

DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND PLANNING
DIVISION OF PLANNING AND ENVIRONMENT

COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

GLORIA RUSSO CHAIRPERSON CEQ

# **NOTICE OF PUBLIC MEETING**

Notice is hereby given that the Council on Environmental Quality will convene a regular public meeting at 9:30 a.m. on Wednesday April 16, 2014 in the Arthur Kunz Library, H. Lee Dennison Building, Fourth Floor, Veterans Memorial Highway, Hauppauge, NY 11788. Pursuant to the Citizens Public Participation Act, all citizens are invited to submit testimony, either orally or in writing at the meeting. Written comments can also be submitted prior to the meeting to the attention of:

Andrew P. Freleng, Chief Planner Council on Environmental Quality Suffolk County Planning Department P.O. Box 6100 Hauppauge, NY 11788 631-853-5191

Council of Environmental Quality Gloria Russo, Chairperson



DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND PLANNING
DIVISION OF PLANNING AND ENVIRONMENT
COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

GLORIA RUSSO CHAIRPERSON CEQ

#### **AGENDA**

#### **MEETING NOTIFICATION**

Wednesday, April 16, 2014 9:30 a.m.
Arthur Kunz Library
H. Lee Dennison Bldg. - 4<sup>th</sup> Floor
Veterans Memorial Highway, Hauppauge

All project materials can be found at:

http://www.suffolkcountyny.gov/Departments/Planning/Boards/CouncilonEnvironmentalQuality

# Call to Order: Minutes: March 2014 Correspondence: Public Portion:

#### **Historic Trust Docket:**

Director's Report:

Updates on Housing Program for Historic Trust Sites Updates on Historic Trust Custodial Agreements

#### **Project Review:**

#### **Recommended Unlisted Actions:**

**A.** Proposed Roadway, Safety and Capacity Improvements to CR13, Crooked Hill Road, Towns of Islip and Smithtown

#### **Recommendations for LADS Report:**

A. Recommendations for Legislative Resolutions Laid on the Table March 18, 2014

#### **Other Business:**

Suffolk County Stormwater Management Program Annual Report 2014

#### **CAC Concerns:**

\*CAC MEMBERS: The above information has been forwarded to your local Legislators, Supervisors and DEC personnel. Please check with them prior to the meeting to see if they have any comments or concerns regarding these projects that they would like brought to the CEQ's attention.

\*\*CEQ MEMBERS: PLEASE NOTIFY THIS OFFICE AS SOON AS POSSIBLE IF YOU WILL BE UNABLE TO ATTEND.

\*\*\*FOLLOWING THE MEETING PLEASE LEAVE BEHIND ALL PROJECT MATERIAL THAT YOU DO NOT WANT OR NEED AS WE CAN RECYCLE THESE MATERIALS LATER ON.



DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND PLANNING DIVISION OF PLANNING AND ENVIRONMENT

COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

GLORIA RUSSO CHAIRPERSON CEQ

# SUFFOLK COUNTY COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY MINUTES

DATE: April 16, 2014

TIME: 9:45 am to 11:25 pm

LOCATION: Arthur Kunz Library

H. Lee Dennison Bldg. – 4<sup>th</sup> Floor

Veterans Memorial Highway, Hauppauge, New York

#### PRESENT:

James Bagg, Vice-Chair Eva Growney Michael Kaufman Mary Ann Spencer Hon, Kara Hahn

#### ABSENT:

Gloria Russo, Chair Thomas Gulbransen Dan Pichney Larry Swanson

#### CAC REPRESENTATIVES:

Joy Squires

#### STAFF:

Andrew Freleng, Chief Planner John Corral, Planner Christine DeSalvo, Senior Clerk Typist

#### **GUESTS:**

Richard Martin, Director of Historic Services, Suffolk County Dept. of Parks, Recreation & Conservation

Nick Gibbons, Principal Environmental Analyst, Suffolk County Dept. of Parks, Recreation & Conservation

Frank Castelli, Environmental Project Coordinator, Suffolk County Department of Economic Development and Planning, Division of Water Quality

Michael Pitcher, Director of Communications, Suffolk County Legislature

Alyssa Turano, Legislative Aide, Legislative District 5

Debbie Tinnirello, Legislative Aide, Legislative District 5

Erik Bergey, Sr. Civil Engineer, Suffolk County Department of Public Works

Paul TeNyenhuis, District Manager, Suffolk County Department of Public Works

Victor Keneiby, Associate Civil Engineer, Suffolk County Department of Public Works

Richard Geiger, SB Bowne, Consultant

Carolyn Sukowski, Cornell Cooperative Extension

Emerson Hasbrouck, Marine Program Director, Cornell Cooperative Extension

Lorne Brousseu, Research Educator, Cornell Cooperative Extension

Rich Zapolski, Commissioner of Planning, Town of Islip

Rick Brand, Reporter, Newsday

Vice-Chairman Bagg revised the meeting agenda so that the CEQ could take the Suffolk County Stormwater Management Program Annual Report 2014 out of order and consider it at the beginning of the meeting.

#### Other Business: (Taken Out of Order)

Suffolk County Stormwater Management Program Annual Report 2014

A presentation was given by Mr. Hasbrouck on the Suffolk County Stormwater Management Program. The annual report can be found at www.SuffolkStormwater.com. Comments can also be sent to Lorne Brousseau at lb66@cornell.edu .

#### **Minutes:**

Minutes for the March 19, 2014 CEQ meeting were reviewed and discussed. A motion was made by Ms. Spencer to approve the March 19, 2014 minutes. The motion was seconded by Mr. Kaufman. Motion carried. Ms. Growney, Legislator Hahn and Mr. Kaufman abstained from voting.

#### **Correspondence:**

None

#### **Public Portion:**

There were no general public comments offered to the CEQ

#### **Historic Trust Docket:**

Director's Report:

Mr. Martin updated the Council on the following:

• Housing Program:

Mr. Martin stated that all available County housing units are currently occupied.

• Custodial Agreements:

Mr. Martin's update noted that there has been no change in the status of custodial agreements.

- Mr. Martin stated that a Request for Proposal for the restoration of Homan House in Yaphank was sent out on April 14, 2014. Mr. Martin noted that the County has been working on the stabilization of the Homan House for a number of years and he would like to get additional funds this year so that restoration of the house can continue to move forward.
- Mr. Martin noted that a Request for Proposal for the Hubbard County Park Master Plan Request also has been sent out. Mr. Gibbons, who is working with Mr. Martin on the Master Plan, stated that Parks had an on-site meeting with the prospective consultants. Mr. Gibbons noted some of the very unique opportunities that exist at Hubbard County Park which will need to be considered as part of the Master Plan. The next step will be an assessment of the received proposals. Parks will update the CEQ after a consultant is selected.
- Mr. Martin also noted that the Yaphank Historic Society is receiving an Organizational Excellence award from the Society for the Preservation of Long Island Antiquities. The awards ceremony is on Sunday April 27, 2014 from 2 PM to 4 PM at the Topping Rose House 1 Bridgehampton-Sag Harbor Turnpike, Bridgehampton, NY.

#### **Recommended Unlisted Actions:**

**A.** Proposed Roadway, Safety and Capacity Improvements to CR13, Crooked Hill Road, Towns of Islip and Smithtown

Victor Keneiby, Project Manager, Suffolk County Department of Public Works and Rich Geiger, P.E. Bowne AE&T Group, gave a presentation regarding the proposed project. The project involves highway, drainage, traffic signalization and safety improvements to CR13, Crooked Hill Road, from the vicinity of CR106, Community College Drive, to the vicinity of the North Service Road of the Long Island Expressway, a total distance of approximately 1.4 miles. These improvements include pavement widening and realignment, full reconstruction of existing pavement, full curb installation on both sides of CR13, sidewalk installation along most portions of the corridor, and the installation of

new positive storm drainage systems. The realignment and widening of CR13 requires land acquisitions on both sides of CR13.

After much discussion regarding the details of the project it was determined by the CEQ that more information was required. Mr. Freleng, Chief Planner with the Suffolk County Department of Economic Development and Planning, offered a suggestion to do an expanded Part 3 on the Environmental Assessment Form (EAF) rather than recommending doing a full Environmental Impact Statement. The CEQ agreed with Mr. Freleng and the CEQ decided to table the project so that an expanded EAF Part 3 section could be completed. The CEQ stated that the following additional information should be included in the expanded Part 3 section of the EAF:

- Information regarding Suffolk County's Complete Streets adopted policy and its applicability to this project.
- Additional information on the possibility of adding a bike lane to the proposed project. This information should include but not be limited to information on the project right-of-way dimensions, constraints, and required acquisitions.
- Information on whether bike lanes are planned for the Heartland Town Square Project.

Mr. Kaufman made a motion to Table the proposed project pending the preparation of an expanded EAF Part 3. Ms. Growney seconded the motion. Motion passed.

#### **Recommendations for LADS Report:**

A. Recommendations for Legislative Resolutions Laid on the Table March 18, 2014

Mr. Corral noted that the staff's SEQRA recommendations are listed on the LADS report. Mr. Corral also noted that IR 1294-2014 is the acquisition resolution for the Boyle Road property which the CEQ had recently reviewed.

Mr. Kaufman made a motion to accept staff recommendations as presented for the March 18, 2014 Legislative Resolutions. The motion was seconded by Ms. Growney. Motion carried.

#### **CAC Concerns:**

Ms. Squires requested a presentation on Suffolk County's "Complete Streets" Legislation at the next CEQ meeting.

Ms. Spencer requested that the May 21<sup>st</sup> CEQ meeting be held at the Smithers Property in Hubbard County Park. Legislator Hahn requested changing the meeting time to 10 a.m. All CEQ members agreed to the location and time change for the May meeting.

#### Meeting Adjourned



# DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS

GILBERT ANDERSON, P.E. COMMISSIONER

PHILIP A. BERDOLT
DEPUTY COMMISSIONER

April 4, 2014

John Corral
Suffolk County
Department of Economic Development and Planning
H. Lee Dennison Building- 4<sup>th</sup> Floor
100 Veterans Memorial Hwy, PO Box 6100
Hauppauge, New York 11788-0099

RE: Roadway, Safety and Capacity Improvements to CR13, Crooked Hill Road from the Vicinity of CR 106, Community College Road, to the Vicinity of Garet Place. CP # 5538, Town of Islip/Town of Smithtown

Dear Mr. Corral:

Enclosed herewith, please find 15 copies hard copies of the Environmental Assessment Form (and all required attachments), as well as one electronic copy (CD-ROM), for the above referenced project. We would appreciate it if you could put this on the schedule for the April 16<sup>th</sup> meeting of the Council on Environmental Quality.

Thank you for your continuing cooperation. If there are any questions, or if additional materials are required, please feel free to contact me at (631) 852-5257.

Very truly yours,

Victor Keneiby, P.E. Associate Civil Engineer

VK:chp enclosures

#### SUFFOLK COUNTY FULL ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT FORM

6 NYCRR Part 617

State Environmental Quality Review

#### Part 1 – Environment and Setting

Instructions: Part 1 is to be completed by the applicant or project sponsor. Complete Part 1 based on information currently available. If additional research or investigation would be needed to fully respond to any item, please answer as thoroughly as possible based on current information; indicate whether missing information does not exist, or is not reasonably available to the sponsor; and, when possible, generally describe work or studies which would be necessary to update or fully develop that information. If a question is not applicable to the proposed project indicate with "N/A".

Applicants/sponsors must complete all items in Sections A & B. In Sections C, D & E, most items contain an initial question that must be answered either "Yes" or "No". If the answer is "Yes", complete the sub-questions that follow. If the answer to the initial question is "No", proceed to the next question. Section F allows the project sponsor to identify and attach any additional information. Section G requires the name and signature of the project sponsor to verify that the information contained in Part 1 is accurate and complete.

#### A. Project and Sponsor Information

Name of Action/Project:					
Roadway, Safety and Capacity Improvements to CR13, Crooked Hill Road					
Project Location (specify Town	Project Location (specify Town, Village, Hamlet and attach general location map*):				
rom vicinity of the North Service Road of the LIE to the intersection of CR13 with CR106/Community College Drive					
Street Address:					
CR13 Crooked Hill Road					
Name of Property or Waterway	7:				
N/A					
* Maps of Property and Project: Attach relevant available maps including a location map (note: use road map, Hagstrom Atlas, USGS topography map, tax map or equivalent) and preliminary site plans showing orientation, scale, buildings, roads, landmarks, drainage systems, area to be altered by project, etc.					
Type of Project:	New 🗌	Expansion 🛛			
Capital Program: CFA#2432	Item #	Date Adopted: August 1, 2012	Amount: \$2,500,000.00		
		se or need/attach relevant design r and safety improvements to CR13, Crooked			

- Community College Drive to the vicinity of the north service road of the L.I.E., a total distance of approximately 1.4 miles.
- Improvements will include pavement widening and realignment, full reconstruction of existing pavement, full curb installation on both sides of CR13, sidewalk installation in most areas. Road pavement cross slopes and longitudinal grade rates will meet Suffolk County requirements. Installation of a new positive storm drainage system north of the Sagtikos Parkway overpass will be provided. This system will be connected to an existing New York State recharge basin No. 99.
- South of the SAG overpass, another positive system will be proposed to be connected to a County drainage system that discharges into a Town of Islip
- Typically, CR13 will be designed as a four lane road (two through lanes in each direction), plus a shared center turn lane. In addition, a second left turn lane and a right turn lane will be provided at the larger intersections. The new roadway sections will provide added vehicular and pedestrian safety.
- The project will also include new traffic signalization at all intersections due to the mentioned realignments, widening, and additional turn lanes. All existing traffic signage will be replaced.
- The realignment and widening of CR13 has necessitated acquisitions on both sides of the road. All acquisition maps have been completed and presented to Suffolk County.
- The proposed storm drainage systems will likely necessitate offsetting of existing underground utilities. All efforts will be made to minimize the number of conflicts. The relocation and/or replacement of utility poles may be necessary.
- During construction, all required erosion and sediment control devices will be installed in accordance with NYS Erosion and Sediment Control Guidelines. These controls will be installed prior to soil disturbance and will be monitored and maintained throughout construction.

n .		C	
Pro	lect.	Status	•

	Start	Completion
Proposal		
Study		
Preliminary Planning	Aug. 2012	May 2014
Final Plans: Specs	May 2014	Aug. 2014
Site Acquisition	Jan. 2013	submitted Mar. 2014, pending
Construction	Mar. 2015	Mar. 2017
Other	-	-

Departments Involved:

Dept. Performing Design & Construction

Initiating Dept. (if different)

Name:	Suffolk County DPW	
Street/PO:	335 Yaphank Avenue	
City, State:	Yaphank, NY	
Zip:	11980	
Contact Person:	William Hillman, P.E.	
Business Phone:	631-852-4002	
Email:	william.hillman@suffolkcountyny.gov	

B. Government Approvals, Funding or Sponsorship
("Funding" includes grants, loans, tax relief and any other forms of financial assistance)

	Government Entity			If "Yes": Identify Agency and Approval(s) Required	Application Date (Actual or Projected)
i.	City Council, Town Board or Village Board of Trustees	Yes 🗌	No 🛛		
ii.	City, Town or Village Planning Board or Commission	Yes 🗌	No 🛛		
iii.	City, Town or Village Zoning Board of Appeals	Yes 🗌	No ⊠		
iv.	Other local agencies	Yes 🗌	No 🔀		
v.	County agencies	Yes 🛛	No 🗌	Suffolk County C.E.Q. & County Legislature, SCDPW	April 2014
vi.	Regional agencies	Yes 🗌	No 🛛		
vii.	State agencies	Yes 🔀	No 🗌	Empire State Development approval for acquisition Maps from: NYSDOT, NYS Parks, DASNY	Sept. 2014 June 2014
viii.	Federal agencies	Yes 🗌	No 🛚		
ix.	Coastal Resources			A STATE OF THE STA	
	Is the project site within a Waterway?	Coastal A	area or th	ne waterfront area of a Designate	d Inland
	If YES,				Yes 🗌 No 🛛
	Is the project site located in a community with an approved Local Yes No				No 🗀
	Waterfront Revitalization Pro				
	Is the project site within a Co	astal Erosi	on Hazaro	d Area? N/A Yes	No 📗

### C. Planning and Zoning

<b>C.</b> :	1. Planning and Zoning Actions			
Will administrative or legislative adoption or amendment of a plan, local law, ordinance, rule or				
regulation be the only approval(s) which must be granted to enable the proposed action to proceed?				
C.2	2. Adopted Land Use Plans			
a.	Do any municipally-adopted (city, town, village or county) comprehensive land use plan(s) include			
	the site where the proposed action would be located?			
	If Yes:	Vas 🗆 Na 🔯		
	Does the comprehensive plan include specific recommendations for the site where the proposed	Yes 🗌 No 🔀		
	action would be located? N/A			
	Yes No			
b.	Is the site of the proposed action within any local or regional special planning district (i.e.			
	Greenway Brownfield Opportunity Area (BOA); designated State or Federal heritage area;			
	watershed management plan; et. al)?			
		Yes 🗌 No 🔀		
	If Yes, identify the plan(s):			
c.	Is the proposed action located wholly or partially within an area listed in an adopted municipal			
	open space plan, or an adopted municipal farmland protection plan?			
		Vac 🗆 Na 🔯		
	If Yes, identify the plan(s):	Yes 🗌 No 🛛		
<b>C.</b> 3	3. Zoning			
a.	Is the site of the proposed action located in a municipality with an adopted zoning law or			
	ordinance? Roadway is within the Town of Islip.			
		Yes ☐ No 🔀		
	If Yes, what is the zoning classification(s) including any applicable overlay district?	I es 🗌 No 🔯		
	Outside of the roadway limits, the Zoning types are Residential AAA, General Services E,			
	Industrial 1 and Industrial 2.			
b.	Is the use permitted or allowed by a special or conditional use permit? Not Applicable	Yes 🗌 No 🗌		
c.	Is a zoning change requested as part of the proposed action?			
	If Yes, what is the proposed new zoning for the site?	Yes 🗌 No 🛛		
C.4. Existing Community Services				
a. In what school district is the project site located?				
	Brentwood UFSD			
b.	What police or other public protection forces serve the project site?			
	Police Precinct #3			
c.	Which fire protection and emergency medical services serve the project site?			
	Brentwood Fire District, Brentwood Legion Ambulance Service			
d.				
-	None			

# D. Project Details

D.1.	D.1. Proposed and Potential Development				
a.	What is the general nature of the proposed action? (if mixed, include all components)				
-	Residential ]; Industrial ]; Commercial ]; Recreational ]; Other ]: Roadway Improvements				
	Total acreage of the site of the proposed action: 13.61 Acres	acres			
c.	Total acreage to be physically disturbed: Existing road 10.75 Ac and expanded road 2.86 Ac.	acres			
d.					
	Is the proposed action an expansion of an existing project or use? Yes				
	If Yes, what is the approximate percentage of the proposed expansion and identify the units (e.g., acres, miles, housing units, square feet, etc.)?  Expanded 2.86 Acres = 26.6%	Yes ⊠ No □			
f.	Is the proposed action a subdivision, or does it include a subdivision? No				
	<ul> <li>If Yes: <ul> <li>i. Purpose or type of subdivision? (if mixed, specify types)</li> <li>Residential []; Industrial []; Recreational []; Other [] N/A</li> </ul> </li> <li>ii.  <ul> <li>Is a cluster/conservation layout proposed?</li> <li>Number of lots proposed: N/A</li> <li>Minimum and maximum proposed lot sizes:</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	Yes □ No 🔯			
	Will proposed action be constructed in multiple phases? No  If No, What is the anticipated period of construction?				
	24 months				
	Total number of phases anticipated:  One  Anticipated commencement date of phase I (including demolition):  Spring 2015  Anticipated completion date of final phase:  Spring 2017  Generally describe connections or relationships among phases, including any contingencies where progress of one phase may determine timing or duration of future phases:  N/A	Yes □ No 🏻			

h.	Does the project include new residential uses?			
	If Was above mumber of units managed			
	If Yes, show number of units proposed.  Single Family Two Family Three Family Multi-Family (4+)	Yes 🗌 No 🔀		
	Initial Phase			
	At Completion	•		
i.	Does the proposed action include new non-residential construction (including expansions)? No			
	If Yes:			
	Total Number of Structures:			
		Yes 🗌 No 🔀		
	Dimensions of largest proposed structure:	Ies 🗌 140 🔯		
	Approximate extent of building space to be heated or cooled:			
	Approximate distance of a manage of the second of the seco			
j.	Does the proposed action include construction or other activities that will result in the			
	impoundment of any liquids, such as creation of a water supply, reservoir, pond, lake, waste lagoon or other storage? <b>No</b>			
	of other storage? No			
	If Yes:	<del>-</del>		
	Purpose of the impoundment:			
*	If a water impoundment, the principal source of the water:			
	Ground Water : Surface Water Streams : Other : (specify):	~~ C		
	If other than water, identify the type of impounded/contained liquids and their source:	Yes 🗌 No 🔀		
	Approximate size of the proposed impoundment (include units):			
	Volume: Surface area:			
	Dimensions of the proposed dam or impounding structure:			
	Construction method/materials for the proposed dam or impounding structure (e.g., earth fill, rock			
	wood, concrete):			
	2. Project Operations			
a.	Does the proposed action include any excavation, mining or dredging, during construction, operations or both? (Not including general site preparation, grading or installation of utilities or			
	foundations where all excavated materials will remain onsite)			
	To distribute the first two distributes that sometimes of the first two distributes that sometimes the first two distributes			
	If Yes:			
	What is the purpose of the excavation or dredging?			
	Roadway excavation to provide for new full depth pavement section.	Yes 🛛 No 🗌		
	How much material (including rock, earth, sediments, etc.) is proposed to be removed from the	103 [23] 110		
	site?			
	Volume: 7,900 C.Y. Over what duration of time: 24 months  Describe return and characteristics of materials to be excepted or dradged, and plans to use			
	Describe nature and characteristics of materials to be excavated or dredged, and plans to use, manage or dispose of them: Road metals, existing subgrade material and also existing grass, topsoil			
	and subgrade at areas behind the existing roadway, for new curb and sidewalk.			

(cont.) – only answer following if checked "Yes" above	
Will there be onsite dewatering or processing of excavated materials? No  If Yes, describe:	
What is the total area to be dredged or excavated?	
13.6 acres of proposed road area.	
What is the maximum area to be worked at any one time?	
Anticipated maximum exposed area at any one time approx. 2 to 3 acres.	
What would be the maximum depth of excavation or dredging?	
Approximately 1.0' for Road pavement. Up to 5.0' for drainage structures and pipe.	
Will the excavation require blasting?	
No	
Summarize site reclamation goals and plans:	
seed and topsoil to be applied in areas behind proposed sidewalk.	
Yould the proposed action cause or result in alteration of, increase or decrease in size of, or	
acroachment into any existing wetland, water body, shoreline, beach or adjacent area? <b>No</b>	
Yes:	
Identify the wetland or water body which would be affected (by name, water index number,	
wetland map number or geographic description):	
N/A	
Describe how the proposed action would affect that water body or wetland, e.g. excavation, fill,	
placement of structures or creation of channels, banks and shorelines. Indicate extent of	
activities, alterations and additions in square feet or acres:	
N/A	
Will proposed action cause or result in disturbance to bottom sediments?	
If Yes, describe:	
N/A	
Will proposed action cause or result in the destruction or removal of aquatic vegetation?	·
N/A	Yes \ No \
If Yes:	
Area of vegetation proposed to be removed:  N/A	
Expected acreage of aquatic vegetation remaining after project completion:  N/A	
Purpose of proposed removal (e.g., beach clearing, invasive control, boat access):  N/A	
Proposed method of plant removal:  N/A	
If chemical/herbicide treatment will be used, specify product(s):	
N/A	
Describe any proposed reclamation/mitigation following disturbance: Revegetation of areas beyond	
Describe any proposed rectaination initigation tollowing distinuance. Revegeration of dieds beyond	1

Total anticipated water usage/demand per day:	
N/A	
Will the proposed action obtain water from an existing public water supply?  N/A	
If Yes:	
Name of district/service area:	
Islip Water District	
Does the existing public water supply have capacity to serve the proposal?	
Yes No N/A	
Is the project site in the existing district?	
Yes No No	
Is expansion of the district needed?	
Yes No No	
Do existing lines serve the project site?	
Yes No No	
100 110	
Describe extensions or capacity expansions proposed to serve this project:  N/A	
Source(s) of supply for the district:	111
N/A	
	:4-9
Is a new water supply district or service area proposed to be formed to serve the project s	ite?
Is a new water supply district or service area proposed to be formed to serve the project s	ite?
Is a new water supply district or service area proposed to be formed to serve the project s  No  If Yes:	ite?
Is a new water supply district or service area proposed to be formed to serve the project s  No  If Yes:  Applicant/sponsor for new district:	ite?
Is a new water supply district or service area proposed to be formed to serve the project s  No  If Yes:  Applicant/sponsor for new district:  N/A	ite?
Is a new water supply district or service area proposed to be formed to serve the project s  No  If Yes:  Applicant/sponsor for new district:  N/A  Date application submitted or anticipated:	ite?
Is a new water supply district or service area proposed to be formed to serve the project s  No  If Yes:  Applicant/sponsor for new district:  N/A  Date application submitted or anticipated:  N/A	ite?
Is a new water supply district or service area proposed to be formed to serve the project s  No  If Yes:  Applicant/sponsor for new district:  N/A  Date application submitted or anticipated:  N/A  Proposed source(s) of supply for new district:	ite?
Is a new water supply district or service area proposed to be formed to serve the project some No  If Yes:  Applicant/sponsor for new district:  N/A  Date application submitted or anticipated:  N/A	ite?
Is a new water supply district or service area proposed to be formed to serve the project s  No  If Yes:  Applicant/sponsor for new district:  N/A  Date application submitted or anticipated:  N/A  Proposed source(s) of supply for new district:  N/A	
Is a new water supply district or service area proposed to be formed to serve the project s  No  If Yes:  Applicant/sponsor for new district:  N/A  Date application submitted or anticipated:  N/A  Proposed source(s) of supply for new district:  N/A  If a public water supply will not be used, describe plans to provide water supply for the p	
Is a new water supply district or service area proposed to be formed to serve the project s  No  If Yes:  Applicant/sponsor for new district:  N/A  Date application submitted or anticipated:  N/A  Proposed source(s) of supply for new district:	roject:

Vill the proposed action generate liquid wastes?	No	
Yes: Total anticipated liquid waste generation per day	y: <b>N/A</b>	
Nature of liquid wastes to be generated (e.g., san		
describe all components and approximate volum		
If sanitary wastewater identify proposed disin	nfection technology and treatment goals for	
the following:		
Disinfection technology: N/A		
Nitrogen:		
Phosphorus:		
Total Suspended Soilds (TSS):		
Biological Oxygen Demand (BOD):		
Will the proposed action use any existing public	wastewater treatment facilities? No	
If Yes:		
Name of wastewater treatment plant to be used	i: N/A	
Name of district:	I/A	
Does the existing wastewater treatment plant have Yes No No	ave capacity to serve the project?	
Is the project site in the existing district?	N/A	
Yes No Sexpansion of the district needed?	N/A Yes 🗌	] No
Yes No		
Do existing sewer lines serve the project site? Yes \( \subseteq \text{No} \subseteq \)		
Will line extension within an existing district b	be necessary to serve the project? N/A	
Year		
If Yes:  Describe extensions or capacity expansions properties of the properties of	roposed to serve this project:	
N/A	A SPOSSE SO SOLVE SALE PROJECTI	
Will a new wastewater (sewage) treatment distr	rict be formed to serve the project site? N/A	
If Yes:		
Applicant/Sponsor for new district:		
N/A		
Date application submitted or anticipated:		
N/A		
What is the receiving water for the wastewate N/A	er discharge?	
L		
If public facilities will not be used, describe pla		
project, including specifying proposed receiving		
discharge, or describe subsurface disposal plan	ns):	
No change for wastewater treatment is planned.		
Describe any plans or designs to capture, recyc	cle or reuse liquid waste:	
N/A		

e.	Will the proposed action disturb more than one acre and create stormwater runoff, either from new point sources (i.e. ditches, pipes, swales, curbs, gutters or other concentrated flows of stormwater) or non-point source (i.e. sheet flow) during construction or post construction? Yes	
	If Yes:	
	How much impervious surface will the project create in relation to total size of project parcel?  Area of Impervious Surface: 2.86 Ac  Area of Parcel: 13.6Ac	
	Describe types of new point sources:	
	Curb flow, possible valley gutter flow, possible swales in regraded areas.	
	Where will the stormwater runoff be directed (i.e. on-site stormwater management	
	facility/structures, adjacent properties, groundwater, on-site surface water or off-site surface waters)? To an existing NYS R.B. #99. Some runoff currently discharges into it. Also into a Suffolk County positive system into a T.O.I. R.B.	Yes 🛛 No 🗌
	If to surface waters, identify receiving water bodies or wetlands:  No discharge to surface waters. (None)	
	Will stormwater runoff flow to adjacent properties? Yes ☐ No ☒	
	Does proposed plan minimize impervious surfaces use pervious materials or collect and re-use stormwater?  Yes No New storm drainage inlet will collect and discharge into recharge basin.	
f.	Does the proposed action include, or will it use on-site, one or more sources of air emissions, including fuel combustion, waste incineration, or other processes or operations? No.	·
	If Yes, identify:  Mobile sources during project operations (e.g., heavy equipment, fleet or delivery vehicles):  construction equipment, trucks, or other vehicles, during construction phase only.	Yes ☐ No ☒
	Stationary sources during construction (e.g., power generation, structural heating, batch plant, crushers): Yes, asphalt plants (off-site)	
	Stationary sources during operations (e.g., process emissions, large boilers, electric generation): None	
g.	Will any air emission sources named in D.2.f (above) require a NY State Air Registration, Air	
۶.	Facility Permit or Federal Clean Air Act Title IV or Title V Permit? No	
	If Yes:	
	Is the project site located in an Air Quality non-attainment area? (Area routinely or periodically	
	fails to meet ambient air quality standards for all or some parts of the year)	
	Yes No N/A	X Dx M
	In addition to emissions as calculated in the application, the project will generate:	Yes No X
	- Tons/year (metric) of Carbon Dioxide (CO <sub>2</sub> ) - Tons/year (metric) of Nitrous Oxide (N <sub>2</sub> O)	
	- Tons/year (metric) of Nitrous Oxide (N <sub>2</sub> O) - Tons/year (metric) of Perfluorocarbons (PFCs)	
	- Tons/year (metric) of Fernuorocarbons (FFCs)  - Tons/year (metric) of Sulfur Hexafluoride (SF <sub>6</sub> )	
	- Tons/year (metric) of Sunth Hexandonde (SF <sub>6</sub> ) - Tons/year (metric) of Carbon Dioxide equivalent of Hydroflorocarbons (HFCS)	
	- Tons/year (metric) of Hazardous Air Pollutants (HAPs)	

h. Will the proposed action generate or emit methane (including, but not limited to, sewage treatment plants, landfills, composting facilities)?	
If Yes:	
Estimate methane generation in tons/year (metric): N/A	Yes 🗌 No 🔀
Describe any methane capture, control or elimination measures included in project design (e.g., combustion to generate heat or electricity, flaring): N/A	
<ul> <li>i. Will the proposed action result in the release of air pollutants from open-air operations or processes such as quarry or landfill operations?</li> <li>No. The completed project will not.</li> </ul>	
If Yes, describe operations and nature of emissions (e.g., diesel exhaust, rock particulates/dust):  Diesel exhaust from construction machinery, during construction phase only.	Yes No No
j. Will the proposed action result in a substantial increase in traffic above present levels or generate substantial new demand for transportation facilities or services? Typical of any improved roadway, it is anticipated that traffic levels will increase, but not to any significant amounts as the area facilities are not changing significantly.  When is the peak traffic expected? (check all that apply)  Morning \[ \]; Evening \[ \]; Weekend \[ \]; Between the hours of to For commercial activities only, projected number of semi-trailer truck trips/day:  Parking spaces:  Existing: Proposed: Net Increase/Decrease:  Does the proposed action include any shared use parking? N/A  Yes \[ \] No \[ \]  If the proposed action includes any modification of existing roads, creation of new roads or change in existing access, describe: Widening and realignment of existing roads. Access changes.  Are public/private transportation service(s) or facilities available within \( \frac{1}{2} \) mile of the proposed site?  Yes \[ \] No \[ \]  Will the proposed action include access to public transportation or accommodations for use of hybrid, electric or other alternative fueled vehicles?  Yes \[ \] No \[ \]  No more access than currently exists.  Will the proposed action include plans for pedestrian or bicycle accommodations for connections to existing pedestrian or bicycle routes?  Yes \[ \] No \[ \]	Yes □ No ⊠
<ul> <li>k. Will the proposed action (for commercial or industrial projects only) generate new or additional demand for energy? N/A</li> <li>If Yes:</li> </ul>	
Estimate annual electricity demand during operation of the proposed action:  N/A	Vos D No M
Anticipated sources/suppliers of electricity for the project (e.g., on-site combustion, on-site renewable, via grid/local utility or other):  N/A	Yes No No
Will the proposed action require a new, or an upgrade to, an existing substation?  Yes ☐ No ☒ N/A	

I.	Hours of operation	(Answer all items which apply	y)	
	Dur	ring Construction	During Operations N/A	
	Monday-Friday:	8 A.M. TO 4 P.M.	Monday-Friday:	
	Saturday:	None	Saturday:	N/A ⊠
	Sunday:	None	Sunday:	
	Holidays:	None	Holidays:	
n.	If Yes:  Provide details in  Will proposed acceree?  Yes No Do  Will the proposed  If Yes:  Describe source(soccupied structur	action or both? No. Noise from concluding sources, time of day and tion remove existing natural bar escribe:  action have outdoor lighting?  s), location(s), height of fixture(es:	N/A  (s), direction/aim, and proximity to nearest	Yes □ No ☒  Yes □ No ☒
0.	Yes No No Do	escribe:	riers that could act as a light barrier or screen?  duce odors for more than one hour per day?	
. O.	If Yes:	e sources, potential frequency an	N/A and duration of odor emissions and proximity to	Yes 🗌 No 🔀
p.	If Yes: Product(s) to be s Volume(s):	gallons)? N/A stored:	of petroleum (over 1,100 gallons) or chemical nth, year)	Yes □ No 🏻
q.	If Yes:  Describe propose	cides) during construction or ope		Yes □ No 🏻
				I

r.	Will the proposed action (commercial or industrial projects only) involve or require the management or disposal of solid waste (excluding hazardous materials)? N/A	
	If Yes:	
	Describe any solid waste(s) to be generated during construction or operation of the facility:	
	Construction: tons per (unit of time)	
	Operation: tons per (unit of time) N/A	
	Describe any proposals for on-site minimization, recycling or reuse of materials to avoid	Yes \ No \
	disposal as solid waste:	
	Construction:	
	Operation:	
	Proposed disposal methods/facilities for solid waste generated on-site:	
	Construction:	
	Operation:	
S.	Does the proposed action include construction or modification of a solid waste management facility? NO.	
	NO.	
	If Yes:	
	Type of management or handling of waste proposed for the site (e.g., recycling or transfer	
	station, composting, landfill or other disposal activities):  Anticipated rate of disposal/processing:	Yes 🗌 No 🛛
	tons/month, if transfer or other non-combustion/thermal treatment, or	
	tons/hour, if combustion or thermal treatment	
	tons nout, it contracts of the main treatment	
	If landfill, anticipated site life: years	
t.	Will proposed action at the site involve the commercial generation, treatment, storage or disposal of hazardous waste? <b>NO</b> .	
	If Yes:	
	Name(s) of all hazardous wastes or constituents to be generated, handled or managed at facility:	
	Generally describe processes or activities involving hazardous wastes or constituents:	
	Specify amount to be handled or generated:	
٠	tons/month	
	Describe any proposals for on-site minimization, recycling or reuse of hazardous constituents:	Yes No 🛛
	Will any hazardous wastes be disposed at an existing offsite hazardous waste facility? Yes ☐ No ☒	
	If Yes:	
	Provide name and location of facility:	
	TE NO.	
	Describe proposed management of any hazardous wastes which will not be sent to a hazardous	
	waste facility: N/A	

u.	Will proposed action adhere to Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design (LEED) or any other green building principals?  N/A					
	Y C X Y				•	Yes 🗌 No 🛛
	If Yes	: ribe proposed green building methods and atten	anted level of c	ertification if any:		
*	Desc	tibe proposed green building methods and accen-	ipied level of e	oranication, it any.		
v.	Does th	e project sponsor propose the use of energy ber	nchmarking to r	nonitor and adjust proj	ect	
	energy					
						Yes 🗌 No 🛛
	If Yes,	explain:				
XX/	Will the	e proposed action use native plants for all landso	caping needs?			
٧٧.	Will the	proposed action ase native plants for an increase				
	Identif	y species to be used and method of irrigation	•			Yes 🗌 No 🛛
			•			
X.	Does th	e proposed action promote local tourism? N/A	4			
	If Ves	explain:				Yes □ No 🏻
	11 1 05,	O. D. D. L. C. L.				
		1.				
_						
E.	Site an	d Setting of Proposed Action				
E. 1	Land	Uses on and Surrounding the Project Site			4. 4	
a.		g land uses (Check all uses the occur on, adjoin	ing and near the	e project site): (include	map)	
	Urban [	☐ Industrial ☑ Comm	nercial 🛛	Residential X	Rura	_
	Forest [	Agriculture Aquat	cic 🔝	Other Specify: S	uffolk Co	llege
	If mix o	of uses, generally describe: Commercial and Indu Community College.	ustrial Sites front	ing onto the existing roo	ıdway. A	lso Suffolk
	т 1					
b.	Land us	ses and cover types on the project site:	Current	Acreage After	Chan	oe.
		Land Use or Cover Type	Acreage	Project Completion	(Acres	
		Roads, buildings and other paved or impervious	10.75	13.60	+2.8	35
	_	surfaces				
		Forested	-			
		Meadows, grasslands or brushlands (non-	0.0			
		agricultural, including abandoned agricultural)		,		
		Agricultural (includes active orchards, fields, greenhouse, etc.)	-			
		Surface water features	0.0			
		(lakes, ponds, streams, rivers, etc.)	0.0			
		Wetlands (for all proteins and ideal)	0.0			
		(freshwater or tidal) Non-Vegetated				
		(bare rock, earth or fill)				
		Other				
	-	Describe:				
		TOTAL:	10.75	13.60	2.8	5
	L					

c.	Is the project site presently used by members of the community for public recreation? N/A	
	If Yes, explain:	Yes 🗌 No 🏻
		I C2 🗌 I 10 🔯
d.	Are there any facilities serving children, the elderly, people with disabilities (e.g., schools, hospitals, licensed day care centers or group homes) within 1,500 feet of the project site?	
	If Yes, identify facilities:	Yes 🛛 No 🗌
	Suffolk County Community College - Brentwood Campus	
e.	Does the project site contain an existing dam?	
	If Yes:	
	Dimensions of the dam and impoundment:  - Dam height: feet	
	- Dam height: feet - Dam length: feet	
	- Surface area: acres	Yes 🗌 No 🛛
	- Volume impounded: gallons or acre-feet  Dam's existing hazard classification:	
	N/A	
	Provide date and summarize results of last inspection:	
	N/A	
f.	Has the project site ever been used as a municipal, commercial or industrial solid waste management facility, or does the project site adjoin property which is now, or was at one time, used as a solid waste management facility?  N/A	
	If Yes:	
	Has the facility been formally closed?  Yes \[ \sum No \[ \]	Yes 🗌 No 🔯
	If Yes, cite sources/documentation:  Describe the location of the project site relative to the boundaries of the solid waste management facility: N/A	
	Describe any development constraints due to the prior solid waste activities:  N/A	
σ.	Have hazardous wastes been generated, treated and/or disposed of at the site, or does the project	
g.	site adjoin property which is now or was at one time used to commercially treat, store and/or dispose of hazardous waste?	
	If Yes:	Yes 🗌 No 🛛
	Describe waste(s) handled and waste management activities, including approximate time when activities occurred: N/A	
		L

h.	h. Has there been a reported contamination spill at the proposed project site or have any remedial actions been conducted at or adjacent to the proposed site? Yes			
	If Yes:			
	Is any portion of the site listed on the NYSDEC Spills Incidents database o	r Environmental Site		
N 4	Remediation database? (Check all that apply)	8706191,8906576,9	105925,9409543,	
	Yes – Spills Incidents database Provide DEC ID no	ımber(s): 9605513, 9825063,	0235106, 0325339	
	Yes – Environmental Site Remediation database Provide DEC ID no	ımber(s): 152083		
	Neither database	,		
	If site has been subject to RCRA corrective activities, describe control mea 3/1/92 State Superfund Program		Yes 🗌 No 🔀	
	Is the project within 2000 feet of any site in the NYSDEC Environmental S database? Yes No	ite Remediation		
1	If Yes:			
	DEC ID number(s): 152083			
	Describe current status of site(s):  As described on Database -	,		
	"No environmental problems associated with the disposal of hazardous waste"	•		
E.1.	h. (cont.) – only answer following if checked "Yes" above			
		***************************************		
	Is the project site subject to an institutional control limiting property uses?	N/A		
	If Yes:			
	DEC site ID number(s):		·	
	Describe the type of institutional control (e.g., deed restriction or easemen	t):		
	Describe any use limitations:			
	Describe any engineering controls:			
	Will the project affect the institutional or engineering controls in place? Y	es 🗆 No 🗆 🔠		
	Explain:			
E.2.	Natural Resources On or Near Project Site			
a.	What is the average depth to bedrock on the project site: 1400' to 2000' feet			
b.	Are there bedrock outcroppings on the project site? NO.			
	If Yes:			
	What proportion of the site is comprised of bedrock outcroppings?		Yes   No	
	% N/A			
c.	Predominant soil type(s) present on project site: (include map)			
	1 11 1 /11 1 00/ 00/	0/ 6 :/.		
	1. Haven Loam (HaA) 0% - 2% 90	% of site		
	2. Plymouth Loamy Sand (PIA) 0%-3% 5	% of site		
	3. Cut & Fill Land 4	% of site		
D C	4. Recharge Basin 1  RA - Resource Conservation and Recovery Act Correction Act.	% of site		
KU	AA - RESOURCE CONSERVATION AND RECOVERY ACT CORRECTION ACT.			

d.	d. What is the average depth to the water table on the project site?					
:	30'					
e.	Drainage status of project site soils:					
	1. 🔀 Well	Drained 92	% of site			
	R		6 % of site			
		y Drained	% of site			
	<u> </u>	y Diamed	70 01 SIC			
f.	Approximate proportion of proposed ac	ction site with slopes: (include topog	raphic map)			
	$1. \bowtie 0-109$	% 10	0 % of site			
	2. 11-15	5% 100	% of site			
	3. 16%	or greater	% of site			
g.	Are there any unique geologic features	on the project site?				
	If Yes, describe:			Yes ☐ No 🏻		
	None					
		·				
h.	Does any portion of the project site con	tain wetlands or other waterbodies (	ncluding streams			
	rivers, ponds or lakes)?	No	nordanis stroums,	Yes 🗌 No 🔯		
i.	Do any wetlands or other waterbodies a	djoin the project site?		Yes No No		
Yex	7 / 11 701 701	No				
	Yes to either E.2.h or E.2.i, continue.	If No, skip to E.2.m	1 / 11			
j.	Are any of the wetlands or waterbodies federal, state or local agency? (include		egulated by any	Yes 🗌 No 🔀		
k.	For each identified wetland and waterbe		ollowing information: N	ne .		
		out on the project site, provide the re	mo wing information.	, inc		
	Streams: N/A	Name:	Classification:			
	Lakes or Ponds: N/A	Name:	Classification:			
	Wetlands: N/A	Name:	Approx. Size:			
	Wetland No. (if regulated by DEC):		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
1	A		1.0			
I.	Are any of the above waterbodies listed impaired waterbodies? <b>None</b>	in the most recent compilation of N	YS water quality-			
	impaned waterbodies? None					
	If Yes, name of impaired water body/bo	odies and basis for listing as impaired	l <u>:</u>	Yes 🗌 No 🛛		
		·				
m.	m. Is the project site in a designated floodway?			Yes No 🛛		
n.	n. Is the project site in the 100 year floodplain?			Yes 🗌 No 🛛		
0.	Is the project site in the 500 year floodp			Yes 🗌 No 🛛		
p.	Is the project site located over or immed	liately adjoining a primary, principa	or sole source aquifer?			
	If Yes:			x7 [∑] 3.7. □		
	Name of aquifer: Nassau - Suffolk S.S.	Α.		Yes 🛛 No 🗌		
	Source of information: U.S. Environme	ental Protection Agency.				

There are NO wetlands or waterbodies within or adjoining the project site according to the Greenlawn Quadrangle of the NYS Freshwater Wetlands Map (copy included with this submission).

q.	Identify the predominant wildlife species that occupy or use the project site:	
	Various common indigenous land animals and bird varieties found in Urban areas in Suffolk County.	
r.	Does the project site contain a designated significant natural community? No, as per the NYS DEC	•
	Environmental Resource Mapper. If Yes:	
	Describe the habitat/community (composition, function and basis for designation:	
	Source(s) of description or evaluation:	Yes 🗌 No 🔀
	Extent of community/habitat:	
	- Currently: acres	
	- Following completion of project as proposed: acres	
	- Gain or loss (indicate + or –): acres	
s.	Does project site contain any species of plant or animal that is listed by the federal government or NYS as endangered or threatened, or does it contain any areas identified as habitat for an	
	endangered or threatened species? letter sent to T. Seoane. No, as per NYS DEC Environmental	
-	Resource Mapper. If Yes:	Yes 🗌 No 🔯
	Species and listing (endangered or threatened):	
	Nature of use of site by the species (e.g., resident, seasonal, transient):	
	Does an icetaite contain any modice of alont on animal that is listed by NVC as more an as a smaller	
t.	Does project site contain any species of plant or animal that is listed by NYS as rare, or as a species of special concern? No, as per NYSDEC Environmental Pressure Mapper.	
	of special concern: 140, us per 1413DEC Environmental Flessore mapper.	·
	If Yes:	Yes 🗌 No 🔯
	Species and listing:	
	Nature of use of site by the species (e.g., resident, seasonal, transient):	
u.	Is the project site or adjoining area currently used for hunting, trapping, fishing or shellfishing?	
	If Yes, give a brief description of how the proposed action may affect that use:	Yes 🗌 No 🔀
Tr	3. Designated Public Resources On or Near Project Site	
	Is the project site, or any portion of it, located in a designated agricultural district certified pursuant	
	to Agriculture and Markets Law, Article 25-AA, Section 303 and 304?	
	If Yes, provide county plus district name/number:	Yes 🗌 No 🔯
	11 Tes, provide county plus district name/number.	
b.	Are agricultural lands consisting of highly productive soils present?	
	If Yes:	Yes 🗌 No 🛛
	Acreage(s) on project site:	1 60 [ 110 [ 2]
	Source(s) of soil rating(s):	

c.	Does the project site contain all or part of, or is it substantially contiguous to a registered National Natural Landmark?	
	If Yes:	
	Nature of the natural landmark:	Yes 🗌 No 🔀
	☐ Biological Community; ☐ Geological Feature	
	Provide brief description of landmark, including values behind designation and approximate	
	size/extent:	
d.	Is the project site located in or does it adjoin a state listed Critical Environmental Area, including Special Groundwater Protection Areas?	
	If Yes:	Var [] Na [Z]
	CEA name:	Yes 🗌 No 🛛
	Basis for designation:	
	Designating agency and date:	
e.	Does the project site contain, or is it substantially contiguous to, a building, archeological site, or district which is listed on, or has been nominated by the NYS Board of Historic Preservation for inclusion on the State or National Register of Historic Places? Assumed No.  Letter sent to S.C. Parks Historical Information for Verification.	
	If Yes:	
	Nature of historic/archaeological resource:	Yes 🗌 No 🔀
	Archaeological Site; Historic Building or district	
	Name:	
	Brief description of attributes on which listing is based:	
f.	Is the project site, or any portion of it, located in or adjacent to an area designated as sensitive for archaeological sites on the NY State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) archaeological site inventory? Assumed No. Letter sent to NY Natural Heritage for verification.	Yes 🗌 No 🔀
g.	Have additional archaeological or historic site(s) or resources been identified on the project site?	
	Assume No. Pending verification.	
	If Yes:	Yes No 🛛
	Describe possible resource(s):	100100
	Basis for identification:	
h.	Would the project site be visible from any officially designated and publicly assessable federal, state or local scenic or aesthetic resource?	, .
	If Yes:	
	Identify resource:	Yes 🗌 No 🛛
	Nature of, or basis for designation (e.g., established highway overlook, state or local park, state	103 [ 110 2
	historic trail or scenic byway, etc.):	
	Distance between project and resource:	
		- 1
i.	Is the project site located within a designated river corridor under the Wild, Scenic and Recreational Rivers Program 6 NYCRR Part 666?	
	If Yes:	37 Tat K7
	Identify the name of the river and its designation:	Yes ☐ No 🔀
	Is the activity consistent with development restrictions contained in 6 NYCRR Part 666?  Yes No	
		1

#### F. Additional Information

Attach any additional information which may be needed to clarify your project.

If you have identified any adverse impacts which could be associated with your proposal, please describe those impacts plus any measures which you propose to avoid or minimize them.

#### G. Verification

I certify that the information provided is true to the best of my knowledge.

Applicant/Sponsor Name:

Date: 3/31/4

Signature: Will Hill

Title: CHIEF ENGINEER

# SUFFOLK COUNTY FULL ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT FORM

6 NYCRR Part 617

State Environmental Quality Review

#### Part 2 – Identification of Potential Project Impacts

<u>Instructions</u>: Part 2 is to be completed by the lead agency. It is designed to help the lead agency inventory all potential resources that could be affected by a proposed project or action. We recognize that the lead agency's reviewer(s) will not necessarily be environmental professionals. So, the questions are designed to walk a reviewer through the assessment process by providing a series of questions that can be answered using the information found in Part 1. To further assist the lead agency in completing Part 2, the form identifies the most relevant questions in Part 1 that will provide the information needed to answer the Part 2 question. When Part 2 is completed, the lead agency will have identified the relevant environmental areas that may be impacted by the proposed activity.

#### Tips for completing Part 2:

- Review all of the information provided in Part 1.
- Review any application, maps, supporting materials and the Full EAF Workbook.
- Answer each of the 18 questions in Part 2.
- If you answer "YES" to a numbered question, please complete all the questions that follow in that section.
- If you answer "NO" to a numbered question, move on to the next numbered section.
- Check appropriate column to indicate the anticipated size of the impact.
- Proposed projects that would exceed a numeric threshold contained in a question should result in the reviewing agency checking the box "Moderate to large impact may occur."
- The reviewer is not expected to be an expert in environmental analysis.
- If you are not sure or undecided about the size of an impact, it may help to review the sub-questions for the general question and consult the workbook.
- When answering a question consider all components of the proposed activity, that is, the "whole action."
- Consider the possibility for long-term and cumulative impacts as well as direct impacts.
- Answer the question in a reasonable manner considering the scale and context of the project.

1.	Impact on Land The proposed action may involve construction on, or physical alteration of the land surface of the proposed site. (See Part 1.D.1)  If "YES", answer questions a-h. If "NO", move on to Section 2.	YES 🛛 NO 🗌		
		Relevant Part 1 Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a.	The proposed action may involve construction on land where depth to water table is less than 3 feet.	E.2.d	×	
Ъ.	The proposed actin may involve construction on slopes of 15% or greater.	E.2.f		
c.	The proposed actin may involve construction on land where bedrock is exposed, or generally within 5 feet of existing ground surface.	E.2.a	×	
d.	The proposed action may involve the excavation and removal of more than 1,000 tons of natural material.	D.2.a	×	
e.	The proposed action may involve construction that continues for more than one year or in multiple phases.	D.1.g	. 🛛	
f.	The proposed action may result in increased erosion, whether from physical disturbance or vegetation removal (including from treatment by herbicides).	D.2.e D.2.q	×	
g.	The proposed action is, or may be, located within a Coastal Erosion	B.ix	$\boxtimes$	

h.	Other impacts:		$\boxtimes$	
L	• •			
2.	Impact on Geological Features The proposed action may result in the modification or destruction of, or inhibit access to, any unique or unusual land forms on the site (e.g., cliffs, dunes, minerals, fossils, caves). (See Part 1.E.2.g)  If "YES", answer questions a-c. If "NO", move on to Section 3.	YES NO 🛛		
		Relevant Part 1 Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a.	Identify the specific land form(s):	E.2.g		
Ъ.	The proposed action may affect or is adjacent to a geological feature listed as a registered National Natural Landmark.  Specific feature:	E.3.c		
c.	Other impacts:			
3.	Impact on Surface Water  The proposed action may affect one or more wetlands or other surface water bodies (e.g., streams, rivers, ponds or lakes).  (See Part 1.D.2 & E.2.h)  If "YES", answer questions a-l. If "NO", move on to Section 4.	Y	ES 🗌 NO 🏿	₫
		Relevant	No, or	Moderate
		Part 1 Question(s)	small impact may occur	to large impact may occur
a.	The proposed action may create a new water body	l .	small impact	_
a.	The proposed action may create a new water body  The proposed action may result in an increase or decrease of over 10% or more than a 10 acre increase or decrease in the surface area of any body of water.	Question(s) D.1.j	small impact	impact
	The proposed action may result in an increase or decrease of over 10% or more than a 10 acre increase or decrease in the surface area of any body of water.  The proposed action may involve dredging more than 100 cubic yards of material from a wetland or water body.	Question(s) D.1.j D.2.b	small impact	impact
Ъ.	The proposed action may result in an increase or decrease of over 10% or more than a 10 acre increase or decrease in the surface area of any body of water.  The proposed action may involve dredging more than 100 cubic yards of material from a wetland or water body.  The proposed action may involve construction within or adjoining a freshwater or tidal wetland, or in the bed or banks of any other water	D.1.j D.2.b D.2.b	small impact	impact
b. с.	The proposed action may result in an increase or decrease of over 10% or more than a 10 acre increase or decrease in the surface area of any body of water.  The proposed action may involve dredging more than 100 cubic yards of material from a wetland or water body.  The proposed action may involve construction within or adjoining a	D.1.j D.2.b D.2.b D.2.a E.2.h	small impact	impact
b.	The proposed action may result in an increase or decrease of over 10% or more than a 10 acre increase or decrease in the surface area of any body of water.  The proposed action may involve dredging more than 100 cubic yards of material from a wetland or water body.  The proposed action may involve construction within or adjoining a freshwater or tidal wetland, or in the bed or banks of any other water body.  The proposed action may create turbidity in a waterbody, either from	D.1.j D.2.b D.2.a E.2.h E.2.i D.2.a	small impact	impact
b. c. d.	The proposed action may result in an increase or decrease of over 10% or more than a 10 acre increase or decrease in the surface area of any body of water.  The proposed action may involve dredging more than 100 cubic yards of material from a wetland or water body.  The proposed action may involve construction within or adjoining a freshwater or tidal wetland, or in the bed or banks of any other water body.  The proposed action may create turbidity in a waterbody, either from upland erosion, runoff or by disturbing bottom sediments.  The proposed action may include construction of one or more intake(s) for withdrawal of water from surface water.  The proposed action may include construction of one or more outfall(s) for discharge of wastewater to surface water(s).	D.1.j D.2.b D.2.a E.2.h E.2.i D.2.a D.2.a	small impact	impact
b. c. d. f.	The proposed action may result in an increase or decrease of over 10% or more than a 10 acre increase or decrease in the surface area of any body of water.  The proposed action may involve dredging more than 100 cubic yards of material from a wetland or water body.  The proposed action may involve construction within or adjoining a freshwater or tidal wetland, or in the bed or banks of any other water body.  The proposed action may create turbidity in a waterbody, either from upland erosion, runoff or by disturbing bottom sediments.  The proposed action may include construction of one or more intake(s) for withdrawal of water from surface water.  The proposed action may include construction of one or more outfall(s)	D.1.j D.2.b D.2.a E.2.h E.2.i D.2.a D.2.a D.2.c	small impact	impact
b. c. d. g.	The proposed action may result in an increase or decrease of over 10% or more than a 10 acre increase or decrease in the surface area of any body of water.  The proposed action may involve dredging more than 100 cubic yards of material from a wetland or water body.  The proposed action may involve construction within or adjoining a freshwater or tidal wetland, or in the bed or banks of any other water body.  The proposed action may create turbidity in a waterbody, either from upland erosion, runoff or by disturbing bottom sediments.  The proposed action may include construction of one or more intake(s) for withdrawal of water from surface water.  The proposed action may include construction of one or more outfall(s) for discharge of wastewater to surface water(s).  The proposed action may cause soil erosion, or otherwise create a source of stormwater discharge that may lead to siltation or other degradation of	D.1.j D.2.b D.2.a E.2.h E.2.i D.2.a D.2.a D.2.d	small impact	impact
b. c. d. g.	The proposed action may result in an increase or decrease of over 10% or more than a 10 acre increase or decrease in the surface area of any body of water.  The proposed action may involve dredging more than 100 cubic yards of material from a wetland or water body.  The proposed action may involve construction within or adjoining a freshwater or tidal wetland, or in the bed or banks of any other water body.  The proposed action may create turbidity in a waterbody, either from upland erosion, runoff or by disturbing bottom sediments.  The proposed action may include construction of one or more intake(s) for withdrawal of water from surface water.  The proposed action may include construction of one or more outfall(s) for discharge of wastewater to surface water(s).  The proposed action may cause soil erosion, or otherwise create a source of stormwater discharge that may lead to siltation or other degradation of receiving water bodies.  The proposed action may affect the water quality of any water bodies	D.1.j D.2.b D.2.a E.2.h E.2.i D.2.a D.2.a D.2.d D.2.c	small impact	impact

1.	Other impacts:			
				-
4.	Impact on Groundwater The proposed action may result in new or additional use of groundwater, or may have the potential to introduce contaminants to groundwater or an aquifer. (See Part 1.D.2.a, D.2.c, D.2.d, D.2.p, D.2.q, D.2.t)  If "YES", answer questions a-h. If "NO", move on to Section 5.		ES NO [	<b>₫</b>
		Relevant Part 1 Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a.	The proposed action may require new water supply wells, or create additional demand on supplies from existing water supply wells.	D.2.c		
b.	Water supply demand from the proposed action may exceed safe and sustainable withdrawal capacity rate of the local supply or aquifer.  Cite Source:	D.2.c		
c.	The proposed action may allow or result in residential uses in areas without water and sewer services.	D.1.a D.2.c – D.2.d		
đ.	The proposed action may include or require wastewater discharged to groundwater.	D.2.d E.2.p		
e.	The proposed action may result in the construction of water supply wells in locations where groundwater is, or is suspected to be, contaminated.	D.2.c E.1.f-E.1.h		. 🔲
f.	The proposed action may require the bulk storage of petroleum or chemical products over ground water or an aquifer.	D.2.p E.2.p		
g.	The proposed action may involve the commercial application of pesticides within 100 feet of potable drinking water or irrigation sources.	D.2.q E.2.h – E.2.1 E.2.p D.2.c		
h.	Other impacts:			. 🗆
5.	Impact on Flooding The proposed action may result in development on lands subject to flooding. (See Part 1.E.2)  If "YES", answer questions a-g. If "NO", move on to Section 6.	1	ES NO [	Moderate
		Relevant Part 1 Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	to large impact may occur
a.	The proposed action may result in development in a designated floodway.	E.2.m	<u> </u>	
b.	The proposed action may result in development within a 100 year floodplain.	E.2.n		
c.	The proposed action may result in development within a 500 year floodplain.	E.2.0		
d.	The proposed action may result in, or require, modification of existing drainage patterns.	D.2.b D.2.e		
е.	The proposed action may change flood water flows that contribute to flooding.	D.2.b E.2.m – E.2.o		
f.	If there is a dam located on the site of the proposed action, the dam has failed to meet one or more safety criteria on its most recent inspection	E.1.e		· 🔲

g.	Other impacts:			
•				
6.	Impact on Air The proposed action may include a state regulated air emission source. (See Part 1.D.2.f, D.2.h, D.2.g) If "YES", answer questions a-f. If "NO", move on to Section 7.	Y	ES NO	
	-	Relevant Part 1 Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a.	If the proposed action requires federal or state air emission permits, the action may also emit one or more greenhouse gases at or above the following levels:			
ii. iii. iv. v. vi.	More than 1000 tons/year of carbon dioxide (CO2)  More than 3.5 tons/year of nitrous oxide (N20)  More than 1000 tons/year of carbon equivalent of perfluorocarbons (PFCs)  More than .045 tons/year of sulfur hexafluoride (SF6)  More than 1000 tons/year of carbon dioxide equivalent of hydrochloroflurocarbons (HCFCs) emissions  43 tons/year or more of methane	D.2.g D.2.g D.2.g D.2.g D.2.g D.2.h		
b.	The proposed action may generate 10 tons/year or more of any one designated hazardous air pollutant, or 25 tons/year or more of any combination of such hazardous air pollutants.	D.2.g		
c.	The proposed action may require a state air registration, or may produce an emissions rate of total contaminants that may exceed 5 lbs. per hour, or may include a heat source capable of producing more than 10 million BTU=s per hour.	D.2.f D.3.g		
d.	The proposed action may reach 50% of any two or more of the thresholds in "a" through "c", above.	D.1.i D.2.k		
e.	The proposed action may result in the combustion or thermal treatment of more than 1 ton of refuse per hour.	D.2.s		
f.	Other impacts:			
7.	Impact on Plants and Animals The proposed action may result in a loss of flora or fauna. (See Part 1.E.2.q – E.2.u) If "YES", answer questions a-j. If "NO", move on to Section 8.	Y	ES∏ NO∑	 ₫
clea	per Part 2 Workbook - "or if it redevelops a location that has already been wred, some vegetation will likely be removed". This area has already been wred.	Relevant Part 1 Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. 	The proposed action may cause reduction in population or loss of individuals of any threatened or endangered species, as listed by New York State or the Federal government, that use the site, or are found on, over, or near the site.	E.2.s		
Ъ.	The proposed action may result in a reduction or degradation of any habitat used by any rare, threatened or endangered species, as listed by New York State or the federal government.	E.2.s	. 🗆	

c.	The proposed action may cause reduction in population, or loss of individuals, of any species of special concern or conservation need, as listed by New York State or the Federal government, that use the site, or are found on, over, or near the site.	E.2.t		
d.	The proposed action may result in a reduction or degradation of any habitat used by any species of special concern and conservation need, as listed by New York State or the Federal government.	E.2.t		
e.	The proposed action may diminish the capacity of a registered National Natural Landmark to support the biological community it was established to protect.	E.3.c		
f.	The proposed action may result in the removal of, or ground disturbance in, any portion of a designated significant natural community.  Source:	E.2.r		
g.	The proposed action may substantially interfere with nesting/breeding, foraging, or over-wintering habitat for the predominant species that occupy or use the project site.	E.2.q		
h.	The proposed action requires the conversion of more than 10 acres of forest, grassland or any other regionally or locally important habitat. Habitat type & information source:	E.1.b		
i.	Proposed action (commercial, industrial or recreational projects, only) involves use of herbicides or pesticides.	D.2.q		
j.	Other impacts:			. 🗆
L				
	Impact on Agricultural Resources			
l 8.	Impaci on Ayricultulai xesouloes			
8.	The proposed action may impact agricultural resources. (See Part 1.E.3.a & E.3.b)	. <b>Y</b>	ES 🗌 NO 🛭	⊴
8.	The proposed action may impact agricultural resources.	Relevant	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate
a.	The proposed action may impact agricultural resources.  (See Part 1.E.3.a & E.3.b)  If "YES", answer questions a-h. If "NO", move on to Section 9.  The proposed action may impact soil classified within soil group 1	Relevant Part 1	No, or small impact	Moderate to large impact
	The proposed action may impact agricultural resources.  (See Part 1.E.3.a & E.3.b)  If "YES", answer questions a-h. If "NO", move on to Section 9.  The proposed action may impact soil classified within soil group 1 through 4 of the NYS Land Classification System.  The proposed action may sever, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land (includes cropland, hayfields, pasture, vineyard, orchard,	Relevant Part 1 Question(s) E.2.c	No, or small impact	Moderate to large impact
a.	The proposed action may impact agricultural resources.  (See Part 1.E.3.a & E.3.b)  If "YES", answer questions a-h. If "NO", move on to Section 9.  The proposed action may impact soil classified within soil group 1 through 4 of the NYS Land Classification System.  The proposed action may sever, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land (includes cropland, hayfields, pasture, vineyard, orchard, etc.).  The proposed action may result in the excavation or compaction of the soil profile of active agricultural land.	Relevant Part 1 Question(s) E.2.c E.3.b E.1.a	No, or small impact	Moderate to large impact
a.	The proposed action may impact agricultural resources.  (See Part 1.E.3.a & E.3.b)  If "YES", answer questions a-h. If "NO", move on to Section 9.  The proposed action may impact soil classified within soil group 1 through 4 of the NYS Land Classification System.  The proposed action may sever, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land (includes cropland, hayfields, pasture, vineyard, orchard, etc.).  The proposed action may result in the excavation or compaction of the soil profile of active agricultural land.  The proposed action may irreversibly convert agricultural land to non-agricultural uses, either more than 2.5 acres if located in an Agricultural District.	Relevant Part 1 Question(s)  E.2.c E.3.b  E.1.a E.1.b	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact
a. b. c.	The proposed action may impact agricultural resources.  (See Part 1.E.3.a & E.3.b)  If "YES", answer questions a-h. If "NO", move on to Section 9.  The proposed action may impact soil classified within soil group 1 through 4 of the NYS Land Classification System.  The proposed action may sever, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land (includes cropland, hayfields, pasture, vineyard, orchard, etc.).  The proposed action may result in the excavation or compaction of the soil profile of active agricultural land.  The proposed action may irreversibly convert agricultural land to non-agricultural uses, either more than 2.5 acres if located in an Agricultural District or more than 10 acres if not within an Agricultural District.  The proposed action may disrupt or prevent installation of an agricultural	Relevant Part 1 Question(s)  E.2.c E.3.b  E.1.a E.1.b  E.3.b	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact
a. b. c. d.	The proposed action may impact agricultural resources.  (See Part 1.E.3.a & E.3.b)  If "YES", answer questions a-h. If "NO", move on to Section 9.  The proposed action may impact soil classified within soil group 1 through 4 of the NYS Land Classification System.  The proposed action may sever, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land (includes cropland, hayfields, pasture, vineyard, orchard, etc.).  The proposed action may result in the excavation or compaction of the soil profile of active agricultural land.  The proposed action may irreversibly convert agricultural land to non-agricultural uses, either more than 2.5 acres if located in an Agricultural District or more than 10 acres if not within an Agricultural District.  The proposed action may disrupt or prevent installation of an agricultural land management system.  The proposed action may result, directly or indirectly, in increased	Relevant Part 1 Question(s)  E.2.c E.3.b  E.1.a E.1.b  E.3.b  E.1.b  E.3.a  E.1.a	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact
a. b. c. d.	The proposed action may impact agricultural resources.  (See Part 1.E.3.a & E.3.b)  If "YES", answer questions a-h. If "NO", move on to Section 9.  The proposed action may impact soil classified within soil group 1 through 4 of the NYS Land Classification System.  The proposed action may sever, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land (includes cropland, hayfields, pasture, vineyard, orchard, etc.).  The proposed action may result in the excavation or compaction of the soil profile of active agricultural land.  The proposed action may irreversibly convert agricultural land to non-agricultural uses, either more than 2.5 acres if located in an Agricultural District or more than 10 acres if not within an Agricultural District.  The proposed action may disrupt or prevent installation of an agricultural land management system.	Relevant Part 1 Question(s)  E.2.c E.3.b  E.1.a E.1.b  E.3.b  E.1.b  E.3.c  E.1.c  E.3.c  E.1.c  C.2.c, C.3	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact

9.	Impact on Aesthetic Resources The land use of the proposed action are obviously different from, or are in sharp contrast to, current land use patterns between the proposed project and a scenic or aesthetic resource. (See Part 1.E.1.a, E.1.b, E.3.h)  If "YES", answer questions a-g and complete Appendix B - Visual EAF Addendum. If "NO", move on to Section 10.	Y	TES NO [	₹
		Relevant Part 1 Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a.	Proposed action may be visible from any officially designated federal, state, or local scenic or aesthetic resource.	E.3.h ^		
b.	The proposed action may result in the obstruction, elimination or significant screening of one or more officially designated scenic views.	C.2.b E.3.h		
c.	The proposed action may be visible from publicly accessible vantage points:			
	<ul><li>i. Seasonally (e.g., screened by summer foliage, but visible during other seasons)</li><li>ii. Year round</li></ul>	E.3.h E.3.h		
d.	The situation or activity in which viewers are engaged while viewing the proposed action is:	E.3.h		
	i. Routine travel by residents, including travel to and from work     ii. Recreational or tourism based activities	E.2.u E.1.c		. 🗍
e.	The proposed action may cause a diminishment of the public enjoyment and appreciation of the designated aesthetic resource.	E.3.h		
f.	There are similar projects visible within the following distance of the proposed project:  0-½ mile  ½-3 mile  3-5 mile  5+ mile	D.1.a D.1.h D.1.i E.1.a		
g.	Other impacts:			
10.	10. Impact on Historic and Archeological Resources  The proposed action may occur in or adjacent to an historic or archaeological resource. (See Part 1.E.3.e, E.3.f, E.3.g)  If "YES", answer questions a-e. If "NO", move on to Section 11.			
		Relevant Part 1 Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a.	The proposed action may occur wholly or partially within, or substantially contiguous to, any buildings, archaeological site or district which is listed on or has been nominated by the NYS Board of Historic Preservation for inclusion on the State or National Register of Historic Places.	E.3.e		
b.	The proposed action may occur wholly or partially within, or substantially contiguous to, an area designated as sensitive for archaeological sites on the NY State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) archaeological site inventory.	E.3.f		

C.	The proposed action may occur wholly or partially within, or substantially contiguous to, an archaeological site not included on the NY SHPO inventory.  Source:	E.3.g		
d.	Other impacts:			
e.	If any of the above (a-d) are answered "Yes", continue with the following questions to help support conclusions in Part 3:			
	i. The proposed action may result in the destruction or alteration of all or part of the site or property.	E.3.e – E.3g		
	<ul> <li>ii. The proposed action may result in the alteration of the property's setting or integrity.</li> </ul>	E.1.a, E.1.b E.3.e – E.3.g	□.	
	iii. The proposed action may result in the introduction of visual elements which are out of character with the site or property, or may alter its setting.	C2, C3 E.3.g, E.3.h		
11	11. Impact on Open Space and Recreation  The proposed action may result in a loss of recreational opportunities or a reduction of an open space resource as designated in any adopted YES □ NO ▼ municipal open space plan. (See Part 1.C.2.c, E.1.c, E.2.u)  If "YES", answer questions a-e. If "NO", move on to Section 12.			
		Relevant Part 1 Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a.	The proposed action may result in an impairment of natural functions, or "ecosystem services", provided by an undeveloped area, including but not limited to stormwater storage, nutrient cycling, and wildlife habitat.	D.2.e, E.1.b E.2.h – E.2.1 E.2.q – E.2.t		
b.	The proposed action may result in the loss of a current or future recreational resource.	C.2.a, C.2.c E.1.c, E.2.u		
· <b>G</b> .	The proposed action may eliminate open space or recreational resource in an area with few such resources.	C.2.a, C.2.c E.1.c, E.2.u		
d.	The proposed action may result in loss of an area now used informally by the community as an open space resource.	C.2.c, E.1.c		
e.	Other impacts:			·
	Other impacts.			
	Other impacts.			
12.	Impact on Critical Environmental Areas The proposed action may be located within or adjacent to a critical environmental area (CEA). (See Part 1.E.3.d)  If "YES", answer questions a-c. If "NO", move on to Section 13.	Y	ES NO D	
12.	Impact on Critical Environmental Areas The proposed action may be located within or adjacent to a critical environmental area (CEA). (See Part 1.E.3.d)	Y	ES NO [	Moderate
12.	Impact on Critical Environmental Areas The proposed action may be located within or adjacent to a critical environmental area (CEA). (See Part 1.E.3.d)	Relevant Part 1		Moderate to large
12.	Impact on Critical Environmental Areas The proposed action may be located within or adjacent to a critical environmental area (CEA). (See Part 1.E.3.d)	Relevant	ES NO NO No, or	Moderate to large impact
12. a.	Impact on Critical Environmental Areas The proposed action may be located within or adjacent to a critical environmental area (CEA). (See Part 1.E.3.d)	Relevant Part 1	ES NO NO No, or small impact	Moderate to large
-	Impact on Critical Environmental Areas The proposed action may be located within or adjacent to a critical environmental area (CEA). (See Part 1.E.3.d)  If "YES", answer questions a-c. If "NO", move on to Section 13.  The proposed action may result in a reduction in the quantity of the	Relevant Part 1 Question(s)	ES NO NO No, or small impact	Moderate to large impact

13	The proposed action may result in a change to existing transportation systems. (See Part 1.D.2.j)  If "YES", answer questions a-f. If "NO", move on to Section 14.	YES 🗌 NO 🔀		
		Relevant Part 1 Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a.	Projected traffic increase may exceed capacity of existing road network.	D.2.j		
b.	The proposed action may result in the construction of paved parking area for 500 or more vehicles.	D.2.j		
c.	The proposed action will degrade existing transit access.	D.2.j		
d.	The proposed action will degrade existing pedestrian or bicycle accommodations.	D.2.j		
e.	The proposed action may alter the present pattern of movement of people or goods.	D.2.j		
f.	Other impacts:			
14.	Impact on Energy The proposed action may cause an increase in the use of any form of energy (See Part 1.D.2.k)  If "YES", answer questions a-e. If "NO", move on to Section 15.	YES NO X		
		Relevant Part 1 Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a.	The proposed action will require a new, or an upgrade to an existing, substation.	D.2.k	$\boxtimes$	
	The proposed action will require the creation or extension of an energy transmission or supply system to serve more than 50 single or two-family residences or to serve a commercial or industrial use.	D.1.h D.1.i D.2.k	×	
c.	The proposed action may utilize more than 2,500 MWhrs per year of electricity.	D.2.k	$\boxtimes$	
d.	The proposed action may involve heating and/or cooling of more than 100,000 square feet of building area when completed.	D.1.i	$\boxtimes$	
e.	Other impacts:			
· · · · ·				
15.	Impact on Noise, Odor and Light The proposed action may result in an increase in noise, odors or outdoor lighting (See Part 1.D.2.m, D.2.n, D.2.o) If "YES", answer questions a-f. If "NO", move on to Section 16.	result in an increase in noise, odors or outdoor , D.2.n, D.2.o) YES NO		
		Relevant Part 1 Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
	The proposed action may produce sound above noise levels established by local regulation.	D.2.m	$\boxtimes$	. 🔲
b.	The proposed action may result in blasting within 1,500 feet of any residence, hospital, school, licensed day care center, or nursing home.	D.2.m E.1.d	X	
c.	The proposed action may result in routine odors for more than one hour per day.	D.2.o	$\boxtimes$	

ē		Relevant Part 1 Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact
17.	The proposed action is not consistent with adopted land use plans.  (See Part 1.C.1, C.2, C.3)  If "YES", answer questions a-h. If "NO", move on to Section 18.			
m. ——	Other impacts:			
	The proposed action may result in the release of contaminated leachate from the project site.	D.2.r, D.2.s E.1.f		
	The proposed action may result in the migration of explosive gases from a landfill site to adjacent off site structures.	E.1.f E.1.g		
	The proposed action may result in excavation or other disturbance within 2000 feet of a site used for the disposal of solid or hazardous waste.	E.1.f – E.1.h	Ò	Π.
	The proposed action may result in an increase in the rate of disposal, or processing, of solid waste.	D.2.r D.2.s	· 🗆	
h.	The proposed action may result in the unearthing of solid or hazardous waste.	D.2.q E.1.f		
g.	The proposed action involves construction or modification of a solid waste management facility.	D.2.q E.1.f		
f.	The proposed action has adequate control measures in place to ensure that future generation, treatment and/or disposal of hazardous wastes will be protective of the environment and human health.	D.2.t		
	The proposed action may affect institutional control measures that were put in place to ensure that the site remains protective of the environment and human health.	E.1.g E.1.h		
	The site of the action is subject to an institutional control limiting the use of the property (e.g. easement, deed restriction)	E.1.g E.1.h		
c.	There is a completed emergency spill remediation or a completed environmental site remediation on, or adjacent to, the site of the proposed action.	E.1.g E.1.h		
b.	community.  The site of the proposed action is currently undergoing remediation.	E.1.g, E.1.h		
a.	The proposed action is located within 1500 feet of a school, hospital, licensed day care center, group home, nursing home or retirement	E.1.d		
-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Relevant Part 1 Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
16.	The proposed action may have an impact on human health from exposure to new or existing sources of contaminants (See Part 1.D.2.q, E.1.d, E.1.f, YES NO XE.1.g, E.1.h)  If "YES", answer questions a-m. If "NO", move on to Section 17.			
	None			
f.	than existing-area conditions.  Other impacts:	E.1.a		
d. e.	The proposed action may result in light shining onto adjoining properties.  The proposed action may result in lighting that creates sky-glow brighter	D.2.n D.2.n		

a.	The proposed action's land use components may be different from, or in sharp contrast to, current surrounding land use pattern(s).	C.2, C.3, D.1.a E.1.a, E.1.b		
b.	The proposed action will cause the permanent population of the city, town or village in which the project is located to grow by more than 5%.	C.2		
c.	The proposed action is inconsistent with local land use plans or zoning regulations.	C.2, C.3		
d.	The proposed action is inconsistent with any County plans, or other regional land use plans.	C.2		
e.	The proposed action may cause a change in the density of development that is not supported by existing infrastructure or is distant from existing infrastructure.	C.3 D.1.e, D.1.f, D.1.h, E.1.b		□.
f.	The proposed action is located in an area characterized by low density development that will require new or expanded public infrastructure.	C.4, D.2.c, D.2.d, D.2.j		
g.	The proposed action may induce secondary development impacts (e.g., residential or commercial development not included in the proposed action)	C.2.a		
h.	Other impacts:			
	. Consistency with Community Character			
	The proposed action is inconsistent with the existing community character (See Part 1.C.2, C.3, D.2, E.3)  If "YES", answer questions a-g. If "NO", move on to Part 3.	Y	ES NO [	
	(See Part 1.C.2, C.3, D.2, E.3) If "YES", answer questions a-g. If "NO", move on to Part 3.	Relevant	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact
a.	(See Part 1.C.2, C.3, D.2, E.3)  If "YES", answer questions a-g. If "NO", move on to Part 3.  The proposed action may replace or eliminate existing facilities, structures, or areas of historic importance to the community.	Relevant Part 1	No, or small impact	Moderate to large
-	(See Part 1.C.2, C.3, D.2, E.3)  If "YES", answer questions a-g. If "NO", move on to Part 3.  The proposed action may replace or eliminate existing facilities, structures, or areas of historic importance to the community.  The proposed action may create a demand for additional community services (e.g. schools, police and fire)	Relevant Part 1 Question(s) E.3.e, E.3.f,	No, or small impact	Moderate to large impact
-	(See Part 1.C.2, C.3, D.2, E.3)  If "YES", answer questions a-g. If "NO", move on to Part 3.  The proposed action may replace or eliminate existing facilities, structures, or areas of historic importance to the community.  The proposed action may create a demand for additional community services (e.g. schools, police and fire)	Relevant Part 1 Question(s) E.3.e, E.3.f, E.3.g	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact
b.	(See Part 1.C.2, C.3, D.2, E.3)  If "YES", answer questions a-g. If "NO", move on to Part 3.  The proposed action may replace or eliminate existing facilities, structures, or areas of historic importance to the community.  The proposed action may create a demand for additional community services (e.g. schools, police and fire)  The proposed action may displace affordable or low-income housing in an	Relevant Part 1 Question(s) E.3.e, E.3.f, E.3.g C.4 C.2, C.3,D.1.h,	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact
b. c. d.	(See Part 1.C.2, C.3, D.2, E.3)  If "YES", answer questions a-g. If "NO", move on to Part 3.  The proposed action may replace or eliminate existing facilities, structures, or areas of historic importance to the community.  The proposed action may create a demand for additional community services (e.g. schools, police and fire)  The proposed action may displace affordable or low-income housing in an area where there is a shortage of such housing.  The proposed action may interfere with the use or enjoyment of officially recognized or designated public resources.  The proposed action is inconsistent with the predominant architectural scale and character.	Relevant Part 1 Question(s) E.3.e, E.3.f, E.3.g C.4 C.2, C.3,D.1.h, D.1.i, E.1.a	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact
b. c. d. e.	(See Part 1.C.2, C.3, D.2, E.3)  If "YES", answer questions a-g. If "NO", move on to Part 3.  The proposed action may replace or eliminate existing facilities, structures, or areas of historic importance to the community.  The proposed action may create a demand for additional community services (e.g. schools, police and fire)  The proposed action may displace affordable or low-income housing in an area where there is a shortage of such housing.  The proposed action may interfere with the use or enjoyment of officially recognized or designated public resources.  The proposed action is inconsistent with the predominant architectural scale and character.  Proposed action is inconsistent with the character of the existing natural landscape.	Relevant Part 1 Question(s)  E.3.e, E.3.f, E.3.g  C.4  C.2, C.3,D.1.h, D.1.i, E.1.a  C.2, E.3	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact
b. c. d. e.	(See Part 1.C.2, C.3, D.2, E.3)  If "YES", answer questions a-g. If "NO", move on to Part 3.  The proposed action may replace or eliminate existing facilities, structures, or areas of historic importance to the community.  The proposed action may create a demand for additional community services (e.g. schools, police and fire)  The proposed action may displace affordable or low-income housing in an area where there is a shortage of such housing.  The proposed action may interfere with the use or enjoyment of officially recognized or designated public resources.  The proposed action is inconsistent with the predominant architectural scale and character.  Proposed action is inconsistent with the character of the existing natural	Relevant Part 1 Question(s)  E.3.e, E.3.f, E.3.g  C.4  C.2, C.3,D.1.h, D.1.i, E.1.a  C.2, E.3  C.2, C.3  C.2, C.3, E.1.a, E.1.b,	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact

# SUFFOLK COUNTY FULL ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT FORM

6 NYCRR Part 617

State Environmental Quality Review

# Part 3 – Evaluation of the Magnitude and Importance of Project Impacts and Determination of Significance

Part 3 provides the reasons in support of the determination of significance. The lead agency must complete Part 3 for every question in Part 2 where the impact has been identified as potentially moderate to large or where there is a need to explain why a particular element of the proposed action will not, or may, result in a significant adverse environmental impact.

Based on the analysis in Part 3, the lead agency must decide whether to require an environmental impact statement to further assess the proposed action or whether available information is sufficient for the lead agency to conclude that the proposed action will not have a significant adverse environmental impact. By completing the certification on the next page, the lead agency can complete its determination of significance.

#### **Reasons Supporting This Determination:**

To complete this section:


- \* Identify the impact based on the Part 2 responses and describe its magnitude. Magnitude considers factors such as severity, size or extent of an impact.
- \* Assess the importance of the impact. Importance relates to the geographic scope, duration, probability of the impact occurring, number of people affected by the impact and any additional environmental consequences if the impact were to occur.
- \* The assessment should take into consideration any design element or project changes.
- \* Repeat this process for each Part 2 question where the impact has been identified as potentially moderate to large or where there is a need to explain why a particular element of the proposed action will not, or may, result in a significant adverse environmental impact.
- \* Provide the reason(s) why the impact may, or will not, result in a significant adverse environmental impact
- \* For Conditional Negative Declarations identify the specific condition(s) imposed that will modify the proposed action so that no significant adverse environmental impacts will result.
- \* Attach additional sheets, as needed.

	nation of Sign and Unlisted A		
Type 1	and Omisted A	ACHOUS	
SEQR Status:	Type I		Unlisted 🛛
·			
Identify portions of EAF completed for this project:	Part 1 🛛	Part 2 🔀	Part 3 🛛
Upon review of the information recorded on this EAF	, as noted, plus	this additional support is	nformation
and considering both the magnitude and importance of lead agency that:	of each identified	potential impact, it is the	he conclusion of as
			efore, an environmental
B. Although this project could have a significant a substantially mitigated because of the following conditions:			
There will, therefore, be no significant adverse impact negative declaration is issued. A conditioned negative NYCRR 617.7(d)).			
C. This Project may result in one or more significant statement must be prepared to further assess the impact reduce those impacts. Accordingly, this positive declarations of the control	ct(s) and possibl	e mitigation and to expl	
Name of Action: Reconstruction of CR13, Crooked Hill R			
Name of Lead Agency: Suffolk County Dept. of Public			
Name of Responsible Officer in Lead Agency: William			
Title of Responsible Officer in Lead Agency: Chief En	gineer		
Signature of Responsible Officer in Lead Agency:		•	Date: 3/31/14
Signature of Preparer (if different from Responsible C	Officer)		Date:
For Further Information:			
Contact Person:			
Address:			
Telephone Number:			
Email:			
For Type 1 Actions and Conditioned Negative Decl Chief Executive Officer of the political subdivision in Other involved agencies (if any)			
Applicant (if any) Environmental Notice Bulletin: http://www.dec.ny.go	ov/enb/enb html		•
Thra omnorial rouge Duneill. http://www.dec.hy.gt	THILL OHO CHULL		

# List of Appendices

- 1. Aerial photograph of Crooked Hill Road project area.
- 2. Suffolk County Soils Classification Map of project area.
- 3. Freshwater Wetlands Map of project area. Greenlawn Quadrangle
- 4. Town of Islip Zoning Map.
- 5. Town of Islip allowable zoning uses.
- 6. Town of Smithtown zoning map
- 7. Town of Smithtown allowable zoning uses.





# TOWN OF ISLIP - ZONING MAP - SECTION 1 10,560 Feet AAN MA Residential (((())) Commercial 5,280 (3) 2,640 GENERAL SERVICES See "ALLOWABLE ZONING USES" Next sheet INDUSTRIAL ZONING (2) Zoning: Industrial Zovilva (1) Industrial Boung (2)

# CR13 Allowable Zoning Uses Town of Islip

# I. Residential (AAA)

- 1. Single Family Detached Dwelling
- 2. Church, Public School, Library or Municipal Building
- 3. Park, Playground, Nursery, Retail Sale
- 4. Parking for the uses above.

# II General Services (E)


- 1. Hotel, Motel
- 2. Boarding House, Lodging House
- 3. Bar, Tavern as accessory to hotel
- 4. Private Parking Garage
- 5. Minor restaurant as accessory to hotel


#### III. Industrial 1


- 1. Office
- 2. Manufacturing and warehouse uses
- 3. Health club, banks
- 4. Psychiatric clinic, drug rehab facility
- 5. Nonprofit fraternity or lodge
- 6. Church
- 7. Park, playground
- 8. Veterinarian
- 9. Adult entertainment stores

#### IV. Industrial 2

- 1. Gas station with restrictions
- 2. Bar, Tavern
- 3. Auto wrecking, junkyard
- 4. Vehicle repair
- 5. Private parking garage
- 6. Restaurant
- 7. No Residential







# CR13 Allowable Zoning Uses Town of Smithtown

I. Shopping Center Business (SCB)

1. To provide for retail shopping centers composed principally of groups of retail and service establishments

II Wholesale & Service Industry (WSI)

To provide adequate land along appropriate arterial
 Highways for automotive related non-retail needs and for uses
 Which require extensive land for outdoor storage or display
 And for uses which does not generate large traffic volumes.

# LAID ON THE TABLE MARCH 18, 2014

# LADS REPORT PREPARED BY:

Michele Gerardi (3/19/2014 8:36)

Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(27)	1275.	Directing the Department of Public Works to partner with the Town of Brookhaven to establish a Single Stream Recycling Program at Suffolk County Facilities. (Anker) PUBLIC WORKS, TRANSPORTATION AND ENERGY
Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(27)	1276.	Adopting Local Law No2014, A Local Law to further strengthen the County's regulation on the outdoor restraint of pets. (D'Amaro) PUBLIC SAFETY
Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (15)(20)(27)	1277.	Authorizing use of Indian Island County Park by Birthright of Peconic, Inc., for a fundraising walkathon. (Krupski) PARKS & RECREATION
Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(27)	1278.	To readjust, compromise, and grant refunds and charge-backs on real property correction of errors by: County Legislature (Control No. 953-2014). (Co. Exec.) <a href="https://example.com/BUDGET_AND_FINANCE">BUDGET_AND_FINANCE</a>
Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(27)	1279.	To readjust, compromise, and grant refunds and charge-backs on real property correction of errors by: County Legislature (Control No. 954-2014). (Co. Exec.) <a href="https://example.com/BUDGET_AND_FINANCE">BUDGET_AND_FINANCE</a>
Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(27)	1280.	To readjust, compromise, and grant refunds and chargebacks on correction or errors/County Treasurer by: County Legislature No. 404. (Co. Exec.) <u>BUDGET AND FINANCE</u>
Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(27)	1281.	To readjust, compromise, and grant refunds and chargebacks on correction or errors/County Treasurer by: County Legislature No. 405. (Co. Exec.) <u>BUDGET AND FINANCE</u>
Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(21)(27)	1282.	Imposing moratorium on the sale of the former Foley Facility. (Schneiderman) WAYS & MEANS
Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (11)(20)(27)	1283.	Authorizing execution of agreement by the Administrative Head of Suffolk County Sewer District No. 11 Selden and Crescent Club Apartments (BR-1655). (Co. Exec.) PUBLIC WORKS, TRANSPORTATION AND ENERGY
Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (11)(20)(27)	1284.	Authorizing execution of agreement by the Administrative Head of Suffolk County Sewer District No. 11 Selden and Middle Country Meadows (BR-1640). (Co. Exec.) PUBLIC WORKS, TRANSPORTATION AND ENERGY
Unlisted Action	1285.	Sale of County-owned real estate pursuant to Local Law No. 13-1976 Linda L. Nichi (SCTM No. 0200-446.00-03.00-024.000). (Co. Exec.) <u>WAYS &amp; MEANS</u>
Unlisted Action	1286.	Sale of County-owned real estate pursuant to Section 72-h of the General Municipal Law Town of Brookhaven (SCTM No. 0200-389.00-06.00-036.002). (Co. Exec.) <u>WAYS &amp; MEANS</u>
Unlisted Action	1287.	Authorizing the sale, pursuant to Local Law No. 16-1976, of real property acquired under Section 46 of the Suffolk County Tax Act Orlando Lopez (SCTM No. 0500-317.00-01.00-447.015 k/n/a all or part of 0500-317.00-01.00-093.002). (Co. Exec.) WAYS & MEANS

Unlisted Action	1288.	Authorizing the sale, pursuant to Local Law No. 16-1976, of real property acquired under Section 46 of the Suffolk County Tax Act Steven Crawford (SCTM No. 0100-090.00-01.00-044.000). (Co. Exec.) <u>WAYS &amp; MEANS</u>
Unlisted Action	1289.	Authorizing the sale, pursuant to Local Law No. 16-1976, of real property acquired under Section 46 of the Suffolk County Tax Act Elias Nunez (SCTM No. 0100-130.00-03.00-004.000). (Co. Exec.) <u>WAYS &amp; MEANS</u>
Unlisted Action	1290.	Authorizing the sale, pursuant to Local Law No. 16-1976, of real property acquired under Section 46 of the Suffolk County Tax Act Marci A. Micciantuono (SCTM No. 0400-098.00-02.00-003.000). (Co. Exec.) <u>WAYS &amp; MEANS</u>
Unlisted Action	1291.	Authorizing the sale, pursuant to Local Law No. 16-1976, of real property acquired under Section 46 of the Suffolk County Tax Act Margaret Dubitsky (SCTM No. 0209-033.00-02.00-075.000). (Co. Exec.) <u>WAYS &amp; MEANS</u>
Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(25)(27)	1292.	Authorizing the execution of an agreement between the County and the New York State Department of Transportation for Federal and State Aid Funding for the continuation of the HOV Bus Service on the Long Island Expressway for 2012 and 2013. (Co. Exec.) PUBLIC WORKS, TRANSPORTATION AND ENERGY
Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (1)(2)(20)(27)	1293.	Appropriating funds in connection with Suffolk County District Attorney Building 77 Bathroom Project (CP 1649). (Co. Exec.) PUBLIC WORKS, TRANSPORTATION AND ENERGY
Type I Action 6 NYCRR 617.4(b)(6)(i) Negative Declaration (CEQ Completed Review)	1294.	Authorizing the acquisition of land under the New Suffolk County Drinking Water Protection Program (effective December 1, 2007) - Active Recreation/Hamlet Park/Historic and/or Cultural Park component - for the Board of Education Middle Country Central School District No. 11 property - Boyle Road - Town of Brookhaven (SCTM No. 0200-392.00-04.00-016.000 p/o). (Co. Exec.) ENVIRONMENT, PLANNING AND AGRICULTURE
Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(21)(27)	1295.	Amending the 2014 Capital Budget and Program and appropriating funds in connection with the Real Property Integrated Land Information System (CP 1758). (Co. Exec.) <u>WAYS &amp; MEANS</u>
Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(27)	1296.	Authorizing execution of a Memorandum of Agreement with the Village of Saltaire for provision of police services. (Co. Exec.) <u>PUBLIC SAFETY</u>
Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(27)	1297.	Accepting and appropriating 100% Federal funds awarded by the United States Department of Justice, Drug Enforcement Administration to the Suffolk County District Attorney and authorizing the Suffolk County Executive to execute related agreements. (Co. Exec.) <u>PUBLIC SAFETY</u>
Town of Brookhaven is SEQRA Lead Agency	1298.	Authorizing funding of infrastructure improvements and oversight of real property under the Suffolk County Affordable Housing Opportunities Program and execution of agreements (Concern-Ronkonkoma). (Co. Exec.) <u>GOVERNMENT OPERATIONS, PERSONNEL, HOUSING &amp; CONSUMER PROTECTION</u>
Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(27)	1299.	Authorizing changes in Investment Management Policy for the Suffolk County Vanderbilt Museum Endowment Trust Fund. (D'Amaro) PARKS & RECREATION

Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(27)	1300.	Adopting Local Law No2014, A Local Law to eliminate automatic pay increases for County Elected Officials. (Lindsay) <u>GOVERNMENT OPERATIONS</u> , <u>PERSONNEL</u> , <u>HOUSING &amp; CONSUMER PROTECTION</u>
Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(27)	1301.	Declaring May as "Cystic Fibrosis Awareness Month" in Suffolk County. (Kennedy) HEALTH
Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (15)(20)(27)	1302.	Authorizing the illumination of the H. Lee Dennison Executive Office Building in recognition of Cystic Fibrosis Awareness Month. (Kennedy) <u>PUBLIC WORKS</u> , <u>TRANSPORTATION AND ENERGY</u>
	1303.	VOID
Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (15)(20)(27)	1304.	Authorizing use of County property in Yaphank by the GOOD Foundation for a 5K Run. (Co. Exec.) PUBLIC WORKS, TRANSPORTATION AND ENERGY
Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(27)	1305.	Amending the 2014 Operating Budget and appropriating funds in connection with bonding for a settlement for a liability case against the County. (Co. Exec.) <a href="https://example.com/BUDGET_AND_FINANCE">BUDGET_AND_FINANCE</a>
Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(27)	1306.	Accepting and appropriating a grant in the amount of \$69,825 from, the New York State Education Department for a Local Government Records Management Improvement Fund (LGRMIF) project to hire a consultant to perform a multi-department assessment of the County's Records-Related Disaster Management System. (Co. Exec.) EDUCATION AND INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY
Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (2)(20)(25)(27)	1307.	Appropriating funds for the Forensic Sciences Medical and Legal Investigative Consolidated Laboratory (CP 1109). (Co. Exec.) <u>PUBLIC SAFETY</u>
Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(25)(27)	1308.	Appropriating funds for the purchase of equipment for Medical, Legal Investigations and Forensic Sciences and to approve the purchase of one (1) replacement SUV and one (1) replacement mortuary vehicle in accordance with Section (B)(6) of the Suffolk County Code and in accordance with the County Vehicle Standard Law (CP 1132). (Co. Exec.) <u>PUBLIC SAFETY</u>
Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(25)(27)	1309.	Appropriating funds in connection with the acquisition and implementation of a District Attorney Case Management System (CP 1136). (Co. Exec.) PUBLIC SAFETY
Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(27)	1310.	Authorizing reduction of bus fares on two routes to achieve fare uniformity. (Schneiderman) PUBLIC WORKS, TRANSPORTATION AND ENERGY
Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (18)(20)(21)(27)	1311.	Authorizing Suffolk County to enter into an Intermunicipal Agreement thereby creating the Peconic Estuary Protection Committee. (Co. Exec.) <a href="ENVIRONMENT">ENVIRONMENT</a> , <a href="PLANNING AND AGRICULTURE">PLANNING AND AGRICULTURE</a>
Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (18)(20)(21)(27)	1312.	Amending the Adopted 2014 Operating Budget to transfer funds from Fund 477 Water Quality Protection, amending the 2014 Capital Budget and Program, and appropriating funds in connection with: Harmful Algal Bloom Action Plan and Strategy and Shellfish Aquaculture Monitoring Program Assessment (CP 8224). (Co. Exec.) <a href="ENVIRONMENT">ENVIRONMENT</a> , PLANNING AND AGRICULTURE

Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(27)	1313.	Authorizing alteration of rates for Davis Park Ferry Co., Inc. (Pres. Off.) <u>PUBLIC WORKS, TRANSPORTATION AND ENERGY</u>
Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(27)	1314.	Adopting Local Law No2014, A Local Law to require consumer notification for disparate gasoline pricing. (Schneiderman) <u>GOVERNMENT OPERATIONS</u> , <u>PERSONNEL, HOUSING &amp; CONSUMER PROTECTION</u>
Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(27)	1315.	Declaring April 8th "Equal Pay Day" in Suffolk County for Women. (Martinez) **ADOPTED WITH C/N ON 3/18/2014**
Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(27)	1316.	Accepting and appropriating a grant in the amount of \$861,000 in Federal pass-through and New York State Funding from the New York State Department of Transportation for the Long Island Expressway High Occupancy Vehicle Lane Enforcement Program in Suffolk County with 100% support. (Co. Exec.) <a href="PUBLIC SAFETY">PUBLIC SAFETY</a>
Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(27)	1317.	Accepting grant funds from the New York State Department of Transportation for provision of dedicated traffic enforcement in the vicinity of certain highway construction projects with 100% support. (Co. Exec.) <u>PUBLIC SAFETY</u>
Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(27)	1318.	Requesting legislative approval of a contract with Hudson River Healthcare, Inc. (HRHCare) for the operation of the Maxine S. Postal Tri-Community Health Center in Amityville. (Co. Exec.) <u>HEALTH</u>
Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(27)	1319.	Approving County funding for Southampton Alternatives for the provision of Substance Abuse Services. (Co. Exec.) **ADOPTED WITH C/N ON 3/18/2014**
Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(27)	1320.	Authorizing the Lease Agreement for use of County premises located at 1080 Sunrise Highway, Amityville, New York by Hudson River Healthcare, Inc. (HRHCare). (Co. Exec.) <u>HEALTH</u>
Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(27)	1321.	Appointing Sondra Cochran as a member of the Suffolk County Industrial Development Agency (IDA). (Pres. Off.) <u>ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT</u>
		PROCEDURAL MOTIONS
Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(21)(27)	PM07.	Setting land acquisition priorities in accordance with "AAA Program" requirements. (Hahn) **ADOPTED ON 3/18/2014**
Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(27)	PM08.	To set a public hearing regarding the alteration of rates license for Davis Park Ferry Co., Inc. (Pres. Off.) **ADOPTED ON 3/18/2014**
Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(27)	PM09.	Setting public hearings for proposed transition of Tri-Community Health Center to FQHC status and operated by Hudson River Healthcare, Inc. (Pres. Off.) **ADOPTED ON 3/18/2014**

# CEQ RESOLUTION NO. 18-2014, AUTHORIZING ADOPTION OF MARCH 19, 2014 CEQ MINUTES

**WHEREAS**, the Council on Environmental Quality has received and reviewed the March 19, 2014 meeting minutes; now, therefore, be it

1<sup>st</sup> RESOLVED, that a quorum of the Council on Environmental Quality, having heard and accepted all comments and necessary corrections hereby adopts the meeting minutes of March 19, 2014

DATED: 4/16/2014

# RECORD OF CEQ RESOLUTION VOTES

CEQ APPOINTED MEMBERS		NAY	ABSTAIN	NOT PRESENT	RECUSED
James Bagg	$\boxtimes$				
Eva Growney			$\boxtimes$		
Thomas C. Gulbransen				$\boxtimes$	
Hon. Kara Hahn			$\boxtimes$		
Michael Kaufman			$\boxtimes$		
Daniel Pichney				$\boxtimes$	
Gloria G. Russo				$\boxtimes$	
Mary Ann Spencer	$\boxtimes$				
Larry Swanson				$\boxtimes$	
CAC REPRESENTATIVES					

Recommendation: Adoption of minutes

Motion: Ms. Spencer Second: Mr. Kaufman

Further information may be obtained by contacting:

Andrew P. Freleng, Chief Planner Council on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 6100 Hauppauge, New York 11788

Tel: (631) 853-5191

# COUNTY OF SUFFOLK



DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND PLANNING
DIVISION OF PLANNING AND ENVIRONMENT
COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

GLORIA RUSSO CHAIRPERSON CEQ

#### **MEMORANDUM**

TO:

Honorable Steven Bellone, Suffolk County Executive

Honorable DuWayne Gregory, Presiding Officer

FROM:

Gloria Russo, Chairperson

DATE:

April 16, 2014

RE:

CEQ Review of the Recommended SEQRA Classifications of Legislative Resolutions

Laid on the Table March 18, 2014

At its April 16, 2014 meeting, the CEQ reviewed the above referenced matter. Pursuant to Chapter 450 of the Suffolk County Code, and based on the information received, the Council recommends to the Suffolk County Legislature and County Executive in CEQ Resolution No. 17-2014, a copy of which is attached, that the enclosed list of legislative resolutions laid on the table March 18, 2014, be classified pursuant to SEQRA as so indicated in the left hand margin. The majority of the proposed resolutions are Type II actions pursuant to the appropriate section of Title 6 NYCRR Part 617.5, with no further environmental review necessary. Unlisted and Type I actions require that the initiating unit of County government prepare an Environmental Assessment Form (EAF) or other SEQRA documentation and submit it to the CEQ for further SEQRA review and recommendations.

Enclosed for your information is a copy of CEQ Resolution No. 19-2014 setting forth the Council's recommendations along with the associated list of legislative resolutions. If the Council can be of further help in this matter, please let us know.

Enc.

cc: All Suffolk County Legislators
Tim Laube, Clerk of Legislature
George Nolan, Attorney for the Legislature
Sarah Lansdale, Director of Planning, Department of Economic Development and Planning
Andrew Freleng, Chief Planner, Department of Economic Development and Planning
Dennis Brown, Suffolk County Attorney

CEQ RESOLUTION NO. 19-2014, RECOMMENDATION CONCERNING SEQRA CLASSIFICATIONS OF LEGISLATIVE RESOLUTIONS LAID ON THE TABLE MARCH 18, 2014 PURSUANT TO CHAPTER 450 OF THE SUFFOLK COUNTY CODE

WHEREAS, the legislative packet regarding resolutions laid on the table March 18, 2014 has been received in the CEQ office; and

**WHEREAS**, staff has preliminarily reviewed the proposed resolutions and recommended SEQRA classifications; now, therefore, be it

1<sup>st</sup> RESOLVED, that in the judgment of the CEQ, based on the information received and presented, a quorum of the Council recommends to the Suffolk County Legislature and County Executive, pursuant to Chapter 450 of the Suffolk County Code, that the attached list of actions and projects be classified by the Legislature and County Executive pursuant to SEQRA as so indicated.

DATED: 4/16/2014

PROJECT #: PLN-19-2014 RESOLUTION #: 19-2014 DATE: April 16, 2014

# RECORD OF CEQ RESOLUTION VOTES

James Bagg       □       □       □         Eva Growney       □       □       □         Thomas C. Gulbransen       □       □       □         Hon. Kara Hahn       □       □       □         Michael Kaufman       □       □       □         Daniel Pichney       □       □       □         Gloria G. Russo       □       □       □         Mary Ann Spencer       □       □       □         Larry Swanson       □       □       □         CAC REPRESENTATIVES       □       □	CEQ APPOINTED MEMBERS	AYE	NAY	ABSTAIN	NOT PRESENT	RECUSED
Thomas C. Gulbransen         □         □         □           Hon. Kara Hahn         □         □         □           Michael Kaufman         □         □         □           Daniel Pichney         □         □         □           Gloria G. Russo         □         □         □           Mary Ann Spencer         □         □         □           Larry Swanson         □         □         □	James Bagg	$\boxtimes$				
Hon. Kara Hahn       □       □         Michael Kaufman       □       □         Daniel Pichney       □       □         Gloria G. Russo       □       □         Mary Ann Spencer       □       □         Larry Swanson       □       □	Eva Growney	$\boxtimes$				
Michael Kaufman         □         □         □           Daniel Pichney         □         □         □           Gloria G. Russo         □         □         □           Mary Ann Spencer         □         □         □           Larry Swanson         □         □         □	Thomas C. Gulbransen				$\boxtimes$	
Daniel Pichney         □	Hon. Kara Hahn	$\boxtimes$				
Gloria G. Russo	Michael Kaufman	$\boxtimes$				
Mary Ann Spencer □ □ □  Larry Swanson □ □ □ □	Daniel Pichney				×	
Larry Swanson	Gloria G. Russo				$\boxtimes$	
	Mary Ann Spencer	×				
CAC REPRESENTATIVES	Larry Swanson				$\boxtimes$	
	CAC REPRESENTATIVES					

Motion: Mr. Kaufman Second: Ms. Growney

Further information may be obtained by contacting:

Andrew P. Freleng, Chief Planner Council on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 6100 Hauppauge, New York 11788

Tel: (631) 853-5191