kucin	ACC .
٠,		Busin	C33.

CAC Concerns:

^{*}CAC MEMBERS: The above information has been forwarded to your local Legislators, Supervisors and DEC personnel. Please check with them prior to the meeting to see if they have any comments or concerns regarding these projects that they would like brought to the CEQ's attention.

^{**}CEQ MEMBERS: PLEASE NOTIFY THIS OFFICE AS SOON AS POSSIBLE IF YOU WILL BE UNABLE TO ATTEND.

^{***}FOLLOWING THE MEETING PLEASE LEAVE BEHIND ALL PROJECT MATERIAL THAT YOU DO NOT WANT OR NEED AS WE CAN RECYCLE THESE MATERIALS LATER ON.

COUNTY OF SUFFOLK



DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND PLANNING
DIVISION OF PLANNING AND ENVIRONMENT
COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

GLORIA RUSSO CHAIRPERSON CEQ

SUFFOLK COUNTY COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY MINUTES

DATE: July 17, 2013

TIME: 9:35 am to 10:40 am

LOCATION: Arthur Kunz Library

H. Lee Dennison Bldg. – 4th Floor

Veterans Memorial Highway, Hauppauge, New York

PRESENT:

Gloria Russo, Chair James Bagg, Vice-Chair Thomas Gulbransen Hon. Kara Hahn Michael Kaufman Dan Pichney Mary Ann Spencer

ABSENT:

Eva Growney Larry Swanson

CAC REPRESENTATIVES:

Joy Squires, Town of Huntington

STAFF:

Andrew Freleng, Chief Planner John Corral, Planner Christine DeSalvo, Senior Clerk Typist

GUESTS:

Richard Martin, Director of Historic Services, Suffolk County Dept. of Parks, Recreation & Conservation

Gilbert Anderson, Commissioner, Suffolk County Department of Public Works
Philip Berdolt, Deputy Commissioner, Suffolk County Department of Public Works
John Donovan, Chief Engineer, Suffolk County Department of Public Works
Ben Wright, Principal Engineer, Suffolk County Department of Public Works
Jill Rosen-Nikoloff, Director Workforce Housing/Community Development, Suffolk County
Department of Economic Development and Planning
Christopher Lubicich, Suffolk County Department of Health Services
Michael Pitcher, Director of Communications, Suffolk County Legislature
John Kennedy, Suffolk County Legislator, District #18
Debbie Tinnirello, Legislative Aide, District #5
Allyson Murray, Environmental Planner, Town of Smithtown

Minutes:

Minutes for the June 19, 2013 CEQ meeting were reviewed and discussed. A motion was made by Ms. Spencer to approve the June 19, 2013 minutes. The motion was seconded by Mr. Kaufman. Motion carried.

Correspondence:

The CEQ members were made aware of a CEQ letter that was sent to the Suffolk County Department of Parks, Recreation & Conservation regarding an RFP for the Master Plan at Hubbard County Park. This letter had been authorized by the CEQ at the June 19, 2013 meeting.

Public Portion:

None

Historic Trust Docket:

Director's Report:

Mr. Martin updated the Council on the following:

• Housing Program:

Mr. Martin noted that all of the County housing vacancies have been filled. The Robinson Duck Farm house was the last of the housing vacancies to be filled with a tenant moving into the location this week.

• Custodial Agreements:

Mr. Martin noted that there are no updates on the custodial agreements.

• Current Events:

Mr. Martin informed the Council that tours have been completed related to a request for interest on a new pilot program in which historic properties are adopted by a private entity and then restored. The tours took place at the first two potential properties for the pilot program, the Elwood School House in Elwood and Black Duck Lodge in Flanders. Proposals and ideas for expressions of interest are due by July 18, 2013. From these expressions of interest a formal RFP request will be sent out. Mr. Martin noted that there has been interest in this pilot program.

On the July 4th weekend Newsday ran an article regarding the Sagtikos Manor Historical Society. This was as a result of their 50th anniversary. John Hank attended the event and spent a lot of time with the volunteers. It was noted that the newspaper article helps with getting more attendance at the site.

Project Review:

Recommended Unlisted Actions:

A. Proposed Sewer District #6 Kings Park, Sewer Extension, Town of Smithtown

Gilbert Anderson, Commissioner, Suffolk County Department of Public Works, John Donovan, Chief Engineer, Suffolk County Department of Public Works and Ben Wright, Principal Engineer, Suffolk County Department of Public Works made a presentation on the project to the CEQ. Allyson Murray, Environmental Planner, from the Town of Smithtown was also present at the meeting to answer any questions.

Mr. Donovan discussed that the project would expand sewers to the main street Kings Park downtown area and also to the Kings Wood development which is on a failing system. The project involves the extension of the Suffolk County Sewer District #6 service area by the construction and installation of a wastewater collection and conveyance system. A pump station and force main would tie the Kings Park Central business district to the existing Kings Park sewage treatment plant.

Mr. Donovan noted that there was a feasibility study done in 2006 in which the Department of Public Works hired a consultant to see if sewers would be feasible to install in the area. The feasibility study determined that for social, economic and environmental reasons it would be beneficial to install sewers in this area. The Department of Public Works then hired an engineer to design the system. The design is about 95 percent complete. Now that a location has been identified for the pump station at the Smithtown Water District Site the Department is completing the design of the system. It was noted that the project is estimated to result in approximately 95,000 gallons a day being added to the existing Sewage

Treatment Plant and there is excess capacity at the Plant for the project. The Kings Park Treatment Plant has a capacity to handle 600,000 gallons per day and is currently treating 300,000 gallons per day. It was also noted that the Long Island Sound Study has a mass nitrogen loading requirement. It was discussed that the Plant is currently meeting this Long Island Sound Study requirement and would continue to be in conformance with this requirement after the increase flow that would result from this project.

Mr. Donovan noted that there are a lot of agencies involved with this project and a number of funding grants being made to support the project. It was discussed that the Town of Smithtown is in support of this project.

Mr. Kaufman asked a series of questions that were answered by Mr. Donovan:

- Q. The additional capacity will not harm the Long Island Sound objectives, in other words the plant will still be within compliance of the Long Island Sound objectives regarding nitrogen?
- A. Correct.
- Q. There isn't going to be any connection with the Smithtown Central Business District?
- A. That's correct.
- Q. Is there going to be any connection to what they call the Uplands Project which is to the Northeast, is there any plan to pump any of the cleaned water from the STP at this time to that Upland Project?
- A. No, that's not happening at this time.
- Q. There isn't going to be any entry into undeveloped land or environmental sensitive land and no destruction of same?
- A. Correct
- Q. You said a moment ago that all construction was going in the roadbeds outside of the actual sewered areas?
- A. Correct.
- Q. The discharge of treated effluent will continue through the existing pipe into Smithtown Bay?
- A. Correct.
- Q. In your opinion is this going to improve the environment, basically for example looking at wastewater which right now goes into the groundwater component of the area, to the extent that this is going to be going into a sewage treatment plant is it the Department's opinion that the environment will be improved by this project?
- A. It is our opinion that anything we can put on sewers is better than going into an onsite septic system. Onsite septic systems pretty much just have raw sewage settling out and leaching into the ground. Sewers we take that sewage and we treat it and make it a clean effluent, meeting all the DEC permits, and make it pretty much clean water. That is what will be done here and again the Kings Wood development is a classic example of having serious problems of failure and I think they have overflow backups on to their site so this would tremendously help that community.
- Q. Now I'm going to ask a segmentation question. At one time there was talk about bringing the Smithtown Business District into this plan, the approximately cost of this project if that was to occur was north of 60 million dollars, are there any plans at this

- point in time to hook up to sewer treatment plant in the foreseeable future?
- A. No, the cost is tremendous that's why we are keeping the Smithtown component out of this project and there are no plans at this time to include the Smithtown Business District.

Mr. Bagg asked what approvals have to be obtained by the County before this project happens. Mr. Donovan said the NYSDEC reviews the engineering report that was sent to them, the plans and specs will have to be approved by NYSDEC. It was also noted that public hearings will have to take place for this project but that SEQRA has to be approved first.

Mr. Gulbransen and Legislator Hahn asked questions about the Nitrogen loading, the Long Island Sound Study's requirements and future development. Mr. Bagg noted that this would become a Suffolk County Sewer District and therefore applications have to go through the Sewer Agency and any hookups to the District has to go through that board and be approved by the County. Mr. Donovan also noted that in addition to being in compliance with the requirements of the Long Island Sound study that the STP has a maximum capacity of approximately 600,000 gallons per day.

Mr. Kaufman made a motion to recommend classification of the proposed project as an Unlisted Action with a Negative Declaration. The motion included that all necessary permits and approvals will be obtained from the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation and that the proposed action will be in conformance with the Long Island Sound Study. Legislator Hahn seconded the motion. Motion carries.

B. Proposed Demolition of the Bavarian Inn Building Structure, Town of Smithtown

Gil Anderson, Commissioner, Suffolk County Department of Public Works, explained the Department of Public Work's proposed demolition project of the Bavarian Inn structure. Mr. Anderson noted that the Department of Public Works would be responsible for undertaking the project after securing all proper permitting from the NYSDEC and any other regulatory bodies.

Jill Rosen-Nikoloff, Director of the Division of Real Estate, noted that the County took over this property through a tax default in June, 2012.

Ms. Spencer asked if there was a long term plan for this parcel, for example is it intended to be parkland? Mr. Anderson noted that he is in charge of the demolition of the structure and does not have information on the future plan for the property. He noted that there are several plans being considered for the property at this point.

Legislator Kennedy added that there is earnest discussion about the plans for the property right now. Mr. Kennedy stated that the community wants to see it in park status and that the NYSDEC is interested in re-establishing the handicapped fishing pier.

Ms. Spencer noted the focus of her question was that it might save money to take the Inn and the parking lot pavement away together rather than separately. Mr. Anderson said they are looking at that right now. The pavement itself is a minimal cost relative to the building because the materials can be recycled. Mr. Anderson said the removal of the parking lot pavement maybe something they are required to do by NYSDEC right now but they still need to have discussion with the DEC about the project.

Mr. Bagg noted that the structure needs to be removed and the amount of parking lot pavement that is removed should be considered based on the plan for the property and then worked out by the Department of Public Works with the specification that they will get all necessary permits and approvals.

Mr. Bagg made a motion to recommend classification of the proposed project as an Unlisted Action with a Negative Declaration. The motion included that all necessary permits and approvals will be obtained from New York State Department of Conservation prior to demolition and that the removal of any toxic and/or hazardous substances will be in compliance with all applicable rules and regulations. Ms. Spencer seconded the motion. Motion carried.

Recommendations for LADS Report:

A. Recommendations for Legislative Resolutions Laid on the Table June 18, 2013.

Mr. Corral noted that the staff's SEQRA recommendations are listed on the LADS report but after review there were no items that staff felt were necessary to flag in terms of its SEQRA recommendations.

Mr. Kaufman noted that I.R.1560-2013 is not consistent to some degree with the Vector Control Plan and related EIS. The Council tabled its LADS Report recommendation for I.R. 1560-2013 and requested that further information be provided.

Mr. Kaufman made a motion to accept staff recommendations, as amended, as presented for the June 18, 2013 Legislative Resolutions. The motion was seconded by Mr. Bagg. Motion carried.

A. Recommendation for I.R. 1252-2013 (Amended):

Mr. Corral noted that I.R. 1252-2013 came before CEQ at the May CEQ meeting and the Legislator sponsor requested that discussion on this resolution be tabled. Mr. Corral also noted that I.R. 1252-2013 (amended) is the amended bill which explains the demolition of the structure and provides funding for the demolition. It was also noted that staff's SEQRA recommendation for this resolution is the same as the SEQRA recommendation that was made for the demolition of the Bayarian Inn Structure.

Mr. Kaufman made a motion to recommend classification of the proposed project as an Unlisted Action with a Negative Declaration. The motion included the same recommendations as for the demolition of the Bavarian Inn Structure which included that all necessary permits and approvals will be obtained from New York State Department of Conservation prior to demolition and that the removal of any toxic and/or hazardous substances will be in compliance with all applicable rules and regulations. Mr. Bagg seconded the motion. Motion carried.

Public Portion:

No comments were presented at this time.

CAC Concerns:

Other Business:

Meeting Adjourned.

COUNTY OF SUFFOLK



STEVEN BELLONE SUFFOLK COUNTY EXECUTIVE

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS

VINCENT FALKOWSKI, P.E. CHIEF DEPUTY COMMISSIONER

GILBERT ANDERSON, P.E. COMMISSIONER

PHILIP A. BERDOLT DEPUTY COMMISSIONER

MEMORANDUM

TO:

Gloria Russo, Chairperson

Council on Environmental Quality

FROM:

John Donovan, P.E., Chief Engineer

SUBJECT:

SD #6 - Kings Park, Sewer Extension

DATE:

July 2, 2013

Please find attached 15 copies of an EAF for a project to extend sewers into the Main Street area of Kings Park. The project (CP 8153) resulted from a feasibility study and final design is underway. As indicated in the EAF, we have been meeting with Town of Smithtown representatives for location of a pumping station. Sewage will be conveyed to our Sewer District No. 6 facility that provides advanced treatment prior to discharge to Smithtown Bay/Long Island Sound.

We would appreciate the project being placed on an upcoming CEQ meeting. Thank you for your assistance.

BW:ni

Attachment

cc.

Gil Anderson, P.E., Commissioner

Ben Wright, P.E., Principal Civil Engineer

Frank Russo, P.E., H2M

jd7-2-13 sd6 Sewer Extension CP 8153 CEQ memo to GRusso

SUFFOLK COUNTY IS AN EOUAL OPPORTUNITY/AFFIRMATIVE ACTION EMPLOYER

(631) 852-4010 FAX (631) 852-4150

k. Town or Village Zoning Board	X		Pump Station
1. Town or Village Building Department			
m. Town or Village Highway Department	Х		Road opening
n. Town or Village Environmental Agency		х	
o. Local Fire Marshal		Х	
P. Other local agency (specify i.e. CEQ)	х		Compliance with SEQRA

1. Town or v	illage Building Department			
m. Town or	Village Highway Department	Х		Road o
n. Town or V	/illage Environmental Agency		x	7
o. Local Fire	Marshal		X	
P. Other loca	al agency (specify i.e. CEQ)	х		Compli
3. Conforma	nce to existing comprehensive or p Yes no Description	roject maste	er plans.	
a. Stateb. Bi-Countc. Countyd. Towne. Village	Not applicable. y Not applicable. Project is not situal Not applicable. Yes, Provide sewage infrastructur variety of development as per exis Not applicable. Project is not situa	e that can su	pport a wide along NYS Route 2	25A corridor
PREPARER	Bonnie FRANSON, PP, Al	CP_Date	7/2/13	
TITLE				
SIGNATUR				
n and I certify that	the information herein is accurate. SIRECTOR SOLLY DONOVA	Franson	PP, AICI	ρ
PROJECT D	DOLOVA	O_Date	7/2/13	
TITLE			•	
SIGNATUR	E*/		·	
,				

I certify that the information herein is accurate.

^{*} Signature of both preparer and project director required.

SUFFOLK COUNTY FULL ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT FORM (EAF)

<u>Instructions</u>: This document is designed to assist in determining whether the action proposed may have a significant effect on the environment. Please complete the entire Data Sheet. Include as much information as possible such as feasibility studies, design reports, etc. Attach additional sheets if necessary. Mark irrelevant questions N.A., not applicable.

A. General Information:

1. Name of Project:

The Suffolk County DPW (the project sponsor) proposes an extension of the Suffolk County Sewer District No. 6 service area by the construction and installation of a wastewater collection and conveyance system to serve the central business district of the Kings Park hamlet. The Kings Park business district encompasses approximately 140 business establishments within a 65-acre area located primarily along New York State Route 25A (NYS Route 25A) and Indian Head Road within the Town of Smithtown. The force main would tie the existing sewer to the existing Kings Park sewage treatment plant. Expansion of the plant is not required based on the design flow from the service area. A project location map is attached. The proposed sewer service area and location of the force main and collection system is shown in an attached map.

2. Location of Project: (specify Town, Village or Hamlet and include project location map on next page.)

The project is situated in the Kings Park hamlet, an unincorporated area in the Town of Smithtown, Suffolk County, NY. See attached figures. The collection and conveyance system would be located primarily within the right of way of the following street segments: NYS Route 25A (Main Street) from approximately 900 feet east of Kings Park Boulevard west to Park Avenue and Church Street from Main Street south to Shay Drive. Properties within the sewer area border the following roadways: Main Street (NYS Route 25A), Patiky Street, Okst Street, Indian Head Road, Church Street, Henry Street, Thompson Street, Renwick Avenue, Carlson Avenue, Pulaski Road (County Road 11), Dawson Avenue, Meridian Avenue and Burr Avenue. The sewer area also includes the Kingswood Development, an apartment complex located on the west side of Church Street approximately 1,900 feet north of NYS Route 25A.

Street Address:	
Approximately 140 business establishments. See response to A.2 above.	
Name of property or waterway:	
Not applicable.	

3. Maps of Property and Project: Attach relevant available maps, including a location map (note
use road map, Hagstrom Atlas, U.S.G.S. topo map, tax map or equivalent) and preliminary site
plans showing orientation, scale, buildings, roads, landmarks, drainage systems, areas to be
altered by project, etc.

4. Type of Project: (check one) New Expansion X

5. Capital Program: (specify) Item # 8153 Date Adopted 06/06/12 Amount \$ 10 million.

Funding had been placed in subsequent years in the 2013-2015 Adopted Program and 2013 Adopted Capital budget noting a continued search for Federal funding.

6. General Description of Project including its Purpose (attach relevant design reports, plans etc.):

As set forth in the Volume II: Engineering Design Report, Kings Park Business District, Sewer Connection to Suffolk County Sewer District No. 6, October 2010, prepared by Holzmacher, McLendon & Murrell, Architects and Engineers, P.C. (H2M), the purpose of the project is to install sanitary infrastructure to serve the Kings Park business district. Providing sewer service to the business district will minimize possible impacts to the environment, remove wastewater flow limitations associated with the existing onsite sanitary systems, and make the business district attractive for future development and investment. The design flow for the proposed Kings Park Sewer District takes into account existing and future buildout flows - these flows can be accommodated without the need to modify or expand the existing SCSD No. 6 sewage treatment plant. All sewer and pump station designs and layouts are in accordance with the standards in the Recommended Standards for Wastewater Treatment Facilities (2004 Edition), SCDHS standards and Suffolk County DPW guidelines.

The Kings Park business district contains commercial buildings, medical offices, mixed-use properties and vacant land located in the heart of Kings Park. The business district includes approximately 65 acres along 0.75 miles of New York State Route 25A (NYS Route 25A). Gravity sewers will be installed to replace all existing onsite sanitary systems except for two properties which will require low pressure sewers (LPS). All wastewater collected from the sewers will be conveyed to a new municipal pump station and be conveyed to the sewage treatment plant via a force main.

7. Project Status: (check if begun)

		Start	Completion
Х	FEASIIBILITY STUDY		Jan. 2009
Х	ENGINEERING REPORT		Oct. 2010
Х	PRELIMINARY PLANNING		Oct. 2010
Х	FINAL PLANS: SPECS	Feb. 2011	
Х	SITE ACQUISITION (easement for Pump Station)	Aug. 2013	
	CONSTRUCTION	Not started	
Х	OTHER application for funding	6/4/13	

8. Departments Involved:

NAME AND ADDRESS OF DEPT. PERFORMING DESIGN & CONSTRUCTION	NAME AND ADDRESS OF INITIATING DEPT. (If different)
Name: Suffolk County Department of Public Works	N.A.
Street/P.O.: 335 Yaphank Avenue	N.A.
City, State: Yaphank, NY	N.A.
Zip: 11980	N.A.
Contact Person: John Donovan, P.E.	N.A.
Business Phone: (631) 852-4184	N.A.

B. Project Description

1. Scale of Project:

a. Total contiguous acres now owned at site:	Not applicable – project to be constructed in existing public rights-of-way or public property
b. Acreage to be acquired:	None. The Town of Smithtown will provide an easement for an approximately 0.25 acre portion of a Town owned site for the wastewater pump station .
c. Developed acreage now: Developed acreage at completion of project: Developed acreage ultimately:	No change in developed acreage. Project is being installed within developed street rights-of-way, except pump station, to be located on lawn area of a developed site.
d. Acreage of vegetation or cover to be removed:	0.25 acre pump station site

e. Acreage to remain undeveloped:	None – already developed.
f. Building gross floor area now: sq. ft. acres	Floor area of control building at proposed pump
Building gross floor area proposed: sq. ft	station approximately 150 SF. Floor area for odor control building approximately 36 SF.
g. Height of tallest structure on site now:	9 feet for pump station control building; no
Height of tallest structure proposed on site:	change to sewage treatment plant as result of connection
h. Proposed Building use (if any)	Pump station to house electrical equipment
i. Off-street parking spaces now: number acres	None
Off-street parking spaces proposed: number	None being created –space is available within the fenced area at the pump station to park a maintenance vehicle
j. Max. vehicle trips/hr. when operational:	Not applicable – action does not generate
	vehicular trips except for one trip a day for
1 D 1 '4	SCDPW personnel to check pump station.
k. Roads on site now:	Primarily Church Street, West and East Main Street, Indian Head Road, Meadow Road,
	Lawrence Road, Yale Lane, Bowman Drive. See
	project description above and figures.
1. New road construction or reconstruction	No road construction; trench restoration and
	resurfacing of pavement cuts after sewer and force main pipes are installed.
m. Will project result in an increase in energy	Energy use will increase as result of pump station
use?	electric and gas for operation of standby
If yes, indicate type(s):	generator
n. Will project require storage of liquid fuels	Station will be designed with a 35 gallon drum
and chemicals?	and metering pump for the potential use of potassium permanganate for odor control.
If yes, describe substances and amounts to be	potassium permanganate for odor control.
stored:	
Stored.	

2. Project Schedule:

a. Is project single or multi-phase?	Project is single phase
b. If multi-phase, how many phases?	
c. Total construction time (months)	18 months

3. Wastes and Pollutants Generated During Project Construction and Operation:

	Components	Quantity	Mode of Disposal
a. Sanitary Sewage			Purpose of project is to provide a sanitary sewage collection and conveyance system for a developed area.

b. Liquid industrial waste	None at this time.		The Suffolk County Industrial/Commercial Waste Pretreatment Program (IPP) regulates non-residential discharges into SCDPW sewer systems. This program is mandated under federal law. The discharge of objectionable, toxic and hazardous wastes are controlled through a review process and connection permit.
c. Toxic chemicals	None at this time.		The Suffolk County Industrial/Commercial Waste Pretreatment Program (IPP) regulates non-residential discharges into SCDPW sewer systems. This program is mandated under federal law. The discharge of objectionable, toxic and hazardous wastes are controlled through a review process and connection permit.
d. Pesticides or herbicides	None.		
e. Solid wastes	Construction only.		Construction and demolition debris landfill
f. Clearing or demolition debris	Construction		Construction and demolition debris landfill. Asphalt pavement that is removed may be recycled.
g. Spoil disposal or sedimentation	Construction		Spoil during construction will be removed from the site and stockpiled for use elsewhere.
h. Atmospheric emissions	Construction Pump station	Minimal	Exhaust from construction vehicles and equipment during construction activities. Only during the operation of the backup generator.
i. Surface water runoff	Pump Station	Minimal	Existing stormwater system
j. Noise exceeding ambient	Construction Pump Station		To be conducted in accordance with Town noise regulations. Only during operation of backup generator.
k. Odors exceeding l hr/day	None		Odor and grease build-up associated with pump station will be controlled by a system consisting of one (1) discharge nozzle to disperse oxidant into the wet well headspace. The odor control

	equipment will be housed inside of an above grade pre-fabricated fiberglass heated enclosure. The enclosure will be approximately 6 feet wide x 6 feet long x 7 feet tall and will be anchored to a concrete maintenance pad.
1. Other (specify)	

4. Does Project Involve Any:

Grading Cut/Fill; List amounts.	None. Existing grade will be restored.
Dredging; List max. depth, length & width.	None
Spoil Area; List amount.	None
Bulkheading; List length.	None
Dewatering; List g.p.m. & period of time.	None

5. Indicate Sources of Utilities:

Water	Suffolk County Water Authority operates all wells and well fields in the Town of Smithtown. Distribution is by Suffolk County Water Authority Smithtown District.
Electricity	Long Island Power Authority / National Grid
Gas	National Grid Energy Services as distributor for various Energy Service Companies (ESC)
Other (please specify) Telephone	Verizon

6. Total Water Usage:

Gallons per Day Not applicable

If water supply is from wells, indicate pumping capacity in gallons per minute **Not applicable.**

C. <u>Project Area Description/Existing Conditions</u>:

After Completion 1. Acreage of Physical Characteristics of Project Area: Presently Meadow, field, scrub growth 0 0 Wooded 0 0 Agricultural 0 0 Freshwater wetland 0 0 Tidal wetlands 0 0 Surface waters 0 0 Cleared, graded or filled land Paved areas (roads, parking, etc.) **10.2** acres 10.45 acres (pump station)

Buildings (List number and sq. ft.)	140 business establishments	140 business establishments across
	across 50.3 acres	50.3 acres
Other (lawn area)	4.5 acres	4.25 acres
TOTAL	65 acres	65 acres

2. Streams within or contiguous to project area: (*Please list name of stream and/or name of river to which it is tributary, including intermittent streams*)

No streams would be crossed by the proposed sewer collection system, force main, or connection to sewage treatment plant. The existing sewage treatment plant discharges presently to the tidal zone of the Nissequogue River.

3. Lakes, Ponds, Wetland areas within or contiguous to project area: (*Please list name(s) and size(s) in acres*)

No lakes, ponds, or wetland areas would be disturbed as a result of the proposed project. NYSDEC regulated wetland SJ-16, also known as Harrisons Pond, is within the project area, but would not be disturbed as a result of the proposed action. It is located approximately 700 feet from the nearest location where the force main would be installed.

would not be disturbed as a result of the p	roposed action. It is	located appro	oximately	700 fe	et
from the nearest location where the force	main would be insta	alled.			
4. a. Are there <u>natural drainage channels</u> of	on project site?	yes	X 1	10	
None would be crossed.					
b. How far is project area from <u>freshwater</u>	r wetlands, tidal we	etlands or sur	face water	<u></u>	
The nearest point of the force main to a fro	eshwater wetland is	approximate	ly 700 feet	 :•	
5. Is the Project area within the 100 yr. flo	ood plain? yes	no	X		
The extent of the 100-year flood plain in the Map (FIRM), Panel 363 of 1026, Map Suffix 2009. None of the proposed improvements	H, Map No. 36103C	0363H, last re	vised Sept		
6. Depth to the water table: at surface	0-3 ft	3-8 ft	8-16 ft_	X	16 ft
The shallowest depth to the water table is a plant property. Otherwise, the depth to wa the project area.			_		

7. Predominant soil type (s) on project site as identified in the Soil Survey of Suffolk County	-
1975: (Include soils map of site.)	
	_

The USDA Web Soil Survey was used to identify the soil types within the project area. The sewer district area and associated collection system is almost entirely located atop RhB, Riverhead and Haven, 0 to 8 percent slope, soils. A portion of the district, in the vicinity of the existing shopping center on Indian Head Road, contains Urban land, Ur, soils. A recharge basin behind the shopping center is identified as Rc. To the east of the proposed sewer district, along the force main route, soils include HaA and HaB (Haven loam, 0-2 and 2-6 percent slopes, respectively), RdA and RdB (Riverhead sandy loam, 0-3 and 3-8 percent slopes, respectively). The pump station is on RdB soils. Approaching and then traveling up Lawrence Road, soils are a combination of RhB, RdA, RdB, and PIB (Plymouth loamy sands, 3-8% slopes) soils. At Yale Lane and Bowman Lane and extending into the treatment plant site, the soils are generally CpE, Carver and Plymouth sands, 15-35 percent slopes.

11. Describe the predominant vegetation types on the site:

The installation of the force main and collection system would occur within existing street rights-of-way. The exception is the proposed location of the pump station – the station would be located on an area currently vegetated as lawn with grass cover.

station would be located on an area currently vegetated with grass cover. Thus, the project would not affect the ecological habitat of any wildlife. The sewer service area will incorporate business properties which are already developed.
13. Does project site contain any species of plant or animal life that is identified as threatened or endangered?yesXno; if yes, give source and identify each species;
None. The installation of the force main and collection system would occur within existing street right-of-ways. The exception is the proposed location of the pump station – the station would be located on an area currently vegetated with grass cover. Thus, the project would not affect any species identified as threatened or endangered.
14. Is project contiguous to, or does it contain a building or site of historic, pre-historic or paleontological importance?yesXno. Explain.
The proposed project is not substantially contiguous to any property listed on the National Register of Historic Places. The Obadiah Smith House, 96NR01061, is located more than 200 feet from the nearest location where the force main would run on to the sewage treatment plant property. The portion of the force main extending approximately 1,000 feet north and east from the intersection of Lawrence Road and Boxwood Drive, is within an archaeologically sensitive area. However, the force main is being located within already disturbed street rights-of-way. A project review request is being sent to the NY State Historic Preservation Office.
15. List the specific activities now occurring at project location (ie. hunting, fishing, hiking etc.)
The force main and collection system will be located within street rights-of-way and a property with an existing sewage treatment plant. Activities include vehicular traffic movements and sewage treatment.
16. Is the project site presently used by the community or neighborhood as an open space or recreation area? yes X no.
The project will be located within existing road rights-of-way, except for the pump station, which is located on the Town of Smithtown Water Department headquarters property.
17. Does the present site offer or include scenic views or vistas known to be important to the community? X yesno.
The project would be constructed on small segment of NYS Route 25A "scenic corridor" identified in Smithtown Comprehensive Plan Update, <u>draft</u> Natural and Cultural Resource Study. However, below ground infrastructure would not be visible.

Not applicable. The installation of the force main and collection system would occur within existing street right-of-ways. The exception is the proposed location of the pump station – the

12. Describe the predominant wildlife on the site:

a. Current specific zoning or use classification of site?	Multiple zones - SCB, Shopping Center Business; CB, Central Business; NB, Neighborhood Business; HI, Heavy Industry; R- 43, One-Family, R-21, One Family; R-15, One Family; R-6, Townhouse, RMGA, Garden Apartment	
b. Is proposed use consistent with present zoning or use?	Yes.	
c. If no, indicate desired zoning or use.	Not applicable.	
19. What is the dominant land use and zoning classification within project (e.g. single family residential, R-2) and the scale of developments and use map)		
SCB, Shopping Center Business; CB, Central Business; NB, Neighbor Industry; R-43, One-Family, R-21, One Family; R-15, One Family; R-6 Apartment; Low, medium and high density residential uses; commit institutional uses; recreation and open space, utilities (including selland, underwater land (Nissequogue River).	5, Townhouse, RMGA, Garden ercial, industrial, and	
21. Is the site located in an agricultural district certified pursuant article 25-AA, Section 303 and 304?yesX	,	
22. Is the site located in or substantially contiguous to a Critical E pursuant to Article 8 of the ECL, and 6 NYCRR 617?	_	
23. Has the site ever been used for disposal of solid or hazardous	wastes?yes Xno.	
D. <u>Impact Summary and Mitigation</u>		
1. How many acres of vegetation (trees, shrubs, ground covers) will be removed from site? <u>0.25</u> acres		
At the pump station site, lawn area will be cleared for construction will be replaced as part of site restoration. Shrubs will be planted a line to screen the site.	·	
2. Will any mature forest or other locally important vegetation be	e removed by this project?	
No.		

3. Are there plans for erosion control and stabili	zation? X_yesno. Explain and attach plans			
The construction contract bid documents will include soil erosion and sediment control measures as required by NYSDEC Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) regulations.				
Are there any plans for revegetation to replaceno. Explain and attach plans.	e that removed during construction? X yes			
The only vegetative area to be disturbed is in the I station. Any ground area that is disturbed within the pump station would be seeded and re-establis restore any vegetated area disturbed during const	the construction area immediately surrounding shed as lawn. The contractor will be required to			
5. Will project physically alter any surface water	r bodies? yes X no. Explain.			
The proposed action will not disturb any surface v	vater bodies.			
6. Will project require relocation of any projects	s, facilities or homes?yes Xno. Explain.			
The project does not involve the relocation of promay need to be relocated during installation of the	•			
7. Number of jobs generated:				
During construction?	12			
After project is completed?	0			
8. Number of jobs eliminated by this project	None.			
E. Alternatives - Briefly list alternatives to the pr	roposal considered			
No action alternative, i.e., the proposed sewer district would not be created. This would not have the beneficial effect of eliminating groundwater pollution emanating from obsolete cesspools and other existing individual on-site waste treatment facilities.				
1. Will project involve funding or financing by a	ny:			
a. Federal agency (specify); amount b. State agency (specify) EFC : amount TBD (County may apply for a SRF loan) c. Local agency (specify) Suffolk County : amount \$22 million				


2. Does project require permit or approval from:

	YES	NO	ТҮРЕ
a. Army Corps of Engineers		X	
b. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency		Х	
c. Other Federal agency (specify)		x	
d. N.Y.S. Dept of Environmental Conservation	X		SWPPP; Registration Certificate for Backup Generator; Approval for expansion of SCSD No. 6 service area
e. Other State agency (specify) NYSDOT	х		Road opening
e. NYSDOS		Х	Coastal Consistency Review
f. County Health Department	х		Permit required for Backflow Prevention Device on the water service.
g. County Planning Department	Х		Potential GML review
h. County Public Works Department	х		Plan approval
i. Town or Village Board			
j. Town or Village Planning Board	X		Pump Station site plan
j. SCDPW – Division of Sanitation (review)	X		Review for compliance with regulations.

k. Town or Village Zoning Board	Х		Pump Station
1. Town or Village Building Department			
m. Town or Village Highway Department	Х		Road opening
n. Town or Village Environmental Agency		х	
o. Local Fire Marshal		х	
P. Other local agency (specify i.e. CEQ)	х		Compliance with SEQRA

r Other local	agency (specify i.e. CEQ)		SEQRA
3. Conforman	ce to existing comprehensive or p Yes no Description	roject master plans	
a. Stateb. Bi-Countyc. Countyd. Towne. Village	Not applicable. Not applicable. Project is not situa Not applicable. Yes, Provide sewage infrastructur variety of development as per exis Not applicable. Project is not situa	e that can support a ting zoning along N	wide
PREPARER		Date	
TITLE			
SIGNATURE	*		
I certify that the	he information herein is accurate.		
PROJECT DI	RECTOR	Date	
TITLE			
SIGNATURE	*		
I certify that the	he information herein is accurate.		

^{*} Signature of both preparer and project director required.




LAND USE KINGS PARK SERVICE AREA

SUFFOLK COUNTY SEWER DISTRICT NO. 6 - KINGS PARK **CAPITAL PROJECT 8144**



MAY 31, 3013 SUFF0901



PROJECT LOCATION MAP KINGS PARK SERVICE AREA

SUFFOLK COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS

SUFFOLK COUNTY SEWER DISTRICT NO. 6 - KINGS PARK CAPITAL PROJECT 8144




0 150 300 600 900 1,200 Feet

H 2

architects + engineers

MAY 31, 3013 SUFF0901




KINGS PARK SERVICE AREA

NO. 6 - KINGS PARK **CAPITAL PROJECT 8144**



MAY 31, 3013 SUFF0901

Source: Natural Resources Conservation Service, Soil Data Mart



KINGS PARK SERVICE AREA

NO. 6 - KINGS PARK **CAPITAL PROJECT 8144**



MAY 31, 3013 SUFF0901

PART 2 - PROJECT IMPACTS AND THEIR MAGNITUDE

Responsibility of Lead Agency

General Information (Read Carefully)

- ! In completing the form the reviewer should be guided by the question: Have my responses and determinations been reasonable? The reviewer is not expected to be an expert environmental analyst.
- ! The **Examples** provided are to assist the reviewer by showing types of impacts and wherever possible the threshold of magnitude that would trigger a response in column 2. The examples are generally applicable throughout the State and for most situations. But, for any specific project or site other examples and/or lower thresholds may be appropriate for a Potential Large Impact response, thus requiring evaluation in Part 3.
- ! The impacts of each project, on each site, in each locality, will vary. Therefore, the examples are illustrative and have been offered as guidance. They do not constitute an exhaustive list of impacts and thresholds to answer each question.
- ! The number of examples per question does not indicate the importance of each question.
- ! In identifying impacts, consider long term, short term and cumulative effects.

Instructions (Read carefully)

- a. Answer each of the 20 questions in PART 2. Answer Yes if there will be any impact.
- b. **Maybe** answers should be considered as **Yes** answers.
- c. If answering **Yes** to a question then check the appropriate box(column 1 or 2)to indicate the potential size of the impact. If impact threshold equals or exceeds any example provided, check column 2. If impact will occur but threshold is lower than example, check column 1.
- d. Identifying that an Impact will be potentially large (column 2) does not mean that it is also necessarily **significant**. Any large impact must be evaluated in PART 3 to determine significance. Identifying an impact in column 2 simply asks that it be looked at further.
- e. If reviewer has doubt about size of the impact then consider the impact as potentially large and proceed to PART 3.
- f. If a potentially large impact checked in column 2 can be mitigated by change(s) in the project to a small to moderate impact, also check the **Yes** box in column 3. A **No** response indicates that such a reduction is not possible. This must be explained in Part 3.

1	2	3
Small to	Potential	Can Impact Be
Moderate	Large	Mitigated by
Impact	Impact	Project Change

Impact on Land

1. Will the Proposed Action result in a physical change to the project site?

NO YES

_			
Examp	les that would apply to column 2 Any construction on slopes of 15% or greater, (15 foot rise per 100 foot of length), or where the general slopes in the project area exceed 10%.	Yes	No
С	Construction on land where the depth to the water table is less than 3 feet.	Yes	No
С	Construction of paved parking area for 1,000 or more vehicles.	Yes	No
С	Construction on land where bedrock is exposed or generally within 3 feet of existing ground surface.	Yes	No
С	Construction that will continue for more than 1 year or involve more than one phase or stage.	Yes	No
C	Excavation for mining purposes that would remove more than 1,000 tons of natural material (i.e., rock or soil) per year.	Yes	No

			1 Small to Moderate Impact	2 Potential Large Impact	3 Can Impac Mitigated Project Ch	l by
	С	Construction or expansion of a santary landfill.			Yes	No
	С	Construction in a designated floodway.			Yes	No
	C	Other impacts:			Yes	No
2.		I there be an effect to any unique or unusual land forms found on site? (i.e., cliffs, dunes, geological formations, etc.) NO YES				
	С	Specific land forms:			Yes	No
		Impact on Water				
3.	(Ur	Proposed Action affect any water body designated as protected? Indee Articles 15, 24, 25 of the Environmental Conservation Law,				
	ECL) NO YES					
	Exa	amples that would apply to column 2 Developable area of site contains a protected water body.			Yes	No
	С	Dredging more than 100 cubic yards of material from channel of a protected stream.			Yes	No
	С	Extension of utility distribution facilities through a protected water body.			Yes	No
	С	Construction in a designated freshwater or tidal wetland.			Yes	No
	С	Other impacts:			Yes	No
4.		I Proposed Action affect any non-protected existing or new body of er? NO YES				
	Exa	Amples that would apply to column 2 A 10% increase or decrease in the surface area of any body of water or more than a 10 acre increase or decrease.			Yes	No
	С	Construction of a body of water that exceeds 10 acres of surface area.			Yes	No
	С	Other impacts:			Yes	No

		1 Small to Moderate Impact	Potential Large Impact	Large Mitigated	
	II Proposed Action affect surface or groundwater quality or antity? NO YES				
F۷	amples that would apply to column 2				
C	Proposed Action will require a discharge permit.			Yes	No
С	Proposed Action requires use of a source of water that does not have approval to serve proposed (project) action.			Yes	No
С	Proposed Action requires water supply from wells with greater than 45 gallons per minute pumping capacity.			Yes	No
С	Construction or operation causing any contamination of a water supply system.			Yes	No
С	Proposed Action will adversely affect groundwater.			Yes	No
С	Liquid effluent will be conveyed off the site to facilities which presently do not exist or have inadequate capacity.			Yes	No
С	Proposed Action would use water in excess of 20,000 gallons per day.			Yes	No
С	Proposed Action will likely cause siltation or other discharge into an existing body of water to the extent that there will be an obvious visual contrast to natural conditions.			Yes	No
С	Proposed Action will require the storage of petroleum or chemical products greater than 1,100 gallons.			Yes	No
С	Proposed Action will allow residential uses in areas without water and/or sewer services.			Yes	No
С	Proposed Action locates commercial and/or industrial uses which may require new or expansion of existing waste treatment and/or storage facilities.			Yes	No
С	Other impacts:			Yes	No

5.

2

1

3

				Moderate Impact	Large Impact	Mitigated Project Ch	by
6.		II Proposed Action	n alter drainage flow or patterns, or surface water				
		NO	YES				
	Ex C		d apply to column 2 n would change flood water flows			Yes	No
	С	Proposed Actio	n may cause substantial erosion.			Yes	No
	С	Proposed Actio	n is incompatible with existing drainage patterns.			Yes	No
	С	Proposed Actio floodway.	n will allow development in a designated			Yes	No
	С	Other impacts:				Yes	No
			IMPACT ON AIR				
7.	Wi	II Proposed Actior NO	n affect air quality? YES				
	Ex		d apply to column 2 n will induce 1,000 or more vehicle trips in any			Yes	No
	С	Proposed Actio of refuse per ho	n will result in the incineration of more than 1 ton ur.			Yes	No
	С		f total contaminants will exceed 5 lbs. per hour e producing more than 10 million BTU's per			Yes	No
	С	Proposed Actio committed to in	n will allow an increase in the amount of land dustrial use.			Yes	No
	С		n will allow an increase in the density of opment within existing industrial areas.			Yes	No
	С	Other impacts:				Yes	No
		IMPA	CT ON PLANTS AND ANIMALS				
8.	Wi	II Proposed Action NO	n affect any threatened or endangered species? YES				
	Ex C	Reduction of or	d apply to column 2 he or more species listed on the New York or ng the site, over or near d on the site.			Yes	No

2 Potential

3 Can Impact Be

1 Small to

			1 Small to Moderate Impact	2 Potential Large Impact	3 Can Impad Mitigated Project Ch	l by
	C	Removal of any portion of a critical or significant wildlife habitat.			Yes	No
	С	Application of pesticide or herbicide more than twice a year, other than for agricultural purposes.			Yes	No
	C	Other impacts:			Yes	No
9.		I Proposed Action substantially affect non-threatened or non- dangered species? NO YES				
	Exa	amples that would apply to column 2 Proposed Action would substantially interfere with any resident or migratory fish, shellfish or wildlife species.			Yes	No
	C	Proposed Action requires the removal of more than 10 acres of mature forest (over 100 years of age) or other locally important vegetation.			Yes	No
	С	Other impacts:			Yes	No
10.	Wil	IMPACT ON AGRICULTURAL LAND RESOURCES I Proposed Action affect agricultural land resources? NO YES				
	Exa	amples that would apply to column 2 The Proposed Action would sever, cross or limit access to agricultural land (includes cropland, hayfields, pasture, vineyard, orchard, etc.)			Yes	No
	С	Construction activity would excavate or compact the soil profile of agricultural land.			Yes	No
	C	The Proposed Action would irreversibly convert more than 10 acres of agricultural land or, if located in an Agricultural District, more than 2.5 acres of agricultural land.			Yes	No

			1 Small to Moderate Impact	2 Potential Large Impact	3 Can Impac Mitigated Project Cha	by
	C	The Proposed Action would disrupt or prevent installation of agricultural land management systems (e.g., subsurface drain lines, outlet ditches, strip cropping); or create a need for such measures (e.g. cause a farm field to drain poorly due to increased runoff).			Yes	No
	С	Other impacts:			Yes	No
		IMPACT ON AESTHETIC RESOURCES				
11.		I Proposed Action affect aesthetic resources? (If necessary, use Visual EAF Addendum in Section 617.20, Appendix B.) NO YES				
	Exa	amples that would apply to column 2 Proposed land uses, or project components obviously different from or in sharp contrast to current surrounding land use patterns, whether man-made or natural.			Yes	No
	C	Proposed land uses, or project components visible to users of aesthetic resources which will eliminate or significantly reduce their enjoyment of the aesthetic qualities of that resource.			Yes	No
	C	Project components that will result in the elimination or significant screening of scenic views known to be important to the area.			Yes	No
	C	Other impacts:			Yes	No
	II	MPACT ON HISTORIC AND ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESOURCES				
12.		I Proposed Action impact any site or structure of historic, historic or paleontological importance? NO YES				
	Exa	amples that would apply to column 2				
	C	Proposed Action occurring wholly or partially within or substantially contiguous to any facility or site listed on the State or National Register of historic places.			Yes	No
	С	Any impact to an archaeological site or fossil bed located within the project site.			Yes	No
	C	Proposed Action will occur in an area designated as sensitive for archaeological sites on the NYS Site Inventory.			Yes	No

			1 Small to Moderate Impact	2 Potential Large Impact	3 Can Impa Mitigated Project Ch	d by
	С	Other impacts:			Yes	No
		IMPACT ON OPEN SPACE AND RECREATION				
13.		I proposed Action affect the quantity or quality of existing or future en spaces or recreational opportunities? NO YES				
	Exa C	amples that would apply to column 2 The permanent foreclosure of a future recreational opportunity.			Yes	No
	C	A major reduction of an open space important to the community.			Yes	No
	C	Other impacts:			Yes	No
14.	cha pur List the	IMPACT ON CRITICAL ENVIRONMENTAL AREAS I Proposed Action impact the exceptional or unique aracteristics of a critical environmental area (CEA) established suant to subdivision 6NYCRR 617.14(g)? NO YES It the environmental characteristics that caused the designation of CEA.				
	Exa C	amples that would apply to column 2 Proposed Action to locate within the CEA?			Yes	No
	С	Proposed Action will result in a reduction in the quantity of the resource?			Yes	No
	С	Proposed Action will result in a reduction in the quality of the resource?			Yes	No
	С	Proposed Action will impact the use, function or enjoyment of the resource?			Yes	No
	С	Other impacts:			Yes	No

			1 Small to Moderate Impact	2 Potential Large Impact	3 Can Impac Mitigated Project Cha	by
	IMP	ACT ON TRANSPORTATION				
15. Wi	ill there be an effec NO	ct to existing transportation systems? YES				
Ex C		d apply to column 2 sent patterns of movement of people and/or			Yes	No
C	Proposed Action	n will result in major traffic problems.			Yes	No
С	Other impacts:				Yes	No
		IMPACT ON ENERGY				
	ill Proposed Action ergy supply?	affect the community's sources of fuel or				
	NO	YES				
Ex C	Proposed Action	d apply to column 2 n will cause a greater than 5% increase in the of energy in the municipality.			Yes	No
С	energy transmis	n will require the creation or extension of an sion or supply system to serve more than 50 mily residences or to serve a major commercial e.			Yes	No
С	Other impacts:				Yes	No
	N	IOISE AND ODOR IMPACT				
	ill there be objection	onable odors, noise, or vibration as a result of ?				
	NO	YES				
Ex C	-	d apply to column 2 ,500 feet of a hospital, school or other sensitive			Yes	No
С	Odors will occur	routinely (more than one hour per day).			Yes	No
С	•	n will produce operating noise exceeding the pise levels for noise outside of structures.			Yes	No
С	Proposed Action noise screen.	n will remove natural barriers that would act as a			Yes	No
С	Other impacts:				Yes	No

		IMPACT ON PUBLIC HEALTH		
18.	Wil	ll Proposed Action affect public health and safety? NO YES		
	C	Proposed Action may cause a risk of explosion or release of hazardous substances (i.e. oil, pesticides, chemicals, radiation, etc.) in the event of accident or upset conditions, or there may be a chronic low level discharge or emission.	Yes	No
	C	Proposed Action may result in the burial of "hazardous wastes" in any form (i.e. toxic, poisonous, highly reactive, radioactive, irritating, infectious, etc.)	Yes	No
	С	Storage facilities for one million or more gallons of liquefied natural gas or other flammable liquids.	Yes	No
	C	Proposed Action may result in the excavation or other disturbance within 2,000 feet of a site used for the disposal of solid or hazardous waste.	Yes	No
	С	Other impacts:	Yes	No
		IMPACT ON GROWTH AND CHARACTER OF COMMUNITY OR NEIGHBORHOOD		
19.	Wil			
19.		OF COMMUNITY OR NEIGHBORHOOD Il Proposed Action affect the character of the existing community? NO YES		
19.		OF COMMUNITY OR NEIGHBORHOOD Il Proposed Action affect the character of the existing community?	Yes	No
19.	Exa	OF COMMUNITY OR NEIGHBORHOOD Il Proposed Action affect the character of the existing community? NO YES amples that would apply to column 2 The permanent population of the city, town or village in which the	Yes Yes	No No
19.	Exa	OF COMMUNITY OR NEIGHBORHOOD Il Proposed Action affect the character of the existing community? NO YES amples that would apply to column 2 The permanent population of the city, town or village in which the project is located is likely to grow by more than 5%. The municipal budget for capital expenditures or operating services will increase by more than 5% per year as a result of		
19.	Exa C	OF COMMUNITY OR NEIGHBORHOOD Il Proposed Action affect the character of the existing community? NO YES amples that would apply to column 2 The permanent population of the city, town or village in which the project is located is likely to grow by more than 5%. The municipal budget for capital expenditures or operating services will increase by more than 5% per year as a result of this project. Proposed Action will conflict with officially adopted plans or	Yes	No
19.	Exa C C	OF COMMUNITY OR NEIGHBORHOOD Il Proposed Action affect the character of the existing community? NO YES amples that would apply to column 2 The permanent population of the city, town or village in which the project is located is likely to grow by more than 5%. The municipal budget for capital expenditures or operating services will increase by more than 5% per year as a result of this project. Proposed Action will conflict with officially adopted plans or goals.	Yes Yes	No No

1 Small to Moderate

Impact

2 Potential

Large Impact 3 Can Impact Be Mitigated by Project Change

		1 Small to Moderate Impact	2 Potential Large Impact	3 Can Impact Be Mitigated by Project Change	
С	Proposed Action will set an important precedent for future projects.			Yes	No
С	Proposed Action will create or eliminate employment.			Yes	No
С	Other impacts:			Yes	No

20. Is there, or is there likely to be, public controversy related to potential adverse environment impacts?

NO YES

If Any Action in Part 2 Is Identified as a Potential Large Impact or If you Cannot Determine the Magnitude of Impact, Proceed to Part 3

Part 3 - EVALUATION OF THE IMPORTANCE OF IMPACTS

Responsibility of Lead Agency

Part 3 must be prepared if one or more impact(s) is considered to be potentially large, even if the impact(s) may be mitigated.

<u>Instructions</u> (If you need more space, attach additional sheets)

Discuss the following for each impact identified in Column 2 of Part 2:

- 1. Briefly describe the impact.
- 2. Describe (if applicable) how the impact could be mitigated or reduced to a small to moderate impact by project change(s).
- 3. Based on the information available, decide if it is reasonable to conclude that this impact is **important**.

To answer the question of importance, consider:

- ! The probability of the impact occurring
- ! The duration of the impact
- ! Its irreversibility, including permanently lost resources of value
- ! Whether the impact can or will be controlled
- ! The regional consequence of the impact
- ! Its potential divergence from local needs and goals
- ! Whether known objections to the project relate to this impact.

LAID ON THE TABLE JUNE 18, 2013 LADS REPORT PREPARED BY:

Michele Gerardi (6/19/2013 11:19)

Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(27)	1507.	Naming the New Suffolk County Police Department Motor Carrier Inspection Station after Police Officer Glen Ciano. (Kennedy) <u>GOVERNMENT OPERATIONS</u> , <u>PERSONNEL</u> , <u>HOUSING & CONSUMER PROTECTION</u>
Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(27)	1508.	Adopting Local Law No2013, A Local Law to require use of safety helmets by all bicyclists in Suffolk County. (Barraga) <u>PUBLIC SAFETY</u>
Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(27)	1509.	Reappointing William J. Sanok as a member of the Suffolk County Vocational, Education, and Extension Board. (Krupski) <u>PUBLIC SAFETY</u>
Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(27)	1510.	Adopting Local Law No2013, A Local Law to safeguard employees displaced by privatization. (Browning) <u>GOVERNMENT OPERATIONS</u> , <u>PERSONNEL</u> , <u>HOUSING & CONSUMER PROTECTION</u>
SEQRA Completed by Reso 256-2005	1511.	Appropriating funds in connection with removal of toxic and hazardous building materials and components at various County facilities (CP 1732). (Co. Exec.) PUBLIC WORKS AND TRANSPORTATION
Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (18)(20)(27)	1512.	Appropriating funds in connection with the Warehouse Building – Eastern Campus (CP 2145). (Co. Exec.) <u>EDUCATION AND INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY</u>
Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (16)(20)(27)	1513.	Appropriating funds in connection with Median Improvements on Various County Roads (CP 5001). (Co. Exec.) <u>PUBLIC WORKS AND TRANSPORTATION</u>
SEQRA Completed by Reso 529-2003	1514.	Appropriating funds in connection with Intersection Improvements on CR 80, Montauk Highway at CR 31, Old Riverhead Road, Town of Southampton (CP 5569). (Co. Exec.) PUBLIC WORKS AND TRANSPORTATION
SEQRA Completed by Reso 1113-2001	1515.	Appropriating funds in connection with Reconstruction of CR 48, Middle Road from Horton Avenue to Main Street, Town of Southold (CP 5526). (Co. Exec.) <u>PUBLIC WORKS AND TRANSPORTATION</u>
Unlisted Action	1516.	Authorizing the sale, pursuant to Local Law No. 16-1976, of real property acquired under Section 46 of the Suffolk County Tax Act Cheryl Mera, Administrator of the Estate of Maxine Mera (SCTM No. 0400-239.00-02.00-083.000). (Co. Exec.) WAYS & MEANS
Unlisted Action	1517.	Authorizing the sale, pursuant to Local Law No. 16-1976, of real property acquired under Section 46 of the Suffolk County Tax Act Michael Pescino and Renee Belgrado (SCTM No. 0200-559.00-01.00-010.000). (Co. Exec.) <u>WAYS & MEANS</u>
Unlisted Action	1518.	Authorizing the sale, pursuant to Local Law No. 16-1976, of real property acquired under Section 46 of the Suffolk County Tax Act Aristedos Saketos (SCTM No. 0200-850.00-03.00-006.000). (Co. Exec.) <u>WAYS & MEANS</u>
Unlisted Action	1519.	Authorizing the sale, pursuant to Local Law No. 16-1976, of real property acquired under Section 46 of the Suffolk County Tax Act Elena Eshaghpour (SCTM No. 0900-386.00-02.00-022.000). (Co. Exec.) <u>WAYS & MEANS</u>

Unlisted Action	1520.	Authorizing the sale, pursuant to Local Law No. 16-1976, of real property acquired under Section 46 of the Suffolk County Tax Act David Dinger and Life Estate of Donald J. Dinger (SCTM No. 0500-295.00-02.00-075.000). (Co. Exec.) WAYS & MEANS
Unlisted Action	1521.	Authorizing the sale, pursuant to Local Law No. 16-1976, of real property acquired under Section 46 of the Suffolk County Tax Act Eric Entemann (SCTM No. 0200-153.00-05.00-010.000). (Co. Exec.) <u>WAYS & MEANS</u>
Unlisted Action	1522.	Authorizing the sale, pursuant to Local Law No. 16-1976, of real property acquired under Section 46 of the Suffolk County Tax Act Matthew Carragher and Michael Carragher, as Joint Tenants with right of survivorship (SCTM No. 0900-144.00-01.00-058.000). (Co. Exec.) WAYS & MEANS
Unlisted Action	1523.	Authorizing the sale, pursuant to Local Law No. 16-1976, of real property acquired under Section 46 of the Suffolk County Tax Act Stephen H. Milvid and Florindo Ferreira, as tenants in common (SCTM No. 0200-973.90-02.00-052.000). (Co. Exec.) WAYS & MEANS
Unlisted Action	1524.	Authorizing the sale, pursuant to Local Law No. 16-1976, of real property acquired under Section 46 of the Suffolk County Tax Act Kevin P. Murphy and Linda E. Murphy, his wife (SCTM No. 0200-338.00-06.00-004.000). (Co. Exec.) WAYS & MEANS
Unlisted Action	1525.	To readjust, compromise, and grant refunds and charge-backs on real property correction of errors by: County Legislature (Control No. 929-2013). (Co. Exec.) BUDGET_AND_FINANCE
Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (15)(20)(27)	1526.	Authorizing use of Cupsogue Beach County Park by Barrier Beach Preservation Association for its 5k Race Fundraiser. (Co. Exec.) PARKS & RECREATION
Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (15)(20)(27)	1527.	Authorizing use of the Long Island Maritime Museum by the Rotary Club of Sayville for Annual Beefsteak Fundraiser. (Co. Exec.) PARKS & RECREATION
Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (15)(20)(27)	1528.	Authorizing use of Southaven County Park, Showmobile and Pavilion by Contractors for Kids for their Family Fun Day and Picnic Fundraiser. (Co. Exec.) PARKS & RECREATION
SEQRA Completed by Reso 716-2004	1529.	Amending Resolution No. 1396-2006 to reallocate funding in connection with improvements to Suffolk County Sewer District No. 18 – Hauppauge Industrial (CP 8126). (Co. Exec.) <u>PUBLIC WORKS AND TRANSPORTATION</u>
Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(25)(27)	1530.	Appropriating funds in connection with the purchase and replacement of Nutrition Vehicles for the Office for the Aging (CP 1749). (Co. Exec.) <u>VETERANS AND SENIORS</u>
Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(27)	1531.	Transferring and appropriating Living Wage Contingency Funds to the Federation of Organizations for the NYS Mentally Disabled, Inc., Respite Fee Subsidy. (Co. Exec.) GOVERNMENT OPERATIONS, PERSONNEL, HOUSING & CONSUMER PROTECTION
Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(27)	1532.	Amending Resolution No. 240-2013 to clarify the membership of the Jobs Opportunity Board. (Anker) <u>EDUCATION AND INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY</u>

Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(21)(27)	1533.	Directing the Department of Economic Development and Planning, in partnership with State and County Institutions of Higher Education, to study the feasibility of constructing affordable housing for young professionals. (Anker) GOVERNMENT OPERATIONS, PERSONNEL, HOUSING & CONSUMER PROTECTION
Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (3)(20)(27)	1534.	Appropriating funds in connection with Improvements to Suffolk County Farm (CP 1796). (Co. Exec.) <u>ENVIRONMENT, PLANNING AND AGRICULTURE</u>
Unlisted Action	1535.	Authorizing the sale of County-owned real property pursuant to Section 72-h of the General Municipal Law to the Town of Babylon for affordable housing purpose (SCTM No. 0100-079.00-02.00-048.000). (Co. Exec.) <u>GOVERNMENT OPERATIONS, PERSONNEL, HOUSING & CONSUMER PROTECTION</u>
Unlisted Action	1536.	Authorizing the sale of County-owned real property pursuant to Section 72-h of the General Municipal Law to the Town of Babylon for affordable housing purpose (SCTM No. 0100-170.00-02.00-144.000). (Co. Exec.) <u>GOVERNMENT OPERATIONS</u> , PERSONNEL, HOUSING & CONSUMER PROTECTION
Unlisted Action	1537.	Authorizing the sale of County-owned real property pursuant to Section 72-h of the General Municipal Law to the Town of Babylon for affordable housing purpose (SCTM No. 0100-083.00-01.00-106.000). (Co. Exec.) <u>GOVERNMENT OPERATIONS</u> , PERSONNEL, HOUSING & CONSUMER PROTECTION
Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (2)(20)(27)	1538.	Approving the change of project for Downtown Revitalization grant to the Town of Huntington Economic Development Corporation and amending the contract with the Town of Huntington to reflect same. (Co. Exec.) ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND ENERGY
Unlisted Action	1539.	Authorizing the sale of County-owned real property pursuant to Section 72-h of the General Municipal Law to the Town of Babylon for affordable housing purpose (SCTM No. 0100-170.00-02.00-145.000). (Co. Exec.) <u>GOVERNMENT OPERATIONS</u> , PERSONNEL, HOUSING & CONSUMER PROTECTION
Unlisted Action	1540.	Authorizing the sale of County-owned real property pursuant to Section 72-h of the General Municipal Law to the Town of Babylon for affordable housing purpose (SCTM No. 0100-170.00-02.00-143.000). (Co. Exec.) <u>GOVERNMENT OPERATIONS</u> , PERSONNEL, HOUSING & CONSUMER PROTECTION
Unlisted Action	1541.	Authorizing the sale of County-owned real property pursuant to Section 72-h of the General Municipal Law to the Town of Brookhaven for affordable housing purpose (SCTM No. 0200-486.00-06.00-021.000). (Co. Exec.) <u>GOVERNMENT OPERATIONS</u> , PERSONNEL, HOUSING & CONSUMER PROTECTION
Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(21)(27)	1542.	Authorizing an appraisal for the purchase of development rights of farmland under the Suffolk County Drinking Water Protection Program, as amended by Local Law No. 24-2007 – Joseph W. Brush, Jr. farm property – Town of Riverhead (SCTM No. 0600-044.00-02.00-010.004 p/o). (Co. Exec.) ENVIRONMENT , PLANNING AND AGRICULTURE
Unlisted Action	1543.	Sale of County-owned real estate pursuant to Section 72-h of the General Municipal Law - Town of Babylon (SCTM No. 0100-057.00-01.00-016.000). (Co. Exec.) WAYS & MEANS

Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(27)	1544.	Providing updated report for elected officials. (Pres. Off.) <u>GOVERNMENT OPERATIONS, PERSONNEL, HOUSING & CONSUMER PROTECTION</u>
Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(27)	1545.	Ensuring timely updates of Suffolk County's Open Access Website. (D'Amaro) EDUCATION AND INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY
Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (2)(20)(27)	1546.	Appropriating funds in connection with Energy Conservation at Various County Facilities (CP 1664). (Co. Exec.) <u>PUBLIC WORKS AND TRANSPORTATION</u>
NYSDEC SEQRA Lead Agency	1547.	Appropriating funds in connection with Dredging of County Waters at Various Locations (CP 5200). (Co. Exec.) <u>PUBLIC WORKS AND TRANSPORTATION</u>
Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(27)	1548.	Amending the 2013 Operating Budget and transferring funds within the Office of the County Executive to provide funding for Legal Assistance to the Elderly. (Co. Exec.) <u>VETERANS AND SENIORS</u>
Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (1)(2)(20)(27)	1549.	Appropriating funds in connection with Repairs to Historic Structures (CP 7510). (Co. Exec.) PARKS & RECREATION
Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(27)	1550.	Adopting 2013-2014 Operating Budget Total for Suffolk County Community College. (Anker)
Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(27)	1551.	Authorizing certain technical corrections to Adopted Resolution No. 423-2013. (Co. Exec.) <u>WAYS & MEANS</u>
Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(21)(27)	1552.	Authorizing the lease of portions of LIPA owned right-of-way from Crystal Brook Hollow Road East to Wading River for a pedestrian and bicycle path. (Co. Exec.) WAYS & MEANS
Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(27)	1553.	Authorizing the inclusion of new parcels into existing certified agricultural districts in the County of Suffolk – 2013 and updating annual enrollment period. (Co. Exec.) ENVIRONMENT , PLANNING AND AGRICULTURE
Unlisted Action	1554.	Authorizing the sale, pursuant to Local Law No. 16-1976, of real property acquired under Section 46 of the Suffolk County Tax Act David R. Reisman, as Devisee and Executor of Elizabeth S. Reisman (SCTM No. 0400-024.00-03.00-008.000). (Co. Exec.)
Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(21)(27)	1555.	Appropriating funds in the 2013 Capital Budget and Program in connection with Pavement Management Rehabilitation at Francis S. Gabreski Airport (CP 5739). (Co. Exec.) <u>ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND ENERGY</u>
Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(25)(27)	1556.	Amending the 2013 Capital Budget and Program and accepting and appropriating funds in connection with airport snow removal equipment At Francis S. Gabreski Airport (CP 5737). (Co. Exec.) <u>ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND ENERGY</u>
Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(27)	1557.	Amending Suffolk County Code, Chapter 948-32 to revise the fee schedule for Francis S. Gabreski Airport. (Co. Exec.) <u>ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND ENERGY</u>
Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(27)	1558.	Approving a Memorandum of Agreement between the Department of Economic Development and Planning, Division of Real Property Acquisition and Management and the Suffolk County Water Authority. (Co. Exec.)

Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(27) 1559.	Prioritizing and examining the transition of existing County health centers to the Federally Qualified Health Center Model. (Browning) <u>HEALTH</u>
Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(21)(27)	Authorizing a plan to reduce the County's use of pesticides on public and private lands by utilizing non-invasive fish species to combat mosquito infestations. (Gregory) PUBLIC WORKS AND TRANSPORTATION
Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(27)	Adopting Local Law No2013 A Local Law Adopting a New Chapter in the Suffolk County Code to codify certain fees to be collected by the Traffic and Parking Violation Agency, as approved in the Operating Budget. (Co. Exec.) WAYS & MEANS
Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(27) 1562.	Appointing Elizabeth Custodio as member of the Long Island Regional Planning Council. (Co. Exec.) ENVIRONMENT , PLANNING AND AGRICULTURE
Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(27)(29)	Authorizing execution of an order on consent with the United States Environmental Protection Agency concerning County underground storage tanks and universal waste. (Co. Exec.) ENVIRONMENT , PLANNING AND AGRICULTURE
Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) 1564. (20)(27)	Amending the 2013 Operating Budget and appropriating funds in connection with bonding for an order on consent settlement with the United States Environmental Protection Agency concerning county underground storage tanks and universal waste. (Co. Exec.) BUDGET AND FINANCE
Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(21)(27) 1565.	Establishing the Long Island Commission on aquifer protection. (Spencer) ENVIRONMENT , PLANNING AND AGRICULTURE
Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(27) 1566.	Appointing Nancy Engelhardt as member of the Long Island Regional Planning Council. (Co. Exec.) <u>ENVIRONMENT, PLANNING AND AGRICULTURE</u>
Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(27)	Adopting Local Law No2013, A Charter Law to create a unified County Department of Financial Management And Audit. (Co. Exec.) <u>GOVERNMENT OPERATIONS</u> , <u>PERSONNEL</u> , <u>HOUSING & CONSUMER PROTECTION</u>

Adoption of Minutes July 17, 2013

CEQ RESOLUTION NO. 31-2013, AUTHORIZING ADOPTION OF JUNE 19, 2013 CEQ MINUTES

WHEREAS, the Council on Environmental Quality has received and reviewed the June 19, 2013 meeting minutes; now, therefore, be it

1st RESOLVED, that a quorum of the Council on Environmental Quality, having heard and accepted all comments and necessary corrections hereby adopts the meeting minutes of June 19, 2013

DATED: 7/17/2013

RECORD OF CEQ RESOLUTION VOTES

CEQ APPOINTED MEMBERS	AYE	NAY	ABSTAIN	NOT PRESENT	RECUSED
James Bagg	\boxtimes				
Eva Growney				×	
Thomas C. Gulbransen	\boxtimes				
Hon. Kara Hahn				×	
Michael Kaufman	\boxtimes				
Daniel Pichney	\boxtimes				
Gloria G. Russo	\boxtimes				
Mary Ann Spencer	\boxtimes				
Larry Swanson				×	
CAC REPRESENTATIVES					

Recommendation: Adoption of minutes

Motion: Ms. Spencer Second: Mr. Kaufman

Further information may be obtained by contacting:

Andrew P. Freleng, Chief Planner Council on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 6100 Hauppauge, New York 11788



DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND PLANNING
DIVISION OF PLANNING AND ENVIRONMENT
COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

GLORIA RUSSO CHAIRPERSON CEQ

MEMORANDUM

TO:

Honorable Steven Bellone, Suffolk County Executive

Honorable William J. Lindsay, Presiding Officer

FROM:

Gloria Russo, Chairperson

DATE:

July 17, 2013

RE:

CEQ Review of the Proposed Sewer District #6 Kings Park, Sewer Extension, Town of

Smithtown

At its July 17, 2013 meeting, the CEQ reviewed the above referenced matter. Pursuant to Chapter 450 of the Suffolk County Code, and based on the information received, as well as that given in a presentation by Gilbert Anderson, Commissioner, Suffolk County Department of Public Works, John Donovan, Chief Engineer, Suffolk County Department of Public Works, and Ben Wright, Principal Engineer, Suffolk County Department of Public Works, the Council advises the Suffolk County Legislature and County Executive, in CEQ Resolution No. 32-2013, a copy of which is attached, that the proposed project be considered an Unlisted Action under SEQRA that will not have significant adverse impacts on the environment.

If the Legislature concurs with the Council on Environmental Quality's recommendation that the project will not have a significant adverse impact on the environment, the Presiding Officer should cause to be brought before the Legislature for a vote, a resolution determining that the proposed action is an Unlisted Action pursuant to SEQRA that will not have significant adverse impacts on the environment (negative declaration). However, if the Legislature has further environmental concerns regarding this project and needs additional information, the Presiding Officer should remand the case back to the initiating unit for the necessary changes to the project and EAF or submit a resolution authorizing the initiating unit to prepare a draft environmental impact statement (positive declaration).

Enclosed for your information is a copy of CEQ Resolution No. 32-2013 which sets forth the Council's recommendations. The project EAF and supporting documentation can be viewed online at http://www.suffolkcountyny.gov/Departments/Planning/Boards/CouncilonEnvironmentalQuality.

CEQ RESOLUTION NO. 32-2013, RECOMMENDATION CONCERNING A SEQRA CLASSIFICATION AND DETERMINATION FOR THE PURPOSES OF CHAPTER 450 OF THE SUFFOLK COUNTY CODE FOR THE PROPOSED SEWER DISTRICT #6 KINGS PARK, SEWER EXTENSION, TOWN OF SMITHTOWN

WHEREAS, at its July 17, 2013 meeting, the Suffolk County Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) reviewed the EAF and associated information submitted by the Suffolk County Department of Public Works; and

WHEREAS, a presentation regarding the project was given at the meeting by Gilbert Anderson, Commissioner, Suffolk County Department of Public Works, John Donovan, Chief Engineer, Suffolk County Department of Public Works, and Ben Wright, Principal Engineer, Suffolk County Department of Public Works; and

WHEREAS, the project involves the extension of the Suffolk County Sewer District #6 service area by the construction and installation of a wastewater collection and conveyance system to serve the central business district of Kings Park Hamlet. A pump station and force main would tie the Kings Park Central business district to the existing Kings Park sewage treatment plant; now, therefore, be it

1st RESOLVED, that based on the information received and presented, a quorum of the CEQ hereby recommends to the Suffolk County Legislature and County Executive that the proposed activity be classified as an Unlisted Action under the provisions of Title 6 NYCRR Part 617 and Chapter 450 of the Suffolk County Code; and, be it further

2nd RESOLVED, that based on the information received, a quorum of the Council recommends to the Suffolk County Legislature and County Executive, pursuant to Chapter 450 of the Suffolk County Code, that the project will not have significant adverse impacts on the environment for the following reasons:

- the proposed action will not exceed any of the criteria set forth in Title 6 NYCRR Part 617.7 which sets forth thresholds for determining significant effect on the environment, as demonstrated in the Environmental Assessment Form;
- the proposal does not significantly threaten any unique or highly valuable environmental or cultural resources as identified in or regulated by the Environmental Conservation Law of the State of New York or the Suffolk County Charter and Code;
- 3. the proposed action will eliminate groundwater pollution emanating from cesspools and other existing individual on-site waste treatment facilities:
- 4. all necessary permits and approvals will be obtained from the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation for the proposed action;
- 5. the proposed action will be in conformance with the Long Island Sound Study; and, be it further

3rd RESOLVED, that it is the recommendation of the Council that the Legislature and County Executive adopt a SEQRA determination of non-significance (negative declaration). DATED: 7/17/2013

PROJECT #: DPW-31-2013 RESOLUTION #: 32-2013 DATE: July 17, 2013

RECORD OF CEQ RESOLUTION VOTES

CEQ APPOINTED MEMBERS	AYE	NAY	ABSTAIN	NOT PRESENT	RECUSED
James Bagg	\boxtimes				
Eva Growney				\boxtimes	
Thomas C. Gulbransen	\boxtimes				
Hon. Kara Hahn	×				
Michael Kaufman	\boxtimes				
Daniel Pichney	\boxtimes				
Gloria G. Russo	\boxtimes				
Mary Ann Spencer	\boxtimes				
Larry Swanson				×	
CAC REPRESENTATIVES					

Recommendation: Unlisted Action, Negative Declaration

Motion: Mr. Kaufman Second: Leg. Hahn

Further information may be obtained by contacting:

Andrew P. Freleng, Chief Planner Council on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 6100 Hauppauge, New York 11788



DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND PLANNING
DIVISION OF PLANNING AND ENVIRONMENT
COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

GLORIA RUSSO CHAIRPERSON CEQ

MEMORANDUM

TO:

Honorable Steven Bellone, Suffolk County Executive

Honorable William J. Lindsay, Presiding Officer

FROM:

Gloria Russo, Chairperson

DATE:

July 17, 2013

RE:

CEQ Review of the Proposed Demolition of the Bavarian Inn Building Structure, Town

of Smithtown

At its July 17, 2013 meeting, the CEQ reviewed the above referenced matter. Pursuant to Chapter 450 of the Suffolk County Code, and based on the information received, as well as that given in a presentation by Gilbert Anderson, Commissioner, Suffolk County Department of Public Works, the Council advises the Suffolk County Legislature and County Executive, in CEQ Resolution No. 33-2013, a copy of which is attached, that the proposed project be considered an Unlisted Action under SEQRA that will not have significant adverse impacts on the environment.

If the Legislature concurs with the Council on Environmental Quality's recommendation that the project will not have a significant adverse impact on the environment, the Presiding Officer should cause to be brought before the Legislature for a vote, a resolution determining that the proposed action is an Unlisted Action pursuant to SEQRA that will not have significant adverse impacts on the environment (negative declaration). However, if the Legislature has further environmental concerns regarding this project and needs additional information, the Presiding Officer should remand the case back to the initiating unit for the necessary changes to the project and EAF or submit a resolution authorizing the initiating unit to prepare a draft environmental impact statement (positive declaration).

Enclosed for your information is a copy of CEQ Resolution No. 33-2013 which sets forth the Council's recommendations. The project EAF and supporting documentation can be viewed online at http://www.suffolkcountyny.gov/Departments/Planning/Boards/CouncilonEnvironmentalQuality.

CEQ RESOLUTION NO. 33-2013, RECOMMENDATION CONCERNING A SEQRA CLASSIFICATION AND DETERMINATION FOR THE PURPOSES OF CHAPTER 450 OF THE SUFFOLK COUNTY CODE FOR THE PROPOSED DEMOLITION OF THE BAVARIAN INN BUILDING STRUCTURE, TOWN OF SMITHTOWN

WHEREAS, at its July 17, 2013 meeting, the Suffolk County Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) reviewed the EAF and associated information submitted by the Suffolk County Department of Public Works; and

WHEREAS, a presentation regarding the project was given at the meeting by Gilbert Anderson, Commissioner, Suffolk County Department of Public Works; and

WHEREAS, the project involves the demolition of the old Bavarian Inn building structure located on the property identified by the Suffolk County Tax Map number 0800-171.00-0500-015.000; now, therefore, be it

1st RESOLVED, that based on the information received and presented, a quorum of the CEQ hereby recommends to the Suffolk County Legislature and County Executive that the proposed activity be classified as an Unlisted Action under the provisions of Title 6 NYCRR Part 617 and Chapter 450 of the Suffolk County Code; and, be it further

2nd RESOLVED, that based on the information received, a quorum of the Council recommends to the Suffolk County Legislature and County Executive, pursuant to Chapter 450 of the Suffolk County Code, that the project will not have significant adverse impacts on the environment for the following reasons:

- the proposed action will not exceed any of the criteria set forth in Title 6
 NYCRR Part 617.7 which sets forth thresholds for determining significant
 effect on the environment, as demonstrated in the Environmental
 Assessment Form:
- 2. the proposal does not significantly threaten any unique or highly valuable environmental or cultural resources as identified in or regulated by the Environmental Conservation Law of the State of New York or the Suffolk County Charter and Code;
- 3. the proposed action will eliminate an abandoned and dilapidated structure that negatively impacts the area view shed:
- 4. all necessary permits and approvals will be obtained from New York State Department of Conservation prior to demolition;
- 5. the removal of any toxic and/or hazardous substances will be in compliance with all applicable rules and regulations; and, be it further

3rd RESOLVED, that it is the recommendation of the Council that the Legislature and County Executive adopt a SEQRA determination of non-significance (negative declaration).

PROJECT #: DPW-33-2013 RESOLUTION #: 33-2013 DATE: July 17, 2013

RECORD OF CEQ RESOLUTION VOTES

CEQ APPOINTED MEMBERS	AYE	NAY	ABSTAIN	NOT PRESENT	RECUSED
James Bagg	\boxtimes				
Eva Growney				\boxtimes	
Thomas C. Gulbransen	\boxtimes				
Hon. Kara Hahn	\boxtimes				
Michael Kaufman	\boxtimes				
Daniel Pichney	\boxtimes				
Gloria G. Russo	×				
Mary Ann Spencer	\boxtimes				
Larry Swanson					
CAC REPRESENTATIVES					

Recommendation: Unlisted Action, Negative Declaration

Motion: Mr. Bagg Second: Ms. Spencer

Further information may be obtained by contacting:

Andrew P. Freleng, Chief Planner Council on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 6100 Hauppauge, New York 11788



DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND PLANNING DIVISION OF PLANNING AND ENVIRONMENT

COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

GLORIA RUSSO CHAIRPERSON CEQ

MEMORANDUM

TO:

Honorable Steven Bellone, Suffolk County Executive

Honorable William J. Lindsay, Presiding Officer

FROM:

Gloria Russo, Chairperson

DATE:

July 17, 2013

RE:

CEQ Review of the Recommended SEQRA Classifications of Legislative Resolutions

Laid on the Table June 18, 2013

At its July 17, 2013 meeting, the CEQ reviewed the above referenced matter. Pursuant to Chapter 450 of the Suffolk County Code, and based on the information received, the Council recommends to the Suffolk County Legislature and County Executive in CEQ Resolution No. 34-2013, a copy of which is attached, that the enclosed list of legislative resolutions laid on the table June 18, 2013, be classified pursuant to SEQRA as so indicated in the left hand margin. The majority of the proposed resolutions are Type II actions pursuant to the appropriate section of Title 6 NYCRR Part 617.5, with no further environmental review necessary. Unlisted and Type I actions require that the initiating unit of County government prepare an Environmental Assessment Form (EAF) and submit it to the CEQ for further SEQRA review and recommendations.

Enclosed for your information is a copy of CEQ Resolution No. 34-2013 setting forth the Council's recommendations along with the associated list of legislative resolutions. If the Council can be of further help in this matter, please let us know.

Enc.

CEQ RESOLUTION NO. 34-2013, RECOMMENDATION CONCERNING SEQRA CLASSIFICATIONS OF LEGISLATIVE RESOLUTIONS LAID ON THE TABLE JUNE 18, 2013 PURSUANT TO CHAPTER 450 OF THE SUFFOLK COUNTY CODE

WHEREAS, the legislative packet regarding resolutions laid on the table June 18, 2013 has been received in the CEQ office; and

WHEREAS, staff has preliminarily reviewed the proposed resolutions and recommended SEQRA classifications; now, therefore, be it

1st RESOLVED, that in the judgment of the CEQ, based on the information received and presented, a quorum of the Council recommends to the Suffolk County Legislature and County Executive, pursuant to Chapter 450 of the Suffolk County Code, that the attached list of actions and projects be classified by the Legislature and County Executive pursuant to SEQRA as so indicated.

DATED: 7/17/2013

PROJECT #: PLN-32-2013 RESOLUTION #: 34-2013 DATE: July 17, 2013

RECORD OF CEQ RESOLUTION VOTES

CEQ APPOINTED MEMBERS	AYE	NAY	ABSTAIN	NOT PRESENT	RECUSED
James Bagg	\boxtimes				
Eva Growney				\boxtimes	
Thomas C. Gulbransen	\boxtimes				
Hon. Kara Hahn				\boxtimes	
Michael Kaufman	\boxtimes				
Daniel Pichney	\boxtimes				
Gloria G. Russo	×				
Mary Ann Spencer	X				
Larry Swanson				X	
CAC REPRESENTATIVES					

Motion: Mr. Kaufman Second: Mr. Bagg

Further information may be obtained by contacting:

Andrew P. Freleng, Chief Planner Council on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 6100 Hauppauge, New York 11788

LAID ON THE TABLE JUNE 18, 2013 LADS REPORT PREPARED BY:

Michele Gerardi (6/19/2013.11:19)

Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(27)	1507.	Naming the New Suffolk County Police Department Motor Carrier Inspection Station after Police Officer Glen Ciano. (Kennedy) <u>GOVERNMENT OPERATIONS</u> , <u>PERSONNEL</u> , <u>HOUSING & CONSUMER PROTECTION</u>
Fype II Action 5 NYCRR 617.5(c) 20)(27)	1508.	Adopting Local Law No2013, A Local Law to require use of safety helmets by all bicyclists in Suffolk County. (Barraga) <u>PUBLIC SAFETY</u>
Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(27)	1509.	Reappointing William J. Sanok as a member of the Suffolk County Vocational, Education, and Extension Board. (Krupski) <u>PUBLIC SAFETY</u>
Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(27)	1510.	Adopting Local Law No2013, A Local Law to safeguard employees displaced by privatization. (Browning) <u>GOVERNMENT OPERATIONS</u> , <u>PERSONNEL</u> , <u>HOUSING & CONSUMER PROTECTION</u>
SEQRA Completed by Reso 256-2005	1511.	Appropriating funds in connection with removal of toxic and hazardous building materials and components at various County facilities (CP 1732). (Co. Exec.) PUBLIC WORKS AND TRANSPORTATION
Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (18)(20)(27)	1512.	Appropriating funds in connection with the Warehouse Building – Eastern Campus (CP 2145). (Co. Exec.) <u>EDUCATION AND INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY</u>
Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (16)(20)(27)	1513.	Appropriating funds in connection with Median Improvements on Various County Roads (CP 5001). (Co. Exec.) <u>PUBLIC WORKS AND TRANSPORTATION</u>
SEQRA Completed by Reso 529-2003	1514.	Appropriating funds in connection with Intersection Improvements on CR 80, Montauk Highway at CR 31, Old Riverhead Road, Town of Southampton (CP 5569). (Co. Exec.) PUBLIC WORKS AND TRANSPORTATION
SEQRA Completed by Reso 1113-2001	1515.	Appropriating funds in connection with Reconstruction of CR 48, Middle Road from Horton Avenue to Main Street, Town of Southold (CP 5526). (Co. Exec.) PUBLIC WORKS AND TRANSPORTATION
Unlisted Action	1516.	Authorizing the sale, pursuant to Local Law No. 16-1976, of real property acquired under Section 46 of the Suffolk County Tax Act Cheryl Mera, Administrator of the Estate of Maxine Mera (SCTM No. 0400-239.00-02.00-083.000). (Co. Exec.) WAYS & MEANS
Unlisted Action	1517.	Authorizing the sale, pursuant to Local Law No. 16-1976, of real property acquired under Section 46 of the Suffolk County Tax Act Michael Pescino and Renee Belgrado (SCTM No. 0200-559.00-01.00-010.000). (Co. Exec.) <u>WAYS & MEANS</u>
Unlisted Action	1518.	Authorizing the sale, pursuant to Local Law No. 16-1976, of real property acquired under Section 46 of the Suffolk County Tax Act Aristedos Saketos (SCTM No. 0200-850.00-03.00-006.000). (Co. Exec.) <u>WAYS & MEANS</u>
Unlisted Action	1519.	Authorizing the sale, pursuant to Local Law No. 16-1976, of real property acquired under Section 46 of the Suffolk County Tax Act Elena Eshaghpour (SCTM No. 0900-386.00-02.00-022.000). (Co. Exec.) WAYS & MEANS

Unlisted Action	1520.	Authorizing the sale, pursuant to Local Law No. 16-1976, of real property acquired under Section 46 of the Suffolk County Tax Act David Dinger and Life Estate of Donald J. Dinger (SCTM No. 0500-295.00-02.00-075.000). (Co. Exec.) WAYS & MEANS
Unlisted Action	1521.	Authorizing the sale, pursuant to Local Law No. 16-1976, of real property acquired under Section 46 of the Suffolk County Tax Act Eric Entemann (SCTM No. 0200-153.00-05.00-010.000). (Co. Exec.) <u>WAYS & MEANS</u>
Unlisted Action	1522.	Authorizing the sale, pursuant to Local Law No. 16-1976, of real property acquired under Section 46 of the Suffolk County Tax Act Matthew Carragher and Michael Carragher, as Joint Tenants with right of survivorship (SCTM No. 0900-144.00-01.00-058.000). (Co. Exec.) WAYS & MEANS
Unlisted Action	1523.	Authorizing the sale, pursuant to Local Law No. 16-1976, of real property acquired under Section 46 of the Suffolk County Tax Act Stephen H. Milvid and Florindo Ferreira, as tenants in common (SCTM No. 0200-973.90-02.00-052.000). (Co. Exec.) WAYS & MEANS
Unlisted Action	1524.	Authorizing the sale, pursuant to Local Law No. 16-1976, of real property acquired under Section 46 of the Suffolk County Tax Act Kevin P. Murphy and Linda E. Murphy, his wife (SCTM No. 0200-338.00-06.00-004.000). (Co. Exec.) WAYS & MEANS
Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(27)	1525.	To readjust, compromise, and grant refunds and charge-backs on real property correction of errors by: County Legislature (Control No. 929-2013). (Co. Exec.) BUDGET_AND_FINANCE
Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (15)(20)(27)	1526.	Authorizing use of Cupsogue Beach County Park by Barrier Beach Preservation Association for its 5k Race Fundraiser. (Co. Exec.) PARKS & RECREATION
Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (15)(20)(27)	1527.	Authorizing use of the Long Island Maritime Museum by the Rotary Club of Sayville for Annual Beefsteak Fundraiser. (Co. Exec.) <u>PARKS & RECREATION</u>
Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (15)(20)(27)	1528.	Authorizing use of Southaven County Park, Showmobile and Pavilion by Contractors for Kids for their Family Fun Day and Picnic Fundraiser. (Co. Exec.) PARKS & RECREATION
SEQRA Completed by Reso 716-2004	1529.	Amending Resolution No. 1396-2006 to reallocate funding in connection with improvements to Suffolk County Sewer District No. 18 – Hauppauge Industrial (CP 8126). (Co. Exec.) <u>PUBLIC WORKS AND TRANSPORTATION</u>
Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(25)(27)	1530.	Appropriating funds in connection with the purchase and replacement of Nutrition Vehicles for the Office for the Aging (CP 1749). (Co. Exec.) <u>VETERANS AND SENIORS</u>
Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(27)	1531.	Transferring and appropriating Living Wage Contingency Funds to the Federation of Organizations for the NYS Mentally Disabled, Inc., Respite Fee Subsidy. (Co. Exec.) GOVERNMENT OPERATIONS, PERSONNEL, HOUSING & CONSUMER PROTECTION
Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(27)	1532.	Amending Resolution No. 240-2013 to clarify the membership of the Jobs Opportunity Board. (Anker) <u>EDUCATION AND INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY</u>

Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(21)(27)	1533.	Directing the Department of Economic Development and Planning, in partnership with State and County Institutions of Higher Education, to study the feasibility of constructing affordable housing for young professionals. (Anker) GOVERNMENT OPERATIONS, PERSONNEL, HOUSING & CONSUMER PROTECTION
Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (3)(20)(27)	1534.	Appropriating funds in connection with Improvements to Suffolk County Farm (CP 1796). (Co. Exec.) <u>ENVIRONMENT, PLANNING AND AGRICULTURE</u>
Unlisted Action	1535.	Authorizing the sale of County-owned real property pursuant to Section 72-h of the General Municipal Law to the Town of Babylon for affordable housing purpose (SCTM No. 0100-079.00-02.00-048.000). (Co. Exec.) <u>GOVERNMENT OPERATIONS, PERSONNEL, HOUSING & CONSUMER PROTECTION</u>
Unlisted Action	1536.	Authorizing the sale of County-owned real property pursuant to Section 72-h of the General Municipal Law to the Town of Babylon for affordable housing purpose (SCTM No. 0100-170.00-02.00-144.000). (Co. Exec.) GOVERNMENT OPERATIONS, PERSONNEL, HOUSING & CONSUMER PROTECTION
Unlisted Action	1537.	Authorizing the sale of County-owned real property pursuant to Section 72-h of the General Municipal Law to the Town of Babylon for affordable housing purpose (SCTM No. 0100-083.00-01.00-106.000). (Co. Exec.) GOVERNMENT OPERATIONS, PERSONNEL, HOUSING & CONSUMER PROTECTION
Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (2)(20)(27)	1538.	Approving the change of project for Downtown Revitalization grant to the Town of Huntington Economic Development Corporation and amending the contract with the Town of Huntington to reflect same. (Co. Exec.) ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND ENERGY
Unlisted Action	1539.	Authorizing the sale of County-owned real property pursuant to Section 72-h of the General Municipal Law to the Town of Babylon for affordable housing purpose (SCTM No. 0100-170.00-02.00-145.000). (Co. Exec.) GOVERNMENT OPERATIONS, PERSONNEL, HOUSING & CONSUMER PROTECTION
Unlisted Action	1540.	Authorizing the sale of County-owned real property pursuant to Section 72-h of the General Municipal Law to the Town of Babylon for affordable housing purpose (SCTM No. 0100-170.00-02.00-143.000). (Co. Exec.) GOVERNMENT OPERATIONS, PERSONNEL, HOUSING & CONSUMER PROTECTION
Unlisted Action	1541.	Authorizing the sale of County-owned real property pursuant to Section 72-h of the General Municipal Law to the Town of Brookhaven for affordable housing purpose (SCTM No. 0200-486.00-06.00-021.000). (Co. Exec.) GOVERNMENT OPERATIONS, PERSONNEL, HOUSING & CONSUMER PROTECTION
Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(21)(27)	1542.	Authorizing an appraisal for the purchase of development rights of farmland under the Suffolk County Drinking Water Protection Program, as amended by Local Law No. 24-2007 – Joseph W. Brush, Jr. farm property – Town of Riverhead (SCTM No. 0600-044.00-02.00-010.004 p/o). (Co. Exec.) ENVIRONMENT , PLANNING AND AGRICULTURE
Unlisted Action	1543.	Sale of County-owned real estate pursuant to Section 72-h of the General Municipal Law - Town of Babylon (SCTM No. 0100-057.00-01.00-016.000). (Co. Exec.) <u>WAYS & MEANS</u>

Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(27)	1544.	Providing updated report for elected officials. (Pres. Off.) GOVERNMENT OPERATIONS, PERSONNEL, HOUSING & CONSUMER PROTECTION
Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(27)	1545.	Ensuring timely updates of Suffolk County's Open Access Website. (D'Amaro) EDUCATION AND INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY
Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (2)(20)(27)	1546.	Appropriating funds in connection with Energy Conservation at Various County Facilities (CP 1664). (Co. Exec.) <u>PUBLIC WORKS AND TRANSPORTATION</u>
NYSDEC SEQRA Lead Agency	1547.	Appropriating funds in connection with Dredging of County Waters at Various Locations (CP 5200). (Co. Exec.) <u>PUBLIC WORKS AND TRANSPORTATION</u>
Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(27)	1548.	Amending the 2013 Operating Budget and transferring funds within the Office of the County Executive to provide funding for Legal Assistance to the Elderly. (Co. Exec.) <u>VETERANS AND SENIORS</u>
Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (1)(2)(20)(27)	1549.	Appropriating funds in connection with Repairs to Historic Structures (CP 7510). (Co. Exec.) PARKS & RECREATION
Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(27)	1550.	Adopting 2013-2014 Operating Budget Total for Suffolk County Community College. (Anker)
Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(27)	1551.	Authorizing certain technical corrections to Adopted Resolution No. 423-2013. (Co. Exec.) <u>WAYS & MEANS</u>
Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(21)(27)	1552.	Authorizing the lease of portions of LIPA owned right-of-way from Crystal Brook Hollow Road East to Wading River for a pedestrian and bicycle path. (Co. Exec.) WAYS & MEANS
Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(27)	1553.	Authorizing the inclusion of new parcels into existing certified agricultural districts in the County of Suffolk – 2013 and updating annual enrollment period. (Co. Exec.) ENVIRONMENT , PLANNING AND AGRICULTURE
Unlisted Action	1554.	Authorizing the sale, pursuant to Local Law No. 16-1976, of real property acquired under Section 46 of the Suffolk County Tax Act David R. Reisman, as Devisee and Executor of Elizabeth S. Reisman (SCTM No. 0400-024.00-03.00-008.000). (Co. Exec.)
Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(21)(27)	1555.	Appropriating funds in the 2013 Capital Budget and Program in connection with Pavement Management Rehabilitation at Francis S. Gabreski Airport (CP 5739). (Co. Exec.) <u>ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND ENERGY</u>
Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(25)(27)	1556.	Amending the 2013 Capital Budget and Program and accepting and appropriating funds in connection with airport snow removal equipment At Francis S. Gabreski Airport (CP 5737). (Co. Exec.) <u>ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND ENERGY</u>
Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(27)	1557.	Amending Suffolk County Code, Chapter 948-32 to revise the fee schedule for Francis S. Gabreski Airport. (Co. Exec.) <u>ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND ENERGY</u>
Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(27)	1558.	Approving a Memorandum of Agreement between the Department of Economic Development and Planning, Division of Real Property Acquisition and Management and the Suffolk County Water Authority. (Co. Exec.)

Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(27)	1559.	Prioritizing and examining the transition of existing County health centers to the Federally Qualified Health Center Model. (Browning) <u>HEALTH</u>
Tabled for Further Clarification	1560.	Authorizing a plan to reduce the County's use of pesticides on public and private lands by utilizing non-invasive fish species to combat mosquito infestations. (Gregory) PUBLIC WORKS AND TRANSPORTATION
Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(27)	1561.	Adopting Local Law No2013 A Local Law Adopting a New Chapter in the Suffolk County Code to codify certain fees to be collected by the Traffic and Parking Violation Agency, as approved in the Operating Budget. (Co. Exec.) WAYS & MEANS
Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(27)	1562.	Appointing Elizabeth Custodio as member of the Long Island Regional Planning Council. (Co. Exec.) <u>ENVIRONMENT, PLANNING AND AGRICULTURE</u>
Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(27)(29)	1563.	Authorizing execution of an order on consent with the United States Environmental Protection Agency concerning County underground storage tanks and universal waste. (Co. Exec.) ENVIRONMENT , PLANNING AND AGRICULTURE
Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(e) (20)(27)	1564.	Amending the 2013 Operating Budget and appropriating funds in connection with bonding for an order on consent settlement with the United States Environmental Protection Agency concerning county underground storage tanks and universal waste. (Co. Exec.) BUDGET AND FINANCE
Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(21)(27)	1565.	Establishing the Long Island Commission on aquifer protection. (Spencer) ENVIRONMENT , PLANNING AND AGRICULTURE
Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(27)	1566.	Appointing Nancy Engelhardt as member of the Long Island Regional Planning Council. (Co. Exec.) <u>ENVIRONMENT, PLANNING AND AGRICULTURE</u>
Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(27)	1567.	Adopting Local Law No2013, A Charter Law to create a unified County Department of Financial Management And Audit. (Co. Exec.) GOVERNMENT OPERATIONS, PERSONNEL, HOUSING & CONSUMER PROTECTION



DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND PLANNING
DIVISION OF PLANNING AND ENVIRONMENT
COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

GLORIA RUSSO CHAIRPERSON CEQ

MEMORANDUM

TO:

Honorable Steven Bellone, Suffolk County Executive

Honorable William J. Lindsay, Presiding Officer

FROM:

Gloria Russo, Chairperson K co

DATE:

July 17, 2013

RE:

CEQ SEQRA Recommendation for IR 1252-2013 (Amended)

At its July 17, 2013 meeting, the CEQ reviewed the above referenced matter. Pursuant to Chapter 450 of the Suffolk County Code, and based on the information received, the Council recommends to the Suffolk County Legislature and County Executive in CEQ Resolution No. 35-2013, a copy of which is attached, that the Introductory Resolution 1252-2013 be classified as an Unlisted Action that will not have a significant impact on the environment (negative declaration).

Enclosed for your information is a copy of CEQ Resolution No. 35-2013 setting forth the Council's recommendations. If the Council can be of further help in this matter, please let us know.

Enc.

CEQ RESOLUTION NO. 35-2013, RECOMMENDATION CONCERNING SEQRA CLASSIFICATION AND DETERMINATION FOR LEGISLATIVE RESOLUTION I.R. 1252-2013 (AMENDED) PURSUANT TO CHAPTER 450 OF THE SUFFOLK COUNTY CODE

WHEREAS, at the request of the Legislator Sponsor the CEQ tabled discussion on the SEQRA Classification for I.R. 1252-2013 at its May 22, 2013 meeting; and

WHEREAS, at its July 17, 2013 meeting the CEQ reviewed I.R. 1252-2013 (Amended); now, therefore, be it

1st RESOLVED, that based on the information received and presented, a quorum of the CEQ hereby recommends to the Suffolk County Legislature and County Executive that I.R. 1252-2013 be classified as an Unlisted Action under the provisions of Title 6 NYCRR Part 617 and Chapter 450 of the Suffolk County Code; and, be it further

2nd RESOLVED, that based on the information received, a quorum of the Council recommends to the Suffolk County Legislature and County Executive, pursuant to Chapter 450 of the Suffolk County Code, that the proposed action will not have significant adverse impacts on the environment for the following reasons:

- 1. the proposed action will not exceed any of the criteria set forth in Title 6 NYCRR Part 617.7 which sets forth thresholds for determining significant effect on the environment, as demonstrated in the Environmental Assessment Form;
- the proposal does not significantly threaten any unique or highly valuable environmental or cultural resources as identified in or regulated by the Environmental Conservation Law of the State of New York or the Suffolk County Charter and Code;
- 3. the proposed action will eliminate an abandoned and dilapidated structure that negatively impacts the area view shed;
- 4. all necessary permits and approvals will be obtained from New York State Department of Conservation prior to demolition;
- 5. the removal of any toxic and/or hazardous substances will be in compliance with all applicable rules and regulations.

DATED: 7/17/2013

PROJECT #: PLN-34-2013 RESOLUTION #: 35-2013 DATE: July 17, 2013

RECORD OF CEQ RESOLUTION VOTES

CEQ APPOINTED MEMBERS	AYE	NAY	ABSTAIN	NOT PRESENT	RECUSED
James Bagg	\boxtimes				
Eva Growney				×	
Thomas C. Gulbransen	\boxtimes				
Hon. Kara Hahn				×	
Michael Kaufman	X				
Daniel Pichney	\boxtimes				
Gloria G. Russo	\boxtimes				
Mary Ann Spencer	\boxtimes				
Larry Swanson				×	
CAC REPRESENTATIVES					

Motion: Mr. Kaufman Second: Mr. Bagg

Further information may be obtained by contacting:

Andrew P. Freleng, Chief Planner Council on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 6100 Hauppauge, New York 11788